		m I L E D	
		Clork of the Separity Court	
1	Justin F. Marquez (SBN 262417) justin@wilshirelawfirm.com	JAN 3 0 2023	
2	Benjamin H. Haber (SBN 315664)	By: S. Goodrich, Deputy	
3	<u>benjamin@wilshirelawfirm.com</u> Arrash T. Fattahi (SBN 333676)	-7-	
4	afattahi@wilshirelawfirm.com WILSHIRE LAW FIRM		
5	3055 Wilshire Blvd., 12th Floor		
6	Los Angeles, California 90010 Telephone (213) 381-9988		
7	Facsimile: (213) 381-9989		
8	Attorneys for Plaintiff		
9	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE	E STATE OF CALIFORNIA	
10	FOR THE COUNTY OF SAN DIEGO		
11	MARTIN ORTIZ, individually, and on behalf of	Case No. 37-2021-0001473-CU-OE-CTL	
12	all others similarly situated,	CLASS ACTION	
13	Plaintiff,	[Assigned for all purposes to: Hon. Eddie	
14	٧.	Sturgeon, Dept. C-67]	
15	TARA MATERIALS, INC., a Georgia corporation, and DOES 1 through 10, inclusive,	[PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING PLAINTIFF'S MOTION FOR	
16	Defendants.	PRELIMINARY APPROVAL OF CLASS ACTION SETTLEMENT	
17		[Filed concurrently with: Plaintiff's Notice of	
18		Motion and Motion for Preliminary Approval of Class Action Settlement, Memorandum of	
19		Points and Authorities; and Declaration of Justin F. Marquez in Support of Plaintiff's	
20		Motion for Preliminary Approval of Class Action Settlement]	
21		PRELIMINARY APPROVAL HEARING	
22		Date: January 30, 2023 Time: 8:30 a.m.	
23		Dept: C-67	
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			
	[PROPOSE	ED] ORDER	
	-		

The Court has before it Plaintiff Martin Ortiz's ("Plaintiff") Motion for Preliminary Approval of Class Action Settlement. Having reviewed the Motion for Preliminary Approval of Class Action Settlement, the Declaration of Justin F. Marquez, the Joint Stipulation and Settlement Agreement of Class Action and PAGA Representative Claims ("Settlement" or "Settlement Agreement"), and good cause appearing, the Court hereby finds and orders as follows:

1. The Court finds on a preliminary basis that the Settlement Agreement appears to be fair, adequate, and reasonable and therefore meets the requirements for preliminary approval. The Court grants preliminary approval of the Settlement and the Settlement Class based upon the terms set forth in the Settlement Agreement between Plaintiff Defendant Tara Materials, Inc. ("Defendant"), attached to the Declaration of Justin F. Marquez in Support of Plaintiff's Motion for Preliminary Approval of Class Action Settlement as Exhibit 2.

2. The Settlement falls within the range of reasonableness of a settlement which could ultimately be given final approval by this Court. The Court notes that Defendant has agreed to create a common fund of \$140,000.00 to cover: (a) settlement payments to the class members who do not validly opt out; (b) a \$5,000.00 payment for the settlement of claims for penalties under the Private Attorneys General Act ("PAGA"), with 75% of which (\$3,750.00) being paid to the State of California, Labor & Workforce Development Agency ("LWDA") and 25% (\$1,250.00) being paid to the PAGA Group Members; (c) the Class Representative service award of up to \$2,500.00 for Plaintiff; (d) Class Counsel's attorneys' fees, not to exceed 33 1/3% of the Gross Settlement Amount (\$46,666.67), and up to \$15,000.00 in costs for actual litigation expenses incurred by Class Counsel; and (e) Settlement Administration Costs of up to \$6,500.00.

3. The Court preliminarily finds that the terms of the Settlement appear to be within the range of possible approval, pursuant to California Code of Civil Procedure § 382 and applicable law. The Court finds on a preliminary basis that: (1) the settlement amount is fair and reasonable to the class members when balanced against the probable outcome of further litigation relating to class certification, liability and damages issues, and potential appeals; (2) significant informal discovery, investigation, research, and litigation have been conducted such that counsel for the Parties at this time are able to reasonably evaluate their respective positions; (3) settlement at this time will avoid substantial costs, delay, and risks that would be presented by the further prosecution of the litigation; and (4) the proposed settlement has been reached as the result of intensive, serious, and non-collusive negotiations between the Parties with the assistance of a well-respected class action mediator. Accordingly, the Court preliminarily finds that the Settlement Agreement was entered into in good faith.

4. A Final Fairness Hearing on the question of whether the proposed settlement, attorneys' fees and costs to Class Counsel, payment to the LWDA for its share of the settlement of claims for penalties under PAGA, and the class representative's service award should be finally approved as fair, reasonable and adequate as to the members of the class is hereby set in accordance with the Implementation Schedule set forth below.

5. The Court provisionally certifies for settlement purposes only the following class (the "Settlement Class"): All current and former non-exempt employees who worked for Defendant within the State of California during the Settlement Period.

6. Plaintiff is granted leave to file a First Amended Class and Representative Action Complaint, which will add causes of action for Defendant's failure to indemnify employees for expenditures (Cal. Lab. Code § 2802) and civil penalties under PAGA.

