1 2	DANIEL F. GAINES, ESQ. SBN 251488 daniel@gaineslawfirm.com ALEX P. KATOFSKY, ESQ. SBN 202754	FILED	
3	alex@gaineslawfirm.com EVAN S. GAINES, ESQ. SBN 287668	BY SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF NEVADA 06/06/2023	
4	evan@gaineslawfirm.com GAINES & GAINES, APLC 4550 East Thousand Oaks Boulevard, Suite 10	KIMBERLY FLENER, CLERK OF THE COU	
5 6	Westlake Village, CA 91362 Telephone: (818) 703-8985 Facsimile: (818) 703-8984		
7	Attorneys for Plaintiff Cher Lee Faacks and Proposed Class Counsel		
8	and Proposed Class Counsel		
9	SUPERIOR COURT OF T	HE STATE OF CALIFORNIA	
10	FOR THE COUNTY OF NEVADA		
11 12	CHER LEE FAACKS, individually and on	CASE NO: CU19-084121	
13	behalf of all similarly situated individuals.	Assigned to the Hon. S. Robert Tic-Raskin, Dept.	
14	Plaintiff,	6	
15	V. STODACEDDO MANIACEMENT INC.	CLASS ACTION SUPPLEMENTAL DECLARATION OF	
16	STORAGEPRO MANAGEMENT, INC., a California corporation, and DOES 1 through 10, inclusive,	DANIEL F. GAINES IN SUPPORT OF UNOPPOSED MOTION FOR	
17 18	Defendants.	PRELIMINARY APPROVAL OF CLASS ACTION SETTLEMENT AND SEEKING LEAVE TO FILE SECOND AMENDED	
19		COMPLAINT	
20		DATE: June 16, 2023 TIME: 10:00 a.m. DEPT.: 6	
21		Complaint Filed: September 13, 2019	
2223		FAC Filed: November 5, 2019	
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			

SUPPLEMENTAL DECLARATION OF DANIEL F. GAINES IN SUPPORT OF UNOPPOSED MOTION FOR PRELIMINARY APPROVAL OF CLASS ACTION SETTLEMENT AND SEEKING LEAVE TO FILE SECOND AMENDED COMPLAINT

I, DANIEL F. GAINES, hereby declare and state as follows:

- 1. I am an attorney duly admitted to the practice of law in the State of California. I am a shareholder of Gaines & Gaines, APLC, counsel for Plaintiff Cher Lee Faacks ("Faacks" or "Plaintiff") and proposed Class Counsel in this action. I have personal knowledge of the facts set forth herein and if called as a witness to testify to them, I could and would do so competently.
- 2. On May 11, 2023, the Court issued its Ruling regarding Plaintiff's Unopposed Motion for Preliminary Approval of Class Action Settlement and Seeking Leave to File Second Amended Complaint. A true and correct copy of the Ruling is attached hereto as **Exhibit A**.
- 3. The Parties jointly prepared the First Amended Settlement Agreement and Release of Claims (the "Amended Settlement" or "Amended Settlement Agreement"). A true and correct copy of the Amended Settlement is attached hereto as **Exhibit B**. A true and correct copy of the redlined changes made between the original Settlement Agreement and the Amended Settlement is attached hereto as **Exhibit C**.
- 4. The Parties jointly prepared the Amended Notice of Pendency of Proposed Class Action Settlement and Final Hearing ("Amended Class Notice"). A true and correct copy of the Amended Class Notice is attached to **Exhibit B** as Exhibit 1. A true and correct copy of the Redlined Amended Class Notice is attached to **Exhibit C** as Exhibit 1.
- 5. In advance of mediation, my office engaged in targeted formal discovery (including Special Interrogatories, Form Interrogatories, and Requests for Production of Documents) and informal discovery and obtained and reviewed multiple documents, including (i) Plaintiff's employee file and wage statements, (ii) policy documents relating to the employment of Plaintiff and Class Members, and (iii) data relating to the alleged Labor Code violations and the value of the recoverable damages and penalties, including time and payroll data. Defendant's Counsel provided my office with an approximate 17% sampling of time and payroll data among all Class Members during the Class Period.
- 6. My office took the data sampling and had Bennett Berger, of Berger Consulting Group, perform a detailed analysis of Plaintiff's claims. Plaintiff's expert found a minimal meal

period violation rate (only 1.3%) based on this data, and Defendant's Counsel provided my office with Defendant's legally compliant written meal period policies.

- 7. Plaintiff's strongest claim was her rest period claim. Defendant maintained a policy and practice requiring employees to remain on its premises during rest periods, which Plaintiff contends violates our Supreme Court's mandate in *Augustus v. ABM Security Services, Inc.* (2016) 5 Cal. 5th 257. Plaintiff alleges that Defendant's violation rate is theoretically 100%, affecting more than 217,932 shifts during the Class Period and exposing Defendant to up to \$4,832,057 in theoretical damages. However, in my experience, claims for rest periods are very difficult to ascertain and certify. Risks as to class certification and difficulties of proof easily justify the compromised settlement amount here because it represents a fair middle ground in light of the litigation risks that lied ahead.
- 8. I have worked with Phoenix Settlement Administrators on more than twenty class and PAGA settlements. Their work is excellent.
- 9. My office, on behalf of Plaintiff, submitted the Amended Settlement Agreement, together with the supplemental documents, to the LWDA via online upload on May 25, 2023, concurrently with the filing of the supplemental preliminary approval documents.

I declare, under penalty of perjury, under the laws of the State of California, that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed on June 6, 2023 at Westlake Village, California

DANIEL F. GAINES

EXHIBIT A

CU19-084121 Cher Lee Faacks v. Storage Pro, Inc.

The matter is before the Court on Plaintiff's motion for preliminary approval of class action settlement. The hearing is continued on the Court's own motion to June 16, 2023, at 10:00 a.m., in Department 6. The Court requires supplemental and/or amended filings to address the four (4) issues noted below.

- (1) Plaintiff has not provided sufficient details as to settlement negotiations. (See Dunk v. Ford Motor Co. (1996) 48 Cal.App.4th 1794, 1802.) Counsel should include additional information regarding the specific documents reviewed and the extent of discovery conducted prior to agreeing to settle the case.
- (2) Plaintiff has not submitted a declaration from the proposed class representative evidencing that she has agreed to act as the same and understands her responsibilities. (See Soderstedt v. CBIZ Southern California, LLC (2011) 197 Cal.App.4th 133, 155-156; Jones v. Farmers Ins. Exchange (2013) 221 Cal.App.4h 986, 998-999.) The declaration or other pleadings should also address why the proposed class representative payment is reasonable, including addressing what, if anything, the representative did beyond the expected services of any class representative. (See Munoz v. BCI Coca-Cola Bottling Co. of Los Angeles (2010) 186 Cal.App.4th 399, 412.)
- (3) Plaintiffs have not submitted a declaration from the proposed class administrator, Phoenix Settlement Administrators ("Phoenix"), or a copy of its bid for administering this matter. They have also not included a description of Phoenix's services, experience, procedures, and/or proof that it has adequate insurance. Further, there is no statement as whether Phoenix is affiliated with any counsel in this matter.
- (4) The pleadings, including the settlement agreement, proposed notice, and declarations, do not address how notice of final judgment will be given to the class. (See Rules of Court, Rule 3.771(b).) The settlement agreement also does not appear to explain how notice of any change of the date or location of the "fairness hearing" will be given to Class Members.

Plaintiff shall timely correct the above-noted issues before the continued hearing date. No appearances are required.

EXHIBIT B

1	DANIEL F. GAINES, ESQ. SBN 251488 daniel@gaineslawfirm.com		
2	ALEX P. KATOFSKY, ESQ. SBN 202754 alex@gaineslawfirm.com EVAN S. GAINES, ESQ. SBN 287668		
4	evan@gaineslawfirm.com GAINES & GAINES, APLC		
5	4550 E. Thousand Oaks Blvd., Suite 100 Westlake Village, CA 91362		
6	Telephone: (818) 703-8985 Facsimile: (818) 703-8984		
7	Attorneys for Plaintiff Cher Lee Faacks		
8	GABRIEL N. RUBIN, ESQ. SBN 241659 Gabriel.Rubin@jacksonlewis.com		
9	JULIE Y. ZONG, ESQ. SBN 309804 Julie.zong@jacksonlewis.com		
10	JACKSON LEWIS P.C. 50 California Street, 9 th Floor		
11	San Francisco, CA 94111-4615 Telephone: (415) 394-9400		
12	Facsimile: (415) 394-9401		
13	Attorneys for Defendant StoragePro Management Inc., erroneously sued as Storagepro, Inc.		
14			
15		HE STATE OF CALIFORNIA	
16	FOR THE COUNTY OF NEVADA		
17 18	CHER LEE FAACKS, individually and on behalf of all similarly situated individuals.	CASE NO: CU19-084121	
19	Plaintiff,	<u>CLASS ACTION</u>	
20	V.	FIRST AMENDED SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT AND RELEASE OF CLAIMS	
21	STORAGEPRO MANAGEMENT, INC., a		
22	California corporation, and DOES 1 through	Complaint Filed: September 13, 2019	
	California corporation, and DOES 1 through 10, inclusive,	Complaint Filed: September 13, 2019	
23	California corporation, and DOES 1 through	Complaint Filed: September 13, 2019	
23 24	California corporation, and DOES 1 through 10, inclusive,	Complaint Filed: September 13, 2019	
232425	California corporation, and DOES 1 through 10, inclusive,	Complaint Filed: September 13, 2019	
23242526	California corporation, and DOES 1 through 10, inclusive,	Complaint Filed: September 13, 2019	
2324252627	California corporation, and DOES 1 through 10, inclusive,	Complaint Filed: September 13, 2019	
23242526	California corporation, and DOES 1 through 10, inclusive,	Complaint Filed: September 13, 2019	

A. Definitions.

1 /

<u>SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT AND RELEASE OF CLAIMS</u>

This First Amended Settlement Agreement and Release of Claims ("Settlement Agreement") is made and entered into by and between Plaintiff Cher Lee Faacks ("Faacks" or "Plaintiff" or "Class Representative"), individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated, and Defendant StoragePro Management, Inc. ("Defendant"), subject to the terms and conditions herein and the Court's approval.

- 1. Plaintiff and the Settlement Class (as defined below) and Defendant are collectively referred to herein as "the Parties."
- 2. Daniel F. Gaines, Alex P. Katofsky and Evan S. Gaines of Gaines & Gaines, APLC are counsel of record for Plaintiff. For purposes of this settlement only, the firm of Gaines & Gaines, APLC shall be designated as "Class Counsel."
- 3. The "Action" means the case entitled *Cher Lee Faacks, Plaintiff, v. StoragePro Management, Inc., a California corporation, and Does 1 Through 10, Inclusive, Defendants,*" Case No. 2CU19-084121, pending before the Superior Court of the State of California for the County of Nevada.
- 4. The "Settlement Class" shall be defined as "all non-exempt employees employed by Defendant in California at any time between September 13, 2015 and October 15, 2022" (the "Class Period"). Defendant represents that this Class contains no more than 725 members who worked no more than 50,000 workweeks during the Class Period.
- 5. Members of the Settlement Class shall collectively be referred to as a "Class Member" or "Class Members." Any Class Member who files a timely request for exclusion, as detailed below, will be excluded from the final Settlement Class.

B. General.

1. On or about September 13, 2019, Plaintiff initiated the Action by filing a class action complaint, on behalf of herself and all other putative class members. Plaintiff filed a First Amended Complaint on or about November 5, 2019 which alleged representative claims for civil penalties pursuant to PAGA and class claims for equitable relief pursuant to Business & Professions Code

section 17200, premised on underlying violations of Labor Code sections 201-202, 226(a), 226.3, 226.7, 510, 512, 1194, and 2802. Plaintiff subsequently dismissed her PAGA claims without prejudice.

- 2. Extensive law and motion practice ensued relating to the validity of an arbitration agreement entered into between the Parties. The arbitration issue culminated with the Court of Appeal of the State of California, Third Appellate District, issuing a decision in case number C092404 which upheld the trial court's ruling that Plaintiff was not required to arbitrate her unfair competition claims.
- 3. On August 16, 2022, following their exchange of information regarding the Settlement Class and the claims and defenses asserted by the Parties, counsel for the Parties engaged in arm's-length negotiations with the help of mediator Brandon McKelvey, Esq., to reach the basic terms of this Settlement.
- 4. As part of the Court approval process for this class action settlement, Plaintiff shall file the Second Amended Complaint, attached hereto as Exhibit 3, which asserts direct class action claims against Defendant for (1) failure to pay all wages (Labor Code § 510 and 1194); (2) failure to provide rest periods or compensation in lieu thereof (Labor Code § 226.7; IWC Wage Order 4-2001); (3) failure to provide meal periods or compensation in lieu thereof (Labor Code §§ 226.7, and 512; IWC Wage Order 4-2001); (4) knowing and intentional failure to comply with itemized employee wage statement provisions (Labor Code §§ 226(a), (e)); (5) failure to timely pay wages due at separation of employment (Labor Code §§ 201-203); (6) failure to reimburse employee business expenses (Labor Code § 2802); and (7) violation of Business and Professions Code § 17200 (the "Second Amended Complaint").
- 5. Defendant denies any liability or wrongdoing of any kind associated with the claims alleged in the Action, and further denies that the Action is appropriate for class treatment for any purpose other than this settlement. Defendant contends that it has complied at all times with the California Labor Code. It is Defendant's position that, if this case were to be litigated, class certification would be inappropriate because Plaintiff is not an adequate class representative, Plaintiff's claims are not typical of putative class members, and individual issues predominate over

class issues. The Action, the negotiation and execution of this Settlement Agreement, and all acts performed or documents executed pursuant to or in furtherance of the Settlement Agreement (i) shall not be used as an admission or evidence of wrongdoing on behalf of Defendant; (ii) shall not be an admission or evidence of fault on behalf of Defendant in any action before a civil, criminal, or administrative agency; and (iii) shall not be deemed to be, and may not be used as, an admission or evidence of the appropriateness of these or similar claims for class certification in the Action or with respect to any other proceeding.

- 6. The Class Representative contends that Defendant violated the California Labor Code and that this case is appropriate for class certification.
- 7. Class Counsel represent that they have conducted a thorough investigation into the facts and law during the prosecution of this class action case, including the exchange of extensive informal discovery and the review and verification of statistical data and other facts and information provided by Defendant. Counsel for the Parties also represent that they have investigated the applicable law as applied to the facts discovered regarding the alleged claims of Plaintiff and potential defenses thereto, and the damages claimed by Plaintiff.
- 8. Based on their own independent investigation and evaluation, Class Counsel are of the opinion (and will so represent to the Court) that settlement for the consideration and on the terms set forth in this Settlement Agreement is fair, reasonable, and adequate and is in the best interest of the Settlement Class in light of all known facts and circumstances, including the risk of significant delay, the risk the Settlement Class will not be certified by the Court, and the defenses asserted by Defendant. Defendant and its counsel also agree (and will so represent to the Court) that this settlement is fair and in the best interest of the Settlement Class.
- 9. The Parties agree that the settlement class described herein may be certified for settlement purposes only and that any motion for approval seeking, *inter alia*, certification of the Settlement Class is for purposes of the settlement only. If for any reason the settlement is not approved, the certification will have no force or effect and will immediately be revoked. The Parties further agree that certification for purposes of the settlement is in no way an admission that class certification is proper under the more stringent standard applied for litigation and that

C. Terms of Settlement.

purpose in this or any other proceeding.

1. The financial terms of the settlement are as follows:

(a) Gross Settlement Amount: The Parties agree to settle this Action for Defendant's payment of the Gross Settlement Amount of Four Hundred Forty-Nine Thousand Fifty U.S. Dollars (\$449,050.00) ("the Gross Settlement Amount"). The Gross Settlement Amount includes the attorneys' fees of Class Counsel, litigation costs and expenses (which includes, without limitation, all such fees and costs incurred to date, as well as such fees and costs to be incurred in documenting the settlement, providing any notices required as part of the settlement, securing Court approval of the settlement, and obtaining judgment in the Action), the enhancement payment to the Class Representative, as approved by the Court, and all costs of administration, including, without limitation, settlement administration fees and expenses. Defendant shall pay all employer payroll tax obligations due on wage payments made from the Net Settlement Sum in addition to, and separate and apart from, the Gross Settlement Amount.

evidence of this limited stipulation for settlement purposes only will not be admissible for any

- (b) **Net Settlement Sum**: "Net Settlement Sum" is defined as the Gross Settlement Amount less the amounts approved and awarded by the Court for: attorneys' fees and documented litigation costs and expenses incurred or advanced by Class Counsel, the enhancement payment to the Class Representative, and the costs of administering the settlement.
- (c) Calculation of the Individual Settlement Payments: "Individual Settlement Payments" means the portion of the Net Settlement Sum distributable to each Class Member who participates in the Settlement (i.e., who does not submit a valid request for exclusion form). The Individual Settlement Payments will be calculated by dividing the Net Settlement Sum by the total weeks worked by all participating Class Members in California in a non-exempt position during the Class Period, which will yield the applicable weekly rate. The weekly rate shall be multiplied by the number of weeks each individual participating Class Member worked for Defendant in California in a non-exempt position during the Class Period to yield their Individual

Payment Amount. Each Participating Settlement Class Member who does not opt out will receive an Individual Payment Amount.

- i. For tax purposes, each Individual Payment Amount will be apportioned (a) 20% to wages (reported on an IRS Form W-2 and subject to applicable withholdings); (b) 25% to penalties (reported on an IRS Form 1099); (c) 25% to interest (reported on an IRS Form 1099); and (d) 30% to expense reimbursement (not reported on any IRS form). All Individual Settlement Payments paid to Class Members will be subject to any applicable wage garnishments, liens, or other legally mandated treatment as required by law.
- (d) **Enhancement Payment to Class Representative**: The amount awarded to the Class Representative as an enhancement payment will be set by the Court in its discretion, not to exceed \$15,000.00. This amount will be deducted from the Gross Settlement Amount. An IRS Form 1099 will be issued to the Class Representative for her enhancement payment. Defendant agrees not to dispute or otherwise object to the enhancement payment if Plaintiff requests \$15,000 or less,
- (e) Attorneys' Fees: An award to Class Counsel of attorneys' fees will be deducted from the Gross Settlement Amount in an amount to be set by the Court taking into account the settlement award that has been made available for the Settlement Class by the efforts of Class Counsel. The amount awarded shall not exceed 40% of the Gross Settlement Amount (i.e. \$179,620.00). An IRS Form 1099 will be issued to Class Counsel with respect to its award of attorneys' fees. Defendant agrees not to dispute or otherwise object to the attorneys' fee award requested by Class Counsel so long as the request does not exceed \$179,620.
- (f) Attorneys' Costs and Expenses: Class Counsel will be reimbursed from the Gross Settlement Amount in an amount to be set by the Court for documented out-of-pocket litigation costs and expenses, not to exceed \$20,000.00. An IRS Form 1099 will be issued to Class Counsel with respect to its award of costs and expenses. Defendant agrees not to dispute or otherwise object to the attorneys' cost award requested by Class Counsel so long as the request does not exceed \$20,000.

(g) Settlement Administration Costs: The fees and other charges of the Settlement Administrator to administer the Settlement are expected to total no more than \$12,000, and will be paid from the Gross Settlement Amount. These fees shall include any costs associated with the required tax reporting on any Individual Settlement Payments, and the issuing of any and all W-2 and 1099 forms. Subject to approval of the Court, the Parties have agreed that Phoenix Settlement Administrators will serve as a neutral third-party claims' administrator ("Settlement Administrator") to perform all acts related to providing notice to the Settlement Class. Settlement Administrator shall be responsible for (a) printing and distributing the Court-approved Notice of Class Action Settlement ("Class Notice") to all Class Members; (b) administering the settlement; (c) processing exclusions and objections; (d) resolving disputes; (e) distributing the Gross Settlement Amount as directed by the Court and set forth herein; (f) tax reporting; (g) providing necessary weekly status reports; and (h) other duties and responsibilities set forth herein.

(h) **No Warranty by Defendant:** Plaintiff understands and agrees that Defendant is not providing Plaintiff or Class Members with tax or legal advice and that Defendant makes no representations regarding tax obligations or consequences, if any, related to this Settlement Agreement.

D. Release of Claims.

1. Upon the Effective Date, and subject to Defendant's full payment of the Gross Settlement Amount and payroll taxes due hereunder, Plaintiff and all Settlement Class members who do not timely opt-out will be deemed to have fully released and discharged Defendant, and each of its present and former officers, directors, members, owners, managers, shareholders, agents, operators, partners, joint ventures, subsidiaries, parent companies, related entities, consultants, attorneys, successors or assigns ("Released Parties") from any and all Released Claims which arose during their employment in a non-exempt position in California during the Class Period. "Released Claims" are all claims and allegations asserted in the Second Amended Complaint, including all claims for unpaid wages, meal and rest period premiums/wages, inaccurate wage statements, failure to reimburse expenses, and waiting time penalties, including

claims arising under Labor Code sections 201, 202, 203, 226, 226.3, 226.7, 510, 512, 1194 and 2802, the applicable Industrial Wage Commission Wage Order, and Business and Professions Code section 17200 as they relate to the underlying Labor Code claims referenced above, which arose during employment in a non-exempt position in California during the Class Period. No PAGA claims are encompassed in this release, and no such claims shall be released by this Settlement.

2. Each and every Settlement Class Member who has not submitted a timely and valid opt-out request shall be permanently enjoined and forever barred from prosecuting any and all Released Claims against the Released Parties.

E. Notice and Exclusion Process.

- 1. Within twenty (20) calendar days after entry of the order granting preliminary approval of this Settlement, Defendant shall provide to the Settlement Administrator a list of all Class Members, including their last known addresses, telephone numbers, social security numbers, and their dates of employment in a non-exempt position in California during the Class Period ("Settlement Class Information"). The names, addresses, telephone numbers, and Social Security numbers will only be disclosed to the Settlement Administrator and not to Plaintiff's Counsel. All information provided to the Settlement Administrator will be marked CONFIDENTIAL. This information shall be kept confidential and shall not be disclosed, either in writing or orally, by the Settlement Administrator. The Settlement Administrator shall use due care with respect to the storage, custody, use, and/or dissemination of the confidential information. Such information must be stored in a secure fashion and all persons who access the data must agree to keep it confidential.
- 2. A notice of pendency of class action, proposed settlement and hearing date for Court approval ("Class Notice") in the form attached hereto as Exhibit 1, and as approved by the Court, shall be sent by the Settlement Administrator to the Class Members, by first class mail, within thirty (30) calendar days after entry of the order granting preliminary approval. Attached to the Class Notice will be a request for exclusion form ("Request for Exclusion Form") in the form attached hereto as Exhibit 2.

- 3. The Settlement Administrator will make reasonable efforts to ensure that the Class Notice and Request for Exclusion Form are sent to all Class Members. It will conclusively be presumed that if an envelope has not been returned within forty-five (45) days of the mailing that the Class Member received the Class Notice. In the event of returned or non-deliverable notices, the Settlement Administrator will make reasonable efforts to locate Class Members and re-send the notices.
- 4. Each Class Member will be fully advised of the settlement, the ability to object to the settlement, and the ability to submit a Request for Exclusion Form. The Class Notice will inform the Class Members of the Court-established deadlines for filing objections and a Request for Exclusion Form.
- 5. Each Class Notice will contain personalized information setting forth the number of weeks each Class Member worked for Defendant in California in a non-exempt position during the Class Period and their estimated Individual Payment Amount. To the extent a Class Member disputes any of the information listed on his or her Class Notice, the Class Member may produce evidence to the Settlement Administrator showing such information the Class Member contends should be reflected in the Class Notice. Defendant's records will be presumed determinative, however, and the Settlement Administrator's decision on these matters will be final. The Class Notice will also set forth IRS W-9 information if required.
- 6. All Class Members who do not submit a Request for Exclusion Form will be eligible to receive an Individual Payment Amount, which shall be mailed to them if and when the Effective Date occurs.
- 7. In order to elect not to participate in the Settlement, a Class Member must submit a Request for Exclusion Form and mail it to the Settlement Administrator no later than forty-five (45) calendar days after the initial mailing of the Class Notice and Request for Exclusion Form to Class Members, unless the Court requires a longer period, in which case the Court ordered exclusion period will apply. The date of the postmark shall be deemed the date of submission. The timeliness of submitted Request for Exclusion Forms will be determined by valid postmark. If the 45th day

falls on a Sunday or federal holiday, the time to request exclusion will be extended to the next day on which the U.S. Postal Service is open.

- 8. The deadline for submission of Exclusion Forms shall be extended once by 30 days for those Class Members whose Class Notice and Exclusion Form are returned as "undeliverable." If the 30th day falls on a Sunday or federal holiday, this deadline will be extended to the next day on which the U.S. Postal Service is open.
- 9. The Settlement Administrator will search for additional addresses on returned mail and will re-mail the Class Notice and Exclusion Form to an updated address (if any) within 15 days of receipt of the returned mail. The 30-day extended time limit will run from the date of the second mailing for those Class Members. To the extent a Class Notice from the initial mailing is not returned within 30 days, it shall be deemed to have been sent to a valid address even if it is thereafter returned. It is the intent of the Parties that reasonable, but not extraordinary, efforts be used to locate Class Members. If the initial Class Notice and Request for Exclusion Form is returned, the Settlement Administrator will search using the social security number for a more current address. If no address is found within 10 days, no further action is required.
- 10. The Settlement Administrator will notify the Parties of the total number of valid Request for Exclusion Forms within ten (10) calendar days after the deadline for receipt of the Request for Exclusion Forms (fifty-five (55) days following the initial mailing of the Class Notice and Request for Exclusion Forms to Class Members).
- 11. In order to object to the settlement, a Class Member must mail his or her objection to the Settlement Administrator no later than forty-five (45) calendar days after the mailing of the Class Notice and Request for Exclusion Form to Class Members, unless the Court requires a longer period, in which case the Court ordered objection period will apply. If the 45th day falls on a Sunday or federal holiday, the time to object to the settlement will be extended to the next day on which the U.S. Postal Service is open. Under no circumstances shall the objection deadline be extended for any reason.
- 12. The Settlement Administrator shall provide to the Parties, at least twelve (12) calendar days prior to the final approval hearing, or as otherwise ordered by the Court, a declaration

of due diligence and proof of mailing with regard to the mailing of the Class Notice and Request for Exclusion Forms. The Settlement Administrator will also provide to the Parties, at least twelve (12) calendar days prior to the final approval hearing, or as otherwise ordered by the Court, a report listing the amount of all payments to be made to each Class Member without names or personal identifying information.

- 13. Defendant shall deposit the Gross Settlement Amount, plus all payroll taxes due hereunder, with the Settlement Administrator no later than ten (10) calendar days after the Effective Date. The effective date of this Settlement Agreement ("Effective Date") is the date the Court signs an order granting final approval of the settlement and entering judgment thereon. If objections to the settlement are filed and not withdrawn, the Effective Date of the settlement is the sixty-fifth (65th) day after notice of the final approval order is mailed, unless an appeal is filed, in which case the Effective Date is the day after (a) the settlement is upheld by the Court of Appeals, or (b) all appeals are dismissed.
- 14. The Settlement Administrator shall disburse the Gross Settlement Amount within ten (10) calendar days after its receipt thereof. Class Members must cash each of their Individual Payment Amount checks within one hundred eighty (180) calendar days after they are mailed by the Settlement Administrator. The value of any checks uncashed more than one hundred eighty (180) days after mailing shall be paid to the State of California State Controller's Office, to be held in the name of the respective Class Member.
- 15. The Settlement Administrator will establish and maintain and use an internet website to post information of interest to Class Members including the date, time, and location of the Final Approval Hearing and copies of the Settlement Agreement, Motion for Preliminary Approval, the Preliminary Approval Order, the Class Notice, the Motion for Final Approval, the Motion for Class Counsel Fees Payment, Class Counsel Litigation Expenses Payment and Class Representative Service Payment, the Final Approval Order and the Judgment. These documents will remain online for no fewer than one year after the entry of the Judgment.

2. Class Counsel shall file an application for attorneys' fees and reimbursement of costs and expenses no later than ten (10) calendar days prior to the scheduled date of the hearing on final approval (unless otherwise ordered by the Court).

H. Miscellaneous Provisions:

1. Voiding the Agreement.

A failure of the Court to approve any material condition of this Settlement Agreement which effects "a fundamental change of the Parties' settlement," or if the settlement is reversed or materially modified on appellate review, shall render the entire Stipulation of Settlement voidable and unenforceable as to all Parties herein at the option of any Party.

2. Parties' Authority.

The signatories hereto represent that they are fully authorized to enter into this Settlement Agreement and bind the Parties hereto to the terms and conditions hereof.

3. Mutual Full Cooperation.

The Parties agree to fully cooperate with each other to accomplish the terms of this Stipulation of Settlement, including but not limited to, execution of such documents and such other action as may reasonably be necessary to implement the terms of this Settlement Agreement. The Parties to this Settlement Agreement shall use their best efforts, including all efforts contemplated by this Settlement Agreement and any other efforts that may become necessary by order of the Court, or otherwise, to effectuate this Settlement Agreement and the terms set forth herein. As soon as practicable after execution of this Settlement Agreement, Class Counsel shall, with the assistance and cooperation of Defendant and its counsel, take all necessary steps to secure the Court's preliminary and final approval of the settlement.

4. No Prior Assignments.

The Parties hereto represent, covenant, and warrant that they have not directly or indirectly, assigned, transferred, encumbered, or purported to assign, transfer, or encumber to any person or entity any portion of any liability, claim, demand, action, cause of action or rights released and discharged by this Stipulation of Settlement.

///

5. No Admission.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

Nothing contained herein, nor the consummation of this Stipulation of Settlement, is to be construed or deemed an admission of liability, culpability, negligence, or wrongdoing on the part of Defendant or any of the other Released Parties. Each of the Parties hereto has entered into this Settlement Agreement with the intention of avoiding further disputes and litigation with the attendant inconvenience and expenses. This Settlement Agreement is a settlement document and shall, pursuant to California Evidence Code section 1152 and/or Federal Rule of Evidence 408 and/or any other similar law, be inadmissible in evidence in any proceeding, except an action or proceeding to approve the settlement, and/or interpret or enforce this Settlement Agreement.

6. Notices.

Unless otherwise specifically provided herein, all notices, demands or other communications given hereunder shall be in writing and shall be deemed to have been duly given as of the third business day after mailing by United States registered or certified mail, return receipt requested, addressed:

To Plaintiff and the Settlement Class:

Daniel F. Gaines, Esq. Alex P. Katofsky, Esq. Evan S. Gaines, Esq. GAINES & GAINES, APLC 4550 E. Thousand Oaks Blvd., Suite 100 Westlake Village, CA 91362

To Defendant:

Gabriel N. Rubin, Esq. Julie Y. Zong, Esq. JACKSON LEWIS P.C. 50 California Street, 9th Floor San Francisco, CA 94111-4615

7. Construction.

The Parties hereto agree that the terms and conditions of this Settlement Agreement are the result of lengthy, intensive arms' length negotiations between the Parties and that this Settlement Agreement shall not be construed in favor of or against any Party by reason of the extent to which any Party or his or its counsel participated in the drafting of this Settlement Agreement.

8. Captions and Interpretations.

Paragraph titles or captions contained herein are inserted as a matter of convenience and for reference, and in no way define, limit, extend, or describe the scope of this Settlement Agreement or any provision hereof. Each term of this Settlement Agreement is contractual and not merely a recital.

9. **Modification.**

This Settlement Agreement may not be changed, altered, or modified, except in writing and signed by the Parties hereto, and approved by the Court. This Settlement Agreement may not be discharged except by performance in accordance with its terms or by a writing signed by each of the Parties hereto on their attorneys.

10. **Integration Clause.**

This Settlement Agreement contains the entire agreement between the Parties relating to the settlement and transactions contemplated hereby, and all prior or contemporaneous agreements, understandings, representations, and statements, whether oral or written and whether by a Party or such Party's legal counsel, are merged herein. No rights hereunder may be waived except in writing.

11. **Binding on Assigns.**

This Settlement Agreement shall be binding upon and inure to the benefit of the Parties hereto and their respective heirs, trustees, executors, administrators, successors and assigns.

12. **Governing Law.**

All terms of this Settlement Agreement and its exhibits shall be governed by and interpreted according to the laws of the State of California, without giving effect to any conflict of law principles or choice of law principles.

13. Signatures of All Class Members Unnecessary to be Binding.

It is agreed that, because the members of the Settlement Class are numerous, it is impossible or impractical to have each Class Member execute this Settlement Agreement. The Class Notice, attached hereto as Exhibit 1, will advise all Class Members of the binding nature of the release

provided herein and such shall have the same force and effect as if this Settlement Agreement was executed by each Class Member.

14. Counterparts.

This Settlement Agreement may be executed in counterparts, and when each Party has signed and delivered at least one such counterpart, each counterpart shall be deemed an original, and when taken together with other signed counterparts, shall constitute one fully-signed Settlement Agreement, which shall be binding upon and effective as to all Parties.

15. Confidentiality

Until Plaintiff files her motion for preliminary approval of the class action settlement, the Parties and their Counsel agree to maintain confidentiality as to the Settlement, including the amount and terms of the Settlement, except as to spouses, tax or financial advisors, attorneys, taxing agencies, or as otherwise required by law.

16. **No Publicity.**

Plaintiff and her Counsel will not contact the media about the settlement or respond to any inquiries by the media regarding the Settlement, other than to state that the matter was amicably settled, and the Court did not find Defendant liable. Plaintiff and her Counsel also will not post any information about the settlement on social media or their firms' websites.

17. Escalator Provision re: Representation Regarding Class Size.

Defendant has represented to Plaintiff and her counsel that the Settlement Class includes no more than 725 individuals who worked no more than 50,000 workweeks during the Class Period. Should the final total of Class Members exceed 725 and/or the final total of workweeks exceed 50,000 by five (5) percent or more, then Plaintiff may terminate this Agreement unless Defendant agrees to increase the Gross Settlement Amount on a pro rata basis based on the proportionate increase (with the larger percentage increase controlling).

18. Election to Vacate the Settlement

If more than ten percent (10%) of the Class Members collectively timely request exclusion, Defendant shall have the option, in its sole discretion, and notwithstanding any other provisions of

- 16 -

1	this Agreement, to withdraw from the Agreement in its entirety, whereupon the Agreement shall		
2	be null and void for any and all purposes. If Defendant elects to exercise its rights under this		
3	provision, it will so notify Class Counsel and the	ne Court in writing no later than ten (10) business	
4	days after receiving written notice via overnight	delivery from the Settlement Administrator of the	
5	number of opt-outs.		
6	Dated: Juffe 05 / 202323	By: Charles	
7		CHER LEE FAACKS	
8		Plaintiff and Class Representative	
9	Dated: June		
10	Dated: June, 2023	STORAGEPTOMANAGEMENT, INC. By:	
11		Its: Stephen Mirabito, President	
12			
13	APPROVED AS TO FORM AND CONTENT	<u>Γ:</u>	
14	Dated: June5_, 2023	GAINES & GAINES, APLC	
15		By:	
16		Daniel F. Kaines, Esq. Alex P. Katofsky, Esq.	
17		Counsel for Plaintiff and Class	
18		Representative	
19	Dated: June, 2023	JACKSON LEWIS P.C.	
20	*	By:	
21		Gabriel N. Rubin, Esq. Julie Y. Zong, Esq.	
22		Counsel for Defendant	
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28	- 17 - FIRST AMENDED SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT AND RELEASE OF CLAIMS		

EXHIBIT 1

SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA FOR THE COUNTY OF NEVADA

CHER LEE FAACKS, individually and on behalf of all similarly situated individuals.

Plaintiff,

v.

STORAGEPRO MANAGEMENT, INC., a California corporation, and DOES 1 through 10, inclusive,

Defendants.

CASE NO: CU19-084121

NOTICE OF PENDENCY OF CLASS ACTION SETTLEMENT AND FINAL HEARING

YOU MAY BE ENTITLED TO RECEIVE MONEY FROM A SETTLEMENT PLEASE READ THIS NOTICE CAREFULLY. YOUR RIGHTS MAY BE AFFECTED.

A California court authorized this notice. This is not a solicitation from a lawyer.

- A settlement will provide \$449,050 to pay claims to all current and former non-exempt California employees of Defendant StoragePro Management, Inc. ("Defendant") employed from September 13, 2015 through October 15, 2022.
- The settlement resolves a lawsuit over whether Defendant failed to pay all wages; provide meal and rest periods or compensation in lieu thereof; timely issued wages upon separation of employment; issued accurate and complete wage statements; and failed to reimburse employee business expenses. It avoids costs and risks to you from continuing the lawsuit; pays money to employees; and releases Defendant from liability from the claims asserted in this lawsuit.
- Lawyers for the employees will ask the Court to award them up to \$179,620 as attorneys' fees and \$20,000 as expenses for investigating the facts, litigating the case, and negotiating the settlement. This will be paid from the settlement amount.
- Defendant denies liability and the Parties disagree on how much money (if any) could have been awarded if employees won at trial.

YOUR LEGAL RIGHTS AND OPTIONS IN THIS SETTLEMENT:		
Do Nothing	You do not need to take any action if you wish to receive your settlement payment. If the settlement is approved by the Court, you will automatically be mailed a settlement check at the address on file with the Settlement Administrator. If you move, you must notify the Settlement Administrator of your new address.	
Exclude Yourself	Get no payment. This is the only option that allows you to ever be part of any other lawsuit against Arm and J Corporation about the legal claims that were brought in this case.	
Object	Write to the Court about why you don't like the settlement.	
Go to a Hearing	Ask to speak in Court about the fairness of the settlement.	

WHY DID YOU RECEIVE THIS NOTICE?

This notice explains a proposed settlement of a class action lawsuit, and informs you of your legal rights under that proposed settlement. You are receiving this notice because you may be a member of the Class on whose behalf this class action lawsuit has been brought.

WHAT IS THIS LAWSUIT ABOUT?

On September 13, 2019, Plaintiff Cher Lee Faacks filed this lawsuit in the Nevada County Superior Court. The lawsuit, as amended, alleges violations of the California Labor Code and Business & Professions Code. The Lawsuit seeks to certify a class of all current and former non-exempt California employees of Defendant employed from September 13, 2015 through October 15, 2022 ("Class Period"). The lawsuit alleges that members of the Class were not paid all wages; were not provided all meal and rest periods or compensation in lieu thereof; were not timely issued wages upon separation of employment; were not issued accurate and complete wage statements; and were not reimbursed for all business expenses they incurred. It seeks recovery of wages, damages, interest, statutory and civil penalties, attorneys' fees and costs. Defendant denies all of the material allegations in the Lawsuit.

The lawyers for the parties are:

Plaintiff's Attorneys
Daniel F. Gaines, Esq.
Alex P. Katofsky, Esq.
Evan S. Gaines, Esq.
GAINES & GAINES, APLC
4550 E. Thousand Oaks Boulevard, Suite 100
Westlake Village, CA 91362

Westlake Village, CA 913 Phone: (866) 550-0855 Defendant's Attorneys
Gabriel N. Rubin, Esq.
Julie Y. Zong
JACKSON LEWIS P.C.
50 California Street, 9th Floor
San Francisco, CA 94111-4615

SUMMARY OF THE SETTLEMENT

A. Why is there a Settlement?

The Court did not decide in favor of Plaintiff or Defendant. Plaintiff believes she would have prevailed on her claims at a trial. Defendant does not believe that Plaintiff would have won anything from a trial. But there was no trial. Instead, both sides agreed to a settlement. That way, they all avoid the costs, risks, and uncertainty of a trial, and the people affected will get compensation. Plaintiff and Plaintiff's Attorneys think the settlement is fair, reasonable and adequate and in the best interests of all Class members.

B. Who is in the Settlement Class?

The Settlement encompasses a class composed of all current and former non-exempt California employees of Defendant employed from September 13, 2015 through October 15, 2022.

C. What does the Settlement provide?

1. Gross Settlement Amount.

Defendant will pay \$449,050 (the "Gross Settlement Amount") to settle the lawsuit. The following sums will be paid from the Gross Settlement Amount: (1) Class Counsel's attorneys' fees in an amount set by the Court not to exceed \$179,620 and Class Counsel's documented litigation costs in an amount set by the Court not to exceed \$20,000; (2) an enhancement payment to the Class Representative set by the court, not to exceed \$15,000, for her service in the Action; and (3) a reasonable amount set by the Court to the settlement administrator for administering the settlement, not to exceed \$12,000. The amount of the Gross Settlement Amount remaining after these payments is the "Net Settlement Sum."

2. Individual Settlement Payments.

Your share of the Net Settlement Sum will be determined by the formula detailed in section E below.

D. What Are You Giving Up To Get A Payment Or Stay In The Class?

Upon the Effective Date, and subject to Defendant's full payment of the Gross Settlement Amount and payroll taxes due hereunder, Plaintiff and all Settlement Class members who do not timely opt-out will be deemed to have fully released and discharged Defendant, and each of its present and former officers, directors, members, owners, managers, shareholders, agents, operators, partners, joint ventures, subsidiaries, parent companies, related entities, consultants, attorneys, successors or assigns ("Released Parties") from any and all Released Claims which arose during their employment in a non-exempt position in California during the Class Period.

"Released Claims" are all claims and allegations asserted in the Second Amended Complaint, including all claims for unpaid wages, meal and rest period premiums/wages, inaccurate wage statements, failure to reimburse expenses, and waiting time penalties, including claims arising under Labor Code sections 201, 202, 203, 226, 226.3, 226.7, 510, 512, 1194 and 2802, the applicable Industrial Wage Commission Wage Order, and Business and Professions Code section 17200 as they relate to the underlying Labor Code claims referenced above, which arose during employment in a non-exempt position in California during the Class Period. No PAGA claims are encompassed in this release, and no such claims shall be released by this Settlement.

E. How Is My Share Of The Settlement Calculated?

Each participating claimant (those who do not opt out of the Settlement) shall receive an "Individual Settlement Payment," which is a portion of the Net Settlement Sum distributable to each Class Member who participates in the Settlement (i.e., who does not submit a valid request for exclusion form).

The Individual Settlement Payment will be calculated by dividing the Net Settlement Sum by the total weeks worked by all participating Class Members in California in a non-exempt position during the Class Period, which will yield the applicable weekly rate. The weekly rate shall be multiplied by the number of weeks each individual participating Class Member worked for Defendant in California in a non-exempt position during the Class Period to yield their Individual Settlement Payment. Each Participating Settlement Class Member who does not opt out will receive an Individual Settlement Payment.

