KINGSLEY & KINGSLEY, APC ERIC B. KINGSLEY, Esq. (SBN 185123) FILED eric@kingsleykingsley.com BY SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA. LIANE KATZENSTEIN LY, Esq., (SBN 259230) COUNTY OF NEVADA liane@kingsleykingsley.com JESSICA ADLOUNI, Esq. (SBN 328571) 03 21 2023 jessica@kingsleykingsley.com JASON B. GALKIN, CLERK OF THE COURT 16133 Ventura Blvd., Suite 1200 HEATHER MCCARTHY, DEPUTY Encino, CA 91436 5 Tel: (818) 990-8300, Fax (818) 990-2903 6 WALTER L. HAINES, ESQ. (SBN-71075) admin@uelglaw.com 7 UNITED EMPLOYEES LAW GROUP, PC 8 5500 Bolsa Avenue, Suite 201 Huntington Beach, CA 92649 Tel: (562) 256-1047/Fax: (562) 256-1006 10 Attorneys for Plaintiff and the Certified Class 11 12 SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA 13 FOR THE COUNTY OF NEVADA 14 KEENAN PATTON, an individual, on behalf CASE NO. CU17-082544 15 of himself and others similarly situated, [Case Assigned for All Purposes to Hon. 16 PLAINTIFF, Thomas Anderson in Dept. 61 17 V. [PROPOSED] AMENDED ORDER 18 SKYLER ELECTRIC CO., INC.; and DOES GRANTING PRELIMINARY APPROVAL 1 thru 50, inclusive. OF CLASS ACTION SETTLEMENT 19 DEFENDANTS. Date: March 3, 2023 20 Time: 10:00 a.m. 21 Dept.: 6 22 Complaint Filed: April 4, 2017 June 12, 2017 FAC Filed: 23 Trial Date: None Set 24 25 26 27 28

AMENDED ORDER GRANTING PRELIMINARY APPROVAL OF CLASS ACTION SETTLEMENT

25

26

27

28

The Motion for Preliminary Approval of the Class Settlement came before this Court on March 3, 2023, the Honorable Thomas Anderson, presiding. The Court, having considered the papers submitted in support of the motion of the parties, HEREBY ORDERS THE FOLLOWING:

- 1. The Court grants preliminary approval of the proposed settlement based upon the terms set forth in the Class Action and PAGA Settlement Agreement ("Agreement") filed herewith. The Agreement appears to be fair, adequate, and reasonable to the Class. The Court finds that: (a) the Agreement resulted from extensive arm's length negotiations; and (b) the Agreement is sufficient to warrant notice of the Agreement to persons in the Class and a full hearing regarding final approval of the Agreement.
 - 2. For purposes of this Order, the certified Class is defined as follows: "All individuals who have been employed, or are currently by Defendant as hourly Telecommunications Technicians or similar titles, from April 4, 2013, up to August 14, 2020." ("Settlement Class Members" "Class Members" or "Class")
 - 3. The Class Period is April 4, 2013 through August 14, 2020.
- 4. "Aggrieved Employee" means all persons who are employed or have been employed as a Telecommunications Technician, as an hourly employee by Defendant in the state of California who worked one or more pay periods since April 6, 2016 to August 14, 2020.
 - 5. The "PAGA Period" means the period from April 4, 2016, to August 14, 2020.
- 6. The Agreement falls within the range of reasonableness and appears to be presumptively valid, subject only to any objections that may be raised at the final fairness hearing and final approval by this Court.
 - 7. The Court makes the following preliminary findings for settlement purposes only:
 - A. The Class, which consists of approximately 198 persons, is so numerous that joinder of all members is impracticable;
 - В. There appear to be questions of law or fact common to the Class for purposes of determining whether this Settlement should be approved;
 - Plaintiff's claims appear to be typical of the claims being resolved through C. the proposed settlement;

- D. Plaintiff appears to be capable of fairly and adequately protecting the interests of the Settlement Class Members in connection with the proposed settlement:
- E. Common questions of law and fact appear to predominate over questions affecting only individual persons in the Class. Accordingly, the Class appears to be sufficiently cohesive to warrant settlement by representation; and
- F. Certification of the Class appears to be superior to other available methods for the fair and efficient resolution of the claims of the Class.
- 8. The Court approves, as to form and content, the Notice of Class Action Settlement to Settlement Class Members in substantially the form attached to the Agreement as Exhibit "A".
- 9. The Court approves the procedure for Settlement Class Members to opt out to the Agreement as set forth in the Agreement and the Notice of Class Action Settlement.
- 10. The Court approves the procedure for Settlement Class Members to object to the Agreement as set forth in the Agreement and the Notice of Class Action Settlement.
- 11. The Court directs the mailing of the Notice of Class Action Settlement and related documents to members of the Class by first class mail in accordance with the Agreement and the implementation schedule set forth below. The Court finds that the dates selected for the mailing and distribution of the notice, as set forth in the following implementation schedule, meet the requirements of due process and provide the best notice practicable under the circumstances and shall constitute due and sufficient notice to all persons entitled thereto.
 - 12. It is ordered that the Class is preliminarily certified for settlement purposes only.
- 13. The Court confirms Eric B. Kingsley and Liane Katzenstein Ly of Kingsley & Kingsley, APC and Walter L. Haines of United Employees Law Group, PC as Class Counsel.
 - 14. The Court confirms KEENAN PATTON as Plaintiff.
- 15. The Court approves Phoenix Class Action Administration Solutions as the Settlement Administrator.
- 16. The Court orders that pursuant to the California Private Attorneys General Act, Labor Code §§ 2698, et seq. ("PAGA"), statutory notice of this Agreement has been and will continue to be given to the Labor & Workforce Development Agency.

- 17. A final fairness hearing on the question of whether the proposed Agreement, attorneys' fees and costs to Class Counsel, the PAGA payment, and the claims administration costs should be finally approved as fair, adequate, and reasonable as to the members of the Class is scheduled for July 21, 2023 at 10:00 a.m. (Pacific Time), in Department VI-6.
 - 18. The Court orders the following Implementation Schedule for further proceedings:

a.	Preliminary Approval Granted	March 3, 2023
b.	Deadline for Defendant to Provide Settlement Class Members' Information to Settlement Administrator	15 calendar days from Entry of Preliminary Approval
c.	Settlement Administrator Shall Mail Notice to Settlement Class Members	14 calendar days from receipt of the Class List from Defendant
d.	Deadline for Postmark of Any Objection	60 Days from Mailing of Notices
e.	Deadline for Class Counsel to file Motion for Final Approval of Class Settlement	To be determined by the Court Per Code
f.	Deadline for Class Counsel to file Motion for Attorneys' Fees	To be determined by the Court Per Code
g.	Final Approval Hearing	July 21, 2023

- 19. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that if the Court does not execute and file an Order of Final Approval and Judgment, or if the Effective Date of Settlement, as defined in the "Agreement, does not occur for any reason, the Agreement and the proposed Settlement that is the subject of this Order shall become null, void, unenforceable and inadmissible in any judicial, administrative or arbitral proceeding for any purpose, and all evidence, court orders and proceedings had in connection therewith, shall be without prejudice to the status quo ante rights of the Parties to the litigation, as more specifically set forth in the ("Agreement.
 - 20. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that, pending further Order of this Court, all

1	proceedings in this matter except those contemplated herein and in the Agreement are herel		
2	stayed.		
3	21.	The Court expressly r	eserves the right to adjourn or continue the Final Fairnes
4	Hearing from time to time without further notice to members of the Class.		
5			0.0
6	DATED: _	03/20/2023	100-1900
7			JUDGE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT
8			
9			
10			
11			
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			