Edwin Aiwazian (SBN 232943) 1 Arby Aiwazian (SBN 269827) Joanna Ghosh (SBN 272479) 2 Brian J. St. John (SBN 304112) LAWYERS for JUSTICE, PC 3 410 West Arden Avenue, Suite 203 Glendale, California 91203 4 Tel: (818) 265-1020 / Fax: (818) 265-1021 5 Attorneys for Plaintiff and the Class 6 7 SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA 8 FOR THE COUNTY OF ORANGE 9 ANTHONY COLLINS, individually, and on Case No.: 30-2018-01019194-CU-OE-CXC behalf of other members of the general public 10 similarly situated; Honorable Randall J. Sherman Department CX105 11 Plaintiff, **CLASS ACTION** 12 VS. [REVISED PROPOSED] FINAL 13 CANUS CORPORATION, a California APPROVAL ORDER AND JUDGMENT corporation; and DOES 1 through 100, 14 inclusive, Date: March 3, 2023 Time: 10:00 a.m. 15 Defendants. Department: CX105 16 Complaint Filed: September 18, 2018 Trial Date: None Set 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28

[REVISED PROPOSED] FINAL APPROVAL ORDER AND JUDGMENT

This matter has come before the Honorable Randall J. Sherman in Department CX105 of the above-entitled Court, located at 700 W Civic Center Dr., Santa Ana, California 92701, on Plaintiff Anthony Collins's ("Plaintiff") Motion for Final Approval of Class Action Settlement, Attorneys' Fees, Costs, and Enhancement Payment ("Motion for Final Approval"). Lawyers for Justice, PC appeared on behalf of Plaintiff, and Wood, Smith, Henning & Berman LLP appeared on behalf of Defendant Canus Corporation ("Defendant").

On September 30, 2022, the Court entered the Order Granting Preliminary Approval of Class Action Settlement ("Preliminary Approval Order"), thereby preliminarily approving the settlement of the above-entitled action ("Action") in accordance with the Joint Stipulation of Class Action Settlement and Amendment No. 1 to the Joint Stipulation of Class Action Settlement (together, "Settlement," "Agreement," or "Settlement Agreement"), which, together with the exhibits annexed thereto, set forth the terms and conditions for settlement of the Action.

Having reviewed the Settlement Agreement and duly considered the parties' papers and oral argument, and good cause appearing,

## THE COURT HEREBY ORDERS, ADJUDGES, AND DECREES AS FOLLOWS:

- 1. All terms used herein shall have the same meaning as defined in the Settlement Agreement and the Preliminary Approval Order.
- 2. This Court has jurisdiction over the claims of the Class Members asserted in this proceeding and over all parties to the Action.
- 3. The Court finds that the applicable requirements of California Code of Civil Procedure section 382 and California Rule of Court 3.769, *et seq.* have been satisfied with respect to the Class and the Settlement. The Court hereby makes final its earlier provisional certification of the Class for settlement purposes, as set forth in the Preliminary Approval Order. The Class is hereby defined to include:

All current and former hourly-paid and/or non-exempt employees employed by Defendant at any time during the Class period from September 18, 2014 through February 17, 2021 ("Class" or "Class Members").

4. The Notice of Class Action Settlement ("Class Notice") that was provided to the Class Members, fully and accurately informed the Class Members of all material elements of the

Settlement and of their opportunity to participate in, object to or comment thereon, or to seek exclusion from, the Settlement; was the best notice practicable under the circumstances; was valid, due, and sufficient notice to all Class Members; and complied fully with the laws of the State of California, the United States Constitution, due process and other applicable law. The Class Notice fairly and adequately described the Settlement and provided the Class Members with adequate instructions and a variety of means to obtain additional information.

- 5. Pursuant to California law, the Court hereby grants final approval of the Settlement and finds that it is reasonable and adequate, and in the best interests of the Class as a whole. More specifically, the Court finds that the Settlement was reached following meaningful discovery and investigation conducted by Lawyers *for* Justice, PC ("Class Counsel"); that the Settlement is the result of serious, informed, adversarial, and arms-length negotiations between the parties; and that the terms of the Settlement are in all respects fair, adequate, and reasonable. In so finding, the Court has considered all of the evidence presented, including evidence regarding the strength of Plaintiff's claims; the risk, expense, and complexity of the claims presented; the likely duration of further litigation; the amount offered in the Settlement; the extent of investigation and discovery completed; and the experience and views of Class Counsel. The Court has further considered the absence of objections to the Settlement submitted by Class Members. Accordingly, the Court hereby directs that the Settlement be affected in accordance with the Settlement Agreement and the following terms and conditions.
- 6. A full opportunity has been afforded to the Class Members to participate in the Final Approval Hearing, and all Class Members and other persons wishing to be heard have been heard. The Class Members also have had a full and fair opportunity to exclude themselves from the Settlement. Accordingly, the Court determines that all Class Members who did not timely and validly opt out of the Settlement ("Settlement Class Members") are bound by this Final Approval Order and Judgment.
- 7. The Court finds that the following twenty-one (21) Class Members have timely and validly opted out of the Settlement and will not be bound by this Final Approval Order and Judgment: Charles Andrews, Robert Beeson, Yu Chen, Christian Delgado, Dewey Duplissey,

