-PROPOSED] FINAL APPROVAL ORDER AND JUDGMENT

Electronically RECEIVED by Superior Court of California, County of Riverside on 07/10/2023 05:59 PM - Jason B. Galkin, Executive Officer/Clerk of the Court By Kenneth Thomsen, Clerk

This matter has come before the Honorable Harold Hopp in Department 1 of the above-entitled Court, located at 4050 Main St., Riverside, California 92501, on Plaintiff Reginia Sam's ("Plaintiff") Motion for Final Approval of Class Action Settlement, Attorneys' Fees, Costs, and Enhancement Payment ("Motion for Final Approval"). Lawyers *for* Justice, PC appeared on behalf of Plaintiff, and Ogletree, Deakins, Nash, Smoak & Stewart, P.C. appeared on behalf of Defendant Concordance Healthcare Solutions, LLC ("Defendant").

On March 14, 2023, the Court entered the Order Granting Preliminary Approval of Class Action Settlement ("Preliminary Approval Order"), thereby preliminarily approving the settlement of the above-entitled action ("Action") in accordance with the First Amended Joint Stipulation of Class Action and PAGA Settlement and Release ("Settlement," "Agreement," or "Settlement Agreement"), which is attached as "EXHIBIT 3" to the Declaration of Joanna Ghosh in Support of Plaintiff's Motion for Preliminary Approval of Class Action Settlement, which, together with the exhibits annexed thereto, set forth the terms and conditions for settlement of the Action.

Having reviewed the Settlement Agreement and duly considered the parties' papers and oral argument, and good cause appearing,

## THE COURT HEREBY ORDERS, ADJUDGES, AND DECREES AS FOLLOWS:

- 1. All terms used herein shall have the same meaning as defined in the Settlement Agreement and the Preliminary Approval Order.
- 2. This Court has jurisdiction over the claims of the Class Members asserted in this proceeding and over all parties to the Action.
- 3. The Court finds that the applicable requirements of California Code of Civil Procedure section 382 and California Rule of Court 3.769, et seq. have been satisfied with respect to the Class and the Settlement. The Court hereby makes final its earlier provisional certification of the Class for settlement purposes, as set forth in the Preliminary Approval Order. The Class is hereby defined to include:

All current and former non-exempt employees who were employed by Defendant within the State of California at any time during the period from April 29, 2017 through January 12, 2022 ("Class" or "Class Members").

- 4. The Notice Packet, comprised of the Notice of Class Action Settlement ("Class Notice"), Exclusion Form ("Exclusion Form"), and Objection Form ("Objection Form"), that was provided to the Class Members, fully and accurately informed the Class Members of all material elements of the Settlement and of their opportunity to participate in, object to or comment thereon, or to seek exclusion from, the Class Settlement; was the best notice practicable under the circumstances; was valid, due, and sufficient notice to all Class Members; and complied fully with the laws of the State of California, the United States Constitution, due process and other applicable law. The Notice Packet fairly and adequately described the Settlement and provided the Class Members with adequate instructions and a variety of means to obtain additional information.
- 5. Pursuant to California law, the Court hereby grants final approval of the Settlement and finds that it is reasonable and adequate, and in the best interests of the Class as a whole. More specifically, the Court finds that the Settlement was reached following meaningful discovery and investigation conducted by Lawyers for Justice, PC ("Class Counsel"); that the Settlement is the result of serious, informed, adversarial, and arms-length negotiations between the parties; and that the terms of the Settlement are in all respects fair, adequate, and reasonable. In so finding, the Court has considered all of the evidence presented, including evidence regarding the strength of Plaintiff's claims; the risk, expense, and complexity of the claims presented; the likely duration of further litigation; the amount offered in the Settlement; the extent of investigation and discovery completed; and the experience and views of Class Counsel. The Court has further considered the absence of objections to and requests for exclusion from the Class Settlement submitted by Class Members. Accordingly, the Court hereby directs that the Settlement be affected in accordance with the Settlement Agreement and the following terms and conditions.
- 6. A full opportunity has been afforded to the Class Members to participate in the Final Approval Hearing, and all Class Members and other persons wishing to be heard have been heard. The Class Members also have had a full and fair opportunity to exclude themselves from the Class Settlement. Accordingly, the Court determines that all Class Members who did not submit a timely and validly Request for Exclusion ("Settlement Class Members") are bound by this Final Approval Order and Judgment and the State of California and all non-exempt

11

12 13

14

15

16

17 18

19

20

21 22

23

24

25

26 27

28

///

employees who were employed by Defendant in California at any time during the period from September 7, 2019 through January 12, 2022 ("PAGA Employees") are bound by the PAGA Settlement and this Final Approval Order and Judgment.

- 7. The Court finds that payment of Settlement Administration Costs in the amount of \$7,000.00 is appropriate for the services performed and costs incurred and to be incurred for the notice and settlement administration process. It is hereby ordered that the Settlement Administrator, Phoenix Class Action Administration Solutions, shall issue payment to itself in the amount of \$7,000.00, in accordance with the terms and methodology set forth in Settlement Agreement.
- 8. The Court finds that the Enhancement Payment sought is fair and reasonable for the work performed by Plaintiff on behalf of the Class. It is hereby ordered that the Settlement Administrator issue payment in the amount of \$7,500.00 to Plaintiff Reginia Sam for her Enhancement Payment, according to the terms and methodology set forth in the Settlement Agreement.
- 9. The Court finds that the allocation of \$67,500.00 toward penalties under the California Private Attorneys General Act of 2004 ("PAGA Amount"), is fair, reasonable, and appropriate, and hereby approved. The Settlement Administrator shall distribute the PAGA Amount as follows: the amount of \$50,625.00 to the California Labor and Workforce Development Agency ("LWDA Payment"), and the amount of \$16,875.00 to be distributed on a pro rata basis to PAGA Employees ("PAGA Employee Amount"), according to the terms and methodology set forth in the Settlement Agreement.
- 10. The Court finds that the request for attorneys' fees in the amount of \$157,500.00 to Class Counsel falls within the range of reasonableness, and the results achieved justify the award sought. The requested attorneys' fees to Class Counsel are fair, reasonable, and appropriate, and are hereby approved. It is hereby ordered that the Settlement Administrator issue payment in the amount of \$157,500.00 to Class Counsel for attorneys' fees, in accordance with the terms and methodology set forth in the Settlement Agreement.

- 11. The Court finds that reimbursement of litigation costs and expenses in the amount of \$12,882.24 to Class Counsel is reasonable, and hereby approved. It is hereby ordered that the Settlement Administrator issue payment in the amount of \$12,882.24 to Class Counsel for reimbursement of litigation costs and expenses, in accordance with the terms and methodology set forth in the Settlement Agreement.
- 12. The Court hereby enters Judgment by which (i) Settlement Class Members shall be conclusively determined to have given a release of any and all Released Class Claims against the Released Parties, as set forth in the Settlement Agreement and Class Notice, (ii) Plaintiff and the State of California with respect to the PAGA Employees shall be conclusively determined to have given a release of any and all Released PAGA Claims, against the Released Parties, as set forth in the Settlement Agreement and Class Notice, and (iii) Plaintiff shall be conclusively determined to have given a general release of all claims, known and unknown, against the Released Parties, as set forth in the Settlement Agreement. This Judgment shall have res judicata effect.
- 13. It is hereby ordered that Defendant shall deposit the Maximum Settlement Amount plus an amount sufficient for Employer Taxes into an account established by the Settlement Administrator within thirty (30) calendar days after the Effective Date, in accordance with the terms and methodology set forth in the Settlement Agreement.
- 14. It is hereby ordered that the Settlement Administrator shall distribute Individual Settlement Payments to the Settlement Class Members and Individual PAGA Payments to PAGA Employees within seven (7) calendar days after Defendant funds the Maximum Settlement Amount, according to the methodology and terms set forth in the Settlement Agreement. It is hereby ordered than any envelope transmitting a Settlement check to a Class Member shall bear the notation, "YOUR CLASS ACTION SETTLEMENT CHECK IS ENCLOSED."
- 15. It is hereby ordered that if (i) any of the Settlement Class Members or PAGA Employees are current employees of the Defendant, (ii) the distribution mailed to those employees is returned to the Settlement Administrator as being undeliverable, and (iii) the Settlement Administrator is unable to locate a valid mailing address, the Settlement Administrator shall

arrange with the Defendant to have those distributions delivered to the employees at their place of employment.

- 16. Each Individual Settlement Payment and Individual PAGA Payment check will be valid and negotiable for one hundred and eighty (180) calendar days from the date the checks are issued, and thereafter, shall be cancelled. All funds represented by uncashed checks remaining in the qualified settlement account established by the Settlement Administrator shall be distributed to the Controller of the State of California to be held pursuant to the Unclaimed Property Law, California Civil Code § 1500 et seq., for the benefit of those Settlement Class Members and PAGA Employees who did not cash their checks until such time that they claim their property. It is hereby ordered that the Settlement Administrator shall mail a reminder postcard to any Settlement Class Member or PAGA Employee whose Settlement check has not been negotiated within sixty (60) calendar days after the date of the mailing.
- 17. After entry of this Final Approval Order and Judgment, pursuant to California Rules of Court, Rule 3.769(h), the Court shall retain jurisdiction to construe, interpret, implement, and enforce the Settlement Agreement and this Final Approval Order and Judgment, to hear and resolve any contested challenge to a claim for settlement benefits, and to supervise and adjudicate any dispute arising from or in connection with the distribution of settlement benefits.
- 18. Notice of entry of this Final Approval Order and Judgment shall be given to the Class Members by posting a copy of the Final Approval Order and Judgment on the Settlement Administrator's website for a period of at least sixty (60) calendar days after the date of entry of this Final Approval Order and Judgment. Individualized notice is not required.
- 19. A Final Report (Nonappearance) Hearing is set for March 19th 2024 at W.30 a.m/p.m. in Department 1 of the Riverside County Superior Court, located at 4050 Main St., Riverside, California 92501. The deadline for Class Counsel to file a declaration from the Settlement Administrator concerning the total amount that was actually paid to the Settlement Class Members and PAGA Employees is 1224. The declaration shall describe the date the checks were mailed, the total number of checks mailed to Settlement Class Members and PAGA Employees, the average amount of those checks, the number of checks that remain

8 (4/23

uncashed, the total value of those uncashed checks, the average amount of the uncashed checks, and the nature and date of the disposition of those unclaimed funds, and if Code of Civil Procedure section 384.5 is applicable, the declaration shall be accompanied by a proposed amended judgment that complies with that section.

20. The Agreement and this Settlement are not an admission by Defendant, nor is this Final Approval Order and Judgment a finding, of the validity of any claims in the Action or of any wrongdoing by Defendant, or that this Action is appropriate for class treatment (other than for settlement purposes). Neither this Final Approval Order and Judgment, the Agreement, nor any document referred to herein, nor any action taken to carry out the Agreement is, may be construed as, or may be used as an admission by or against Defendant of any fault, wrongdoing or liability whatsoever. The entering into or carrying out of the Agreement, and any negotiations or proceedings related thereto, shall not in any event be construed as, or deemed to be evidence of, an admission or concession with regard to the denials or defenses by Defendant. Notwithstanding these restrictions, Defendant may file in the Action or in any other proceeding this Final Approval Order and Judgment, the Settlement Agreement, or any other papers and records on file in the Action as evidence of the Settlement to support a defense of res judicata, collateral estoppel, release, or other theory of claim or issue preclusion or similar defense as to the Released Class Claims, Released PAGA Claims, and/or Plaintiff's released claims.

21. If the Settlement Agreement does not become final and effective in accordance with the terms of the Settlement Agreement, then this Final Approval Order and Judgment, and all orders entered in connection herewith, shall be rendered null and void and shall be vacated, and the Parties shall revert to their respective positions as of before entering into the Settlement Agreement, and expressly reserve their respective rights regarding the prosecution and defense of this Action, including all available defenses and affirmative defenses, and arguments that any claim in the Action could not be certified as a class action and/or managed as a representative action.

HONORABLE HAROLD HOPP
JUDGE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT