1 2 3 4	B. James Fitzpatrick (SBN: 129056) Laura L. Franklin (SBN: 282642) FITZPATRICK & SWANSTON 555 S. Main Street Salinas, CA 93901 Telephone: (831) 755-1311 Facsimile: (831) 755-1319	ELECTRONICALLY FILED BY Superior Court of California, County of Monterey On 06/30/2023 By Deputy: Conder, Perla
İ		by Deputy. Condent, Fena
5	Larry W. Lee (SBN 228175) Kristen M. Agnew (SBN 247656)	
6	Nicholas Rosenthal (SBN 268297) DIVERSITY LAW GROUP, P.C.	
7	515 S. Figueroa Street, Suite 1250	
8	Los Angeles, CA 90071 Telephone: (213) 488-6555 Facsimile (213) 488-6554	
10		
11	Attorneys for Plaintiff, HEA YON SUZZIE DORN, on behalf of hers	self and all other similarly situated employees
12	SUPERIOR COUR	RT OF CALIFORNIA
13	COUNTY OF MONTEREY	
14	HEA YON SUZZIE DORN, on behalf of	Case No. 22CV000335
15	herself and all similarly aggrieved employees,	
16	Plaintiff,	(Assigned to the Honorable Thomas W. Wills, Dept. 15)
17	,	[PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING
18	v. CENTRAL COAST FEDERAL CREDIT	PLAINTIFF'S MOTION FOR PRELIMINARY APPROVAL OF CLASS
19	UNION; and DOES 1 through 50, inclusive,	ACTION SETTLEMENT
20	Defendants.	Date: June 30, 2023
21		Time: 9:00 a.m. Dept.: 15
22		Complaint Filed: February 3, 2022
23		Trial Date: Not Set
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		

Plaintiff Hea Yon Suzzie Dorn's ("Plaintiff") application for an Order Granting
Preliminary Approval of Class Action Settlement was filed with the Court on June 7, 2023,
and a hearing was held before this Court on June 30, 2023. Appearances for Plaintiff and
Defendant Central Coast Federal Credit Union ("Defendant") were noted on the record.

The Court has considered the Joint Stipulation and Settlement of Class Action Claims ("Stipulation," "Settlement Agreement," or "Settlement") and all other papers filed in this action.

NOW THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED:

- 1. This Court grants preliminary approval of the Settlement Agreement between Plaintiff and Defendant filed herewith. The Settlement Agreement appears to be fair, adequate, and reasonable to the Class.
- 2. The Class Representative and Defendant (hereafter, "Settling Parties"), through their counsel of record in the Litigation, have reached an agreement to settle all claims in the Litigation on behalf of the Class (as defined below and in the Settlement Agreement) as a whole.
 - 3. The Court hereby approves the following Class for settlement purposes: all non-exempt employees who are or previously were employed by Central Coast Federal Credit Union in California during the Class Period.

Should for whatever reason the Settlement Agreement not become Final, the fact that the Parties were willing to stipulate to certification of a class as part of the Settlement Agreement shall have no bearing on, or be admissible in connection with, the Litigation.

4. The Court appoints and designates: (a) Plaintiff Hea Yon Suzzie Dorn as the Class Representative and (b) B. James Fitzpatrick, Laura L. Franklin, and Alison Baker of Fitzpatrick & Swanston, and Larry W. Lee, Kristen M. Agnew, and Nicholas Rosenthal of Diversity Law Group, P.C. as Class Counsel for the Class. Class Counsel is authorized to act on behalf of the Class with respect to all acts or consents required by, or which may be given, pursuant to the Settlement Agreement, and such other acts reasonably necessary to finalize the

Settlement Agreement and its terms. Any Class Member may enter an appearance through his or her own counsel at such Class Member's own expense. Any Class Member who does not enter an appearance or appear on his or her own behalf will be represented by Class Counsel.

- 5. The Court hereby approves the terms and conditions provided for in the Settlement Agreement. The Court finds that on a preliminary basis the Settlement Agreement falls within the range of reasonableness of a settlement, including the amount of the PAGA penalties, and appears to be presumptively valid, subject only to any objections that may be raised at the final fairness hearing and final approval by the Court. It appears to the Court on a preliminary basis that the settlement is fair, adequate, and reasonable as to all potential Class Members when balanced against the probable outcome of further litigation relating to liability and damages issues. It also appears that investigation, research, and court proceedings have been conducted so that counsel for the Settling Parties are able to reasonably evaluate their respective positions. It appears to the Court that settlement at this time will avoid substantial additional costs by all Settling Parties, as well as avoid the delay and risks that would be presented by the further prosecution of the Litigation. It also appears that settlement has been reached as a result of intensive, serious, and non-collusive arm's-length negotiations.
- 6. A final fairness hearing on the question of whether the proposed Settlement Agreement, the allocation of payments to Settlement Class Members, attorneys' fees and costs to Class Counsel, the payment to the Settlement Administrator, the payment to the Labor and Workforce Development Agency, and the Class Representative Service Award should be finally approved as fair, reasonable, and adequate as to the members of the Class is hereby set for November 3, 2023 , at 8:30 a.m. in this Court.
- 7. The Court hereby approves, as to form and content, the Notice of Pendency of Class Action ("Notice") to be sent to Class Members, which is attached as **Exhibit A** to the Settlement Agreement. The Court finds that distribution of the Notice to Class Members substantially in the manner and form set forth in the Settlement Agreement and this Order meets the requirements of due process and shall constitute due and sufficient notice to all parties entitled thereto.

- 8. The Court appoints and designates Phoenix Settlement Administrators as the Settlement Administrator. The Court hereby directs the Settlement Administrator to provide the approved Notice to Class Members using the procedures set forth in the Settlement Agreement.
- 9. Any Class Member may choose to opt out of and be excluded from the settlement as provided in the Settlement Agreement and Notice and by following the instructions for requesting exclusion. Any person who timely and properly opts out of the settlement will not be bound by the Settlement Agreement, except as it pertains to the Released PAGA Claims, and will not have any right to object, appeal, or comment on the settlement. Any request for exclusion must be in writing and signed by each such Class Member opting out and must otherwise comply with the requirements delineated in the Notice. Class Members who have not requested exclusion by submitting a valid and timely request by the deadline shall be bound by all determinations of the Court, the Settlement Agreement, and Judgment.
- 10. Any Class Member may object to the Settlement Agreement or express his or her views regarding the Settlement Agreement, and may present evidence and file briefs or other papers that may be proper and relevant to the issues to be heard and determined by the Court as provided in the Notice.
- 11. Any portion of the Individual Settlement Payment not cashed within one-hundred eighty (180) days from the date of issuance of the check shall be entirely paid to Watsonville Law Center. Watsonville Law Center is approved as a *cy pres* recipient.
- 12. The Motion for Final Approval shall be filed by the Class Representative no later than sixteen (16) court days before the Settlement Fairness Hearing.
- 13. The Court reserves the right to adjourn or continue the date of the Settlement Fairness Hearing and all dates provided for in the Settlement Agreement without further notice to the Class, and retains jurisdiction to consider all further applications arising out of or connected with the Settlement Agreement.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

22CV000335

ł		
1	DATED: June 30, 2023	Thomas la Will
2	DATED: dulle 30, 2023	HON. THOMAS W. WILLS
3		SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA
4		
5		
6		
7		
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19 20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
- 1		