ر	· ·	\smile	
1 2 3 4 5 6	Edwin Aiwazian (SBN 232943) Arby Aiwazian (SBN 269827) Joanna Ghosh (SBN 272479) Annabel Blanchard (SBN 258135) LAWYERS for JUSTICE, PC 410 West Arden Avenue, Suite 203 Glendale, California 91203 Tel: (818) 265-1020 / Fax: (818) 265-1021 <i>Attorneys for</i> Plaintiffs and the Class	SUPERIOR CO COUNTY OF SAN BERNA	L E D URT OF CALIFORNIA SAN BERNARDINO ARDHO DISTRICT 2 4 2023 A MORALES, DEPUTY
7	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA		
8	FOR THE COUNTY OF SAN BERNARDINO		
9	BRIANNA FLORES, TANIA ESTREMERA, individually, and on behalf of other members of the general public similarly situated;	Case No.: CIVDS1930814	
10		Honorable David S. Cohn Department S-26 <u>CLASS ACTION</u> [PROPOSED] FINAL APPROVAL	
11 12	DEXTER NORMAN HANSEN, individually and on behalf of other aggrieved employees pursuant to the California Private Attorneys		
12	General Act;		
14	Plaintiffs,	ORDER AND JU	
15	VS.	Date: Time:	January 20, 2023 10:00 a.m.
16	BISCOMERICA CORP., a California corporation; and DOES 1 through 100, inclusive,	Department: Complaint Filed:	S-26 October 15, 2019
17	Defendants.	FAC Filed: Trial Date:	July 28, 2021 None Set
18			
19			
20			
21 22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			
			CMENT
	[PROPOSED] FINAL APPROVAL ORDER AND JUDGMENT		

This matter has come before the Honorable David S. Cohn in Department S-26 of the
above-entitled Court, located at San Bernardino Justice Center, 247 West Third Street, San
Bernardino, California 92415, on Plaintiffs Brianna Flores, Tania Estremera, and Dexter Norman
Hansen's (together, "Plaintiffs") Motion for Final Approval of Class Action Settlement, Attorneys'
Fees and Costs, and Enhancement Awards ("Motion for Final Approval"). Lawyers *for* Justice,
PC appeared on behalf of Plaintiffs, and Varner & Brandt LLP and Lewis Brisbois Bisgaard &
Smith LLP appeared on behalf of Defendant Biscomerica Corp. ("Defendant").

On April 29, 2022, the Court entered the Order Granting Preliminary Approval of Class
Action Settlement ("Preliminary Approval Order"), thereby preliminarily approving the settlement
of the above-entitled action ("Action") in accordance with the Joint Stipulation of Class Action
and PAGA Settlement ("Settlement," "Agreement," or "Settlement Agreement"), which, together
with the exhibits annexed thereto, set forth the terms and conditions for settlement of the Action.
Having reviewed the Settlement Agreement and duly considered the parties' papers and
oral argument, and good cause appearing,

15

25

26

27

28

///

THE COURT HEREBY ORDERS, ADJUDGES, AND DECREES AS FOLLOWS:

All terms used herein shall have the same meaning as defined in the Settlement
 Agreement and the Preliminary Approval Order.

18 2. This Court has jurisdiction over the claims of the Class Members asserted in this
19 proceeding and over all parties to the Action.

3. The Court finds that the applicable requirements of California Code of Civil
Procedure section 382 and California Rule of Court 3.769, *et seq.* have been satisfied with respect
to the Class and the Settlement. The Court hereby makes final its earlier provisional certification
of the Class for settlement purposes, as set forth in the Preliminary Approval Order. The Class is
hereby defined to include:

All current and former hourly-paid and/or non-exempt employees who worked for Defendant within the State of California at any time during the period from October 15, 2015 through June 10, 2021 ("Class" or "Class Members").

4. The Notice of Class Action Settlement ("Class Notice") that was provided to the 1 2 Class Members, fully and accurately informed the Class Members of all material elements of the 3 Settlement and of their opportunity to participate in, object to or comment thereon, or to seek 4 exclusion from, the Settlement; was the best notice practicable under the circumstances; was valid. 5 due, and sufficient notice to all Class Members; and complied fully with the laws of the State of California, the United States Constitution, due process and other applicable law. The Class Notice 6 fairly and adequately described the Settlement and provided the Class Members with adequate 7 8 instructions and a variety of means to obtain additional information.

9 5. Pursuant to California law, the Court hereby grants final approval of the Settlement and finds that it is reasonable and adequate, and in the best interests of the Class as a whole. More 10 11 specifically, the Court finds that the Settlement was reached following meaningful discovery and investigation conducted by Lawyers for Justice, PC ("Class Counsel"); that the Settlement is the 12 result of serious, informed, adversarial, and arms-length negotiations between the parties; and that 13 14 the terms of the Settlement are in all respects fair, adequate, and reasonable. In so finding, the Court has considered all of the evidence presented, including evidence regarding the strength of 15 16 Plaintiffs' claims; the risk, expense, and complexity of the claims presented; the likely duration of 17 further litigation; the amount offered in the Settlement; the extent of investigation and discovery 18 completed; and the experience and views of Class Counsel. The Court has further considered the 19 absence of objections to and requests for exclusion from the Class Settlement submitted by Class 20 Members. Accordingly, the Court hereby directs that the Settlement be affected in accordance 21 with the Settlement Agreement and the following terms and conditions.