Martinez Giles, Thomas Harvey Jr., Christopher Jackson, Robert Lees, Gregory Lozano, Kitty Mason, John Mattke, Gregory Mckeever, John Peck, Jose Puentes, David Richards Sr., William Roederer, Martin Savage, Jack Spargo, Perry Sutton, and Ray Swift.

- 8. The Court finds that payment of Settlement Administration Costs in the amount of \$9,000.00 is appropriate for the services performed and costs incurred and to be incurred for the notice and settlement administration process. It is hereby ordered that the Settlement Administrator, Phoenix Class Action Administration Solutions, shall issue payment to itself in the amount of \$9,000.00, in accordance with the terms and methodology set forth in Settlement Agreement.
- 9. The Court finds that an Enhancement Payment in the amount of \$5,000.00 is fair and reasonable for the work performed by Plaintiff on behalf of the Class. It is hereby ordered that the Settlement Administrator issue payment in the amount of \$5,000.00 to Plaintiff Anthony Collins for his Enhancement Payment, according to the terms and methodology set forth in the Settlement Agreement.
- 10. The Court finds that attorneys' fees in the amount of \$385,652.60 to Class Counsel are fair, reasonable, and appropriate, and are hereby approved. It is hereby ordered that the Settlement Administrator issue payment in the amount of \$385,652.60 to Class Counsel for attorneys' fees, in accordance with the terms and methodology set forth in the Settlement Agreement.
- 11. The Court finds that reimbursement of litigation costs and expenses in the amount of \$13,994.46 to Class Counsel is reasonable, and hereby approved. It is hereby ordered that the Settlement Administrator issue payment in the amount of \$13,994.46 to Class Counsel for reimbursement of litigation costs and expenses, in accordance with the terms and methodology set forth in the Settlement Agreement.
- 12. The Court hereby enters Judgment by which Settlement Class Members shall be conclusively determined, as of the Effective Date and Defendant's full funding of the Total Settlement Amount, to have given a release of any and all Released Claims against the Released Parties, as set forth in the Settlement Agreement and Class Notice as amended.

28 |

///

- 13. It is hereby ordered that Defendant shall deposit the Total Settlement Amount into an account established by the Settlement Administrator within fifteen (15) calendar days after the Effective Date, in accordance with the terms and methodology set forth in the Settlement Agreement.
- 14. It is hereby ordered that the Settlement Administrator shall distribute Individual Settlement Payments to the Settlement Class Members within seven (7) calendar days after Defendant funds the Total Settlement Amount, according to the methodology and terms set forth in the Settlement Agreement.
- 15. Each check issued to a Settlement Class Member for his or her Individual Settlement Payment shall be valid for a period of one hundred and eighty (180) calendar days from the date of issuance of the check, and after this time period, the check(s) shall be canceled. The funds associated with checks issued to Settlement Class Members that have not been cashed or deposited within the 180-day period shall be transmitted to the State Controller's Office Unclaimed Property Division in the names of the Settlement Class Members whose checks are canceled.
- 16. After entry of this Final Approval Order and Judgment, pursuant to California Rules of Court, Rule 3.769(h), the Court shall retain jurisdiction to construe, interpret, implement, and enforce the Settlement Agreement and this Final Approval Order and Judgment, to hear and resolve any contested challenge to a claim for settlement benefits, and to supervise and adjudicate any dispute arising from or in connection with the distribution of settlement benefits.
- 17. Notice of entry of this Final Approval Order and Judgment shall be given to the Class Members by posting a copy of the Final Approval Order and Judgment on Phoenix Class Action Administration Solutions' website for a period of at least sixty (60) calendar days after the date of entry of this Final Approval Order and Judgment. Individualized notice is not required.

1	
2	
3	
4	18. A Final Report Hearing is set for January 12, 2024 at 10:00 a.m. in Department
5	CX105. Class Counsel shall submit a final accounting report regarding the status of the
6	settlement administration at least sixteen (16) calendar days prior to the Final Report Hearing.
7	
8	Dated: March 9, 2023  Randall J. Shevran
9	HONORABLE RANDALL J. SHERMAN JUDGE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	