1 2 3 4 5	Sarkis Sirmabekian [SBN 278588] SIRMABEKIAN LAW FIRM, PC 3435 Wilshire Blvd., Suite 1710 Los Angeles, California 90010 Telephone: (818) 473-5003 Facsimile: (818) 476-5619 Email: contact@slawla.com Attorney for Plaintiff and the Proposed Class	FILED Superior Court of California County of Los Angeles 04/13/2023 David W. Stayton, Executive Officer / Clerk of County By: A. He Deputy	
	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA FOR THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES		
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	FRANZ CHAVEZ HIDALGO, an individual; on behalf of himself and all others similarly situated; Plaintiff, v. OROZCO'S AUTO SERVICE, INC., a California Corporation; SERVANDO OROZCO, an individual; CINDY OROZCO, an individual; and DOES 1 to 100, inclusive; Defendants.	Case Number: 22STCV21211 [Honorable Stuart M. Rice; Department 1] [PROPOSED] AMENDED ORDER GRANTING PRELIMINARY APPROVAL OF CLASS ACTION SETTLEMENT Hearing: Date: March 24, 2023 Time: 10:30 a.m. Dept.: 1 Complaint Filed: June 29, 2022	
20	///		
21			
22	///		
23			
24			
25			
	///		
28	-1- [PROPOSED] AMENDED ORDER GRANTING PRELIMINARY APPROVAL OF CLASS ACTION		
	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	SIRMABEKIAN LAW FIRM, PC 3435 Wilshire Blvd., Suite 1710 Los Angeles, California 90010 Telephone: (818) 473-5003 Facsimile: (818) 476-5619 Email: contact@slawla.com Attorney for Plaintiff and the Proposed Class SUPERIOR COURT OF THE FOR THE COUNTY FRANZ CHAVEZ HIDALGO, an individual; on behalf of himself and all others similarly situated; Plaintiff, v. OROZCO'S AUTO SERVICE, INC., a California Corporation; SERVANDO OROZCO, an individual; CINDY OROZCO, an individual; and DOES 1 to 100, inclusive; Defendants. /// /// /// /// /// /// ///	

SETTLEMENT

[PROPOSED] AMENDED ORDER

GRANTING PRELIMINARY APPROVAL OF CLASS ACTION SETTLEMENT

Plaintiff FRANZ CHAVEZ HIDALGO's ("Plaintiff") Motion for Preliminary Approval of Class Action Settlement came before this court on March 24, 2023. The Court, having considered the "Class Action and PAGA Settlement Agreement and Class Notice", fully executed on August 19, 2022, between Plaintiff, on behalf of himself and all others similarly situated, And Defendants ("Settlement Agreement"), attached as Exhibit 1 to the Declaration of Sarkis Sirmabekian, filed in support of Plaintiff's Unopposed Motion for Preliminary Approval of Class Action Settlement, and the exhibits attached thereto; having considered Plaintiff's Unopposed Motion for Preliminary Approval of Class Action Settlement, memorandum of points and authorities, supporting declarations filed therewith, and good cause appearing thereto, HEREBY ORDERS:

The Court GRANTS preliminary approval of the class action settlement as set forth in the Settlement Agreement and finds the terms to be within the range of reasonableness of a settlement that ultimately could be granted approval by the Court at the Final Fairness Hearing. For purposes of the Settlement, the Court finds that the proposed Settlement Class is ascertainable and that there is a sufficiently well-defined community of interest among the Class Members in questions of law and fact. Therefore, for settlement purposes only, the Court GRANTS conditional certification of the Settlement Class, defined as follows:

"all persons employed by OAS in California and classified as current and former hourly non-exempt employees who worked for OAS during the period from April 2, 2017 through May 11, 2022."

- For purposes of the settlement, the Court further designates Plaintiff FRANZ CHAVEZ
 HIDALGO as Class Representative, and Sarkis Sirmabekian, Esq. of the Sirmabekian Law Firm, PC
 as Class Counsel;
- 2. The Court designates "Phoenix Settlement Administrators" as the third-party Settlement Administrator for mailing notices and all other services required under the Settlement Agreement;

- 3. The Court approves, as to form and content, the "Court Approved Notice of Class Action Settlement and Hearing Date for Final Court Approval" ("Class Notice"), attached to the Settlement Agreement;
- 4. The Court finds that the form of notice to the Class Members regarding the pendency of the Action and of this Settlement, and the methods of giving notice to Class Members constitute the best notice practicable under the circumstances, and constitute valid, due, and sufficient notice to all Class Members. The form and method of giving notice complies fully with the requirements of California Code of Civil Procedure section 382 and 384, California Civil Code section 1781, California Rules of Court 3.766 and 3.769, the California and United States Constitutions, and other applicable law;
- 5. The Court further approves the procedures for Class Members to opt out of or object to the Settlement, as set forth in the Settlement Agreement and Class Notice (i.e., any exclusion form or objection shall be submitted to the settlement administrator rather than filed with the court);
- 6. The procedures and requirements for filing objections in connection with the Final Fairness Hearing are intended to ensure the efficient administration of justice and the orderly presentation of any Class Member's objection to the Settlement Agreement, in accordance with the due process rights of all Class Members;
- 7. The Court has appointed a neutral company, Phoenix Settlement Administrators (the "Administrator") to send the Class Notice, calculate and make payments, and process Class Members' Requests for Exclusion. The Administrator will also decide Class Member Challenges over Workweeks, mail and re- mail settlement checks and tax forms, and perform other tasks necessary to administer the Settlement. The Administrator's contact information is contained in Section 9 of the Class Notice. All Request for Exclusion and Notices of Objection Forms shall be submitted to the Settlement Administrator, not to Class Counsel or Defendant's counsel, however, the Settlement Administrator may submit copies to the parties' counsel.
- 8. The Court directs the Settlement Administrator, or counsel for the parties, to give notice to any objecting party of any continuance of the hearing on the motion for final approval ("Final Approval Hearing");

- 9. Once Order granting Preliminary Approval of the Class Action Settlement is entered, Plaintiff will reserve a hearing date for Final Approval, on the question of whether the Settlement should be finally approved as fair, reasonable and adequate;
- 10. At the Final Approval Hearing, the Court will consider this: a) whether the Settlement should be approved as fair, reasonable, and adequate for the Class; b) whether the judgment granting final approval of the Settlement should be entered; and c) whether Plaintiff's application for Class Counsel Award, the Class Representative Enhancement Payment, and Settlement Administration Costs should be granted;
- 11. Counsel for the parties shall file memoranda, declarations, or other statements and materials in support of their request for final approval of the Settlement, Class Counsel Awards and the Class Representative Enhancement Payment;
- 12. Pending the Final Approval Hearing, all proceedings in this action, other than proceedings necessary to carry out or enforce the terms and conditions of the Settlement Agreement and this Order, are stayed; and
- 13. Counsel for the Parties are hereby authorized to utilize all reasonable procedures in connection with the administration of the Settlement which are not materially inconsistent with either this Order or the terms of the Settlement Agreement.
- 14. Final Approval Hearing is scheduled for September 14, 2023, 10:30 AM in Department 1 at Spring Street Courthouse, located at 312 N. Spring Street, Los Angeles, CA 90012.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED: ŒI¦ÃÁFHÉÃG€GH



Stuart M. Rice / Judge Honorable Stuart M. Rice Judge of the Superior Court

1	<u>PROOF OF SERVICE</u> (§ 1013a, 2015.5 C.C.P.)			
2	STATE OF CALIFORNIA)			
3) ss. COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES			
4				
5	party to the within entitled action; my business address is: 3435 Wilshire Boulevard, Sui 1710, Los Angeles, California 90010.			
6				
7	On the below-indicated date, I served the following document:			
8 9	ACTION SETTLEMENT			
10	on the interested parties in said action by placing: X, a true and correct copy, and addressed as set forth on the attached Service List and delivered by one or more of the			
11				
12				
13	means set forth below:			
14	BY ELECTRONIC SERVICE IN LIEU OF SERVICE BY MAIL, EXPRESS MAIL OVERNIGHT DELIVERY, OR FACSIMILE TRANSMISSION: In accordance with California Code of Civil Procedure section 1010.6, I caused the document to be sent to the			
15				
16	person(s) below at the e-mail address(es) listed below.			
17	I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the above i true and correct.			
18	EXECUTED: April 12, 2023 At Los Angeles, California Mrigor Gregg Sirmabekhian Grigor Gregg Sirmabekhian			
19	At Los Angeles, California Grigor Gregg Sirmabekhian			
20				
21				
22				
23	[SERVICE LIST ON FOLLOWING PAGE]			
24				
25				
26				
27				
28				
	5			

1	SERVICE LIST			
2	Joanne K. Leighton, Esq. [SBN 165378] ARTIANO & ASSOCIATES, APC	Attorneys for Defendants OROZCO'S AUTO SERVICE, INC., SERVANDO OROZCO, and		
3	3828 Carson Street, Suite 102 Torrance, California 90503 Email: JLeighton@artianolaw.com Email: Tlee@artianolaw.com	CINDY OROZCO		
4				
5				
6				
7	Labor and Workforce Development Agency Department of Industrial Relations LWDA	Labor and Workforce Development Agency ("LWDA") filed/served online at:		
8				
9	Attn. PAGA Administrator 1515 Clay Street, Ste. 801	https://www.dir.ca.gov/Private-Attorneys- General-Act/Private-Attorneys-General-		
10	Oakland, California 94612	Act.html		
11				
12				
13				
14				
15				
16				
17				
18				
19				
20				
21				
22				
23				
24				
25				

-6-

26

27

28