6. A full opportunity has been afforded to the Class Members to participate in the
Final Approval Hearing, and all Class Members and other persons wishing to be heard have been
heard. The Class Members also have had a full and fair opportunity to exclude themselves from
the Class Settlement. Accordingly, the Court determines that all Class Members who did not timely
and validly opt out of the Settlement ("Settlement Class Members") are bound by the Class
Settlement and by this order and judgment ("Final Approval Order and Judgment"), and the State
of California and all current and former hourly-paid and/or non-exempt employees who worked

for Defendant within the State of California at any time during the PAGA Period ("PAGA 1 Members") are bound by the PAGA Settlement and by this Final Approval Order and Judgment. 2 3 7. The Court finds that the allocation for the total amount of One Hundred Fifty Thousand Dollars (\$150,000.00), which is designated and allocated as penalties under the 4 California Private Attorneys General Act of 2004 ("PAGA Payment"), is fair, reasonable, and 5 appropriate, and hereby approved. The Settlement Administrator shall distribute the PAGA 6 Payment as follows: the amount of \$112,500.00 to the California Labor and Workforce 7 Development Agency and the amount of \$37,500.00 to PAGA Members, in accordance with the 8 terms and methodology set forth in the Settlement Agreement. 9

8. The Court finds that payment of Settlement Administration Fees in the amount of
\$11,000.00 is appropriate for the services performed and costs incurred and to be incurred for the
notice and settlement administration process. It is hereby ordered that the Settlement
Administrator, Phoenix Settlement Administrators, shall issue payment to itself in the amount of
\$11,000.00, in accordance with the terms and methodology set forth in Settlement Agreement.

9. The Court finds that the Enhancement Awards sought are fair and reasonable for
the work performed by Plaintiffs on behalf of the Class and the State of California. It is hereby
ordered that the Settlement Administrator issue payment of Enhancement Awards to Plaintiffs
Brianna Flores, Tania Estremera, and Dexter Norman Hansen in the amount of \$6,500.00 *each*(for a total of \$19,500.00), in accordance with the terms and methodology set forth in the
Settlement Agreement.

10. The Court finds that the request for attorneys' fees in the amount of \$422,329.77
to Class Counsel falls within the range of reasonableness, and the results achieved justify the award
sought. The requested attorneys' fees to Class Counsel are fair, reasonable, and appropriate, and
are hereby approved. It is hereby ordered that the Settlement Administrator issue payment in the
amount of \$422,329.77 to Class Counsel for attorneys' fees, in accordance with the terms and
methodology set forth in the Settlement Agreement.

11. The Court finds that reimbursement of litigation costs and expenses in the amount
of \$16,756.45 to Class Counsel is reasonable, and hereby approved. It is hereby ordered that the

Settlement Administrator issue payment in the amount of \$16,756.45 to Class Counsel for
 reimbursement of litigation costs and expenses, in accordance with the terms and methodology set
 forth in the Settlement Agreement.

12. The Court hereby enters Judgment by which Settlement Class Members shall be
conclusively determined to have given a release of any and all Released Claims that do not arise
under the Private Attorneys General Act, California Labor Code Section 2698, *et seq.* ("PAGA")
against the Released Parties, and all PAGA Members shall be conclusively determined to have
given a release of any and all Released Claims arising under PAGA against the Released Parties,
as set forth in the Settlement Agreement and Class Notice.

10 13. It is hereby ordered that Defendant shall deposit the Gross Settlement Amount into
11 a settlement account established by the Settlement Administrator within thirty (30) calendar days
12 after the Effective Date, in accordance with the terms and methodology set forth in the Settlement
13 Agreement.

14 14. It is hereby ordered that the Settlement Administrator shall distribute Individual
15 Settlement Payments to the Settlement Class Members and Individual PAGA Payments to PAGA
16 Members within ten (10) calendar days after the Gross Settlement Amount has been transmitted
17 by Defendant, according to the methodology and terms set forth in the Settlement Agreement.

18 15. After entry of this Final Approval Order, pursuant to California Rules of Court,
19 Rule 3.769(h), the Court shall retain jurisdiction to construe, interpret, implement, and enforce the
20 Settlement Agreement and this Final Approval Order, to hear and resolve any contested challenge
21 to a claim for settlement benefits, and to supervise and adjudicate any dispute arising from or in
22 connection with the distribution of settlement benefits.

23 ||///

- 24 ///
- 25 || ///
- 26 ///
- 27 ||///
- 28 ////

[PROPOSED] FINAL APPROVAL ORDER AND JUDGMENT

Notice of entry of this Final Approval Order shall be given to the Settlement Class 16. Members and PAGA Members by posting a copy of the Final Approval Order on Settlement Administrator's website for a period of at least sixty (60) calendar days after the date of entry of this Final Approval Order. Individualized notice is not required. 1/24/23 Dated: HONORABLE DAVID S. COHN JUDGE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT [PROPOSED] FINAL APPROVAL ORDER AND JUDGMENT