Envelope: 14521830 1 **BOKHOUR LAW GROUP, P.C.** Mehrdad Bokhour, Esq. (CA Bar No. 285256) mehrdad@bokhourlaw.com FILED 1901 Avenue of the Stars, Suite 450 3 March 7, 2024 Los Angeles, California 90067 Clerk of the Court Tel: (310) 975-1493; Fax: (310) 675-0861 4 Superior Court of CA County of Santa Clara 5 FALAKASSA LAW, P.C. Joshua S. Falakassa, Esq. (CA Bar No. 295045) 21CV383092 6 josh@falakassalaw.com By: rwalker 1901 Avenue of the Stars, Suite 450 Los Angeles, California 90067 Tel: (818) 456-6168; Fax: (888) 505-0868 8 WOODALL LAW OFFICES Kevin Woodall, Esq. (CA Bar No. 180650) 10 kevin@kwoodalllaw.com 100 Pine Street, Suite 1250 11 San Francisco, CA 94111 Tel: (415) 413-4629 12 13 Attorneys for Plaintiffs and the Putative Classes 14 SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA 15 FOR THE COUNTY OF SANTA CLARA 16 ERLINDA REYES, on behalf of herself and all | CASE NO.: 21CV383092 others similarly situated, 17 Assigned to Hon. Theodore C. Zayner Plaintiffs, 18 **[PROPOSED]** ORDER GRANTING v. 19 FINAL APPROVAL OF CLASS VITAS HEALTHCARE CORPORATION OF **ACTION SETTLEMENT AND FINAL** 20 CALIFORNIA, a Delaware Corporation; and **JUDGMENT** DOES 1-50, inclusive. 21 **HEARING INFO** Defendants. 22 Date: February 21, 2024 23 Time: 1:30 p.m. Dept.: 19 24

25

26

27

28

 This matter having come for a hearing on February 21, 2024, regarding the unopposed Motions for Final Approval of Class Action Settlement and Motion for Attorney's Fees, Costs and Service Awards on the terms set forth in the Class Action and PAGA Settlement Agreement (the "Settlement"). In conformity with California Rules of Court, Rule 3.769, with due and adequate notice having been given to Class Members (as defined in the Settlement), and having considered the Settlement, all of the legal authorities and documents submitted in support thereof, all papers filed and proceedings had herein, all oral and written comments received regarding the Settlement, and having reviewed the record in this litigation. Good cause appearing, the Court **GRANTS** final approval of the Settlement and orders and makes the following findings and determinations and enters final judgment as follows:

- 1. All terms used in this Order shall have the same meaning as how those terms are used and/or defined in the parties' Settlement Agreement and Plaintiffs' Motion for Final Approval of Class Action Settlement. A copy of the Settlement is attached to the Declaration of Mehrdad Bokhour in Support of Plaintiff's Motion for Final Approval of Class Action Settlement and is part of this Order.
- 2. The Court has personal jurisdiction over the Parties to this litigation and subject matter jurisdiction to approve this Settlement, and all exhibits thereto.
- 3. For settlement purposes only, the Court finally certifies the Class, as defined in the Settlement and as follows: All persons who are or were previously employed by Defendant in California and classified as non-exempt clinical employees at any time from May 16, 2019, until January 16, 2023. The Aggrieved Employees include all persons who are or were previously employed by Defendant in California and classified as non-exempt clinical employees at any time from May 16, 2019, until January 16, 2023.
- 4. The Court deems this definition sufficient for the purpose of Rule 3.765(a) of the California Rules of Court and solely to effectuate the Settlement.
- 5. The Court finds that an ascertainable class of 2,284 class members exists and a well-defined community of interest exists on the questions of law and fact involved because in the context of the Settlement: (i) all related matters, predominate over any individual questions; (ii) the claims of

the Plaintiffs are typical of claims of the Class Members; and (iii) in negotiating, entering into and implementing the Settlement, Plaintiffs and Class Counsel have fairly and adequately represented and protected the interests of the Class Members.

- 6. The Court is satisfied that Phoenix Settlement Administrators, which was appointed as the Settlement Administrator, completed the distribution of Class Notice to the Class in a manner that complies with California Rule of Court 3.766. The Class Notice informed 2,287 prospective Class Members of the Settlement terms, their rights under the settlement and their estimated settlement share, their rights to submit a request for exclusion, their rights to comment on or object to the Settlement, and their rights to appear at the Final Approval and Fairness Hearing and be heard regarding approval of the Settlement. A sufficient period of time to respond and to act was provided by each of these procedures. No Class Members filed a written objection to the Settlement as part of this notice process, no Class Members filed a written statement of intention to appear at the Final Approval and Fairness Hearing, and only three individuals submitted a request for exclusion. These individuals will not be bound by the Settlement and will not receive any portion of the Net Settlement Amount.
- 7. The Court hereby approves the terms set forth in the Settlement Agreement and finds that the Settlement Agreement is, in all respects, fair, adequate, reasonable, consistent, and compliant with all applicable requirements of the California Code of Civil Procedure, the California and United States Constitutions, including the Due Process clauses, the California Rules of Court, and any other applicable law, and is in the best interests of each of the Parties and Class Members.
- 8. The Court directs the Parties to effectuate the Settlement Agreement according to its terms and declares the Settlement Agreement to be binding on all 2,284 Participating Class Members.
- 9. The Court finds that the Settlement Agreement has been reached as a result of informed and non-collusive arm's-length negotiations. The Court further finds that the Parties have conducted extensive investigation and research, and their attorneys were able to evaluate their respective positions reasonably.
- 10. The Court also finds that the Settlement now will avoid additional and potentially substantial litigation costs, as well as delay and risks to the Parties, if they were to continue to litigate

the case. Additionally, after considering the monetary recovery provided as part of the Settlement in light of the challenges posed by continued litigation, the Court concludes that Class Counsel secured significant relief for Class Members.

- 11. The Settlement Agreement is not an admission by Defendant, nor is this Order a finding of the validity of any allegations or of any wrongdoing by Defendant.
- 12. The Court appoints Plaintiffs Jazzina Williams and Erlinda Reyes as Class Representatives and finds them to be adequate.
- 13. The Court appoints Kevin Woodall of Woodall Law Offices, Mehrdad Bokhour of Bokhour Law Group, P.C. and Joshua Falakassa of Falakassa Law, P.C. as Class Counsel and finds each of them to be adequate, experienced, and well-versed in class action litigation.
- 14. The terms of the Settlement Agreement, including the Gross Settlement Amount of \$3,450,000 and the individual settlement shares, are fair, adequate, and reasonable to the Class and to each Class Member, and the Courts grants final approval of the Settlement set forth in the Settlement Agreement, subject to this Order.
- 15. The Court approves the following allocations, which fall within the ranges stipulated by and through the Settlement Agreement:
 - A. The Court awards \$19,000.00 to Phoenix, the Settlement Administrator, and finds this amount fair and reasonable. The Court grants final approval of it and orders the Parties to make the payment to the Settlement Administrator in accordance with the Agreement.
 - B. The Court awards \$1,150,000 to the Class Counsel as attorneys' fees and finds this amount fair and reasonable in light of the benefit obtained for the Class. The Court grants final approval of awards and orders the Class Counsel fees payment to be made in accordance with the Settlement Agreement.
 - C. The Court awards \$18,273.20 to the Class Counsel in litigation costs, which the Court finds to be reflective of the reasonable costs incurred. The Court grants final approval of and orders the Class Counsel litigation expenses payment in this amount to be made in accordance with the Settlement

[PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING FINAL APPROVAL OF SETTLEMENT; AND ENTRY OF JUDGMENT

1

Agreement.

1	22. The Court retains continuing jurisdiction over the Action and the Settlement, including
2	jurisdiction pursuant to Rule 3.769(h) of the California Rules of Court, solely for purposes of
3	(a) enforcing the Settlement Agreement, (b) addressing settlement administration matters, and
4	(c) addressing such post-judgment matters as may be appropriate under court rules or applicable law.
5	23. Plaintiffs shall file with the Court a report regarding the status of distribution by
6	October 14, 2024.
7	24. The Court sets a compliance hearing for October 23, 2024, at 2:30 p.m. in Department
8	19.
9	25. This final judgment is intended to be a final disposition of the above-captioned action
10	in its entirety and is intended to be immediately appealable. This final judgment resolves and
11	extinguishes all claims released by the Settlement Agreement against Defendant and the Released
12	Parties as set forth in the Agreement.
13	
14	IT IS SO ORDERED.
15	Jan
16	DATED: March 7, 2024 HONORABLE THEODORE C. ZAYNER
17	HONORABLE THEODORE C. ZATNER
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	

[PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING FINAL APPROVAL OF SETTLEMENT; AND ENTRY OF JUDGMENT