For tax purposes, each Individual Settlement Payment will be allocated (a) 20% to wages (reported on an IRS Form W-2 and subject to applicable withholdings); (b) 25% to penalties (reported on an IRS Form 1099); (c) 25% to interest (reported on an IRS Form 1099); and (d) 30% to expense reimbursement (not reported on any IRS form).

All Individual Settlement Payment paid to Class Members will be subject to any applicable wage garnishments, liens, or other legally mandated treatment as required by law.

According to the records of Defendant, you worked [____] weeks while employed in a non-exempt position in California from September 13, 2015 through October 15, 2022. Based on these weeks worked, you are entitled to an Individual Settlement Payment of approximately \$[____]. This amount is subject to change based on the final ruling of the Court.

Please be advised that the individual data above is presumed to be correct unless you submit documentation proving otherwise. If you disagree with the data, please submit an explanation and evidence in support of your position to the Settlement Administrator no later than ______. In the event of a dispute, the Settlement Administrator will resolve the challenge with input from the Defendant and will make a final and binding determination without a hearing or right of appeal by you.

THE SETTLEMENT HEARING

The Court will conduct a final fairness hearing regarding the proposed settlement (the "Final Settlement Hearing") on ______, at ______ a.m., in Courtroom 6 of the Nevada County Superior Court, located at 201 Church Street, Nevada City, California 95959. The Court will determine: (i) whether the lawsuit should finally be certified as a class action for settlement purposes; (ii) whether the settlement should be given the Court's final approval as fair, reasonable, adequate and in the best interests of the Settlement Class Members; (iii) whether the Settlement Class Members should be bound by the terms of the settlement; (iv) the amount of the attorneys' fees and costs to be awarded to Plaintiff's Attorneys; and (v) the amount that should be awarded to Plaintiff as a service payment. At the Final Settlement Hearing, the Court will hear all properly filed objections, as well as arguments for and against the proposed settlement. You have a right to attend this hearing, but you are not required to do so. You also have the right to hire an attorney to represent you, or to enter an appearance and represent yourself.

It's possible the Court will reschedule the Final Approval Hearing. You should check the Administrator's website [www.etc.] beforehand or contact Class Counsel to verify the date and time of the Final Approval Hearing.

WHAT ARE YOUR OPTIONS?

• OPTION 1 – DO NOTHING AND PARTICIPATE IN THE SETTLEMENT

IF YOU TAKE NO ACTION IN RESPONSE TO THIS NOTICE, YOU WILL AUTOMATICALLY RECEIVE YOUR SHARE OF THE SETTLEMENT IF IT IS APPROVED BY THE COURT. YOU ARE NEVER REQUIRED TO GO TO COURT OR PAY ANYTHING TO THE LAWYERS IN THIS CASE. If you move, you must update your address with the Settlement Administrator. If you disagree with pre-printed data indicated in section E above, you must submit an explanation and/or documentation to the Settlement Administrator to justify your position, postmarked no later than . The Settlement Administrator's address is [Settlement Administrator address].

• OPTION 2 – OBJECT TO THE SETTLEMENT

If you wish to remain a Settlement Class Member, but you object to the proposed settlement (or any of its terms) and wish the Court to consider your objection at the Final Settlement Hearing, you may object to the proposed settlement in writing. You may also appear at the Final Approval Hearing, either in person or through an attorney at your own expense. All written objections, supporting papers, and/or notices of intent to appear at the Final Approval Hearing must clearly identify the case name and number and be mailed to the Settlement Administrator at [Settlement Administrator address]. Objections must be postmarked no later than

• <u>OPTION 3 – EXCLUDE YOURSELF FROM THE SETTLEMENT</u>

You have a right to exclude yourself ("opt out") from the Settlement Class, but if you choose to do so, you will not receive any benefits from the proposed settlement. You will not be bound by a judgment in this case and you will have the right to file your own lawsuit against the Defendant and pursue your own claims in a separate suit. You can opt out of the Class by completely filling out and mailing the enclosed Request for Exclusion Form to the Settlement Administrator at the above-stated address, such that it is postmarked no later than _______.

ARE THERE MORE DETAILS ABOUT THE SETTLEMENT?

The above is a summary of the basic terms of the settlement. For the precise terms and conditions of the settlement, you should review the detailed "Settlement Agreement and Release of Claims." The easiest way to read the Agreement, the Judgment, or any other Settlement documents is to go to the Administrator's website at [URL of website]. The relevant Settlement documents will remain on the Administrator's website for no fewer than one year after the entry of Judgment. You can also examine the pleadings and other records in the Lawsuit at any time during regular business hours at the Office of the Clerk of the Nevada County Superior Court, located at 201 Church Street, Nevada City, CA 95959.

IF YOU NEED MORE INFORMATION OR HAVE ANY QUESTIONS, you may contact the Settlement Administrator at [address], [telephone number]. Reference the StoragePro Management Class Action Settlement.

PLEASE DO NOT TELEPHONE THE COURT FOR INFORMATION ABOUT THIS SETTLEMENT OR THE CLAIMS PROCESS.

BY ORDER OF THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

EXHIBIT 2

REQUEST FOR EXCLUSION

ONLY COMPLETE THIS REQUEST FOR EXCLUSION FORM IF YOU WANT TO OPT OUT OF (NOT PARTICIPATE IN) THE SETTLEMENT OF THE ACTION KNOWN AS Cher Lee Faacks, Plaintiff, v. StoragePro Management, Inc., a California corporation, and Does 1 Through 10, Inclusive, Defendants, Nevada County Superior Court, Case No. CU19-084121. IF YOU OPT OUT OF THE SETTLEMENT, YOU WILL NOT RECEIVE ANY PORTION OF THE SETTLEMENT AMOUNT.

I confirm that I was employed by Defendant StoragePro Management, Inc. as a non-exempt employee in California during the period from September 13, 2015 through October 15, 2022.

I do not wish to receive any payment under the terms of the proposed class action settlement or to otherwise participate in the proposed settlement.

Date:
Signature:
Print Name:
Residence Street Address:
City, State and Zip Code:
Last four digits of Social Security Number: XXX-XX
IN ORDER TO BE VALID, THIS REQUEST FOR EXCLUSION FORM MUST BE COMPLETED, SIGNED, MAILED BY FIRST CLASS MAIL, AND POSTMARKED ON OR BEFORE [45 days after mailing of Notice]. Send this signed request for exclusion form to the Settlement Administrator:
StoragePro Management Settlement Administrator c/o Phoenix Settlement Administrators

EXHIBIT 3

1 2 3 4 5 6	DANIEL F. GAINES, ESQ. SBN 251488 daniel@gaineslawfirm.com ALEX P. KATOFSKY, ESQ. SBN 202754 alex@gaineslawfirm.com EVAN S. GAINES, ESQ. SBN 287668 evan@gaineslawfirm.com GAINES & GAINES, APLC 4550 East Thousand Oaks Boulevard, Suite 100 Westlake Village, CA 91362 Telephone: (818) 703-8985 Facsimile: (818) 703-8984		
7 8	Attorneys for Plaintiff Cher Lee Faacks, individually and on behalf of all similarly situated individuals		
9	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA		
10	FOR THE COL	UNTY OF NEVADA	
11 12	CHER LEE FAACKS, individually and on behalf of all similarly situated individuals.	CASE NO: CU19-084121 Assigned to the Hon. Thomas M. Anderson,	
13	Plaintiff,	Dept. 6	
14	V.	SECOND AMENDED CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT FOR:	
15 16	STORAGEPRO MANAGEMENT, INC., a California corporation, and DOES 1 through 10, inclusive,	1. FAILURE TO PAY ALL WAGES DUE (LABOR CODE §§ 510 AND 1194)	
17 18 19	Defendants.	2. FAILURE TO PROVIDE REST PERIODS OR COMPENSATION IN LIEU THEREOF (LABOR CODE § 226.7; IWC WAGE ORDER 4-2001)	
20		3. FAILURE TO PROVIDE MEAL PERIODS OR COMPENSATION IN LIEU THEREOF (LABOR CODE §§ 226.7, AND 512; IWC WAGE ORDER 4-2001)	
22		4. FAILURE TO REIMBURSE BUSINESS	
23		EXPENSES (LABOR CODE § 2802)	
24		5. KNOWING AND INTENTIONAL FAILURE TO COMPLY WITH ITEMIZED EMPLOYEE WAGE	
25		STATEMENT PROVISIONS (LABOR CODE § 226(a), (e))	
26		6. FAILURE TO TIMELY PAY WAGES DUE AT SEPARATION OF	
27 28		EMPLOYMENT (LABOR CODE §§ 201-203)	

1 2	7. VIOLATION OF BUSINESS & PROFESSIONS CODE § 17200 ET SEQ.
	DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL
3	Complaint Filed: September 3, 2019 FAC Filed: November 5, 2019
4	TAC Filed. November 5, 2019
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	

Plaintiff CHER LEE FAACKS ("Plaintiff"), individually and on behalf of all similarly situated individuals (the "Class" or "Plaintiff Class"), and on behalf of the general public, complains of STORAGEPRO MANAGEMENT, INC., a California corporation, and/or any subsidiaries or affiliated companies (hereinafter collectively referred to as "Defendants"), and each of them, as follows:

I.

INTRODUCTION AND FACTUAL BACKGROUND

- 1. This is a Class Action, pursuant to Code of Civil Procedure § 382, on behalf of Plaintiff and the Class of individuals described in detail below against Defendants.
- 2. From September 13, 2015 to the present (the "liability period"), Defendants have had a consistent policy of failing to pay all wages due to Class Members (as defined below); provide legally compliant meal and rest periods or compensation in lieu thereof to Class Members; reimburse Class Members for business expenses; comply with itemized employee wage statement provisions; and issue Plaintiff and Class Members all wages due and owing upon separation of employment.
- 3. Plaintiff, on behalf of herself and members of the Class, brings this action pursuant to Labor Code §§ 201-203, 226.7, 510, 512, 1194, and 2802 seeking compensation for all unpaid wages, meal and rest period premium wages, business-related expenses, statutory penalties, injunctive and other equitable relief, and reasonable attorneys' fees and costs.
- 4. Plaintiff, on behalf of herself and members of the Class and pursuant to Business & Professions Code §§ 17200-17208, also seeks injunctive relief, restitution, and disgorgement of all benefits Defendants enjoyed from their failure to pay all wages and reimburse all business expenses to Class Members.
- 5. Venue as to each Defendant is proper in Nevada County, California as Plaintiff and other Class Members worked for Defendants in Nevada County, California.

: || \\\

///

27 || \\\

28 || \\\

	1	
	2	
	3	
	4	
	5	
	6	
	7	
	8	
	9	
	0	
1	1	
	2	
1	3	
1	4	
1	5	
	6	
	7	
1	8	
1	9	
2	0	
2	1	
	2	
2	3	
	4	
	5	
)	6	

28

II.

PARTIES

A. Plaintiff

- 6. Plaintiff CHER LEE FAACKS was employed by Defendants from August 2018 through September 2018 as a non-exempt employee in Nevada County, California.
 - 7. During her work with Defendants, Plaintiff was:
 - a. Willfully denied the payment of all wages due;
 - b. Willfully denied meal and rest breaks or compensation in lieu thereof;
 - c. Willfully denied the reimbursement of business expenses incurred;
 - d. Willfully denied complete and accurate wage statements; and
 - e. Willfully denied the timely payment of all wages upon separation of her employment.

B. Defendants

- 8. Defendant STORAGEPRO MANAGEMENT, INC. is a California corporation that operates in California. STORAGEPRO MANAGEMENT, INC. employed Plaintiff and similarly situated employees within California. The violations alleged herein arose in Nevada County in the State of California.
- 9. The true names and capacities, whether individual, corporate, associate, or otherwise, of Defendants sued herein as DOES 1 to 10, inclusive, are currently unknown to Plaintiff, who therefore sues Defendants by such fictitious names under Code of Civil Procedure § 474. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and based thereon alleges, that each of the Defendants designated herein as a DOE is legally responsible in some manner for the unlawful acts referred to herein. Plaintiff will seek leave of court to amend this Complaint to reflect the true names and capacities of the Defendants designated hereinafter as DOES when such identities become known.
- 10. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and based thereon alleges, that each Defendant acted in all respects pertinent to this action as the agent of the other Defendants, carried out a joint scheme, business plan or policy in all respects pertinent hereto, and the acts of each Defendant are legally attributable to the other Defendants.

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	

11. The Defendants named herein as DOE 1 through DOE 10 are and were persons acting on behalf of, or acting jointly with, Defendants, who violated, or caused to be violated, one or more provisions of the California Labor Code as alleged herein.

III.

CLASS ACTION ALLEGATIONS

12. Plaintiff brings this action on behalf of herself and all others similarly situated as a Class Action pursuant to § 382 of the Code of Civil Procedure. Plaintiff seeks to represent the following Class composed of and defined as follows:

THE CLASS

All non-exempt employees employed by Defendants in California at any time between September 13, 2015 and October 15, 2022.

- 13. Plaintiff reserves the right under Rule 3.765, California Rules of Court, to amend or modify this class description with greater specificity or further division into subclasses or limitation to particular issues.
- 14. This action has been brought and may properly be maintained as a class action under the provisions of § 382 of the Code of Civil Procedure because there is a well-defined community of interest in the litigation and the proposed Class is easily ascertainable.

A. Numerosity

- 15. The potential members of the Class as defined are so numerous that joinder of all the members the Class is impracticable. While the precise number of members of the Class has not been ascertained at this time, Plaintiff is informed and believes, and based thereon alleges, that Defendants currently employ, and during the relevant time periods employed, over 50 persons in the State of California who fall within the Class definition.
- 16. Accounting for employee turnover during the relevant period necessarily increases this number. Plaintiff alleges Defendants' employment records would provide information as to the number and location of members of the Class. Joinder of members of the Class is not practicable.

///

///

B. Commonality

- 17. There are questions of law and fact common to the Class that predominate over any questions affecting only individual class members. These common questions of law and fact include, without limitation:
 - a. Whether Defendants failed to compensate Plaintiff and Class Members for all wages due, in violation of Labor Code §§ 510 and 1194;
 - Whether Defendants failed to properly provide rest periods or compensation in lieu thereof to Plaintiff and Class members, in violation of Labor Code § 226.7, and IWC Wage Order 4-2001;
 - c. Whether Defendants failed to properly provide meal periods or compensation in lieu thereof to Plaintiff and Class members, in violation of Labor Code §§ 226.7 and 512, and IWC Wage Order 4-2001;
 - d. Whether Defendants failed to reimburse Plaintiff and Class Members with all business-related expenses incurred in the discharge of their duties, in violation of Labor Code § 2802;
 - e. Whether Defendants failed to issue complete and accurate wage statements to Plaintiff and Class Members, in violation of Labor Code § 226(a), (e);
 - f. Whether Defendants failed to timely pay Plaintiff and Class Members all wages due and owing at the separation of their employment, in violation of Labor Code §§ 201-203; and
 - g. Whether Plaintiff and Class members are entitled to equitable relief pursuant to Business & Professions Code § 17200 *et seq*.

C. Typicality

18. The claims of the named Plaintiff are typical of the claims of members of the Class. Plaintiff and members of the Class sustained injuries and damages arising out of and caused by Defendants' common course of conduct in violation of laws, regulations that have the force and effect of law, and statutes as alleged herein.

///

D. Adequacy of Representation

19. Plaintiff will fairly and adequately represent and protect the interests of members of the Class. Counsel who represents Plaintiff are competent and experienced in litigating large employment class actions.

E. Superiority of Class Action

- 20. A class action is superior to other available means for the fair and efficient adjudication of this controversy. Individual joinder of all proposed members of the Class is not practicable, and questions of law and fact common to the proposed Class predominate over any questions affecting only individual members of the proposed Class. Each member of the proposed Class has been damaged and is entitled to recovery by reason of Defendants' illegal policies and/or practices.
- 21. Class action treatment will allow those similarly situated persons to litigate their claims in the manner that is most efficient and economical for the parties and the judicial system. Plaintiff is unaware of any difficulties that are likely to be encountered in the management of this action that would preclude its maintenance as a class action.

IV.

CAUSES OF ACTION

FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION

PLAINTIFF AND THE CLASS AGAINST ALL DEFENDANTS

FAILURE TO PAY ALL WAGES DUE

(LABOR CODE §§ 510 AND 1194)

- 22. Plaintiff incorporates paragraphs 1 through 21 of this Complaint as fully set forth herein.
- 23. During the liability period, Defendants have failed to pay Plaintiff and Class Members for all hours worked and all wages due, in violation of Labor Code §§ 510 and 1194. Defendant failed to pay Plaintiff and Class Members all minimum wages and overtime wages earned during the course of their employment. Plaintiff and Class Members were not paid for all hours worked and are owed minimum wages and overtime wages as a result.

///

24. As a result of the unlawful acts of Defendants in willfully failing to pay all minimum and overtime wages, Plaintiff and members of the Class have been deprived of wages in amounts to be determined at trial, and are entitled to restitution and recovery of such amounts, plus interest thereon, attorneys' fees, and costs pursuant to Labor Code § 1194.

Wherefore, Plaintiff and the Class she seeks to represent request relief as described below.

V.

SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION

PLAINTIFF AND THE CLASS AGAINST ALL DEFENDANTS FAILURE TO PROVIDE REST PERIODS OR COMPENSATION IN LIEU THEREOF (LABOR CODE § 226.7 AND IWC WAGE ORDER 4-2001)

- 25. Plaintiff incorporates paragraphs 1 through 24 of this Complaint as fully set forth herein.
- 26. Plaintiff and Class members are entitled to one hour of pay for each day that Defendants failed to properly provide one or more rest periods as set forth in Labor Code § 226.7 and IWC Wage Order 4-2001.
- 27. Defendants failed to provide Plaintiff and Class members proper rest periods, or compensation in lieu thereof, in violation of Labor Code § 226.7 and IWC Wage Order 4-2001. Due to the busy nature of their work schedule, they were unable to always take, and not authorized to take, 10-minute rest periods for every four hours of work or major fraction thereof. When they were able to take a rest period, they were oftentimes late or cut short. Defendants also required Plaintiff and Class Members to remain on work premises during their rest periods.
- 28. Pursuant to Labor Code § 226.7 and IWC Wage Order 4-2001, Plaintiff seeks the payment of all rest period compensation which she and Class members are owed for four years preceding the filing of this Action, according to proof.

Wherefore, Plaintiff and the Class she seeks to represent request relief as described below.

///

///

///

PLAINTIFF AND THE CLASS AGAINST ALL DEFENDANTS

FAILURE TO PROVIDE MEAL PERIODS OR COMPENSATION IN LIEU THEREOF (LABOR CODE §§ 226.7 AND 512 AND IWC WAGE ORDER 4-2001)

VI.

- 29. Plaintiff incorporates paragraphs 1 through 28 of this Complaint as fully set forth herein.
- 30. Plaintiff and Class members are entitled to one hour of pay for each day that Defendants failed to properly provide one or more meal periods as set forth in Labor Code §§ 226.7, 512 and IWC Wage Order 4-2001.
- 31. Defendants failed to provide Plaintiff and Class members proper meal periods, or compensation in lieu thereof, in violation of Labor Code §§ 226.7, 512 and IWC Wage Order 4-2001. Plaintiff and Class Members were routinely denied, and not authorized to take, an uninterrupted, 30minute meal period for every shift worked that exceeded five or more hours in duration and a second 30-minute meal period for every shift worked over ten hours in duration, but were not paid premium wages of one hour's pay for each missed meal period. When they were able to take a first or second meal period, they were oftentimes late or cut short. This violates Labor Code §§ 226.7, 512 and IWC Wage Order 4-2001.
- 32. Pursuant to Labor Code §§ 226.7, 512 and IWC Wage Order 4-2001, Plaintiff seeks the payment of all meal period compensation which she and Class members are owed for four years preceding the filing of this Action, according to proof.

Wherefore, Plaintiff and the Class she seeks to represent request relief as described below.

///

/// 24

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

/// 25

/// 26

///

27

28 ///

1	VII.
2	FOURTH CAUSE OF ACTION
3	PLAINTIFF AND THE CLASS AGAINST ALL DEFENDANTS
4	FAILURE TO REIMURSE BUSINESS EXPENSES
5	(LABOR CODE § 2802)
6	33. Plaintiff incorporates paragraphs 1 through 32 of this Complaint as fully set forth
7	herein.
8	34. Pursuant to California Labor Code § 2802, Defendants must indemnify Plaintiff and
9	Class Members for all necessary expenditures or losses incurred by the employee in direct
10	consequence of the discharge of their duties.
11	35. Plaintiff and Class Members used their personal cell phones and vehicles for execution
12	of duties while working for Defendants. Defendants failed to reimburse Plaintiff or Class Members
13	for these expenses incurred in the discharge of work duties, including but not limited to mileage and
14	depreciation of their vehicle and cellular phone expenses.
15	36. As a proximate result of the aforementioned violations, Plaintiff and Class Members
16	have been damaged in an amount according to proof at the time of trial.
17	37. Pursuant to Labor Code § 2802, Plaintiff and Class Members are entitled to recover
18	from Defendants the full amount of the expenses they incurred in the performance of their job duties
19	that have not been reimbursed, plus interest, reasonable attorney's fees, and costs of suit.
20	Wherefore, Plaintiff and the Class she seeks to represent request relief as described below.
21	VIII.
22	FIFTH CAUSE OF ACTION
23	PLAINTIFF AND THE CLASS AGAINST ALL DEFENDANTS
24	KNOWING AND INTENTIONAL FAILURE TO COMPLY WITH ITEMIZED
25	EMPLOYEE WAGE STATEMENT PROVISIONS
26	(LABOR CODE § 226(a), (e))
27	38. Plaintiff incorporates paragraphs 1 through 37 of this Complaint as though fully set
28	forth herein.
ı	

- 39. Section 226(a) of the California Labor Code requires Defendants to provide wage statements to employees. In those wage statements, Defendants must provide an accurate itemized statement in writing showing (1) gross wages earned, (2) total hours worked by the employee..., (3) the number of piece-rate units earned and any applicable piece rate if the employee is paid on a piece-rate basis, (4) all deductions, provided that all deductions made on written orders of the employee may be aggregated and shown as one item, (5) net wages earned, (6) the inclusive dates of the period for which the employee is paid, (7) the name of the employee and only the last four digits of his or her social security number or an employee identification number other than a social security number, (8) the name and address of the legal entity that is the employer..., and (9) all applicable hourly rates in effect during the pay period and the corresponding number of hours worked at each hourly rate by the employee. Defendants have knowingly and intentionally failed to comply with Labor Code § 226(a).
- 40. Defendants failed to issue Plaintiff and Class Members wage statements that fully and accurately itemized the requirements set forth in Labor Code § 226(a). Plaintiff and Class Members were not paid all wages due, including premium wages for unauthorized meal and rest periods. As such, the wage statements provided by Defendants failed to accurately state all gross wages earned, in violation of Labor Code § 226(a)(1), total hours worked, in violation of Labor Code § 226(a)(2), net wages earned, in violation of Labor Code § 226(a)(5), and all applicable hourly rates in effect during the pay period and the corresponding number of hours worked at each hourly rate by the employee including overtime pay, in violation of Labor Code § 226(a)(9).
- 41. Defendant's willful conduct in failing to provide Class Members with accurate itemized wage statements caused Plaintiff and members of the Class to be injured by not having been paid all wages due and being issued wage statements which do not reflect all information required by Labor Code § 226(a). As a result, Plaintiff and members of the Class are entitled to penalties pursuant to Labor Code § 226(e) to recover the greater of all actual damages or \$50 for the initial pay period in which a violation occurs and \$100 per employee for each violation in a subsequent pay period, not exceeding an aggregate penalty of \$4,000 per employee, and are entitled to an award of costs and reasonable attorneys' fees pursuant to Labor Code § 226(h).

	1
	2
	3
	4
	5
	6
	7
	8
	9
1	0
1	1
1	2
1	3
1	4
1	5
1	6
1	7
1	8
1	9
2	0
2	1
2	2
2	3
2	4
2	5
2	6
2	7

Wherefore, Plaintiff and the Class he seeks to represent request relief as described below.

IX.

SIXTH CAUSE OF ACTION

FAILURE TO TIMELY PAY WAGES DUE AT

SEPARATION OF EMPLOYMENT

(LABOR CODE §§ 201-203 AND 227.3)

- 42. Plaintiff incorporates paragraphs 1 through 41 of this Complaint as though fully set forth herein.
- 43. California Labor Code § 201 and § 202 require Defendants to pay employees all wages due within 72 hours after resignation of employment or the day of termination of employment. Labor Code § 203 provides that if an employer willfully fails to timely pay such wages, the employer must, as a penalty, continue to pay the subject employee's daily wages until the back wages are paid in full or an action is commenced. The penalty cannot exceed 30 days of wages.
- 44. Defendants paid Plaintiff and Class Members their final wages beyond the time frames set forth in Labor Code §§ 201 and 202, in violation of Labor Code § 203. Plaintiff and Class Members were not paid all wages due and owing throughout the course of their employment, including all minimum and overtime wages due and premium wages for missed and denied meal and rest periods, as detailed above. Consequently, at the time of their separation from employment with Defendants, they were not paid all final wages due and owing for the entirety of their employment. In addition, when Plaintiff was terminated, he was not issued his final wages immediately upon his termination instead, he was issued his final wages a day later.
- 45. More than 30 days have passed since Plaintiff and Class Members have left Defendants' employ.
- 46. As a consequence of Defendants' willful conduct in not paying wages owed timely upon separation of employment, Plaintiff and Class Members are entitled to up to 30 days' wages as a penalty under Labor Code § 203 for Defendants' failure to timely pay legal wages at separation of employment.

Wherefore, Plaintiff and the Class he seeks to represent request relief as described below.

SEVENTH CAUSE OF ACTION

PLAINTIFF AND THE CLASS AGAINST ALL DEFENDANTS

UNFAIR COMPETITION PURSUANT TO

BUSINESS & PROFESSIONS CODE § 17200 ET SEQ.

- 47. Plaintiff incorporates paragraphs 1 through 46 of this Complaint as though fully set forth herein.
- 48. This is a Class Action for Unfair Business Practices. Plaintiff, on her own behalf and on behalf of the general public, and on behalf of others similarly situated, bring this claim pursuant to Business & Professions Code § 17200 *et seq*. The conduct of all Defendants as alleged in this Complaint has been and continues to be unfair, unlawful, and harmful to Plaintiff, the general public, and members of the Class. Plaintiff seeks to enforce important rights affecting the public interest within the meaning of Code of Civil Procedure § 1021.5.
- 49. Plaintiff is a "person" within the meaning of Business & Professions Code § 17204, and therefore has standing to bring this cause of action for injunctive relief, restitution, and other appropriate equitable relief.
- 50. Business & Professions Code § 17200 *et seq.* prohibits unlawful and unfair business practices.
- 51. Wage and hour laws express fundamental public policies. Properly providing employees with all wages due and reimbursement of all expenses incurred in the discharge of their employment are fundamental public policies of this State and of the United States. Labor Code § 90.5(a) articulates the public policies of this State to enforce vigorously minimum labor standards, to ensure that employees are not required or permitted to work under substandard and unlawful conditions, and to protect law-abiding employers and its employees from competitors who lower their costs by failing to comply with minimum labor standards.
- 52. Defendants have violated statutes and public policies. Through the conduct alleged in this Complaint, Defendants, and each of them, have acted contrary to these public policies, have violated specific provisions of the Labor Code, and have engaged in other unlawful and unfair

business practices in violation of Business & Professions Code § 17200 *et seq.* depriving Plaintiff, and all persons similarly situated, and all interested persons of rights, benefits, and privileges guaranteed to all employees under law.

- 53. Defendants' conduct, as alleged herein, constitutes unfair competition in violation of §17200 *et seq.* of the Business & Professions Code.
- 54. Defendants, by engaging in the conduct herein alleged, either knew or in the exercise of reasonable care should have known that the conduct was unlawful. As such, it is a violation of § 17200 *et seq.* of the Business & Professions Code.
- 55. As a proximate result of the above-mentioned acts of Defendants, Plaintiff and others similarly situated have been damaged in a sum as may be proven.
- 56. Unless restrained by this Court, Defendants will continue to engage in the unlawful conduct, as alleged above. Pursuant to Business & Professions Code § 17200 et seq., this Court should make such orders or judgments, including the appointment of a receiver, as may be necessary to prevent the use or employment, by Defendants, its agents, or employees, of any unlawful or deceptive practice prohibited by the Business & Professions Code, and/or, including but not limited to, disgorgement of profits which may be necessary to restore Plaintiff and members of the Class to the money Defendants have unlawfully failed to pay.

Wherefore, Plaintiff and the Class she seeks to represent request relief as described below.

RELIEF REQUESTED

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff prays for the following relief:

- 1. For compensatory damages, pursuant to Labor Code § 1194, in the amount of all unpaid wages due to Plaintiff and members of the Class;
- 2. For compensatory damages in the amount of one hour of wages for each day on which a meal and/or rest period was not properly provided to Plaintiff and Members, pursuant to Labor Code §§ 226.7 and 512;
 - 3. For penalties pursuant to Labor Code § 226(e) for Plaintiff and members of the Class;
 - 4. For penalties pursuant to Labor Code § 203 for Plaintiff and Class Members;
 - 5. For reimbursement of all expenses incurred by Plaintiff and Class Members pursuant

1	to Labor Co	de § 2802;	
2	6.	For restitution and injunctive relief for unfair competition pursuant to Business &	
3	Professions Code § 17200 et seq. for Plaintiff and Class Members;		
4	7.	An award providing for payment of costs of suit pursuant to Labor Code §§ 1194 and	
5	2802 and oth	other applicable law;	
6	8.	For injunctive relie	ef and an award of attorneys' fees pursuant to Labor Code §§ 1194
7	and 2802 and other applicable law;		
8	9.	An award of prejud	dgment and post-judgment interest; and
9	10.	Such other and fur	ther relief as this Court may deem just and proper.
10			
11	Dated: Octo	ber 10, 2022	Respectfully submitted,
12			GAINES & GAINES A Professional Law Corporation
13			Tri Toressional Zavi Corporation
14			By:
15			DANIEL F. GAINES EVAN S. GAINES
16			Attorneys for Plaintiff
17			DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL
18	Plain	ntiff hereby demands a	a trial of her claims by jury to the extent authorized by law.
19	Dated: Octo	ber 10, 2022	Respectfully submitted,
20			GAINES & GAINES
21			A Professional Law Corporation
22			By:
23			DANIEL F. GAINES
24			EVAN S. GAINES Attorneys for Plaintiff
25			
26			
27			
28			
I			

EXHIBIT C

1	DANIEL F. GAINES, ESQ. SBN 251488 daniel@gaineslawfirm.com		
2	ALEX P. KATOFSKY, ESQ. SBN 202754 alex@gaineslawfirm.com		
3	EVAN S. GAINES, ESQ. SBN 287668 evan@gaineslawfirm.com		
5	GAINES & GAINES, APLC 4550 E. Thousand Oaks Blvd., Suite 100 Westlake Village, CA 91362		
6	Telephone: (818) 703-8985 Facsimile: (818) 703-8984		
7	Attorneys for Plaintiff Cher Lee Faacks		
8	GABRIEL N. RUBIN, ESQ. SBN 241659		
9	Gabriel.Rubin@jacksonlewis.com JULIE Y. ZONG, ESQ. SBN 309804		
10	Julie.zong@jacksonlewis.com JACKSON LEWIS P.C.		
11	50 California Street, 9 th Floor San Francisco, CA 94111-4615		
12	Telephone: (415) 394-9400 Facsimile: (415) 394-9401		
13	Attorneys for Defendant StoragePro Managem	ent Inc.,	
14	erroneously sued as Storagepro, Inc.		
15	SUPERIOR COURT OF T	HE STATE OF CALIFORNIA	
16	FOR THE COU	INTY OF NEVADA	
17		CASE NO. CU10 004121	
18	CHER LEE FAACKS, individually and on behalf of all similarly situated individuals.	CASE NO: CU19-084121	
19	Plaintiff,	<u>CLASS ACTION</u>	
20	V.	FIRST AMENDED SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT AND RELEASE OF CLAIMS	
21	STORAGEPRO MANAGEMENT, INC., a California corporation, and DOES 1 through	Complaint Filed: September 13, 2019	
22	10, inclusive,	Complaint Filed. September 13, 2019	
23	Defendants.		
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			
	FIRST AMENDED SETTI EMENIT A	GREEMENT AND RELEASE OF CLAIMS	
l,	ELICITATION DELICITION A	CLEENIER FAIRE REPORTED OF CERTIFIE	

11. D

<u>SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT AND RELEASE OF CLAIMS</u>

This <u>First Amended</u> Settlement Agreement and Release of Claims ("Settlement Agreement") is made and entered into by and between Plaintiff Cher Lee Faacks ("Faacks" or "Plaintiff" or "Class Representative"), individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated, and Defendant StoragePro Management, Inc. ("Defendant"), subject to the terms and conditions herein and the Court's approval.

A. Definitions.

- 1. Plaintiff and the Settlement Class (as defined below) and Defendant are collectively referred to herein as "the Parties."
- 2. Daniel F. Gaines, Alex P. Katofsky and Evan S. Gaines of Gaines & Gaines, APLC are counsel of record for Plaintiff. For purposes of this settlement only, the firm of Gaines & Gaines, APLC shall be designated as "Class Counsel."
- 3. The "Action" means the case entitled *Cher Lee Faacks, Plaintiff, v. StoragePro Management, Inc., a California corporation, and Does 1 Through 10, Inclusive, Defendants,* "Case No. 2CU19-084121, pending before the Superior Court of the State of California for the County of Nevada.
- 4. The "Settlement Class" shall be defined as "all non-exempt employees employed by Defendant in California at any time between September 13, 2015 and October 15, 2022" (the "Class Period"). Defendant represents that this Class contains no more than 725 members who worked no more than 50,000 workweeks during the Class Period.
- 5. Members of the Settlement Class shall collectively be referred to as a "Class Member" or "Class Members." Any Class Member who files a timely request for exclusion, as detailed below, will be excluded from the final Settlement Class.

B. General.

1. On or about September 13, 2019, Plaintiff initiated the Action by filing a class action complaint, on behalf of herself and all other putative class members. Plaintiff filed a First Amended Complaint on or about November 5, 2019 which alleged representative claims for civil penalties pursuant to PAGA and class claims for equitable relief pursuant to Business & Professions Code

section 17200, premised on underlying violations of Labor Code sections 201-202, 226(a), 226.3, 226.7, 510, 512, 1194, and 2802. Plaintiff subsequently dismissed her PAGA claims without prejudice.

- 2. Extensive law and motion practice ensued relating to the validity of an arbitration agreement entered into between the Parties. The arbitration issue culminated with the Court of Appeal of the State of California, Third Appellate District, issuing a decision in case number C092404 which upheld the trial court's ruling that Plaintiff was not required to arbitrate her unfair competition claims.
- 3. On August 16, 2022, following their exchange of information regarding the Settlement Class and the claims and defenses asserted by the Parties, counsel for the Parties engaged in arm's-length negotiations with the help of mediator Brandon McKelvey, Esq., to reach the basic terms of this Settlement.
- 4. As part of the Court approval process for this class action settlement, Plaintiff shall file the Second Amended Complaint, attached hereto as Exhibit 3, which asserts direct class action claims against Defendant for (1) failure to pay all wages (Labor Code § 510 and 1194); (2) failure to provide rest periods or compensation in lieu thereof (Labor Code § 226.7; IWC Wage Order 4-2001); (3) failure to provide meal periods or compensation in lieu thereof (Labor Code §§ 226.7, and 512; IWC Wage Order 4-2001); (4) knowing and intentional failure to comply with itemized employee wage statement provisions (Labor Code §§ 226(a), (e)); (5) failure to timely pay wages due at separation of employment (Labor Code §§ 201-203); (6) failure to reimburse employee business expenses (Labor Code § 2802); and (7) violation of Business and Professions Code § 17200 (the "Second Amended Complaint").
- 5. Defendant denies any liability or wrongdoing of any kind associated with the claims alleged in the Action, and further denies that the Action is appropriate for class treatment for any purpose other than this settlement. Defendant contends that it has complied at all times with the California Labor Code. It is Defendant's position that, if this case were to be litigated, class certification would be inappropriate because Plaintiff is not an adequate class representative, Plaintiff's claims are not typical of putative class members, and individual issues predominate over

class issues. The Action, the negotiation and execution of this Settlement Agreement, and all acts performed or documents executed pursuant to or in furtherance of the Settlement Agreement (i) shall not be used as an admission or evidence of wrongdoing on behalf of Defendant; (ii) shall not be an admission or evidence of fault on behalf of Defendant in any action before a civil, criminal, or administrative agency; and (iii) shall not be deemed to be, and may not be used as, an admission or evidence of the appropriateness of these or similar claims for class certification in the Action or with respect to any other proceeding.

- 6. The Class Representative contends that Defendant violated the California Labor Code and that this case is appropriate for class certification.
- 7. Class Counsel represent that they have conducted a thorough investigation into the facts and law during the prosecution of this class action case, including the exchange of extensive informal discovery and the review and verification of statistical data and other facts and information provided by Defendant. Counsel for the Parties also represent that they have investigated the applicable law as applied to the facts discovered regarding the alleged claims of Plaintiff and potential defenses thereto, and the damages claimed by Plaintiff.
- 8. Based on their own independent investigation and evaluation, Class Counsel are of the opinion (and will so represent to the Court) that settlement for the consideration and on the terms set forth in this Settlement Agreement is fair, reasonable, and adequate and is in the best interest of the Settlement Class in light of all known facts and circumstances, including the risk of significant delay, the risk the Settlement Class will not be certified by the Court, and the defenses asserted by Defendant. Defendant and its counsel also agree (and will so represent to the Court) that this settlement is fair and in the best interest of the Settlement Class.
- 9. The Parties agree that the settlement class described herein may be certified for settlement purposes only and that any motion for approval seeking, *inter alia*, certification of the Settlement Class is for purposes of the settlement only. If for any reason the settlement is not approved, the certification will have no force or effect and will immediately be revoked. The Parties further agree that certification for purposes of the settlement is in no way an admission that class certification is proper under the more stringent standard applied for litigation and that

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

purpose in this or any other proceeding.

C. **Terms of Settlement.**

- 1. The financial terms of the settlement are as follows:
- Gross Settlement Amount: The Parties agree to settle this Action for (a) Defendant's payment of the Gross Settlement Amount of Four Hundred Forty-Nine Thousand Fifty U.S. Dollars (\$449,050.00) ("the Gross Settlement Amount"). The Gross Settlement Amount includes the attorneys' fees of Class Counsel, litigation costs and expenses (which includes, without limitation, all such fees and costs incurred to date, as well as such fees and costs to be incurred in documenting the settlement, providing any notices required as part of the settlement, securing Court approval of the settlement, and obtaining judgment in the Action), the enhancement payment to the Class Representative, as approved by the Court, and all costs of administration, including, without limitation, settlement administration fees and expenses. Defendant shall pay all employer payroll tax obligations due on wage payments made from the Net Settlement Sum in addition to, and separate and apart from, the Gross Settlement Amount.

evidence of this limited stipulation for settlement purposes only will not be admissible for any

- Net Settlement Sum: "Net Settlement Sum" is defined as the Gross (b) Settlement Amount less the amounts approved and awarded by the Court for: attorneys' fees and documented litigation costs and expenses incurred or advanced by Class Counsel, the enhancement payment to the Class Representative, and the costs of administering the settlement.
- (c) Calculation of the Individual Settlement Payments: "Individual Settlement Payments" means the portion of the Net Settlement Sum distributable to each Class Member who participates in the Settlement (i.e., who does not submit a valid request for exclusion form). The Individual Settlement Payments will be calculated by dividing the Net Settlement Sum by the total weeks worked by all participating Class Members in California in a non-exempt position during the Class Period, which will yield the applicable weekly rate. The weekly rate shall be multiplied by the number of weeks each individual participating Class Member worked for Defendant in California in a non-exempt position during the Class Period to yield their Individual

Payment Amount. Each Participating Settlement Class Member who does not opt out will receive an Individual Payment Amount.

- i. For tax purposes, each Individual Payment Amount will be apportioned (a) 20% to wages (reported on an IRS Form W-2 and subject to applicable withholdings); (b) 25% to penalties (reported on an IRS Form 1099); (c) 25% to interest (reported on an IRS Form 1099); and (d) 30% to expense reimbursement (not reported on any IRS form). All Individual Settlement Payments paid to Class Members will be subject to any applicable wage garnishments, liens, or other legally mandated treatment as required by law.
- (d) **Enhancement Payment to Class Representative**: The amount awarded to the Class Representative as an enhancement payment will be set by the Court in its discretion, not to exceed \$15,000.00. This amount will be deducted from the Gross Settlement Amount. An IRS Form 1099 will be issued to the Class Representative for her enhancement payment. Defendant agrees not to dispute or otherwise object to the enhancement payment if Plaintiff requests \$15,000 or less,
- (e) Attorneys' Fees: An award to Class Counsel of attorneys' fees will be deducted from the Gross Settlement Amount in an amount to be set by the Court taking into account the settlement award that has been made available for the Settlement Class by the efforts of Class Counsel. The amount awarded shall not exceed 40% of the Gross Settlement Amount (i.e. \$179,620.00). An IRS Form 1099 will be issued to Class Counsel with respect to its award of attorneys' fees. Defendant agrees not to dispute or otherwise object to the attorneys' fee award requested by Class Counsel so long as the request does not exceed \$179,620.
- (f) Attorneys' Costs and Expenses: Class Counsel will be reimbursed from the Gross Settlement Amount in an amount to be set by the Court for documented out-of-pocket litigation costs and expenses, not to exceed \$20,000.00. An IRS Form 1099 will be issued to Class Counsel with respect to its award of costs and expenses. Defendant agrees not to dispute or otherwise object to the attorneys' cost award requested by Class Counsel so long as the request does not exceed \$20,000.

- Settlement Administrator to administer the Settlement are expected to total no more than \$12,000, and will be paid from the Gross Settlement Amount. These fees shall include any costs associated with the required tax reporting on any Individual Settlement Payments, and the issuing of any and all W-2 and 1099 forms. Subject to approval of the Court, the Parties have agreed that Phoenix Settlement Administrators will serve as a neutral third-party claims' administrator ("Settlement Administrator") to perform all acts related to providing notice to the Settlement Class. Settlement Administrator shall be responsible for (a) printing and distributing the Court-approved Notice of Class Action Settlement ("Class Notice") to all Class Members; (b) administering the settlement; (c) processing exclusions and objections; (d) resolving disputes; (e) distributing the Gross Settlement Amount as directed by the Court and set forth herein; (f) tax reporting; (g) providing necessary weekly status reports; and (h) other duties and responsibilities set forth herein.
- (h) **No Warranty by Defendant:** Plaintiff understands and agrees that Defendant is not providing Plaintiff or Class Members with tax or legal advice and that Defendant makes no representations regarding tax obligations or consequences, if any, related to this Settlement Agreement.

D. Release of Claims.

1. Upon the Effective Date, and subject to Defendant's full payment of the Gross Settlement Amount and payroll taxes due hereunder, Plaintiff and all Settlement Class members who do not timely opt-out will be deemed to have fully released and discharged Defendant, and each of its present and former officers, directors, members, owners, managers, shareholders, agents, operators, partners, joint ventures, subsidiaries, parent companies, related entities, consultants, attorneys, successors or assigns ("Released Parties") from any and all Released Claims which arose during their employment in a non-exempt position in California during the Class Period. "Released Claims" are all claims and allegations asserted in the Second Amended Complaint, including all claims for unpaid wages, meal and rest period premiums/wages, inaccurate wage statements, failure to reimburse expenses, and waiting time penalties, including

claims arising under Labor Code sections 201, 202, 203, 226, 226.3, 226.7, 510, 512, 1194 and 2802, the applicable Industrial Wage Commission Wage Order, and Business and Professions Code section 17200 as they relate to the underlying Labor Code claims referenced above, which arose during employment in a non-exempt position in California during the Class Period. No PAGA claims are encompassed in this release, and no such claims shall be released by this Settlement.

2. Each and every Settlement Class Member who has not submitted a timely and valid opt-out request shall be permanently enjoined and forever barred from prosecuting any and all Released Claims against the Released Parties.

E. Notice and Exclusion Process.

- 1. Within twenty (20) calendar days after entry of the order granting preliminary approval of this Settlement, Defendant shall provide to the Settlement Administrator a list of all Class Members, including their last known addresses, telephone numbers, social security numbers, and their dates of employment in a non-exempt position in California during the Class Period ("Settlement Class Information"). The names, addresses, telephone numbers, and Social Security numbers will only be disclosed to the Settlement Administrator and not to Plaintiff's Counsel. All information provided to the Settlement Administrator will be marked CONFIDENTIAL. This information shall be kept confidential and shall not be disclosed, either in writing or orally, by the Settlement Administrator. The Settlement Administrator shall use due care with respect to the storage, custody, use, and/or dissemination of the confidential information. Such information must be stored in a secure fashion and all persons who access the data must agree to keep it confidential.
- 2. A notice of pendency of class action, proposed settlement and hearing date for Court approval ("Class Notice") in the form attached hereto as Exhibit 1, and as approved by the Court, shall be sent by the Settlement Administrator to the Class Members, by first class mail, within thirty (30) calendar days after entry of the order granting preliminary approval. Attached to the Class Notice will be a request for exclusion form ("Request for Exclusion Form") in the form attached hereto as Exhibit 2.

- 3. The Settlement Administrator will make reasonable efforts to ensure that the Class Notice and Request for Exclusion Form are sent to all Class Members. It will conclusively be presumed that if an envelope has not been returned within forty-five (45) days of the mailing that the Class Member received the Class Notice. In the event of returned or non-deliverable notices, the Settlement Administrator will make reasonable efforts to locate Class Members and re-send the notices.
- 4. Each Class Member will be fully advised of the settlement, the ability to object to the settlement, and the ability to submit a Request for Exclusion Form. The Class Notice will inform the Class Members of the Court-established deadlines for filing objections and a Request for Exclusion Form.
- 5. Each Class Notice will contain personalized information setting forth the number of weeks each Class Member worked for Defendant in California in a non-exempt position during the Class Period and their estimated Individual Payment Amount. To the extent a Class Member disputes any of the information listed on his or her Class Notice, the Class Member may produce evidence to the Settlement Administrator showing such information the Class Member contends should be reflected in the Class Notice. Defendant's records will be presumed determinative, however, and the Settlement Administrator's decision on these matters will be final. The Class Notice will also set forth IRS W-9 information if required.
- 6. All Class Members who do not submit a Request for Exclusion Form will be eligible to receive an Individual Payment Amount, which shall be mailed to them if and when the Effective Date occurs.
- 7. In order to elect not to participate in the Settlement, a Class Member must submit a Request for Exclusion Form and mail it to the Settlement Administrator no later than forty-five (45) calendar days after the initial mailing of the Class Notice and Request for Exclusion Form to Class Members, unless the Court requires a longer period, in which case the Court ordered exclusion period will apply. The date of the postmark shall be deemed the date of submission. The timeliness of submitted Request for Exclusion Forms will be determined by valid postmark. If the 45th day

falls on a Sunday or federal holiday, the time to request exclusion will be extended to the next day on which the U.S. Postal Service is open.

- 8. The deadline for submission of Exclusion Forms shall be extended once by 30 days for those Class Members whose Class Notice and Exclusion Form are returned as "undeliverable." If the 30th day falls on a Sunday or federal holiday, this deadline will be extended to the next day on which the U.S. Postal Service is open.
- 9. The Settlement Administrator will search for additional addresses on returned mail and will re-mail the Class Notice and Exclusion Form to an updated address (if any) within 15 days of receipt of the returned mail. The 30-day extended time limit will run from the date of the second mailing for those Class Members. To the extent a Class Notice from the initial mailing is not returned within 30 days, it shall be deemed to have been sent to a valid address even if it is thereafter returned. It is the intent of the Parties that reasonable, but not extraordinary, efforts be used to locate Class Members. If the initial Class Notice and Request for Exclusion Form is returned, the Settlement Administrator will search using the social security number for a more current address. If no address is found within 10 days, no further action is required.
- 10. The Settlement Administrator will notify the Parties of the total number of valid Request for Exclusion Forms within ten (10) calendar days after the deadline for receipt of the Request for Exclusion Forms (fifty-five (55) days following the initial mailing of the Class Notice and Request for Exclusion Forms to Class Members).
- 11. In order to object to the settlement, a Class Member must mail his or her objection to the Settlement Administrator no later than forty-five (45) calendar days after the mailing of the Class Notice and Request for Exclusion Form to Class Members, unless the Court requires a longer period, in which case the Court ordered objection period will apply. If the 45th day falls on a Sunday or federal holiday, the time to object to the settlement will be extended to the next day on which the U.S. Postal Service is open. Under no circumstances shall the objection deadline be extended for any reason.
- 12. The Settlement Administrator shall provide to the Parties, at least twelve (12) calendar days prior to the final approval hearing, or as otherwise ordered by the Court, a declaration

of due diligence and proof of mailing with regard to the mailing of the Class Notice and Request for Exclusion Forms. The Settlement Administrator will also provide to the Parties, at least twelve (12) calendar days prior to the final approval hearing, or as otherwise ordered by the Court, a report listing the amount of all payments to be made to each Class Member without names or personal identifying information.

- 13. Defendant shall deposit the Gross Settlement Amount, plus all payroll taxes due hereunder, with the Settlement Administrator no later than ten (10) calendar days after the Effective Date. The effective date of this Settlement Agreement ("Effective Date") is the date the Court signs an order granting final approval of the settlement and entering judgment thereon. If objections to the settlement are filed and not withdrawn, the Effective Date of the settlement is the sixty-fifth (65th) day after notice of the final approval order is mailed, unless an appeal is filed, in which case the Effective Date is the day after (a) the settlement is upheld by the Court of Appeals, or (b) all appeals are dismissed.
- 14. The Settlement Administrator shall disburse the Gross Settlement Amount within ten (10) calendar days after its receipt thereof. Class Members must cash each of their Individual Payment Amount checks within one hundred eighty (180) calendar days after they are mailed by the Settlement Administrator. The value of any checks uncashed more than one hundred eighty (180) days after mailing shall be paid to the State of California State Controller's Office, to be held in the name of the respective Class Member.
- website to post information of interest to Class Members including the date, time, and location of the Final Approval Hearing and copies of the Settlement Agreement, Motion for Preliminary Approval, the Preliminary Approval Order, the Class Notice, the Motion for Final Approval, the Motion for Class Counsel Fees Payment, Class Counsel Litigation Expenses Payment and Class Representative Service Payment, the Final Approval Order and the Judgment. These documents will remain online for no fewer than one year after the entry of the Judgment.

FIRST AMENDED SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT AND RELEASE OF CLAIMS

2. Class Counsel shall file an application for attorneys' fees and reimbursement of costs and expenses no later than ten (10) calendar days prior to the scheduled date of the hearing on final approval (unless otherwise ordered by the Court).

H. Miscellaneous Provisions:

1. Voiding the Agreement.

A failure of the Court to approve any material condition of this Settlement Agreement which effects "a fundamental change of the Parties' settlement," or if the settlement is reversed or materially modified on appellate review, shall render the entire Stipulation of Settlement voidable and unenforceable as to all Parties herein at the option of any Party.

2. Parties' Authority.

The signatories hereto represent that they are fully authorized to enter into this Settlement Agreement and bind the Parties hereto to the terms and conditions hereof.

3. Mutual Full Cooperation.

The Parties agree to fully cooperate with each other to accomplish the terms of this Stipulation of Settlement, including but not limited to, execution of such documents and such other action as may reasonably be necessary to implement the terms of this Settlement Agreement. The Parties to this Settlement Agreement shall use their best efforts, including all efforts contemplated by this Settlement Agreement and any other efforts that may become necessary by order of the Court, or otherwise, to effectuate this Settlement Agreement and the terms set forth herein. As soon as practicable after execution of this Settlement Agreement, Class Counsel shall, with the assistance and cooperation of Defendant and its counsel, take all necessary steps to secure the Court's preliminary and final approval of the settlement.

4. No Prior Assignments.

The Parties hereto represent, covenant, and warrant that they have not directly or indirectly, assigned, transferred, encumbered, or purported to assign, transfer, or encumber to any person or entity any portion of any liability, claim, demand, action, cause of action or rights released and discharged by this Stipulation of Settlement.

///

5. No Admission.

Nothing contained herein, nor the consummation of this Stipulation of Settlement, is to be construed or deemed an admission of liability, culpability, negligence, or wrongdoing on the part of Defendant or any of the other Released Parties. Each of the Parties hereto has entered into this Settlement Agreement with the intention of avoiding further disputes and litigation with the attendant inconvenience and expenses. This Settlement Agreement is a settlement document and shall, pursuant to California Evidence Code section 1152 and/or Federal Rule of Evidence 408 and/or any other similar law, be inadmissible in evidence in any proceeding, except an action or proceeding to approve the settlement, and/or interpret or enforce this Settlement Agreement.

777

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

6. Notices.

Unless otherwise specifically provided herein, all notices, demands or other communications given hereunder shall be in writing and shall be deemed to have been duly given as of the third business day after mailing by United States registered or certified mail, return receipt requested, addressed:

To Plaintiff and the Settlement Class:

Daniel F. Gaines, Esq. Alex P. Katofsky, Esq. Evan S. Gaines, Esq. GAINES & GAINES, APLC 4550 E. Thousand Oaks Blvd., Suite 100 Westlake Village, CA 91362

To Defendant:

Gabriel N. Rubin, Esq. Julie Y. Zong, Esq. JACKSON LEWIS P.C. 50 California Street, 9th Floor San Francisco, CA 94111-4615

7. Construction.

The Parties hereto agree that the terms and conditions of this Settlement Agreement are the result of lengthy, intensive arms' length negotiations between the Parties and that this Settlement

27

Agreement shall not be construed in favor of or against any Party by reason of the extent to which any Party or his or its counsel participated in the drafting of this Settlement Agreement.

8. Captions and Interpretations.

Paragraph titles or captions contained herein are inserted as a matter of convenience and for reference, and in no way define, limit, extend, or describe the scope of this Settlement Agreement or any provision hereof. Each term of this Settlement Agreement is contractual and not merely a recital.

9. **Modification.**

This Settlement Agreement may not be changed, altered, or modified, except in writing and signed by the Parties hereto, and approved by the Court. This Settlement Agreement may not be discharged except by performance in accordance with its terms or by a writing signed by each of the Parties hereto on their attorneys.

10. **Integration Clause.**

This Settlement Agreement contains the entire agreement between the Parties relating to the settlement and transactions contemplated hereby, and all prior or contemporaneous agreements, understandings, representations, and statements, whether oral or written and whether by a Party or such Party's legal counsel, are merged herein. No rights hereunder may be waived except in writing.

11. **Binding on Assigns.**

This Settlement Agreement shall be binding upon and inure to the benefit of the Parties hereto and their respective heirs, trustees, executors, administrators, successors and assigns.

12. **Governing Law.**

All terms of this Settlement Agreement and its exhibits shall be governed by and interpreted according to the laws of the State of California, without giving effect to any conflict of law principles or choice of law principles.

13. Signatures of All Class Members Unnecessary to be Binding.

It is agreed that, because the members of the Settlement Class are numerous, it is impossible or impractical to have each Class Member execute this Settlement Agreement. The Class Notice,

attached hereto as Exhibit 1, will advise all Class Members of the binding nature of the release provided herein and such shall have the same force and effect as if this Settlement Agreement was executed by each Class Member.

14. Counterparts.

This Settlement Agreement may be executed in counterparts, and when each Party has signed and delivered at least one such counterpart, each counterpart shall be deemed an original, and when taken together with other signed counterparts, shall constitute one fully-signed Settlement Agreement, which shall be binding upon and effective as to all Parties.

15. Confidentiality

Until Plaintiff files her motion for preliminary approval of the class action settlement, the Parties and their Counsel agree to maintain confidentiality as to the Settlement, including the amount and terms of the Settlement, except as to spouses, tax or financial advisors, attorneys, taxing agencies, or as otherwise required by law.

16. **No Publicity.**

Plaintiff and her Counsel will not contact the media about the settlement or respond to any inquiries by the media regarding the Settlement, other than to state that the matter was amicably settled, and the Court did not find Defendant liable. Plaintiff and her Counsel also will not post any information about the settlement on social media or their firms' websites.

17. Escalator Provision re: Representation Regarding Class Size.

Defendant has represented to Plaintiff and her counsel that the Settlement Class includes no more than 725 individuals who worked no more than 50,000 workweeks during the Class Period. Should the final total of Class Members exceed 725 and/or the final total of workweeks exceed 50,000 by five (5) percent or more, then Plaintiff may terminate this Agreement unless Defendant agrees to increase the Gross Settlement Amount on a pro rata basis based on the proportionate increase (with the larger percentage increase controlling).

18. Election to Vacate the Settlement

1	If more than ten percent (10%) of the C	lass Members collectively timely request exclusion,
2	Defendant shall have the option, in its sole disc	cretion, and notwithstanding any other provisions of
3	this Agreement, to withdraw from the Agreen	nent in its entirety, whereupon the Agreement shall
4	be null and void for any and all purposes. It	Defendant elects to exercise its rights under this
5	provision, it will so notify Class Counsel and	the Court in writing no later than ten (10) business
6	days after receiving written notice via overnigl	nt delivery from the Settlement Administrator of the
7	number of opt-outs.	
8		
9		
10		
11	Dated: December, 2022June,	Ву:
12	<u>2023</u>	CHER LEE FAACKS
13		Plaintiff and Class Representative
14	D 4 1 D 1 20221	
15	Dated: December , <u>2022June</u> , <u>2023</u>	STORAGEPRO MANAGEMENT, INC. By:
16		Its:
17		
18	APPROVED AS TO FORM AND CONTEN	NT:
19	Dated: December, 2022June,	GAINES & GAINES, APLC
20	<u>2023</u>	By:
21		Daniel F. Gaines, Esq. Alex P. Katofsky, Esq.
22		Counsel for Plaintiff and Class
23		Representative
24	Dated: December , 2022 June ,	JACKSON LEWIS P.C.
25	2023	
26		By:
27		Julie Y. Zong, Esq. Counsel for Defendant
28		- 17 -
	FIRST AMENDED SETTLEMENT A	AGREEMENT AND RELEASE OF CLAIMS

EXHIBIT 1

SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA FOR THE COUNTY OF NEVADA

CHER LEE FAACKS, individually and on behalf of all similarly situated individuals.

Plaintiff,

v.

STORAGEPRO MANAGEMENT, INC. , a California corporation, and DOES 1 through 10, inclusive,

Defendants.

CASE NO: CU19-084121

NOTICE OF PENDENCY OF CLASS ACTION SETTLEMENT AND FINAL HEARING

YOU MAY BE ENTITLED TO RECEIVE MONEY FROM A SETTLEMENT PLEASE READ THIS NOTICE CAREFULLY. YOUR RIGHTS MAY BE AFFECTED.

A California court authorized this notice. This is not a solicitation from a lawyer.

- A settlement will provide \$449,050 to pay claims to all current and former non-exempt California employees of Defendant StoragePro Management, Inc. ("Defendant") employed from September 13, 2015 through October 15, 2022.
- The settlement resolves a lawsuit over whether Defendant failed to pay all wages; provide meal and rest periods or compensation in lieu thereof; timely issued wages upon separation of employment; issued accurate and complete wage statements; and failed to reimburse employee business expenses. It avoids costs and risks to you from continuing the lawsuit; pays money to employees; and releases Defendant from liability from the claims asserted in this lawsuit.
- Lawyers for the employees will ask the Court to award them up to \$179,620 as attorneys' fees and \$20,000 as expenses for investigating the facts, litigating the case, and negotiating the settlement. This will be paid from the settlement amount.
- Defendant denies liability and the Parties disagree on how much money (if any) could have been awarded if employees won at trial.

YOUR LEGAL RIGHTS AND OPTIONS IN THIS SETTLEMENT:		
Do Nothing	You do not need to take any action if you wish to receive your settlement payment. If the settlement is approved by the Court, you will automatically be mailed a settlement check at the address on file with the Settlement Administrator. If you move, you must notify the Settlement Administrator of your new address.	
Exclude Yourself	Get no payment. This is the only option that allows you to ever be part of any other lawsuit against Arm and J Corporation about the legal claims that were brought in this case.	
Object	Write to the Court about why you don't like the settlement.	
Go to a Hearing	Ask to speak in Court about the fairness of the settlement.	

WHY DID YOU RECEIVE THIS NOTICE?

This notice explains a proposed settlement of a class action lawsuit, and informs you of your legal rights under that proposed settlement. You are receiving this notice because you may be a member of the Class on whose behalf this class action lawsuit has been brought.

WHAT IS THIS LAWSUIT ABOUT?

On September 13, 2019, Plaintiff Cher Lee Faacks filed this lawsuit in the Nevada County Superior Court. The lawsuit, as amended, alleges violations of the California Labor Code and Business & Professions Code. The Lawsuit seeks to certify a class of all current and former non-exempt California employees of Defendant employed from September 13, 2015 through October 15, 2022 ("Class Period"). The lawsuit alleges that members of the Class were not paid all wages; were not provided all meal and rest periods or compensation in lieu thereof; were not timely issued wages upon separation of employment; were not issued accurate and complete wage statements; and were not reimbursed for all business expenses they incurred. It seeks recovery of wages, damages, interest, statutory and civil penalties, attorneys' fees and costs. Defendant denies all of the material allegations in the Lawsuit.

The lawyers for the parties are:

Plaintiff's Attorneys
Daniel F. Gaines, Esq.
Alex P. Katofsky, Esq.
Evan S. Gaines, Esq.
GAINES & GAINES, APLC
4550 E. Thousand Oaks Boulevard, Suite 100

Westlake Village, CA 91362 Phone: (866) 550-0855 Defendant's Attorneys
Gabriel N. Rubin, Esq.
Julie Y. Zong
JACKSON LEWIS P.C.
50 California Street, 9th Floor
San Francisco, CA 94111-4615

SUMMARY OF THE SETTLEMENT

A. Why is there a Settlement?

The Court did not decide in favor of Plaintiff or Defendant. Plaintiff believes she would have prevailed on her claims at a trial. Defendant does not believe that Plaintiff would have won anything from a trial. But there was no trial. Instead, both sides agreed to a settlement. That way, they all avoid the costs, risks, and uncertainty of a trial, and the people affected will get compensation. Plaintiff and Plaintiff's Attorneys think the settlement is fair, reasonable and adequate and in the best interests of all Class members.

B. Who is in the Settlement Class?

The Settlement encompasses a class composed of all current and former non-exempt California employees of Defendant employed from September 13, 2015 through October 15, 2022.

C. What does the Settlement provide?

1. Gross Settlement Amount.

Defendant will pay \$449,050 (the "Gross Settlement Amount") to settle the lawsuit. The following sums will be paid from the Gross Settlement Amount: (1) Class Counsel's attorneys' fees in an amount set by the Court not to exceed \$179,620 and Class Counsel's documented litigation costs in an amount set by the Court not to exceed \$20,000; (2) an enhancement payment to the Class Representative set by the court, not to exceed \$15,000, for her service in the Action; and (3) a reasonable amount set by the Court to the settlement administrator for administering the settlement, not to exceed \$12,000. The amount of the Gross Settlement Amount remaining after these payments is the "Net Settlement Sum."

2. Individual Settlement Payments.

Your share of the Net Settlement Sum will be determined by the formula detailed in section E below.

D. What Are You Giving Up To Get A Payment Or Stay In The Class?

Upon the Effective Date, and subject to Defendant's full payment of the Gross Settlement Amount and payroll taxes due hereunder, Plaintiff and all Settlement Class members who do not timely opt-out will be deemed to have fully released and discharged Defendant, and each of its present and former officers, directors, members, owners, managers, shareholders, agents, operators, partners, joint ventures, subsidiaries, parent companies, related entities, consultants, attorneys, successors or assigns ("Released Parties") from any and all Released Claims which arose during their employment in a non-exempt position in California during the Class Period.

"Released Claims" are all claims and allegations asserted in the Second Amended Complaint, including all claims for unpaid wages, meal and rest period premiums/wages, inaccurate wage statements, failure to reimburse expenses, and waiting time penalties, including claims arising under Labor Code sections 201, 202, 203, 226, 226.3, 226.7, 510, 512, 1194 and 2802, the applicable Industrial Wage Commission Wage Order, and Business and Professions Code section 17200 as they relate to the

underlying Labor Code claims referenced above, which arose during employment in a non-exempt position in California during the Class Period. No PAGA claims are encompassed in this release, and no such claims shall be released by this Settlement.

E. How Is My Share Of The Settlement Calculated?

Each participating claimant (those who do not opt out of the Settlement) shall receive an "Individual Settlement Payment," which is a portion of the Net Settlement Sum distributable to each Class Member who participates in the Settlement (i.e., who does not submit a valid request for exclusion form).

The Individual Settlement Payment will be calculated by dividing the Net Settlement Sum by the total weeks worked by all participating Class Members in California in a non-exempt position during the Class Period, which will yield the applicable weekly rate. The weekly rate shall be multiplied by the number of weeks each individual participating Class Member worked for Defendant in California in a non-exempt position during the Class Period to yield their Individual Settlement Payment. Each Participating Settlement Class Member who does not opt out will receive an Individual Settlement Payment.

For tax purposes, each Individual Settlement Payment will be allocated (a) 20% to wages (reported on an IRS Form W-2 and subject to applicable withholdings); (b) 25% to penalties (reported on an IRS Form 1099); (c) 25% to interest (reported on an IRS Form 1099); and (d) 30% to expense reimbursement (not reported on any IRS form).

All Individual Settlement Payment paid to Class Members will be subject to any applicable wage garnishments, liens, or other legally mandated treatment as required by law.

According to the records of Defendant, you worked [____] weeks while employed in a non-exempt position in California from September 13, 2015 through October 15, 2022. Based on these weeks worked, you are entitled to an Individual Settlement Payment of approximately \$[____]. This amount is subject to change based on the final ruling of the Court.

Please be advised that the individual data above is presumed to be correct unless you submit documentation proving otherwise. If you disagree with the data, please submit an explanation and evidence in support of your position to the Settlement Administrator no later than ______. In the event of a dispute, the Settlement Administrator will resolve the challenge with input from the Defendant and will make a final and binding determination without a hearing or right of appeal by you.

THE SETTLEMENT HEARING

The Court will conduct a final fairness hearing regarding the proposed settlement (the "Final Settlement Hearing") on ______, at______ a.m., in Courtroom 6 of the Nevada County Superior Court, located at 201 Church Street, Nevada City, California 95959. The Court will determine: (i) whether the lawsuit should finally be certified as a class action for settlement purposes; (ii) whether the settlement should be given the Court's final approval as fair, reasonable, adequate and in the best interests of the Settlement Class Members; (iii) whether the Settlement Class Members should be bound by the terms of the settlement; (iv) the amount of the attorneys' fees and costs to be awarded to Plaintiff's Attorneys; and (v) the amount that should be awarded to Plaintiff as a service payment. At the Final Settlement Hearing, the Court will hear all properly filed objections, as well as arguments for and against the proposed settlement. You have a right to attend this hearing, but you are not required to do so. You also have the right to hire an attorney to represent you, or to enter an appearance and represent yourself.

It's possible the Court will reschedule the Final Approval Hearing. You should check the Administrator's website [www.etc.] beforehand or contact Class Counsel to verify the date and time of the Final Approval Hearing.

WHAT ARE YOUR OPTIONS?

• OPTION 1 – DO NOTHING AND PARTICIPATE IN THE SETTLEMENT

IF YOU TAKE NO ACTION IN RESPONSE TO THIS NOTICE, YOU WILL AUTOMATICALLY RECEIVE YOUR SHARE OF THE SETTLEMENT IF IT IS APPROVED BY THE COURT. YOU ARE NEVER REQUIRED TO GO TO COURT OR PAY ANYTHING TO THE LAWYERS IN THIS CASE. If you move, you must update your address with the Settlement Administrator. If you disagree with pre-printed data indicated in section E above, you must submit an explanation and/or documentation to the Settlement Administrator to justify your position, postmarked no later than . The Settlement Administrator's address is [Settlement Administrator address].

• OPTION 2 – OBJECT TO THE SETTLEMENT

If you wish to remain a Settlement Class Member, but you object to the proposed settlement (or any of its terms) and wish the Court to consider your objection at the Final Settlement Hearing, you may object to the proposed settlement in writing. You may also appear at the Final Approval Hearing, either in person or through an attorney at your own expense. All written objections, supporting papers, and/or notices of intent to appear at the Final Approval Hearing must clearly identify the case name and number and be mailed to the Settlement Administrator at [Settlement Administrator address]. Objections must be postmarked no later than

• OPTION 3 – EXCLUDE YOURSELF FROM THE SETTLEMENT

You have a right to exclude yourself ("opt out") from the Settlement Class, but if you choose to do so, you will not receive any benefits from the proposed settlement. You will not be bound by a judgment in this case and you will have the right to file your own lawsuit against the Defendant and pursue your own claims in a separate suit. You can opt out of the Class by completely filling out and mailing the enclosed Request for Exclusion Form to the Settlement Administrator at the above-stated address, such that it is postmarked no later than

ARE THERE MORE DETAILS ABOUT THE SETTLEMENT?

The above is a summary of the basic terms of the settlement. For the precise terms and conditions of the settlement, you should review the detailed "Settlement Agreement and Release of Claims" which is on file with the Clerk of the Court. The pleadings and other records in the Lawsuit may be examined." The easiest way to read the Agreement, the Judgment, or any other Settlement documents is to go to the Administrator's website at [URL of website]. The relevant Settlement documents will remain on the Administrator's website for no fewer than one year after the entry of Judgment. You can also examine the pleadings and other records in the Lawsuit at any time during regular business hours at the Office of the Clerk of the Nevada County Superior Court, located at 201 Church Street, Nevada City, CA 95959.

IF YOU NEED MORE INFORMATION OR HAVE ANY QUESTIONS, you may contact the Settlement Administrator at [address], [telephone number]. Reference the StoragePro Management Class Action Settlement.

PLEASE DO NOT TELEPHONE THE COURT FOR INFORMATION ABOUT THIS SETTLEMENT OR THE CLAIMS PROCESS.

BY ORDER OF THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA