Electronically Submitted on 02/7/23 11:54 AM 11 01 6 8 2 9 9 9 7/23 11:54 AM	LIDMAN LAW, APC Scott M. Lidman (SBN 199433) slidman@lidmanlaw.com Elizabeth Nguyen (SBN 238571) enguyen@lidmanlaw.com Milan Moore (SBN 308095) mmoore@lidmanlaw.com 2155 Campus Drive, Suite 150 El Segundo, California 90245 Tel: (424) 322-4772 Fax: (424) 322-4775 Attorneys for Plaintiff	FILED Superior Court of California, County of Madera 03/03/2023 Adrienne Calip / Clerk of Court By: Beatriz Tavera, Deputy Clerk
Electronically Sub	MAGALI MARTINEZ HAINES LAW GROUP, APC Paul K. Haines (SBN 248226) phaines@haineslawgroup.com 2155 Campus Drive, Suite 180 El Segundo, California 90245 Tel: (424) 292-2350 Fax: (424) 292-2355	
13	Attorneys for Plaintiff MAGALI MARTINEZ	
14	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA	
15	FOR THE COUNTY OF MADERA	
16	MAGALI MARTINEZ, as an individual and	Case No.: MCV084692
16 17	MAGALI MARTINEZ, as an individual and on behalf of all others similarly situated,	Case No.: MCV084692 [Assigned for All Purposes to Hon. Katherine
	MAGALI MARTINEZ, as an individual and on behalf of all others similarly situated, Plaintiff,	
17 18 19	on behalf of all others similarly situated,	[Assigned for All Purposes to Hon. Katherine Rigby, Dept. 45] [PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING
17 18	on behalf of all others similarly situated, Plaintiff,	[Assigned for All Purposes to Hon. Katherine Rigby, Dept. 45] [PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING PRELIMINARY APPROVAL OF CLASS
17 18 19	on behalf of all others similarly situated, Plaintiff, vs.	[Assigned for All Purposes to Hon. Katherine Rigby, Dept. 45] [PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING PRELIMINARY APPROVAL OF CLASS ACTION SETTLEMENT Date: March 3, 2023
17 18 19 20	on behalf of all others similarly situated, Plaintiff, vs. READY ROAST NUT COMPANY, L.L.C., a California limited liability company; and DOES 1 through 100, inclusive,	[Assigned for All Purposes to Hon. Katherine Rigby, Dept. 45] [PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING PRELIMINARY APPROVAL OF CLASS ACTION SETTLEMENT
17 18 19 20 21	on behalf of all others similarly situated, Plaintiff, vs. READY ROAST NUT COMPANY, L.L.C., a California limited liability company; and	[Assigned for All Purposes to Hon. Katherine Rigby, Dept. 45] [PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING PRELIMINARY APPROVAL OF CLASS ACTION SETTLEMENT Date: March 3, 2023 Time: 9:00 a.m.
17 18 19 20 21 22	on behalf of all others similarly situated, Plaintiff, vs. READY ROAST NUT COMPANY, L.L.C., a California limited liability company; and DOES 1 through 100, inclusive,	[Assigned for All Purposes to Hon. Katherine Rigby, Dept. 45] [PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING PRELIMINARY APPROVAL OF CLASS ACTION SETTLEMENT Date: March 3, 2023 Time: 9:00 a.m.
17 18 19 20 21 22 23	on behalf of all others similarly situated, Plaintiff, vs. READY ROAST NUT COMPANY, L.L.C., a California limited liability company; and DOES 1 through 100, inclusive,	[Assigned for All Purposes to Hon. Katherine Rigby, Dept. 45] [PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING PRELIMINARY APPROVAL OF CLASS ACTION SETTLEMENT Date: March 3, 2023 Time: 9:00 a.m.
17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	on behalf of all others similarly situated, Plaintiff, vs. READY ROAST NUT COMPANY, L.L.C., a California limited liability company; and DOES 1 through 100, inclusive,	[Assigned for All Purposes to Hon. Katherine Rigby, Dept. 45] [PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING PRELIMINARY APPROVAL OF CLASS ACTION SETTLEMENT Date: March 3, 2023 Time: 9:00 a.m.
17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	on behalf of all others similarly situated, Plaintiff, vs. READY ROAST NUT COMPANY, L.L.C., a California limited liability company; and DOES 1 through 100, inclusive,	[Assigned for All Purposes to Hon. Katherine Rigby, Dept. 45] [PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING PRELIMINARY APPROVAL OF CLASS ACTION SETTLEMENT Date: March 3, 2023 Time: 9:00 a.m.

The Motion of Plaintiff Magali Martinez ("Plaintiff") for Preliminary Approval of Class Action Settlement ("Motion") came on regularly for hearing before this Court on March 3, 2023 at 9:00 a.m. in Department 45. The Court, having considered the proposed Stipulation of Class Action and PAGA Settlement (the "Settlement"), attached as **Exhibit 1** to the Declaration of Scott M. Lidman filed concurrently with the Motion; having considered Plaintiff's Motion, Memorandum of Points and Authorities in support thereof, and supporting declarations filed therewith; and good cause appearing, HEREBY ORDERS THE FOLLOWING:

1. The Court GRANTS preliminary approval of the class action settlement as set forth in the Settlement and finds it terms to be within the range of reasonableness of a settlement that ultimately could be granted approval by the Court at a Final Fairness Hearing. For purposes of the Settlement, the Court finds that the proposed Settlement Class is ascertainable and that there is a sufficiently well-defined community of interest among the members of the Settlement Class in questions of law and fact. Therefore, for settlement purposes only, the Court grants conditional certification of the following Settlement Class:

All former non-exempt employees of Defendant Ready Roast Nut Company, L.L.C. who worked for Defendant at any time in California during the period of August 23, 2016 through September 27, 2020.

- 2. For purposes of the Settlement, the Court designates named Plaintiff Magali Martinez as Class Representative, and Scott M. Lidman, Elizabeth Nguyen, and Milan Moore of Lidman Law, APC and Paul K. Haines of Haines Law Group, APC as Class Counsel.
- 3. The Court designates Phoenix Settlement Administrators ("Phoenix") as the third-party Settlement Administrator for mailing notices.
- 4. The Court approves, as to form and content, the Notice of Pendency of Class Action and Proposed Settlement and Notice of Individual Settlement Award attached to the Settlement as **Exhibit A**.
- 5. The Court finds that the form of notice to the Settlement Class regarding the pendency of the action and of the Settlement, and the methods of giving notice to Settlement Class

3

8

15

16 17

18

19 20

21 22

24

25

23

26

27 28

members, constitutes the best notice practicable under the circumstances, and constitute valid, due, and sufficient notice to all members of the Settlement Class. The form and method of giving notice complies fully with the requirements of California Code of Civil Procedure section 382, California Civil Code section 1781, California Rules of Court 3.766 and 3.769, the California and United States Constitutions, and other applicable law.

- 6. The Court further approves the procedures for Settlement Class members to opt out of or object to the Settlement, as set forth in the Notice of Pendency of Class Action and Proposed Settlement.
- 7. The procedures and requirements for filing objections in connection with the Final Fairness Hearing are intended to ensure the efficient administration of justice and the orderly presentation of any Settlement Class member's objection to the Settlement, in accordance with the due process rights of all Settlement Class members.
- 8. The Court directs the Settlement Administrator to mail the Notice of Pendency of Class Action and Proposed Settlement and Notice of Individual Settlement Award to all of the Class members in accordance with the terms of the Settlement.
- 9. The Class Notice shall provide at least 60 calendar days' notice for Settlement Class members to opt out of, or object to, the Settlement.
- 10. The Final Fairness Hearing on the question of whether the Settlement should be finally approved as fair, reasonable, and adequate is scheduled in Department 45 of this Court, located at 200 South "G" Street, Madera, California 93637 on _____ CE * • oĀ _____, 2023 at Ì **K**₩€ a.m./ p.m.
- 11. At the Final Fairness Hearing, the Court will consider: (a) whether the Settlement should be finally approved as fair, reasonable, and adequate for the Settlement Class; (b) whether a judgment granting final approval of the Settlement should be entered; and (c) whether Plaintiff's application for reasonable attorneys' fees, reimbursement of litigation expenses, service award to Plaintiff, and payment to the Labor and Workforce Development Agency ("LWDA") for penalties under the Labor Code Private Attorneys General Act ("PAGA") should be granted.

12. Counsel for the parties shall file memoranda, declarations, or other statements and materials in support of their request for final approval of the Settlement, attorneys' fees, litigation expenses, Plaintiff's service award, settlement administration costs, and payment to the LWDA for PAGA penalties prior to the Final Fairness Hearing according to the time limits set by the Code of Civil Procedure and the California Rules of Court.

13. An implementation schedule is below:

1		
Event	Date	
Defendant to provide Class Data to	15 calendar days after the Court enters an	
Settlement Administrator	order granting preliminary approval.	
Settlement Administrator to mail Notice	10 business days after receiving Class Data	
Packets to Class Members	from Defendant	
Deadline for Class Members to request exclusion from, submit disputes, or object to, the Settlement	60 calendar days after mailing of the Notice by the Settlement Administrator	
Deadline for Plaintiff to file Motion for Final Approval of Class Action Settlement (and to respond to any objections):	16 Court days before the Final Fairness Hearing	
Final Fairness Hearing:	<u>Œ**•oÂ</u> , 2023	

- 15. Pending the Final Fairness Hearing, all proceedings in this action, other than proceedings necessary to carry out or enforce the terms and conditions of the Settlement and this Order, are stayed.
- 16. Counsel for the parties are hereby authorized to utilize all reasonable procedures in connection with the administration of the Settlement which are not materially inconsistent with either this Order or the terms of the Settlement.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: T & & OH , 2023

Honorable Katherine Rigby Judge of the Superior Court

The electronic signature and sear on this document have the same validity and legal force and effect as an origina signature and court seal. California Government Code §68150(g).