FILED Superior Court of California County of Los Angeles 08/23/2023

David W. Slayton,	Executive Officer	/ Clerk of Cour
Bv:	A. He	Deputy

SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA FOR THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

LUIS FIGUEROA, on behalf of himself, all others similarly situated, and on behalf of the general public,) Case No.: 19STCV26348)) [PROPOSED] JUDGMENT
Plaintiff,))) Judge: Honorable Stuart M. Rice) Dept.: 1
THRIFTY PAYLESS, INC. and DOES 1-100, Defendants.	Filed: July 29, 2019 Trial: None Set
)))

TO ALL PARTIES AND THEIR RESPECTIVE COUNSEL OF RECORD:

The Court, having granted final approval of the Class Action and Private Attorneys General Act Settlement Agreement and Release (the "Agreement" or "Settlement Agreement"), as set forth in the Court's August 23, 2023, Order Granting Plaintiff Luis Figueroa's Motion for Final Approval of Class and PAGA Settlement, Attorneys' Fees and Costs, Service Award Payment, Administration Costs, LWDA Payment, and Entering of Final Judgment (the "Final Approval Order"),

HEREBY ORDERS, ADJUDGES AND DECREES that this document shall constitute a Judgment for purposes of Code of Civil Procedure sections 577, 904.1(a), and Rules 3.769(h), and 8.104 California Rules of Court. Judgment in this matter is entered in accordance with the findings made in the Final Approval Order and the Settlement Agreement, which are incorporated herein by this reference as though set forth in full. Unless otherwise provided herein, all capitalized terms used herein shall have the same meaning as defined in the Settlement Agreement. The Court further directs as follows:

- Unless otherwise defined differently in this Order, all capitalized terms used in this
 Order have the same meaning as they are specifically defined in the Settlement
 Agreement.
- Plaintiff/Class Representative and all Class Members shall take nothing from Defendant except as expressly set forth in the Settlement Agreement filed on January 30, 2023, in conjunction with Plaintiff's Motion for Preliminary Approval of the Class Action Settlement.
- 3. Within thirty (30) calendar days following the entry of a Judgment finally approving the Settlement Agreement, Defendant Thrifty Payless, Inc. ("Defendant") is ordered to deliver to the Settlement Administrator the Gross Settlement Amount of \$800,000, which shall be deposited by the Settlement Administrator into the account established by the Settlement Administrator for administration of the Settlement Agreement.
- 4. Within ten (10) business days after Defendants' delivery of the Gross Settlement Amount, the Settlement Administrator shall issue payment in accordance with the Court's Final Approval Order and as set forth below.
- 5. Attorneys' fees in the amount of \$264,000 are to be paid to Mara Law Firm, PC ("Class

- Counsel") from the Gross Settlement Amount for the work done and to be done until the completion of this matter.
- 6. Attorneys' litigation costs in the amount of \$14,931.59 are to be paid to Class Counsel from the Gross Settlement Amount for actual and necessary costs incurred.
- 7. The Settlement Administrator, Phoenix Settlement Administrators, shall issue itself a payment of \$7,500, from the Gross Settlement Amount for its work done and to be done until the completion of its administration of the Settlement Agreement.
- 8. Plaintiff is hereby approved as the Class Representative and shall receive a Service ÅÏ €
 Payment Award in the sum of \$10,000, with no deductions.
- 9. The employer-side payroll taxes associated with the portion of the settlement attributed to wages shall be paid by Defendant separate and apart from the Gross Settlement Amount.
- 10. The remaining Net Settlement Amount shall be distributed by the Settlement Administrator to the Participating Class Members in the manner specified in the Settlement Agreement.
- 11. This Judgment is final and binding on Participating Class Members.
- 12. By operation of this Judgment, Participating Class Members fully release and discharge Defendant and the Released Parties from any and all claims, debts, liabilities, demands, claims for restitution or injunctive relief, obligations, guarantees, costs, expenses, attorneys' fees, damages, liquidated damages, penalties, interest, actions, causes of action and any other relief (of whatever kind or nature, whether known or unknown), from July 29, 2015, through December 31, 2022, that were or reasonably could have been alleged based on the claims, facts and/or allegations contained in the operative complaint, including *inter alia*: (1) failure to pay all straight time wages; (2) failure to pay all overtime wages; (3) failure to provide meal periods and/or pay premiums; (4) failure to authorize and permit rest periods and/or pay premiums; (5) knowing and intentional failure to comply with itemized employee wage statement provisions; (6) failure to pay all wages due at the time of termination of employment; (7) violation of

unfair competition law; and (8) failure to pay vacation time. This includes but is not limited to claims for violations of California Labor Code sections 201, 202, 203, 204, 218, 218.5, 222, 223, 224, 226, 226(a), 226.3, 226.7, 227.3, 246, 247.5, 248.5, 510, 512, 515, 558, 1174, 1175, 1194, 1194.2, 1197, 1197.1; 1198.5, 2699, 2699.3 and 2699.5; all provisions of the California Industrial Welfare Commission Wage Orders that provide the same protection(s) as the statutes and regulations listed immediately above including without limitation California Code of Regulations Title 8 sections 11010, 11040, 11070, 11090, and 3395; California Business and Professions Code section 17200 *et seq*, inclusive of sections 17202, 17203 and 17204; and any other claims under any state or federal law that could be based on alleged facts arising from the nine foregoing causes of action in the First Amended Complaint.

- 13. This Judgment is final and binding on PAGA Aggrieved Employees.
- 14. By operation of this Judgment, PAGA Aggrieved Employees release and discharge Defendant and the Released Parties from any and all claims from March 12, 2017, through December 31, 2022, that were or reasonably could have been alleged based on the claims, facts and/or allegations that were both contained in the PAGA Letter and alleged in the operative complaint.
- 15. The Court hereby approves and orders that the checks for individual settlement payments mailed to Participating Class Members will remain negotiable for 180 days. If an envelope mailed to a Settlement Class Member is returned with no forwarding address or the checks are not cashed within 180 days of mailing, then the funds represented by checks returned as undeliverable and those checks remaining un-cashed for more than 180 days after issuance will be sent to the State of California Unclaimed Property Fund in the name of that Class Member, to be held by the State Controller's Office for the benefit of those Class Members until such time as they claim their property, as allowed by law.
- 16. The Parties will comply with CRC Rule 3.771(b), by posting a copy of this Order and Judgment on the Settlement Administrator's website.

17. The Court, pursuant to California Rule of Court 3.769(h), retains continuing jurisdiction as to all matters relating to the administration and consummation of the settlement as provided in the Settlement Agreement and all other matters covered in this Judgment.

Dated: __Œ**•ơŒH . 2023

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Honorable Stuart M. Rice Los Angeles Superior Court Judge