[PROPOSED] FINAL APPROVAL ORDER AND JUDGMENT

This matter has come before the Honorable Geroge J. Abdallah in Department 10A of the San Joaquin County Superior Court, located at Stockton Courthouse, on October 17, 2023 at 9:00 a.m. for Plaintiffs' Motion for Final Approval of Class Action Settlement, Attorneys' Fees and Costs, and Enhancement Awards ("Motion for Final Approval"). Lawyers *for* Justice, PC appeared on behalf of Plaintiffs Matilde Murillo and Valerie Cantu (together, the "Plaintiffs"), individually and as Class Representatives, and Fisher & Phillips LLP appeared on behalf of Defendant S&D Tarr Pitt LLC, dba Manteca Grocery Outlet ("Defendant").

On June 23, 2023, the Court entered the Order Granting Preliminary Approval of Class Action Settlement ("Preliminary Approval Order"), thereby preliminarily approving the settlement of the above-entitled action ("Action") in accordance with the Class Action Settlement Agreement ("Settlement," "Agreement," or "Settlement Agreement"), which, together with the exhibits annexed thereto, set forth the terms and conditions for settlement of the Action.

Having reviewed the Settlement Agreement and duly considered the parties' papers and oral argument, and good cause appearing,

THE COURT HEREBY ORDERS, ADJUDGES, AND DECREES AS FOLLOWS:

- All terms used herein shall have the same meaning as defined in the Settlement Agreement and the Preliminary Approval Order.
- 2. This Court has jurisdiction over the claims of the Class Members asserted in this proceeding and over all parties to the Action.
- 3. The Court finds that the applicable requirements of California Code of Civil Procedure section 382 and California Rule of Court 3.769, *et seq.* have been satisfied with respect to the Class and the Settlement. The Court hereby makes final its earlier provisional certification of the Class for settlement purposes, as set forth in the Preliminary Approval Order. The Class is hereby defined to include:

All current and former hourly-paid or non-exempt individuals employed by Defendant within the State of California at any time during the time period between August 2, 2014, and September 10, 2019 ("Settlement Class" or "Settlement Class Members").

- 4. The Notice of Class Action and Proposed Settlement ("Class Notice") that was provided to the Settlement Class Members, fully and accurately informed the Settlement Class Members of all material elements of the Settlement and of their opportunity to participate in, object to or comment thereon, or to seek exclusion from, the Settlement; was the best notice practicable under the circumstances; was valid, due, and sufficient notice to all Settlement Class Members; and complied fully with the laws of the State of California, the United States Constitution, due process and other applicable law. The Class Notice fairly and adequately described the Settlement and provided the Settlement Class Members with adequate instructions and a variety of means to obtain additional information.
- 5. Pursuant to California law, the Court hereby grants final approval of the Settlement and finds that it is reasonable and adequate, and in the best interests of the Class as a whole. More specifically, the Court finds that the Settlement was reached following meaningful discovery and investigation conducted by Lawyers *for* Justice, PC ("Class Counsel"); that the Settlement is the result of serious, informed, adversarial, and arms-length negotiations between the parties; and that the terms of the Settlement are in all respects fair, adequate, and reasonable. In so finding, the Court has considered all of the evidence presented, including evidence regarding the strength of Plaintiffs' claims; the risk, expense, and complexity of the claims presented; the likely duration of further litigation; the amount offered in the Settlement; the extent of investigation and discovery completed; and the experience and views of Class Counsel. The Court has further considered the absence of objections to and requests for exclusion from the Settlement submitted by Settlement Class Members. Accordingly, the Court hereby directs that the Settlement be affected in accordance with the Settlement Agreement and the following terms and conditions.
- 6. A full opportunity has been afforded to the Settlement Class Members to participate in the Final Approval Hearing, and all Settlement Class Members and other persons wishing to be heard have been heard. The Settlement Class Members also have had a full and fair opportunity to exclude themselves from the Settlement. Accordingly, the Court determines that all Settlement Class Members who did not timely and validly opt out of the Settlement ("Participating Class Member") are bound by this Final Approval Order and Judgment.

- 7. The Court finds that payment of settlement administration costs in the amount of \$6,000.00 is appropriate for the services performed and costs incurred and to be incurred for the notice and settlement administration process. It is hereby ordered that the Settlement Administrator, Phoenix Settlement Administrators shall issue payment to itself in the amount of \$6,000.00, in accordance with the terms and methodology set forth in Settlement Agreement.
- 8. The Court finds that the enhancement awards sought are fair and reasonable for the work performed by Plaintiffs on behalf of the Class. It is hereby ordered that the Settlement Administrator issue payments in the amount of \$7,500.00 each to Plaintiffs Matilde Murillo and Valerie Cantu, for a total of \$15,000, for their enhancement awards, according to the terms and methodology set forth in the Settlement Agreement.
- 9. The Court finds that the request for attorneys' fees in the amount of \$64,750.00 to Class Counsel falls within the range of reasonableness, and the results achieved justify the award sought. The requested attorneys' fees to Class Counsel are fair, reasonable, and appropriate, and are hereby approved. It is hereby ordered that the Settlement Administrator issue payment in the amount of \$64,750.00 to Class Counsel for attorneys' fees, in accordance with the terms and methodology set forth in the Settlement Agreement.
- 10. The Court finds that reimbursement of litigation costs and expenses in the amount of \$12,981.52 to Class Counsel is reasonable, and hereby approved. It is hereby ordered that the Settlement Administrator issue payment in the amount of \$12,981.52 to Class Counsel for reimbursement of litigation costs and expenses, in accordance with the terms and methodology set forth in the Settlement Agreement.
- 11. The Court hereby enters Judgment by which Participating Class Members shall be conclusively determined to have given a release of any and all Released Claims against the Released Parties, as set forth in the Settlement Agreement and Class Notice.
- 12. It is hereby ordered that Defendant shall deposit the Settlement Sum, in the amount of \$185,000.00, into an account established by the Settlement Administrator no later than fourteen (14) days after the entry of this Effective Date order.

- 13. It is hereby ordered that the Settlement Administrator shall provide the parties the final calculations of settlement payments to Participating Class Members no later than seven (7) calendar days after the Administrator receives payment of the Settlement Sum.
- 14. It is hereby ordered that the Settlement Administrator mail to Participating Class Members their *pro-rata* share of the Net Settlement Amount, within seven (7) calendar days after the final calculations are distributed by the Administrator and upon approval by the parties of the final distribution amounts, according to the methodology and terms set forth in the Settlement Agreement.
- 15. It is hereby ordered that the Settlement Administrator shall distribute the attorneys' fees and costs to Class Counsel, the enhancement awards to Plaintiffs, and the settlement administration costs to the Administrator, within seven (7) calendar days after the final calculations are distributed by the Administrator, according to the methodology and terms set forth in the Settlement Agreement.
- Settlement Amount shall be valid for a period of one hundred and twenty (120) days from the date of issuance of the check, and after this time period, the check(s) shall be cancelled. The funds associated with checks issued to Participating Class Members that have not been cashed or deposited within the 120-day period will be paid out in accordance with California Code of Civil Procedure section 384(b) to the Voluntary Legal Services Program Employment Law Clinic located in Sacramento, California as the designated *cy pres* beneficiary within one hundred thirty (130) calendar days after the Administrator mails Participating Class Members their pro-rata share of the Net Settlement Amount and after the Court enters an order approving the Parties' stipulation to amend the judgment.
- 17. After entry of this Final Approval Order and Judgment, pursuant to California Rules of Court, Rule 3.769(h), the Court shall retain jurisdiction to construe, interpret, implement, and enforce the Settlement Agreement and this Final Approval Order and Judgment, to hear and resolve any contested challenge to a claim for settlement benefits, and to supervise and adjudicate any dispute arising from or in connection with the distribution of settlement benefits.

- 1			
1	18. Notice of entry of this Final Approval Order and Judgment shall be given to the		
2	Settlement Class Members by posting a copy of the Final Approval Order and Judgment on		
3	Settlement Administrator's website for a period of at least sixty (60) calendar days after the date		
4	of entry of this Final Approval Order and Judgment. Individualized notice is not required.		
5			
6	Dated:	OCT 1 8 2023	GEORGE J. ABDALLAH, JR.
7	Dated.		HONORABLE GEORGE J. ABDALLAH JUDGE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT
8			
9			
10			
11			
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17 18			
19	i i		
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			