7. The Settlement Period means the period from January 13, 2017 through March 15, 2022.

8. The PAGA Period means the period from January 19, 2020 through March 15, 2022.

9. The Court finds, for settlement purposes only, that the Settlement Class meets the requirements for certification under California Code of Civil Procedure § 382 in that: (1) the Settlement Class Members are so numerous that joinder is impractical; (2) there are questions of law and fact that are common, or of general interest, to all Settlement Class Members, which predominate over individual issues; (3) Plaintiff's claims are typical of the claims of the Settlement Class Members; (4) Plaintiff and Class Counsel will fairly and adequately protect

1

the interests of the Settlement Class Members; and (5) a class action is superior to other available methods for the fair and efficient adjudication of the controversy.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

The Court appoints as Class Representative, for settlement purposes only, 10. Plaintiff Martin Ortiz. The Court further preliminarily approves Plaintiff's ability to request an incentive award up to \$2,500.00.

The Court appoints, for settlement purposes only, Wilshire Law Firm, PLC as 11. Class Counsel. The Court further preliminarily approves Class Counsel's ability to request attorneys' fees of up to one-third of the Total Settlement Amount (\$46,666.67), and costs not to exceed \$15,000.00.

The Court appoints Phoenix Settlement Administrators as the Settlement 12. Administrator with reasonable administration costs estimated not to exceed \$6,500.00.

The Court approves, as to form and content the Notice of Proposed Class Action 13. Settlement, attached as Exhibit A to the Settlement Agreement. The Court finds, on a preliminary basis, that the plan for distribution of the Notice to Settlement Class Members satisfies due process, provides the best notice practicable under the circumstances, and shall constitute due and sufficient notice to all persons entitled thereto.

The Parties are ordered to carry out the Settlement according to the terms of the 17 14. Settlement Agreement. 18

Any Class Member who does not timely and validly request exclusion from the 19 15. Settlement may object to the Settlement Agreement. 20

21 16. Defendant to provide Class List to the 22 February 13, 2023* 23 Settlement Administrator On or before February 27, 2023* 24 Settlement Administrator to mail the Notice 25 Response Deadline (Opt-out or Dispute April 13, 2023* 26 Workweeks) 27 Deadline to Provide Written Objections, if | April 13, 2023* 28 3

[PROPOSED] ORDER

The Court orders the following Implementation Schedule:

any		
	file Motion for Final Approval,	16 court days before hearing on Motion
	Attorneys' Fees and Costs, and	Final Approval, Request for Attorneys' F
	rd to Plaintiff	and Costs, and Service Award to Plaintiff
		May 26, 2023 at 9:00 (a.m.)p.m., or f
Final Approval Hearing	available date thereafter, in Department C	
+If the Court s	igns this Order after January 30, 20	
*If the Court signs this Order after January 30, 2023, then this date shall be adjusted commensura with the number of days between January 30, 2023, and the date the Order is signed. If the ne		
deadline falls on a weekend or holiday, the deadline shall be the next business day.		
17. The Court further ORDERS that, pending further order of this Court, all proceeding		
in this lawsuit, except those contemplated herein and in the settlement, are stayed.		
11 18	SO ORDERED.	
DATE: 1-	30-23	Alla 11 Aten
DATE: V 30 0 3		Hon. Eddie Sturgeon
		San Diego County Superid Court
		;

1	PROOF OF SERVICE Ortiz v. Tara Materials, Inc., et al.		
2	37-2021-00001473-CU-OE-CTL		
3	STATE OF CALIFORNIA)		
4) ss COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES)		
5	I, Ashley Narinyans, state that I am employed in the aforesaid County, State of California; I am over the age of eighteen years and not a party to the within action; my business address is 3055 Wilshire Blvd., 12 th Floor, Los Angeles, California 90010. My electronic service		
6			
7	address is anarinyans@wilshirelawfirm.com.		
8 9	On January 6, 2023, I served the foregoing [PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING PLAINTIFF'S MOTION FOR PRELIMINARY APPROVAL OF CLASS ACTION SETTLEMENT, on the interested parties by placing a true copy thereof, enclosed in a sealed envelope by following one of the methods of service as follows:		
0	Danielle Hultenius Moore (SBN 232480)		
1	dmoore@fisherphillips.com Bret Martin (SBN 304658)		
2	bmartin@fisherphillips.com Benjamin P. Carney (SBN 317206) bcarney@fisherphillips.com FISHER & PHILLIPS LLP		
3			
4	4747 Executive Drive, Suite 1000 San Diego, California 92121 Telephone: (858) 597-9600 Facsimile: (858) 597-9601		
5			
6	Attorneys for Defendant		
7	(X) BY UPLOAD: I hereby certify that the documents were uploaded by my office to the State of California Labor and Workforce Development Agency Online Filing Site.		
9	(X) BY E-MAIL: I hereby certify that this document was served from Los Angeles,		
20	California, by e-mail delivery on the parties listed herein at their most recent known email address or e-mail of record in this action.		
21	(X) BY MAIL: I enclosed the above documents in a sealed envelope with postage thereon		
22	fully prepaid and placed for collection and mailing on the above date in accordance with ordinary business practices. I am readily familiar with this firm's practice of collection and processing of correspondence for mailing with the United States Postal Service, and that the correspondence shall be deposited with the United States Postal Service the same day in the ordinary course of business pursuant to Cal. Code Civ. Proc. § 1013(a).		
23			
25	I declare under the penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California, that the foregoing is true and correct.		
26	Executed on January 6, 2023, at Los Angeles, California.		
27	Ashley Narinyans Qhluy		
28	Type or Print Name Signature		
	PROOF OF SERVICE		