1	Kane Moon (SBN 249834)	
2	kane.moon@moonyanglaw.com H. Scott Leviant (SBN 200834)	
3	scott.leviant@moonyanglaw.com Mariam Ghazaryan (SBN 341119)	
4	mariam.ghazaryan@moonyanglaw.com MOON & YANG, APC	
5	1055 W. Seventh St., Suite 1880 Los Angeles, California 90017 Telenhares (212) 222 2128	
6	Telephone: (213) 232-3128 Facsimile: (213) 232-3125	
7	Attorneys for Plaintiff	
8	Eric J. Erickson (SBN 105663)	
9	<i>ejerickson@ww.law</i> Catherine T. Phan (SBN 311836)	
10	ctphan@ww.law WOLFE & WYMAN LLP	
11	707 Wilshire Blvd., Suite 4100 Los Angeles, California 90017	
12	Telephone: (213) 222-4900 Facsimile: (213) 222-4901	
13	Attorneys for Defendants	
14	US-Reports, Inc. dba AFIRM dba AFIRM Soluti	ons and H.W. Kaufman Financial Group, Inc.
15	SUPERIOR COURT OF T	HE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
16	COUNTY OF	LOS ANGELES
17		
18	PHYLLIS SALTER, individually, and on behalf of all others similarly situated,	Case No.: 19STCV30384
19	Plaintiff,	[Hon. Stuart Rice, Dept. 1]
20	VS.	CLASS ACTION
21	US-REPORTS, INC., a Colorado corporation	FIRST AMENDED CLASS ACTION AND PAGA SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT
22	dba AFIRM dba AFIRM SOLUTIONS; H.W. KAUFMAN FINANCIAL GROUP, INC., a	
23	Michigan corporation; and DOES 1 through 10, inclusive,	Action Filed: August 28, 2019
24	Defendants.	Trial Date: Not Set
25		
26		
27		
28		
		Page 1 Salter v. US-Reports, Inc., et al. AND PAGA SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT

1		TABLE OF CONTENTS				
2						
3	TAE	BLE OF CONTENTS		i		
4	1.	DEFINITIONS		1		
5	2.	RECITALS		5		
6	3.	MONETARY TERMS		6		
7	4.	SETTLEMENT FUNDING AND	PAYMENTS	9		
8	5.	RELEASES OF CLAIMS				
9	6.	MOTION FOR PRELIMINARY	APPROVAL			
10	7.	SETTLEMENT ADMINISTRAT	TON			
11	8.	CLASS SIZE ESTIMATES and H	ESCALATOR CLAUSE	21		
12	9.	DEFENDANTS' RIGHT TO WI	THDRAW	21		
13	10.	MOTION FOR FINAL APPROV	AL			
14	11.	AMENDED JUDGMENT.				
15	12.	ADDITIONAL PROVISIONS		24		
16						
17						
18						
19						
20						
21						
22						
23						
24						
25						
26						
27						
28						
	Cas	e No.: 19STCV30384	Page i	Salter v. US-Reports, Inc., et al.		
		FIKS1 AMENDED CL.	ASS ACTION AND PAGA SETTLE	MENI AGKEEMENI		

FIRST AMENDED CLASS ACTION AND PAGA SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT AND CLASS NOTICE

This First Amended Class Action and PAGA Settlement Agreement ("Agreement") is made by and between plaintiff PHYLLIS SALTER ("Plaintiff") and defendants US-REPORTS, INC., and H.W. KAUFMAN FINANCIAL GROUP, INC. ("Defendants"). The Agreement refers to Plaintiff and Defendants collectively as "Parties," or individually as "Party."

1. **DEFINITIONS.**

- 1.1. "Action" means the Plaintiff's lawsuit alleging wage and hour violations against
 Defendants captioned *Salter v. US-Reports, Inc.*, initiated on August 28, 20219 and
 pending in Superior Court of the State of California, County of Los Angeles.
 - 1.2. "Administrator" means Phoenix Settlement Administrators, the neutral entity the Parties have agreed to appoint to administer the Settlement.
 - 1.3. "Administration Expenses Payment" means the amount the Administrator will be paid from the Gross Settlement Amount to reimburse its reasonable fees and expenses in accordance with the Administrator's "not to exceed" bid submitted to the Court in connection with Preliminary Approval of the Settlement.
 - 1.4. "Aggrieved Employee" means all non-exempt, hourly employees of Defendants who worked for Defendants in California during the PAGA Period.
 - 1.5. "Class" means all non-exempt, hourly employees of Defendants who worked for Defendants in California during the Class Period.
 - 1.6. "Class Counsel" means Moon & Yang, APC.
- 1.7. "Class Counsel Fees Payment" and "Class Counsel Litigation Expenses Payment" mean the amounts allocated to Class Counsel for reimbursement of reasonable attorneys' fees and expenses, respectively, incurred to prosecute the Action.
- 1.8.
 "Class Data" means Class Member identifying information in Defendants' possession

 including the Class Member's name, last-known mailing address, Social Security number,

 and number of Class Period Workweeks and PAGA Pay Periods.

 Case No.: 19STCV30384
 Page 1
 Salter v. US-Reports, Inc., et al.

 FIRST AMENDED CLASS ACTION AND PAGA SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT

1	1.9.	"Class Member" or "Settlement Class Member" means a member of the Class, as either a
2		Participating Class Member or Non-Participating Class Member (including a Non-
3		Participating Class Member who qualifies as an Aggrieved Employee).
4	1.10.	"Class Member Address Search" means the Administrator's investigation and search for
5		current Class Member mailing addresses using all reasonably available sources, methods
6		and means including, but not limited to, the National Change of Address database, skip
7		traces, and direct contact by the Administrator with Class Members.
8	1.11.	"Class Notice" means the COURT APPROVED NOTICE OF CLASS ACTION
9		SETTLEMENT AND HEARING DATE FOR FINAL COURT APPROVAL, to be
10		mailed to Class Members in English (no class member requires translation to another
11		language) in the form, without material variation, attached as Exhibit A and incorporated
12		by reference into this Agreement.
13	1.12.	"Class Period" means the period from August 28, 2015 to December 31, 2022 or the date
14		upon which the Court grants Preliminary Approval of this proposed class action settlement,
15		whichever is sooner.
16	1.13.	"Class Representative" means the named Plaintiff in the operative complaint in the Action
17		seeking Court approval to serve as a Class Representative.
18	1.14.	"Class Representative Service Payment" means the payment to the Class Representative
19		for initiating the Action and providing services in support of the Action.
20	1.15.	"Court" means the Superior Court of California, County of Los Angeles.
21	1.16.	"Defendants" means named defendants US-REPORTS, INC., and H.W. KAUFMAN
22		FINANCIAL GROUP, INC.
23	1.17.	"Defense Counsel" means WOLFE & WYMAN LLP.
24	1.18.	"Effective Date" means the date by when both of the following have occurred: (a) the
25		Court enters a Judgment on its Order Granting Final Approval of the Settlement; and (b)
26		the Judgment is final. The Judgment is final as of the latest of the following occurrences:
27		(a) if no Participating Class Member objects to the Settlement, the day the Court enters
28		Judgment; (b) if one or more Participating Class Members objects to the Settlement, the
	Case No.: 19S	TCV30384 Page 2 Salter v. US-Reports, Inc., et al. FIRST AMENDED CLASS ACTION AND PAGA SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT
		FINS I AMENDED CLASS ACTION AND FAGA SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT
I		

1		day after the deadline for filing a notice of appeal from the Judgment; or if a timely appeal
2		from the Judgment is filed, the day after the appellate court affirms the Judgment and
3		issues a remittitur.
4	1.19.	"Final Approval" means the Court's order granting final approval of the Settlement.
5	1.20.	"Final Approval Hearing" means the Court's hearing on the Motion for Final Approval of
6		the Settlement.
7	1.21.	"Final Judgment" means the Judgment Entered by the Court upon Granting Final Approval
8		of the Settlement.
9	1.22.	"Gross Settlement Amount" means \$500,000.00 which is the total amount Defendants
10		agree to pay under the Settlement except as provided in Paragraph 9 below. The Gross
11		Settlement Amount will be used to pay Individual Class Payments, Individual PAGA
12		Payments, the LWDA PAGA Payment, Class Counsel Fees, Class Counsel Expenses,
13		Class Representative Service Payment and the Administrator's Expenses.
14	1.23.	"Individual Class Payment" means the Participating Class Member's pro rata share of the
15		Net Settlement Amount calculated according to the number of Workweeks worked during
16		the Class Period.
17	1.24.	"Individual PAGA Payment" means the Aggrieved Employee's pro rata share of 25% of
18		the PAGA Penalties calculated according to the number of Workweeks worked during the
19		PAGA Period.
20	1.25.	"Judgment" means the judgment entered by the Court based upon the Final Approval.
21	1.26.	"LWDA" means the California Labor and Workforce Development Agency, the agency
22		entitled, under Labor Code § 2699(i).
23	1.27.	"LWDA PAGA Payment" means the 75% of the PAGA Penalties paid to the LWDA
24		under Labor Code § 2699(i).
25	1.28.	"Net Settlement Amount" means the Gross Settlement Amount, less the following
26		payments in the amounts approved by the Court: (1) Individual PAGA Payments, (2) the
27		LWDA PAGA Payment, (3) Class Representative Service Payment, (4) Class Counsel
28		Fees Payment, (5) Class Counsel Litigation Expenses Payment, and (6) the Administration
	Case No.: 19S	
		FIRST AMENDED CLASS ACTION AND PAGA SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT

1		Expenses Payment. The remainder is to be paid to Participating Class Members as
2		Individual Class Payments.
3	1.29.	"Non-Participating Class Member" means any Class Member who opts out of the
4	1.27.	Settlement by sending the Administrator a valid and timely Request for Exclusion.
5	1.30.	"PAGA Pay Period" means any Pay Period during which an Aggrieved Employee worked
6	1.50.	for any Defendant for at least one day during the PAGA Period.
7	1.31.	"PAGA Period" means the period from August 28, 2018 to December 31, 2022 or the date
8	1.51.	upon which the Court grants Preliminary Approval of this proposed class action settlement,
9		whichever is sooner.
10	1.32.	"PAGA" means the Private Attorneys General Act (Labor Code §§ 2698. <i>et seq</i> .).
10	1.32.	"PAGA Notice" means Plaintiff's September 18, 2019 letter to Defendants and the
11	1.55.	
	1.24	LWDA providing notice pursuant to Labor Code § 2699.3(a).
13	1.34.	"PAGA Penalties" means the total amount of PAGA civil penalties to be paid from the
14		Gross Settlement Amount, allocated 25% to the Aggrieved Employees (\$15,000.00) and
15	1.25	the 75% to LWDA (\$45,000.00) in settlement of PAGA claims.
16	1.35.	"Participating Class Member" means a Class Member who does not submit a valid and
17		timely Request for Exclusion from the Settlement.
18	1.36.	"Plaintiff" means PHYLLIS SALTER, the named plaintiff in the Action.
19	1.37.	"Preliminary Approval" means the Court's Order Granting Preliminary Approval of the
20		Settlement.
21	1.38.	"Preliminary Approval Order" means the proposed Order Granting Preliminary Approval
22		and Approval of PAGA Settlement.
23	1.39.	"Released Class Claims" means the claims being released as described in Paragraph 5.2
24		below.
25	1.40.	"Released PAGA Claims" means the claims being released as described in Paragraph 5.2
26		below.
27	1.41.	"Released Parties" means: DEFENDANTS and each of their former and present directors,
28		officers, shareholders, supervisory employees, owners, members, attorneys, insurers,
	Case No.: 198	TCV30384 Page 4 Salter v. US-Reports, Inc., et al. FIRST AMENDED CLASS ACTION AND PAGA SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT
		FINS FAMILINDED CLASS ACTION AND FAGA SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT

1		predecessors, successors, assigns, subsidiaries, or affiliates.
2	1.42.	"Request for Exclusion" means a Class Member's submission of a written request to be
3		excluded from the Class Settlement signed by the Class Member.
4	1.43.	"Response Deadline" means 60 days after the Administrator mails Notice to Class
5		Members and Aggrieved Employees, and shall be the last date on which Class Members
6		may: (a) fax, email, or mail Requests for Exclusion from the Settlement, or (b) fax, email,
7		or mail his or her Objection to the Settlement. Class Members to whom Notice Packets are
8		resent after having been returned undeliverable to the Administrator shall have the longer
9		of the original Response Deadline or the date 14 calendar days after the Notice Packet is
10		resent to submit a Request for Exclusion or written Objection to the Settlement.
11	1.44.	"Settlement" means the disposition of the Action effected by this Agreement and the
12		Judgment.
13	1.45.	"Workweek" means any week during which a Class Member worked for Defendants for at
14		least one day, during the Class Period.
15		
16	2. <u>REC</u>	ITALS.
17	2.1.	On August 28, 2019, Plaintiff commenced this Action by filing a Complaint alleging
18		causes of action against Defendants, asserting that Defendants (1) Failure to pay prevailing
19		and minimum wages (Cal. Labor Code §§204, 223, 510, 1194, 1194.2, 1197, 1198); (2)
20		Failure to pay overtime wages (Cal. Labor Code §§1194 and 1198); (3) Failure to provide
21		meal periods (Cal. Labor Code §§226.7, 512, and 1198); (4) Failure to provide rest periods
22		(Cal. Labor Code §204, 203, 226.7, and 1198); (5) Failure to indemnify necessary business
23		expenses (Cal. Labor Code §2802); (6) Failure to timely pay all wages to terminated
24		employees (Cal. Labor Code §§201-203); (7) Failure to provide accurate itemized wage
25		statements (Cal. Labor Code §226); and (8) Violation of California's Unfair Competition
26		Law, Cal. Business and Professions Code § 17200 et seq. Plaintiff has exhausted the
27		requirements for asserting a PAGA cause of action against Defendants. The First
28		Amended Complaint was filed on December 12, 2022. The First Amended Complaint
	Case No.: 19S	TCV30384 Page 5 Salter v. US-Reports, Inc., et al. FIRST AMENDED CLASS ACTION AND PAGA SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT
I	I	

1			will be the operative complaint in the Action (the "Operative Complaint.") Defendants
2			deny the allegations in the Operative Complaint, deny any failure to comply with the laws
3			identified in in the Complaint or the intended Operative Complaint and deny any and all
4			liability for the causes of action alleged.
5		2.2.	Pursuant to Labor Code § 2699.3(a), Plaintiff gave timely written notice to Defendants and
6			the LWDA by sending the PAGA Notice.
7		2.3.	On October 13, 2020, the Parties participated in an all-day mediation presided over by
8			mediator Tripper Ortman, Esq. That mediation was not successful. Following additional
9			discovery, on June 3, 2022, the Parties participated in an all-day mediation presided over
10			by mediator Steve Rottman, Esq., which led to this Agreement to settle the Action.
11		2.4.	Prior to mediation, Plaintiff obtained, through both formal and informal discovery,
12			documents and information necessary to evaluate the claims in the Action, including pay
13			and time records for the Class. Plaintiff's investigation was sufficient to satisfy the criteria
14			for court approval set forth in Dunk v. Foot Locker Retail, Inc., 48 Cal. App. 4th 1794,
15			1801 (1996) and Kullar v. Foot Locker Retail, Inc., 168 Cal. App. 4th 116, 129-130 (2008)
16			("Dunk/Kullar").
17		2.5.	The Court has not granted class certification.
18		2.6.	The Parties, Class Counsel and Defense Counsel represent that they are not aware of any
19			other pending matter or action asserting claims that will be extinguished or affected by the
20			Settlement.
21			
22	3.	MON	ETARY TERMS.
23		3.1.	Gross Settlement Amount. Except as otherwise provided by Paragraph 9 below,
24			Defendants promise to pay \$500,000 and no more as the Gross Settlement Amount, and to
25			separately pay any and all employer payroll taxes owed on the Wage Portions of the
26			Individual Class Payments. Defendants have no obligation to pay the Gross Settlement
27			Amount (or any payroll taxes) prior to the deadline stated in Paragraph 6.1 of this
28			Agreement. The Administrator will disburse the entire Gross Settlement Amount without
	Case	No.: 19S	TCV30384 Page 6 Salter v. US-Reports, Inc., et al. FIRST AMENDED CLASS ACTION AND PAGA SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT
I			

1	asking	g or requiring Participating Class Members or Aggrieved Employees to submit any	
2	claim as a condition of payment. None of the Gross Settlement Amount will revert to		
3	Defendants.		
4	3.2. <u>Paym</u>	ents from the Gross Settlement Amount. The Administrator will make and deduct the	
5	follow	ving payments from the Gross Settlement Amount, in the amounts specified by the	
6	Court	in the Final Approval:	
7	3.2.1.	To Plaintiff: Class Representative Service Payment to the Class Representative of	
8		not more than \$10,000.00 (in addition to any Individual Class Payment and any	
9		Individual PAGA Payment the Class Representative is entitled to receive as a	
10		Participating Class Member). Defendants will not oppose Plaintiff's request for a	
11		Class Representative Service Payment that does not exceed this amount. As part of	
12		the motion for Class Counsel Fees Payment and Class Litigation Expenses	
13		Payment, Plaintiff will seek Court approval for any Class Representative Service	
14		Payments no later than 16 court days prior to the Final Approval Hearing. If the	
15		Court approves a Class Representative Service Payment less than the amount	
16		requested, the Administrator will retain the remainder in the Net Settlement	
17		Amount. The Administrator will pay the Class Representative Service Payment	
18		using IRS Form 1099. Plaintiff assumes full responsibility and liability for	
19		employee taxes owed on the Class Representative Service Payment.	
20	3.2.2.	To Class Counsel: A Class Counsel Fees Payment of not more than 33 and 1/3%,	
21		which is currently estimated to be \$163,333.33 and a Class Counsel Litigation	
22		Expenses Payment of not more than \$32,500.00. Defendants will not oppose	
23		requests for these payments provided that do not exceed these amounts. Plaintiff	
24		and/or Class Counsel will file a motion for Class Counsel Fees Payment and Class	
25		Litigation Expenses Payment no later than 16 court days prior to the Final	
26		Approval Hearing. If the Court approves a Class Counsel Fees Payment and/or a	
27		Class Counsel Litigation Expenses Payment less than the amounts requested, the	
28		Administrator will allocate the remainder to the Net Settlement Amount. Released	
	Case No.: 19STCV303	84 Page 7 Salter v. US-Reports, Inc., et al. FIRST AMENDED CLASS ACTION AND PAGA SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT	
	1	INSTAVIENDED CLASS ACTION AND FAGA SETTLEVIENT AGKEEMENT	
	l		

1		Parties shall have no liability to Class Counsel or any other Plaintiff's Counsel
2		arising from any claim to any portion any Class Counsel Fee Payment and/or Class
3		Counsel Litigation Expenses Payment. The Administrator will pay the Class
4		Counsel Fees Payment and Class Counsel Expenses Payment using one or more
5		IRS 1099 Forms. Class Counsel assumes full responsibility and liability for taxes
6		owed on the Class Counsel Fees Payment and the Class Counsel Litigation
7		Expenses Payment and holds Defendants harmless, and indemnifies Defendants,
8		from any dispute or controversy regarding any division or sharing of any of these
9		Payments.
10	3.2.3.	To the Administrator: An Administrator Expenses Payment not to exceed
11		\$15,000.00 except for a showing of good cause and as approved by the Court. To
12		the extent the Administration Expenses are less or the Court approves payment less
13		than \$15,000.00, the Administrator will retain the remainder in the Net Settlement
14		Amount.
15	3.2.4.	To Each Participating Class Member: An Individual Class Payment calculated by
16		(a) dividing the Net Settlement Amount by the total number of Workweeks worked
17		by all Participating Class Members during the Class Period and (b) multiplying the
18		result by each Participating Class Member's Workweeks.
19		3.2.4.1. Tax Allocation of Individual Class Payments. 25% or 1/4 of each
20		Participating Class Member's Individual Class Payment will be allocated to
21		settlement of wage claims (the "Wage Portion"). The Wage Portions are
22		subject to tax withholding and will be reported on an IRS W-2 Form. 75%
23		or 3/4 of each Participating Class Member's Individual Class Payment will
24		be allocated to settlement of claims for interest and penalties (the "Non-
25		Wage Portion"). The Non-Wage Portions are not subject to wage
26		withholdings and will be reported on IRS 1099 Forms. Participating Class
27		Members assume full responsibility and liability for any employee taxes
28		owed on their Individual Class Payment.
	Case No.: 19STCV3038	
	F	IRST AMENDED CLASS ACTION AND PAGA SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT

1	3.2.4.2. Effect of Non-Participating Class Members on Calculation of Individual
2	<u>Class Payments</u> . Non-Participating Class Members will not receive any
3	Individual Class Payments. The Administrator will retain amounts equal to
4	their Individual Class Payments in the Net Settlement Amount for
5	distribution to Participating Class Members on a pro rata basis.
6	3.2.5. <u>To the LWDA and Aggrieved Employees:</u> PAGA Penalties in the amount of
7	\$60,000.00 to be paid from the Gross Settlement Amount, with 75% (\$45,000.00)
8	allocated to the LWDA PAGA Payment and 25% (\$15,000.00) allocated to the
9	Individual PAGA Payments.
10	3.2.5.1. The Administrator will calculate each Individual PAGA Payment by (a)
11	dividing the amount of the Aggrieved Employees' 25% share of PAGA
12	Penalties (\$15,000.00) by the total number of PAGA Period Pay Periods
13	worked by all Aggrieved Employees during the PAGA Period and (b)
14	multiplying the result by each Aggrieved Employee's PAGA Period Pay
15	Periods. Aggrieved Employees assume full responsibility and liability for
16	any taxes owed on their Individual PAGA Payment.
17	3.2.5.2. If the Court approves PAGA Penalties of less than the amount requested,
18	the Administrator will allocate the remainder to the Net Settlement
19	Amount. The Administrator will report the Individual PAGA Payments on
20	IRS 1099 Forms.
21	
22	4. <u>SETTLEMENT FUNDING AND PAYMENTS.</u>
23	4.1. <u>Class Workweeks and Aggrieved Employee Pay Periods</u> . Based on a review of its records
24	to date, Defendants estimate there are 321 Class Members who collectively worked an
25	estimated total of 31,500 Workweeks, and 176 Aggrieved Employees who worked an
26	estimated total of 12,400 PAGA Pay Periods.
27	4.2. <u>Class Data</u> . Not later than 14 days after the Court grants Preliminary Approval of the
28	Settlement, Defendants will deliver the Class Data to the Administrator, in the form of a
	Case No.: 19STCV30384 Page 9 Salter v. US-Reports, Inc., et al.
	FIRST AMENDED CLASS ACTION AND PAGA SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT

1		Microsoft Excel spreadsheet. To protect Class Members' privacy rights, the Administrator
2		must maintain the Class Data in confidence, use the Class Data only for purposes of this
3		Settlement and for no other purpose, and restrict access to the Class Data to Administrator
4		employees who need access to the Class Data to effect and perform under this Agreement.
5		Defendants have a continuing duty to immediately notify Class Counsel if they discover
6		that the Class Data omitted class member identifying information and to provide corrected
7		or updated Class Data as soon as reasonably feasible. Without any extension of the
8		deadline by which Defendants must send the Class Data to the Administrator, the Parties
9		and their counsel will expeditiously use best efforts, in good faith, to reconstruct or
10		otherwise resolve any issues related to missing or omitted Class Data.
11	4.3.	Funding of Gross Settlement Amount. Defendants shall fully fund the Gross Settlement
12		Amount, and also fund the amounts necessary to fully pay Defendants' share of payroll
13		taxes by transmitting the funds to the Administrator no later than 14 days after the
14		Effective Date.
15	4.4.	Payments from the Gross Settlement Amount. Within 14 days after Defendants fund the
16		Gross Settlement Amount, the Administrator will mail checks for all Individual Class
17		Payments, all Individual PAGA Payments, the LWDA PAGA Payment, the
18		Administration Expenses Payment, the Class Counsel Fees Payment, the Class Counsel
19		Litigation Expenses Payment, and the Class Representative Service Payment.
20		Disbursement of the Class Counsel Fees Payment, the Class Counsel Litigation Expenses
21		Payment and the Class Representative Service Payment shall not precede disbursement of
22		Individual Class Payments and Individual PAGA Payments.
23		4.4.1. The Administrator will issue checks for the Individual Class Payments and/or
24		Individual PAGA Payments and send them to the Class Members via First Class
25		U.S. Mail, postage prepaid. The face of each check shall prominently state the
26		date when the check will be voided, which date shall be 180 days after the date of
27		mailing. The Administrator will cancel all checks not cashed by the void date. The
28		Administrator will send checks for Individual Settlement Payments to all
	Case No.: 19S	TCV30384Page 10Salter v. US-Reports, Inc., et al.FIRST AMENDED CLASS ACTION AND PAGA SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT
		FINS I AMENDED CLASS ACTIONAND I AGA SE I I LEMENT AGREEMENT
l	I	

1		Participating Class Members (including those for whom Class Notice was returned
2		undelivered). The Administrator will send checks for Individual PAGA Payments
3		to all Aggrieved Employees including Non-Participating Class Members who
4		qualify as Aggrieved Employees (including those for whom Class Notice was
5		returned undelivered). The Administrator may send Participating Class Members a
6		single check combining the Individual Class Payment and the Individual PAGA
7		Payment. Before mailing any checks, the Settlement Administrator must update
8		the recipients' mailing addresses using the National Change of Address Database.
9	4.4.2.	The Administrator must conduct a Class Member Address Search for all other
10		Class Members whose checks are retuned undelivered without USPS forwarding
11		address. Within seven days of receiving a returned check the Administrator must
12		re-mail checks to the USPS forwarding address provided or to an address
13		ascertained through the Class Member Address Search. The Administrator need
14		not take further steps to deliver checks to Class Members whose re-mailed checks
15		are returned as undelivered. The Administrator shall promptly send a replacement
16		check to any Class Member whose original check was lost or misplaced, requested
17		by the Class Member prior to the void date.
18	4.4.3.	For any Class Member whose Individual Class Payment check or Individual
19		PAGA Payment check is uncashed and cancelled after the void date, the
20		Administrator shall transmit the funds represented by such checks to the California
21		Controller's Unclaimed Property Fund in the name of the Class Member thereby
22		leaving no "unpaid residue" subject to the requirements of California Code of Civil
23		Procedure § 384(b).
24	4.4.4.	The payment of Individual Class Payments and Individual PAGA Payments shall
25		not obligate Defendants to confer any additional benefits or make any additional
26		payments to Class Members (such as 401(k) contributions or bonuses) beyond
27		those specified in this Agreement.
28		
	Case No.: 19STCV3038	
	F	IRST AMENDED CLASS ACTION AND PAGA SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT

5. <u>RELEASES OF CLAIMS.</u>

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Effective on the date when Defendants fully fund the entire Gross Settlement Amount and funds all employer payroll taxes owed on the Wage Portion of the Individual Class Payments, Plaintiff, Class Members, and Class Counsel will release claims against all Released Parties as follows:

5.1. <u>Plaintiff's Release</u>.

5.1.1. <u>Scope of Plaintiff's Release</u>. Plaintiff and his or her respective former and present spouses, representatives, agents, attorneys, heirs, administrators, successors, and assigns generally, release and discharge Released Parties from any and all charges, complaints, claims, liabilities, obligations, promises, agreements, controversies, damages, actions, causes of action, suits, rights, demands, costs, losses, debts, and expenses (including back wages, statutory penalties, civil penalties, liquidated damages, exemplary damages, interest, attorneys' fees, and costs) of any nature whatsoever, from the beginning of time through the execution of this Stipulation, whether known or unknown, suspected or unsuspected, including but not limited to all claims arising out of, based upon, or relating to Class Representative's employment with Defendants or the remuneration for or termination of such employment. ("Plaintiff's Release.") Plaintiff's Release does not extend to any claims or actions to enforce this Agreement, or to any claims for vested benefits, unemployment benefits, disability benefits, social security benefits, or workers' compensation benefits that arose at any time. Plaintiff acknowledges that Plaintiff may discover facts or law different from, or in addition to, the facts or law that Plaintiff now knows or believes to be true but agrees, nonetheless, that Plaintiff's Release shall be and remain effective in all respects, notwithstanding such different or additional facts or Plaintiff's discovery of them. 5.1.2. Plaintiff's Waiver of Rights Under California Civil Code § 1542. For purposes of

Plaintiff's Release, Plaintiff expressly waives and relinquishes the provisions, rights, and benefits, if any, of Section 1542 of the California Civil Code, which reads:

Case No.: 19STCV30384 Page 12 Salter v. U FIRST AMENDED CLASS ACTION AND PAGA SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT

1	A general release does not extend to claims that the creditor or
2	releasing party does not know or suspect to exist in his or her favor at the time of executing the release, and that if known by him or her would have metavially affected his on her settlement with the debter
3	would have materially affected his or her settlement with the debtor or Released Party.
4	5.2. <u>Release by Participating Class Members:</u> All Participating Class Members, on behalf of
5	themselves and their respective former and present representatives, agents, attorneys, heirs,
6	administrators, successors, and assigns, release Released Parties from (i) all claims that
7	were alleged, or reasonably could have been alleged, based on the Class Period facts stated
8	in the Operative Complaint. Except as set forth in Section 5.3 of this Agreement,
9	Participating Class Members do not release any other claims, including claims for vested
10	benefits, wrongful termination, violation of the Fair Employment and Housing Act,
11	unemployment insurance, disability, social security, workers' compensation, or claims
12	based on facts occurring outside the Class Period.
13	5.3. <u>Release by Class Members Who Are Aggrieved Employees:</u> All Class Members who are
14	Aggrieved Employees are deemed to release, on behalf of themselves and their respective
15	former and present representatives, agents, attorneys, heirs, administrators, successors, and
16	assigns, the Released Parties from all claims for PAGA penalties that were alleged, or
17	reasonably could have been alleged, based on the PAGA Period facts stated in the
18	Operative Complaint and any PAGA Notices attached hereto as Exhibit B.
19	
20	6. <u>MOTION FOR PRELIMINARY APPROVAL.</u>
21	The Parties agree to jointly prepare and file a motion for preliminary approval ("Motion for Preliminary
22	Approval") that complies with the Court's current checklist for Preliminary Approvals.
23	6.1. <u>Defendants' Declaration in Support of Preliminary Approval</u> . Within seven days of the
24	full execution of this Agreement, Defendants will prepare and deliver to Class Counsel
25	signed Declarations from Defendants and Defense Counsel disclosing any facts relevant to
26	any actual or potential conflicts of interest with the Administrator or simply stating that
27	there are no actual or potential conflicts of interest with the Administrator. In their
28	Declarations, Defense Counsel and Defendants shall aver that they are not aware of any
	Case No.: 19STCV30384 Page 13 Salter v. US-Reports, Inc., et al. FIRST AMENDED CLASS ACTION AND PAGA SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT
	FINS I AIVIENDED CLASS ACTIVINAND FAGA SETI LEIVIENT AGKEEIVIENT

other pending matter or action asserting claims that will be extinguished or adversely affected by the Settlement.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Case No.: 19STCV30384

6.2. Plaintiff's Responsibilities. Plaintiff will prepare and deliver to Defense Counsel all documents necessary for obtaining Preliminary Approval, including: (i) a draft of the notice, and memorandum in support, of the Motion for Preliminary Approval that includes an analysis of the Settlement under Dunk/Kullar and a request for approval of the PAGA Settlement under Labor Code § 2699(f)(2)); (ii) a draft proposed Order Granting Preliminary Approval and Approval of PAGA Settlement; (iii) a draft proposed Class Notice; (iv) a signed declaration from the Administrator attaching its "not to exceed" bid for administering the Settlement and attesting to its willingness to serve; competency; operative procedures for protecting the security of Class Data; amounts of insurance coverage for any data breach, defalcation of funds or other misfeasance; all facts relevant to any actual or potential conflicts of interest with Class Members; and the nature and extent of any financial relationship with Plaintiff, Class Counsel or Defense Counsel; (v) a signed declaration from Plaintiff confirming willingness and competency to serve and disclosing all facts relevant to any actual or potential conflicts of interest with Class Members or the Administrator; (v) a signed declaration from Class Counsel attesting to its competency to represent the Class Members; its timely transmission to the LWDA of all necessary PAGA documents (initial notice of violations (Labor Code § 2699.3(a)), Operative Complaint (Labor Code § 2699(l)(1)), this Agreement (Labor Code § 2699(l)(2); and, (vi) all facts relevant to any actual or potential conflict of interest with Class Members or the Administrator. In their Declarations, Plaintiff and Class Counsel shall aver that they are not aware of any other pending matter or action asserting claims that will be extinguished or adversely affected by the Settlement. Responsibilities of Counsel. Class Counsel and Defense Counsel are jointly responsible 6.3. for expeditiously finalizing and filing the Motion for Preliminary Approval no later than 60

Motion for Preliminary Approval; and for appearing in Court to advocate in favor of the

days after the full execution of this Agreement; obtaining a prompt hearing date for the

Salter v. US-Reports, Inc., et al.

Page 14 FIRST AMENDED CLASS ACTION AND PAGA SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT

Motion for Preliminary Approval. Class Counsel is responsible for delivering the Court's 1 2 Preliminary Approval to the Administrator. 3 6.4. Duty to Cooperate. If the Parties disagree on any aspect of the proposed Motion for 4 Preliminary Approval and/or the supporting declarations and documents, Class Counsel 5 and Defense Counsel will expeditiously work together on behalf of the Parties by meeting in person or by telephone, and in good faith, to resolve the disagreement. If the Court does 6 7 not grant Preliminary Approval or conditions Preliminary Approval on any material 8 change to this Agreement, Class Counsel and Defense Counsel will expeditiously work 9 together on behalf of the Parties by meeting in person or by telephone, and in good faith, to 10 modify the Agreement and otherwise satisfy the Court's concerns. 11 12 **SETTLEMENT ADMINISTRATION.** 7. 13 7.1. Selection of Administrator. The Parties have jointly selected Phoenix Settlement 14 Administrators to serve as the Administrator and verified that, as a condition of 15 appointment, Phoenix Settlement Administrators agrees to be bound by this Agreement 16 and to perform, as a fiduciary, all duties specified in this Agreement in exchange for 17 payment of Administration Expenses. The Parties and their Counsel represent that they 18 have no interest or relationship, financial or otherwise, with the Administrator other than a 19 professional relationship arising out of prior experiences administering settlements. 7.2. 20 Employer Identification Number. The Administrator shall have and use its own Employer 21 Identification Number for purposes of calculating payroll tax withholdings and providing 22 reports state and federal tax authorities. 23 7.3. Qualified Settlement Fund. The Administrator shall establish a settlement fund that meets the requirements of a Qualified Settlement Fund ("QSF") under US Treasury Regulation § 24 468B-1. 25 26 7.4. Notice to Class Members. 27 7.4.1. No later than five business days after receipt of the Class Data, the Administrator 28 shall notify Class Counsel that the list has been received and state the number of Case No.: 19STCV30384 Page 15 Salter v. US-Reports, Inc., et al. FIRST AMENDED CLASS ACTION AND PAGA SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT

Class Members, PAGA Members, Workweeks, and Pay Periods in the Class Data.

- 7.4.2. Using best efforts to perform as soon as possible, and in no event later than 14 days after receiving the Class Data, the Administrator will send to all Class Members identified in the Class Data, via first-class United States Postal Service ("USPS") mail, the Class Notice (with Spanish translation, if applicable) substantially in the form attached to this Agreement as Exhibit A. The first page of the Class Notice shall prominently estimate the dollar amounts of any Individual Class Payment and/or Individual PAGA Payment payable to the Class Member, and the number of Workweeks and PAGA Pay Periods used to calculate these amounts. Before mailing Class Notices, the Administrator shall update Class Member addresses using the National Change of Address database.
- 7.4.3. Not later than three business days after the Administrator's receipt of any Class Notice returned by the USPS as undelivered, the Administrator shall re-mail the Class Notice using any forwarding address provided by the USPS. If the USPS does not provide a forwarding address, the Administrator shall conduct a Class Member Address Search, and re-mail the Class Notice to the most current address obtained. The Administrator has no obligation to make further attempts to locate or send Class Notice to Class Members whose Class Notice is returned by the USPS a second time.

7.4.4. The deadlines for Class Members' written objections, Challenges to Workweeks and/or Pay Periods, and Requests for Exclusion will be the longer of the Response Deadline or 14 days from when the Class Notice is re-mailed. The Administrator will inform the Class Member of the extended deadline with the re-mailed Class Notice.

7.4.5. If the Administrator, Defendants or Class Counsel are contacted by or otherwise discover any persons who believe they should have been included in the Class Data and should have received Class Notice, the Parties will expeditiously meet and confer in person or by telephone, and in good faith. in an effort to agree on whether

Case No.: 19STCV30384 Page 16 Salter v. US-Reports, Inc., et al. FIRST AMENDED CLASS ACTION AND PAGA SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT

1		to include them as Class Members. If the Parties agree, such persons will be Class			
2		Members entitled to the same rights as other Class Members, and the			
3	Administrator will send, via email or overnight delivery, a Class Notice requiring				
4	them to exercise options under this Agreement not later than 14 days after receipt				
5		of Class Notice, or the deadline dates in the Class Notice, which ever are later.			
6	7.5. <u>Reque</u>	sts for Exclusion (Opt-Outs).			
7	7.5.1.	Class Members who wish to exclude themselves (opt-out of) the Class Settlement			
8		must send the Administrator, by fax, email, or mail, a signed written Request for			
9		Exclusion not later than 60 days after the Administrator mails the Class Notice, or			
10		as otherwise extended for re-mailed Class Notices as described herein. A Request			
11		for Exclusion is a letter from a Class Member or his/her representative that			
12		reasonably communicates the Class Member's election to be excluded from the			
13		Settlement and includes the Class Member's name, address and email address or			
14		telephone number. To be valid, a Request for Exclusion must be timely faxed,			
15		emailed, or postmarked by the Response Deadline, subject to extension for			
16		remailed Class Notices as described herein.			
17	7.5.2.	The Administrator may not reject a Request for Exclusion as invalid because it			
18		fails to contain all the information specified in the Class Notice. The Administrator			
19		shall accept any Request for Exclusion as valid if the Administrator can reasonably			
20		ascertain the identity of the person as a Class Member and the Class Member's			
21		desire to be excluded. The Administrator's determination shall be final and not			
22		appealable or otherwise susceptible to challenge. If the Administrator has reason to			
23	question the authenticity of a Request for Exclusion, the Administrator may				
24		demand additional proof of the Class Member's identity. The Administrator's			
25		determination of authenticity shall be final and not appealable or otherwise			
26		susceptible to challenge.			
27	7.5.3.	Every Class Member who does not submit a timely and valid Request for			
28		Exclusion is deemed to be a Participating Class Member under this Agreement,			
	Case No.: 19STCV3038				
	F	RST AMENDED CLASS ACTION AND PAGA SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT			

1		entitled to all benefits and bound by all terms and conditions of the Settlement,	
2	including the Participating Class Members' Releases under Paragraphs 5.2 and 5.3		
3	of this Agreement, regardless whether the Participating Class Member actually		
4	receives the Class Notice or objects to the Settlement.		
5	7	7.5.4. Every Class Member who submits a valid and timely Request for Exclusion is a	
6		Non-Participating Class Member and shall not receive an Individual Class	
7		Payment or have the right to object to the class action components of the	
8		Settlement. Because future PAGA claims are subject to claim preclusion upon	
9		entry of the Judgment, Non-Participating Class Members who are Aggrieved	
10		Employees are deemed to release the claims identified in Paragraph 5.3 of this	
11		Agreement and are eligible for an Individual PAGA Payment.	
12	7.6. <u>(</u>	Challenges to Calculation of Workweeks. Each Class Member shall have 60 days after the	
13	l A	Administrator mails the Class Notice, or as otherwise extended for re-mailed Class Notices	
14	а	as described herein, to challenge the number of Class Workweeks and PAGA Pay Periods	
15	(if any) allocated to the Class Member in the Class Notice. The Class Member may		
16	challenge the allocation by communicating with the Administrator via fax, email or mail.		
17	The Administrator must encourage the challenging Class Member to submit supporting		
18	documentation. In the absence of any contrary documentation, the Administrator is		
19	entitled to presume that the Workweeks contained in the Class Notice are correct so long		
20	as they are consistent with the Class Data. The Administrator's determination of each		
21	Class Member's allocation of Workweeks and/or Pay Periods shall be final and not		
22	appealable or otherwise susceptible to challenge. The Administrator shall promptly		
23	provide copies of all challenges to calculation of Workweeks and/or Pay Periods to		
24	Defense Counsel and Class Counsel and the Administrator's determination the challenge		
25	7.7. <u>(</u>	Objections to Settlement.	
26	7	7.7.1. Only Participating Class Members may object to the class action components of	
27		the Settlement and/or this Agreement, including contesting the fairness of the	
28		Settlement, and/or amounts requested for the Class Counsel Fees Payment, Class	
	Case No.: 19STC	V30384 Page 18 Salter v. US-Reports, Inc., et al. FIRST AMENDED CLASS ACTION AND PAGA SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT	
		FIRST AMENDED CLASS ACTION AND PAGA SETTLEMENT AGKEEMENT	

1		Counsel Litigation Expenses Payment and/or Class Representative Service
2	2 Payment.	
3	7.7.2.	Participating Class Members may send written objections to the Administrator, by
4		fax, email, or mail. In the alternative, Participating Class Members may appear in
5		Court (or hire an attorney to appear in Court) to present verbal objections at the
6		Final Approval Hearing. A Participating Class Member who elects to send a
7		written objection to the Administrator must do so not later than the Response
8		Deadline, or as otherwise extended for re-mailed Class Notices as described herein.
9	7.7.3.	Non-Participating Class Members have no right to object to any of the class action
10		components of the Settlement.
11	7.8. <u>Admir</u>	nistrator Duties. The Administrator has a duty to perform or observe all tasks to be
12	perfor	med or observed by the Administrator contained in this Agreement or otherwise.
13	7.8.1.	Website, Email Address and Toll-Free Number. The Administrator will establish
14		and maintain and use an internet website to post information of interest to Class
15	Members including the date, time and location for the Final Approval Hearing a	
16	copies of the Settlement Agreement, Motion for Preliminary Approval, the	
17	Preliminary Approval, the Class Notice, the Motion for Final Approval, the Motion	
18		for Class Counsel Fees Payment, Class Counsel Litigation Expenses Payment and
19		Class Representative Service Payment, the Final Approval and the Judgment. The
20		Administrator will also maintain and monitor an email address and a toll-free
21		telephone number to receive Class Member calls, faxes and emails.
22	7.8.2.	Requests for Exclusion (Opt-outs) and Exclusion List. The Administrator will
23	promptly review on a rolling basis Requests for Exclusion to ascertain their	
24		validity. Not later than seven days after the expiration of the deadline for
25	submitting Requests for Exclusion, the Administrator shall email a list to Class	
26		Counsel and Defense Counsel containing (a) the names and other identifying
27		information of Class Members who have timely submitted valid Requests for
28		Exclusion ("Exclusion List"); (b) the names and other identifying information of
	Case No.: 19STCV3038	4 Page 19 Salter v. US-Reports, Inc., et al. IRST AMENDED CLASS ACTION AND PAGA SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT
	F.	IND I AIVIEINDED ULADD AU HUN AND FAGA DE I I LEMIEN I AGKEEMEN I

1		Class Members who have submitted invalid Requests for Exclusion; (c) copies of
2		all Requests for Exclusion from Settlement submitted (whether valid or invalid).
3	7.8.3.	Weekly Reports. The Administrator must, on a weekly basis, provide written
4		reports to Class Counsel and Defense Counsel that, among other things, tally the
5		number of: Class Notices mailed or re-mailed, Class Notices returned undelivered,
6		Requests for Exclusion (whether valid or invalid) received, objections received,
7		challenges to Workweeks and/or Pay Periods received and/or resolved, and checks
8		mailed for Individual Class Payments and Individual PAGA Payments ("Weekly
9		Report"). The Weekly Reports must include provide the Administrator's
10		assessment of the validity of Requests for Exclusion and attach copies of all
11		Requests for Exclusion and objections received.
12	7.8.4.	Workweek and/or Pay Period Challenges. The Administrator has the authority to
13		address and make final decisions consistent with the terms of this Agreement on all
14		Class Member challenges over the calculation of Workweeks and/or Pay Periods.
15		The Administrator's decision shall be final and not appealable or otherwise
16	susceptible to challenge.	
17	7.8.5.	Administrator's Declaration. Not later than 14 days before the date by which
18		Plaintiff is required to file the Motion for Final Approval of the Settlement, the
19		Administrator will provide to Class Counsel and Defense Counsel, a signed
20		declaration suitable for filing in Court attesting to its due diligence and compliance
21		with all of its obligations under this Agreement, including, but not limited to, its
22		mailing of Class Notice, the Class Notices returned as undelivered, the re-mailing
23		of Class Notices, attempts to locate Class Members, the total number of Requests
24		for Exclusion from Settlement it received (both valid or invalid), the number of
25		written objections and a list of the names of all individuals requesting exclusion. If
26		names, alone, are insufficient to uniquely identify the Class Members requesting
27		exclusion, the last four digits of a social security number or tax ID number will be
28		included with the name to permit unique identification. The Administrator will
	Case No.: 19STCV3038	
	FI	IRST AMENDED CLASS ACTION AND PAGA SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT

supplement its declaration as needed or requested by the Parties and/or the Court.
Class Counsel is responsible for filing the Administrator's declaration(s) in Court.
7.8.6. Final Report by Settlement Administrator. Within 14 days after the Administrator disburses all funds in the Gross Settlement Amount, the Administrator will provide Class Counsel and Defense Counsel with a final report detailing its disbursements by employee identification number only of all payments made under this Agreement. At least 14 days before any deadline set by the Court, the Administrator will prepare, and submit to Class Counsel and Defense Counsel, a signed declaration suitable for filing in Court attesting to its disbursement of all payments required under this Agreement. Class Counsel is responsible for filing the Administrator's declaration in Court.

8. <u>CLASS SIZE ESTIMATES and ESCALATOR CLAUSE</u>

Based on its records, Defendants estimate that, as of the date of this Settlement Agreement, (1) there are 321 Class Members and 31,500 total Workweeks during the Class period and (2) there were 176 Aggrieved Employees who worked 12,400 Pay Periods during the PAGA Period. If the total number of Workweeks during the Class Period exceeds 31,500 by more than 10%, then the Gross Settlement Amount shall be increased on a pro rata basis per Workweek for each addition Workweek above the 10% escalation margin.

9. <u>DEFENDANTS' RIGHT TO WITHDRAW.</u>

 If the number of valid Requests for Exclusion identified in the Exclusion List exceeds 10% of the total of all Class Members, Defendants may, but are not obligated, elect to withdraw from the Settlement. The Parties agree that, if Defendants withdraw, the Settlement shall be void ab initio, have no force or effect whatsoever, and that neither Party will have any further obligation to perform under this Agreement; provided, however, Defendants will remain responsible for paying all Settlement Administration Expenses incurred to that point. Defendants must notify Class Counsel and the Court of their election to withdraw not later than seven days after the Administrator sends the final Exclusion List to Defense

 Case No.: 19STCV30384
 Page 21
 Salter v. US-Reports, Inc., et al.

 FIRST AMENDED CLASS ACTION AND PAGA SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT

Counsel; late elections will have no effect.

2 3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

23

24

25

26

27

28

1

10. MOTION FOR FINAL APPROVAL.

Not later than 16 court days before the calendared Final Approval Hearing, Plaintiff will file in Court, a motion for final approval of the Settlement that includes a request for approval of the PAGA settlement under Labor Code § 2699(*l*), a Proposed Final Approval Order and a proposed Judgment (collectively "Motion for Final Approval"). Plaintiff shall provide drafts of these documents to Defense Counsel not later than three days prior to filing the Motion for Final Approval. Class Counsel and Defense Counsel will expeditiously meet and confer in person, by email or by telephone, and in good faith, to resolve any disagreements concerning the Motion for Final Approval.

- 10.1. <u>Response to Objections</u>. Each Party retains the right to respond to any objection raised by a Participating Class Member, including the right to file responsive documents in Court no later that nine court days prior to the Final Approval Hearing, or as otherwise ordered or accepted by the Court.
- 15 10.2. Duty to Cooperate. If the Court does not grant Final Approval or conditions Final 16 Approval on any material change to the Settlement (including, but not limited to, the scope 17 of release to be granted by Class Members), the Parties will expeditiously work together in 18 good faith to address the Court's concerns by revising the Agreement as necessary to 19 obtain Final Approval. The Court's decision to award less than the amounts requested for 20 the Class Representative Service Payment, Class Counsel Fees Payment, Class Counsel 21 Litigation Expenses Payment and/or Administrator Expenses Payment shall not constitute 22 a material modification to the Agreement within the meaning of this paragraph.
 - 10.3. <u>Continuing Jurisdiction of the Court</u>. The Parties agree that, after entry of Judgment, the Court will retain jurisdiction over the Parties, Action, and the Settlement solely for purposes of (i) enforcing this Agreement and/or Judgment, (ii) addressing settlement administration matters, and (iii) addressing such post-Judgment matters as are permitted by law.

Case No.: 19STCV30384

10.4. <u>Waiver of Right to Appeal</u>. Provided the Judgment is consistent with the terms and

conditions of this Agreement, specifically including the Class Counsel Fees Payment and
Class Counsel Litigation Expenses Payment reflected set forth in this Settlement, the
Parties, their respective counsel, and all Participating Class Members who did not object to
the Settlement as provided in this Agreement, waive all rights to appeal from the
Judgment, including all rights to post-judgment and appellate proceedings, the right to file
motions to vacate judgment, motions for new trial, extraordinary writs, and appeals. The
waiver of appeal does not include any waiver of the right to oppose such motions, writs or
appeals. If an objector appeals the Judgment, the Parties' obligations to perform under this
Agreement will be suspended until such time as the appeal is finally resolved and the
Judgment becomes final, except as to matters that do not affect the amount of the Net

10.5. <u>Appellate Court Orders to Vacate, Reverse, or Materially Modify Judgment.</u> If the reviewing Court vacates, reverses, or modifies the Judgment in a manner that requires a material modification of this Agreement (including, but not limited to, the scope of release to be granted by Class Members), this Agreement shall be null and void. The Parties shall nevertheless expeditiously work together in good faith to address the appellate court's concerns and to obtain Final Approval and entry of Judgment, sharing, on a 50-50 basis, any additional Administration Expenses reasonably incurred after remittitur. An appellate decision to vacate, reverse, or modify the Court's award of the Class Representative Service Payment or any payments to Class Counsel shall not constitute a material modification of the Judgment within the meaning of this paragraph, as long as the Gross Settlement Amount remains unchanged.

11. <u>AMENDED JUDGMENT.</u>

If any amended judgment is required under Code of Civil Procedure § 384, the Parties will work together in good faith to jointly submit and a proposed amended judgment.

Case No.: 19STCV30384	Page 23	Salter v. US-Reports, Inc., et al.
FIRST AMENDED CL	LASS ACTION AND PAGA SETTLEMEN	NT AGREEMENT

12. <u>ADDITIONAL PROVISIONS.</u>

1

2 No Admission of Liability, Class Certification or Representative Manageability for Other 12.1. 3 Purposes. This Agreement represents a compromise and settlement of highly disputed 4 claims. Nothing in this Agreement is intended or should be construed as an admission by 5 Defendants that any of the allegations in the Operative Complaint have merit or that Defendants have any liability for any claims asserted; nor should it be intended or 6 7 construed as an admission by Plaintiff that Defendants' defenses in the Action have merit. 8 The Parties agree that class certification and representative treatment is for purposes of this 9 Settlement only. If, for any reason the Court does grant Preliminary Approval, Final 10 Approval or enter Judgment, Defendants reserve the right to contest certification of any 11 class for any reasons, and Defendants reserve all available defenses to the claims in the 12 Action, and Plaintiff reserves the right to move for class certification on any grounds 13 available and to contest Defendants' defenses. The Settlement, this Agreement and Parties' willingness to settle the Action will have no bearing on, and will not be admissible in 14 15 connection with, any litigation (except for proceedings to enforce or effectuate the 16 Settlement and this Agreement). 17 12.2. Confidentiality Prior to Preliminary Approval. Plaintiff, Class Counsel, Defendants and 18 Defense Counsel separately agree that, until the Motion for Preliminary Approval of 19 Settlement is filed, they and each of them will not disclose, disseminate and/or publicize, 20 or cause or permit another person to disclose, disseminate or publicize, any of the terms of 21 the Agreement directly or indirectly, specifically or generally, to any person, corporation, 22 association, government agency, or other entity except: (1) to the Parties' attorneys, 23 accountants, or spouses, all of whom will be instructed to keep this Agreement 24 confidential; (2) counsel in a related matter; (3) to the extent necessary to report income to 25 appropriate taxing authorities; (4) in response to a court order or subpoena; or (5) in 26 response to an inquiry or subpoena issued by a state or federal government agency. Each 27 Party agrees to immediately notify each other Party of any judicial or agency order, inquiry, or subpoena seeking such information. Plaintiff, Class Counsel, Defendants and 28 Case No.: 19STCV30384 Page 24 Salter v. US-Reports, Inc., et al. FIRST AMENDED CLASS ACTION AND PAGA SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT

1		Defense Counsel separately agree not to, directly or indirectly, initiate any conversation or
2		other communication, before the filing of the Motion for Preliminary Approval, any with
3		third party regarding this Agreement or the matters giving rise to this Agreement except to
4		respond only that "the matter was resolved," or words to that effect. This paragraph does
5		not restrict Class Counsel's communications with Class Members in accordance with Class
6		Counsel's ethical obligations owed to Class Members.
7	12.3.	No Solicitation. The Parties separately agree that they and their respective counsel and
8		employees will not solicit any Class Member to opt out of or object to the Settlement, or
9		appeal from the Judgment. Nothing in this paragraph shall be construed to restrict Class
10		Counsel's ability to communicate with Class Members in accordance with Class Counsel's
11		ethical obligations owed to Class Members.
12	12.4.	Integrated Agreement. Upon execution by all Parties and their counsel, this Agreement
13		together with its attached exhibits shall constitute the entire agreement between the Parties
14	relating to the Settlement, superseding any and all oral representations, warranties,	
15		covenants, or inducements made to or by any Party.
16	12.5.	Attorney Authorization. Class Counsel and Defense Counsel separately warrant and
17		represent that they are authorized by Plaintiff and Defendants, respectively, to take all
18	appropriate action required or permitted to be taken by such Parties pursuant to this	
19		Agreement to effectuate its terms, and to execute any other documents reasonably required
20		to effectuate the terms of this Agreement including any amendments to this Agreement.
21	12.6.	Cooperation. The Parties and their counsel will cooperate with each other and use their
22		best efforts, in good faith, to implement the Settlement by, among other things, modifying
23		the Settlement Agreement, submitting supplemental evidence and supplementing points
24		and authorities as requested by the Court. In the event the Parties are unable to agree upon
25		the form or content of any document necessary to implement the Settlement, or on any
26		modification of the Agreement that may become necessary to implement the Settlement,
27		the Parties will seek the assistance of a mediator and/or the Court for resolution.
28	12.7.	No Prior Assignments. The Parties separately represent and warrant that they have not
	Case No.: 1987	
		FIRST AMENDED CLASS ACTION AND PAGA SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT

1		directly or indirectly assigned, transferred, encumbered, or purported to assign, transfer, or
2		encumber to any person or entity and portion of any liability, claim, demand, action, cause
3		of action, or right released and discharged by the Party in this Settlement.
4	12.8.	No Tax Advice. Neither Plaintiff, Class Counsel, Defendants nor Defense Counsel are
5		providing any advice regarding taxes or taxability, nor shall anything in this Settlement be
6		relied upon as such within the meaning of United States Treasury Department Circular 230
7		(31 CFR Part 10, as amended) or otherwise.
8	12.9.	Modification of Agreement. This Agreement, and all parts of it, may be amended,
9		modified, changed, or waived only by an express written instrument signed by all Parties
10		or their representatives, and approved by the Court.
11	12.10.	Agreement Binding on Successors. This Agreement will be binding upon, and inure to the
12		benefit of, the successors of each of the Parties.
13	12.11. Applicable Law. All terms and conditions of this Agreement and its exhibits will be	
14	governed by and interpreted according to the internal laws of the state of California,	
15		without regard to conflict of law principles.
16	12.12.	Cooperation in Drafting. The Parties have cooperated in the drafting and preparation of
17	this Agreement. This Agreement will not be construed against any Party on the basis that	
18	the Party was the drafter or participated in the drafting.	
19	12.13.	Confidentiality. To the extent permitted by law, all agreements made, and orders entered
20		during Action and in this Agreement relating to the confidentiality of information shall
21		survive the execution of this Agreement.
22	12.14.	Use and Return of Class Data. Information provided to Class Counsel pursuant to
23		Evidence Code § 1152, and all copies and summaries of the Class Data provided to Class
24	Counsel by Defendants in connection with the mediation, other settlement negotiations, or	
25	in connection with the Settlement, may be used only with respect to this Settlement, and no	
26		other purpose, and may not be used in any way that violates any existing contractual
27		agreement, statute, or rule of court. Not later than 90 days after the date when the Court
28		discharges the Administrator's obligation to provide a Declaration confirming the final pay
	Case No.: 19ST	Interpretation Page 26 Salter v. US-Reports, Inc., et al. FIRST AMENDED CLASS ACTION AND PAGA SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT
I	1	

1		out of all Settlement funds, Plaintiff shall destroy all paper and electronic versions of Class
2		Data received from Defendants.
3	12.15.	Headings. The descriptive heading of any section or paragraph of this Agreement is
4		inserted for convenience of reference only and does not constitute a part of this
5		Agreement.
6	12.16.	Calendar Days. Unless otherwise noted, all reference to "days" in this Agreement shall be
7		to calendar days. In the event any date or deadline set forth in this Agreement falls on a
8		weekend or federal legal holiday, such date or deadline shall be on the first business day
9		thereafter.
10	12.17.	Notice. All notices, demands or other communications between the Parties in connection
11		with this Agreement will be in writing and deemed to have been duly given as of the third
12		business day after mailing by United States mail, or the day sent by email or messenger,
13		addressed as follows:
14		To Plaintiff:
15		Kane Moon H. Scott Leviant
16		scott.leviant@moonyanglaw.com
17		Mariam Ghazaryan mariam.ghazaryan@moonyanglaw.com
18		MOON & YANG, APC 1055 W. Seventh St., Suite 1880
19		Los Angeles, California 90017 Telephone: (213) 232-3128
20		Facsimile: (213) 232-3125
21		To Defendants:
22		Eric J. Erickson ejerickson@ww.law
23		Čatherine T. Phan ctphan@ww.law
24		WOLFE & WYMAN LLP 707 Wilshire Blvd., Suite 4100
25		Los Angeles, California 90017 Telephone: (213) 222-4900
26		Facsimile: (213) 222-4901
27	12.18.	Execution in Counterparts. This Agreement may be executed in one or more counterparts
28		by facsimile, electronically (i.e. DocuSign), or email which for purposes of this Agreement
	Case No.: 19ST	
		FIRST AMENDED CLASS ACTION AND PAGA SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT

1	shall be accepted as an original. All executed counterparts and each of them will be		
2	deemed to be one and the same instrument if counsel for the Parties will exchange between		
3	themselves signed counterparts. Any executed counterpart will be admissible in evidence		
4	to prove the existence and contents of this Agreement.		
5	12.19. Stay of Litigation. The Parties agree that upon the execution of this Agreement the		
6	litigation shall be stayed, except to effectuate the terms of this Agreement. The Parties		
7	further agree that upon the signing of this Agreement that pursuant to Code of Civil		
8	Procedure § 583.330 to extend the date to bring a case to trial under Code of Civil		
9	Procedure § 583.310 for the entire period of this settlement process.		
10			
11	Plaintiff & Class Representative:		
12	Dated: December <u>12</u> , 2022 By:		
13	FIII LEIS SALTER		
14	Plaintiff's Counsel:		
15	Dated: December <u>12</u> , 2022 MOON & YANG, APC		
16	By: H. S. J.		
17	Kane Moon H. Scott Leviant		
18	Mariam Ghazaryan		
19	Attorneys for Plaintiff		
20	Defendants:		
21	Dated: December, 2022 US-REPORTS, INC.		
22			
23			
24	Printed Name		
25			
26	Title		
27			
28			
	Case No.: 19STCV30384 Page 28 Salter v. US-Reports, Inc., et al. FIRST AMENDED CLASS ACTION AND PAGA SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT		

1	shall be accepted as an original. All executed counterparts and each of them will be		
2	deemed to be one and the same instrument if counsel for the Parties will exchange between		
3	themselves signed counterparts. Any executed counterpart will be admissible in evidence		
4	to prove the existence and contents of this Agreement.		
5	12.19. Stay of Litigation. The Parties agree that upon the execution of this Agreement the		
6	litigation shall be stayed, except to effectuate the terms of this Agreement. The Parties		
7	further agree that upon the signing of this Agreement that pursuant to Code of Civil		
8	Procedure § 583.330 to extend the date to bring a case to trial under Code of Civil		
9	Procedure § 583.310 for the entire period of this settlement process.		
10			
11	Plaintiff & Class Representative:		
12	Dated: December, 2022 By: PHYLLIS SALTER		
13			
14	Plaintiff's Counsel:		
15	Dated: December, 2022 MOON & YANG, APC		
16	By:		
17	Kane Moon H. Scott Leviant		
18	Mariam Ghazaryan		
19	Attorneys for Plaintiff		
20	Defendants:		
21	Dated: December <u>13</u> , 2022 US-REPORTS, INC.		
22	-24c		
23	1 - ilean		
24	Printed Name		
25	CFO		
26	Title		
27 28			
20	Case No.: 19STCV30384 Page 28 Salter v. US-Reports, Inc., et al.		
	FIRST AMENDED CLASS ACTION AND PAGA SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT		

1	Dated: December <u>1</u> , 2022	H.W. KAUFMAN FINANCIAL GROUP, INC.
2	:	~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~
3		Kevin Hechman
4		Printed Name
5		CFD
6	:	Title
7		
8	Defendant's Counsel:	
9	Dated: December <u>13</u> , 2022	WOLFE & WYMAN LLP
10		By:arneuro Parm
11		Eric J. Erickson Catherine T. Phan
12		Attorneys for Defendants US-REPORTS, INC. DBA AFIRM DBA AFIRM SOLUTIONS and H.W.
13		KAUFMAN FINANCIAL GROUP, INC.
14 15		
15		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
21		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
	_Case No.: 19STCV30384	Page 29 Salter v. US-Reports, Inc., et a.
	FIRST AMENDED (CLASS ACTION AND PAGA SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT

Exhibit "A"

COURT APPROVED NOTICE OF CLASS ACTION SETTLEMENT AND HEARING DATE FOR FINAL COURT APPROVAL

Salter v. US-Reports, Inc., Case No. 19STCV30384

The Superior Court for the State of California authorized this Notice. Read it carefully! It's not junk mail, spam, an advertisement, or solicitation by a lawyer. You are not being sued.

You may be eligible to receive money from an employee class action lawsuit ("Action") against defendants US-Reports, Inc., and H.W. Kaufman Financial Group, Inc. ("Defendants") for alleged wage and hour violations. The Action was filed by former employee Phyllis Salter ("Plaintiff") and seeks payment of (1) back wages and other relief for a class of hourly employees ("Class Members") who worked for Defendants during the Class Period (August 28, 2015 to DATE); (2) penalties under the California Private Attorney General Act ("PAGA") for all hourly employees who worked for Defendants during the PAGA Period (August 28, 2015 to DATE) ("Aggrieved Employees").

The proposed Settlement has two main parts: (1) a Class Settlement requiring Defendants to fund Individual Settlement Class Payments, and (2) a PAGA Settlement requiring Defendants to fund Individual PAGA Settlement Payments and pay penalties to the California Labor and Workforce Development Agency ("LWDA").

Based on Defendants' records, and the Parties' current assumptions, your Individual Class Payment is estimated to be (less withholding) and your Individual PAGA Settlement Payment is estimated to be _____. The actual amount you may receive likely will be different and will depend on a number of factors. (If no amount is stated for your Individual PAGA Settlement Payment, then according to Defendants' records you are not eligible for such a payment under the Settlement because you didn't work during the covered period.)

The above estimates are based on Defendants' records showing that you worked _____ workweeks during the Class Period, and you worked _____ pay periods during the PAGA Period. If you believe that you worked more workweeks or pay periods during any of the respective periods, you can submit a challenge by the deadline date. See Section 4 of this Notice.

The Court has already preliminarily approved the proposed Settlement and approved this Notice. The Court has not yet decided whether to grant final approval. Your legal rights are affected whether you act or do not act. Read this Notice carefully. You will be deemed to have carefully read and understood it. At the Final Approval Hearing, the Court will decide whether to finally approve the Settlement and how much of the Settlement will be paid to Plaintiff and Plaintiff's attorneys ("Class Counsel"). The Court will also decide whether to enter a judgment that requires Defendants to make payments under the Settlement and requires Class Members and Aggrieved Employees to give up their rights to assert certain claims against Defendants.

If you worked for Defendants during the Class Period and/or the PAGA Period, you have two basic options under the Settlement:

(1) **Do Nothing**. You don't have to do anything to participate in the proposed Settlement and be eligible for an Individual Settlement Class Payment and/or an Individual PAGA Settlement Payment. As a Participating Class Member, though, you will give up your right to assert Class Period wage claims and PAGA Period penalty claims against Defendants.

(2) **Opt-Out of the Class Settlement**. You can exclude yourself from the Class Settlement (opt-out) by submitting the written Request for Exclusion or otherwise notifying the Administrator in writing. If you opt-out of the Settlement, you will not receive an Individual Settlement Class Payment. You will, however, preserve your right to personally pursue Class Period wage claims against Defendants, and, if you are an Aggrieved Employee, remain eligible for an Individual PAGA Settlement Payment. You cannot opt-out of the PAGA portion of the proposed Settlement.

Defendants will not retaliate against you for any actions you take with respect to the proposed Settlement.

SUMMARY OF YOUR LEGAL RIGHTS AND OPTIONS IN THIS SETTLEMENT

You Don't Have to Do Anything to Participate in the Settlement	If you do nothing, you will be a Participating Class Member, eligible for an Individual Class Payment and an Individual PAGA Payment (if any). In exchange, you will give up your right to assert the wage claims against Defendants that are covered by this Settlement (Released Claims).
You Can Opt-out of the Class Settlement but not the PAGA Settlement The Opt-out Deadline is	If you don't want to fully participate in the proposed Settlement, you can opt-out of the Class Settlement by sending the Administrator a written Request for Exclusion. Once excluded, you will be a Non-Participating Class Member and no longer eligible for an Individual Class Payment. Non-Participating Class Members cannot object to any portion of the proposed Settlement. See Section 6 of this Notice.
	You cannot opt-out of the PAGA portion of the proposed Settlement. Defendants must pay Individual PAGA Payments to all Aggrieved Employees and the Aggrieved Employees must give up their rights to pursue Released PAGA Claims (defined below).
Participating Class Members Can Object to the Class Settlement but not the PAGA Settlement Written Objections Must be Submitted by	All Class Members who do not opt-out ("Participating Class Members") can object to any aspect of the proposed Settlement. The Court's decision whether to finally approve the Settlement will include a determination of how much will be paid to Class Counsel and Plaintiff who pursued the Action on behalf of the Class. You are not personally responsible for any payments to Class Counsel or Plaintiff, but every dollar paid to Class Counsel and Plaintiff reduces the overall amount paid to Participating Class Members. You can object to the amounts requested by Class Counsel or Plaintiff if you think they are unreasonable. See Section 7 of this Notice.
You Can Participate in the Final Approval Hearing	The Court's Final Approval Hearing is scheduled to take place on You don't have to attend but you do have the right to appear (or hire an attorney to appear on your behalf at your own cost), in person, by telephone or by using the Court's virtual appearance platform. Participating Class Members can verbally object to the Settlement at the Final Approval Hearing. See Section 8 of this Notice.
You Can Challenge the Calculation of Your Workweeks/Pay Periods Written Challenges Must be Submitted by	The amount of your Individual Class Payment and PAGA Payment (if any) depend on how many workweeks you worked at least one day during the Class Period, and how many Pay Periods you worked at least one day during the PAGA Period, respectively. The number Class Period Workweeks and number of PAGA Period Pay Periods you worked according to Defendants' records is stated on the first page of this Notice. If you disagree with either of these numbers, you must challenge it by See Section 4 of this Notice.

1. WHAT IS THE ACTION ABOUT?

Plaintiff is a former employee of Defendants. The Action accuses Defendants of violating California labor laws by failing to pay overtime wages, minimum wages, prevailing wages, wages due upon termination and reimbursable expenses and failing to provide meal periods, rest breaks and accurate itemized wage statements. Based on the same claims, Plaintiff has also asserted a claim for civil penalties under the California Private Attorneys General Act (Labor Code §§ 2698, et seq.) ("PAGA"). Plaintiff is represented by attorneys in the Action: MOON & YANG, APC ("Class Counsel.")

Defendants strongly deny violating any laws or failing to pay any wages and contends it complied with all applicable laws.

2. WHAT DOES IT MEAN THAT THE ACTION HAS SETTLED?

So far, the Court has made no determination whether Defendants or Plaintiff are correct on the merits. In the meantime, Plaintiff and Defendants hired an experienced, neutral mediator, Steven Rottman, in an effort to resolve the Action by negotiating and to end the case by agreement (settle the case) rather than continuing the expensive and time-consuming process of litigation. The negotiations were successful. By signing a lengthy written settlement agreement ("Agreement") and agreeing to jointly ask the Court to enter a judgment ending the Action and enforcing the Agreement, Plaintiff and Defendants have negotiated a proposed Settlement that is subject to the Court's Final Approval. Both sides agree the proposed Settlement is a compromise of disputed claims. By agreeing to settle, Defendants do not admit any violations or concede the merit of any claims.

Plaintiff and Class Counsel strongly believe the Settlement is a good deal for you because they believe that: (1) Defendants have agreed to pay a fair, reasonable and adequate amount considering the strength of the claims and the risks and uncertainties of continued litigation; and (2) Settlement is in the best interests of the Class Members and Aggrieved Employees. The Court preliminarily approved the proposed Settlement as fair, reasonable and adequate, authorized this Notice, and scheduled a hearing to determine Final Approval.

3. WHAT ARE THE IMPORTANT TERMS OF THE PROPOSED SETTLEMENT?

1. Defendants will pay \$500,000 as the Gross Settlement Amount (Gross Settlement). Defendants have agreed to deposit the Gross Settlement into an account controlled by the Administrator of the Settlement. The Administrator will use the Gross Settlement to pay the Individual Class Payments, Individual PAGA Payments, a Class Representative Service Payment, Class Counsel's attorney's fees and expenses, the Administrator's expenses, and penalties to be paid to the California Labor and Workforce Development Agency ("LWDA"). Assuming the Court grants Final Approval, Defendants will fund the Gross Settlement not more than 14 days after the Judgment entered by the Court become final. The Judgment will be final on the date the Court enters Judgment, or a later date if Participating Class Members object to the proposed Settlement or the Judgment is appealed.

2. Court Approved Deductions from Gross Settlement. At the Final Approval Hearing, Plaintiff and/or Class Counsel will ask the Court to approve the following deductions from the Gross Settlement, the amounts of which will be decided by the Court at the Final Approval Hearing:

A. Up to \$163,333.33 (one third of the Gross Settlement) to Class Counsel for attorneys' fees and up to \$32,500 for their litigation expenses. To date, Class Counsel have worked and incurred expenses on the Action without payment.

B. Up to \$10,000.00 for a Class Representative Service Award for filing the Action, working with Class Counsel and representing the Class. A Class Representative Award will be the only monies each Plaintiff will receive other than Plaintiff's Individual Class Payment and any Individual PAGA Payment.

C. Up to an estimated \$15,000.00 to the Administrator for services administering the Settlement.

D. \$60,000.00 for PAGA Penalties, allocated 75% to the LWDA PAGA Payment and 25% in Individual PAGA Payments to the Aggrieved Employees based on their PAGA Period Pay Periods.

Participating Class Members have the right to object to any of these deductions. The Court will consider all objections.

3. Net Settlement Distributed to Class Members. After making the above deductions in amounts approved by the Court, the Administrator will distribute the rest of the Gross Settlement (the "Net Settlement") by making Individual Class Payments to Participating Class Members based on their Class Period Workweeks.

4. Taxes Owed on Payments to Class Members. Plaintiff and Defendants are asking the Court to approve an allocation of 25% of each Individual Class Payment to taxable wages ("Wage Portion") and 75% to interest and penalties ("Non-Wage Portion.). The Wage Portion is subject to withholdings and will be reported on IRS W-2 Forms. Defendants will separately pay employer payroll taxes it owes on the Wage portion. The Individual PAGA Payments are counted as penalties rather than wages for tax purposes. The Administrator will report the Individual PAGA Payments and the Non-Wage Portions of the Individual Class Payments on IRS 1099 Forms.

Although Plaintiff and Defendants have agreed to these allocations, neither side is giving you any advice on whether your Payments are taxable or how much you might owe in taxes. You are responsible for paying all taxes (including penalties and interest on back taxes) on any Payments received from the proposed Settlement. You should consult a tax advisor if you have any questions about the tax consequences of the proposed Settlement.

5. Need to Promptly Cash Payment Checks. The front of every check issued for Individual Class Settlement Payments and Individual PAGA Settlement Payments will show the date when the check expires (the void date). If you don't cash it by the void date, your check will be automatically cancelled, and the monies will be deposited with the California Controller's Unclaimed Property Fund in your name. If the monies represented by your check is sent to the Controller's Unclaimed Property, you should consult the rules of the Fund for instructions on how to retrieve your money.

6. Requests for Exclusion from the Class Settlement (Opt-Outs). You will be treated as a Participating Class Member, participating fully in the Class Settlement, unless you notify the Administrator in writing, not later than ______, that you wish to opt-out. The easiest way to notify the Administrator is to send a written and signed Request for Exclusion by the _______ Response Deadline. The Request for Exclusion should be a signed letter from a Class Member or his/her representative setting forth a Class Member's name, present address, telephone number, last four digits of the Class Member's Member's Social Security Number, and a simple statement electing to be excluded from the Settlement. Excluded Class

Members (i.e., Non-Participating Class Members) will not receive Individual Class Settlement Payments, but will preserve their rights to personally pursue wage and hour claims against Defendants.

You cannot opt-out of the PAGA portion of the Settlement. Class Members who exclude themselves from the Class Settlement (Non-Participating Class Members) remain eligible for Individual PAGA Settlement Payments and are required to give up their right to assert PAGA claims against Defendants based on the PAGA Period facts alleged in the Action.

7. The Proposed Settlement Will be Void if the Court Denies Final Approval. It is possible the Court will decline to grant Final Approval of the Settlement or decline to enter a Judgment. It is also possible the Court will enter a Judgment that is reversed on appeal. Plaintiff and Defendants have agreed that, in either case, the Settlement will be void: Defendants will not pay any money and Class Members will not release any claims against Defendants.

8. Administrator. The Court has appointed a neutral company, Phoenix Settlement Administrators (the "Administrator") to send this Notice, calculate and make payments, and process Class Members' Requests for Exclusion. The Administrator will also decide Class Member Challenges over Workweeks and/or Pay Periods, mail and re-mail settlement checks and tax forms, and perform other tasks necessary to administer the Settlement. The Administrator's contact information is contained in Section 9 of this Notice.

9. Participating Class Members' Release. After the Judgment is final and Defendants have fully funded the Gross Settlement and separately paid all employer payroll taxes, Participating Class Members will be legally barred from asserting any of the claims released under the Settlement. This means that unless you opted out by validly excluding yourself from the Class Settlement, you cannot sue, continue to sue, or be part of another lawsuit against Defendants or related entities for wages based on the Class Period facts and PAGA penalties based on PAGA Period facts, as alleged in the Action and resolved by this Settlement.

The Participating Class Members will be bound by the following release:

All Participating Class Members, on behalf of themselves and their respective former and present representatives, agents, attorneys, heirs, administrators, successors, and assigns, release Released Parties from all claims that were alleged, or reasonably could have been alleged, based on the Class Period facts stated in the Operative Complaint. Except as set forth in Section 5.3 of [the] Agreement, Participating Class Members do not release any other claims, including claims for vested benefits, wrongful termination, violation of the Fair Employment and Housing Act, unemployment insurance, disability, social security, workers' compensation, or claims based on facts occurring outside the Class Period.

"Released Parties" means: Defendants and each of their former and present directors, officers, shareholders, supervisory employees, owners, members, attorneys, insurers, predecessors, successors, assigns, subsidiaries, or affiliates.

10. Aggrieved Employees' PAGA Release. After the Court's judgment is final, and Defendants have paid the Gross Settlement (and separately paid the employer-side payroll taxes), all Aggrieved Employees will be barred from asserting PAGA claims against Defendants, whether or not they exclude themselves from the Settlement. This means that all Aggrieved Employees, including those who are Participating Class Members and those who opt-out of the Class Settlement, cannot sue, continue to sue, or participate in any other PAGA claim against Defendants or its related entities based on the PAGA Period facts alleged in the Action and resolved by this Settlement.

The Aggrieved Employees' Releases for Participating and Non-Participating Class Members are as follows:

All Class Members who are Aggrieved Employees are deemed to release, on behalf of themselves and their respective former and present representatives, agents, attorneys, heirs, administrators, successors, and assigns, the Released Parties from all claims for PAGA penalties that were alleged, or reasonably could have been alleged, based on the PAGA Period facts stated in the Operative Complaint and any PAGA Notices attached [to the Settlement] as Exhibit B.

4. HOW WILL THE ADMINISTRATOR CALCULATE MY PAYMENT?

1. Individual Class Settlement Payments. The Administrator will calculate Individual Class Payments by (a) dividing the Net Settlement Amount by the total number of Workweeks worked by all Participating Class Members, and (b) multiplying the result by the number of Workweeks worked by each individual Participating Class Member.

2. Individual PAGA Payments. The Administrator will calculate Individual PAGA Settlement Payments by (a) dividing \$15,000 by the total number of PAGA Pay Periods worked by all Aggrieved Employees and (b) multiplying the result by the number of PAGA Period Pay Periods worked by each individual Aggrieved Employee.

3. Workweek/Pay Period Challenges. The number of Class Workweeks you worked during the Class Period and the number of PAGA Pay Periods you worked during the PAGA Period, as recorded in Defendants' records, are stated in the first page of this Notice. You have until to challenge the number of Workweeks and/or Pay Periods credited to you. You can submit your challenge by signing and sending a letter to the Administrator via mail, email or fax. Section 9 of this Notice has the Administrator's contact information.

You need to support your challenge by sending copies of pay stubs or other records. The Administrator will accept Defendants' calculation of Workweeks and/or Pay Periods based on Defendants' records as accurate unless you send copies of records containing contrary information. You should send copies rather than originals because the documents will not be returned to you. The Administrator will resolve Workweek and/or Pay Period challenges based on your submission and on input from Class Counsel (who will advocate on behalf of Class Members) and Defendants' Counsel. The Administrator's decision is final. You can't appeal or otherwise challenge its final decision.

5. HOW WILL I GET PAID?

1. Participating Class Members. The Administrator will send, by U.S. mail, a single check to every Participating Class Member (i.e., every Class Member who doesn't opt-out) including those who also qualify as Aggrieved Employees. The single check will combine the Individual Class Payment and the Individual PAGA Payment.

2. The Administrator will send, by U.S. mail, a single Individual PAGA Payment check to every Aggrieved Employee who opts out of the Class Settlement (i.e., every Non-Participating Class Member who is an Aggrieved Employee).

Your check will be sent to the same address as this Notice. If you change your address, be sure to notify the Administrator as soon as possible. Section 9 of this Notice has the Administrator's contact information.

6. HOW DO I OPT-OUT OF THE CLASS SETTLEMENT?

Submit a written and signed letter with your name, present address, telephone number, and a simple statement that you do not want to participate in the Settlement. The Administrator will exclude you based on any writing communicating your request be excluded. Be sure to personally sign your request, identify the Action as *Salter v. US-Reports, Inc.*, Case No. 19STCV30384, and include your identifying information (full name, address, telephone number, approximate dates of employment, and the last four digits of your social security number for verification purposes). You must make the request yourself. If someone else makes the request for you, it will not be valid. The Administrator must be sent your request to be excluded by ______, or it will be invalid. Section **9** of the Notice has the Administrator's contact information.

7. HOW DO I OBJECT TO THE SETTLEMENT?

Only Participating Class Members have the right to object to the Settlement. Before deciding whether to object, you may wish to see what Plaintiff and Defendants are asking the Court to approve. At least _____ days before the ______ Final Approval Hearing, Class Counsel and/or Plaintiff will file in Court a Motion for Final Approval that includes, among other things, the reasons why the proposed Settlement is fair, and a request for awards of Fees, Litigation Expenses and Service Awards stating (i) the amount Class Counsel is requesting for attorneys' fees and litigation expenses; and (ii) the amount Plaintiff is requesting as a Class Representative Service Award. Upon reasonable request, Class Counsel (whose contact information is in Section 9 of this Notice) will send you copies of these documents at no cost to you. You can also view them on the Court's by going to http://www.lacourt.org/casesummary/ui/index.aspx and entering the Case Number for the Action, 19STCV30384. If you obtain documents through the Court's website, you will be required to pay for them.

A Participating Class Member who disagrees with any aspect of the Agreement, the Motion for Final Approval and for awards of Fees, Litigation Expenses and Service Awards may wish to object, for example, that the proposed Settlement is unfair, or that the amounts requested by Class Counsel or Plaintiff are too high or too low. The deadline for sending written objections to the Administrator is _______. Be sure to tell the Administrator what you object to, why you object, and any facts that support your objection. Make sure you identify the Action as *Salter v. US-Reports, Inc.*, Case No. 19STCV30384 and include your name, current address, telephone number, and approximate dates of employment for Defendants and sign the objection. Section **9** of this Notice has the Administrator's contact information.

Alternatively, a Participating Class Member can object (or personally retain a lawyer to object at your own cost) by attending the Final Approval Hearing. You (or your attorney) should be ready to tell the Court what you object to, why you object, and any facts that support your objection. See Section 8 of this Notice (immediately below) for specifics regarding the Final Approval Hearing.

8. CAN I ATTEND THE FINAL APPROVAL HEARING?

You can, but don't have to, attend the Final Approval Hearing on ______ at _____ in Department 1 of the Los Angeles Superior Court, located at 312 North Spring Street, Los Angeles, CA 90012. At the Hearing, the judge will decide

whether to grant Final Approval of the Settlement and how much of the Gross Settlement will be paid to Class Counsel, Plaintiff, and the Administrator. The Court will invite comment from objectors, Class Counsel and Defense Counsel before making a decision. You can attend (or hire a lawyer to attend) either personally or virtually via LACourtConnect (https://www.lacourt.org/lacc/.) Check the Court's website for the most current information.

If you intend to appear at the Final Approval Hearing, please check the Court's website for the most current requirements for masks and social distancing. The most recent Order of the Los Angeles Superior Court can be found at https://www.lacourt.org/newsmedia/uploads/14202232517164722NRFACEMASKS.pdf. Updated information may be available at https://www.lacourt.org/. Currently, face masks are recommended but not required.

It's possible the Court will reschedule the Final Approval Hearing. You should contact Class Counsel to verify the date and time of the Final Approval Hearing if you are planning to attend the hearing or have your own lawyer attend.

9. HOW CAN I GET MORE INFORMATION?

The Agreement sets forth everything Defendants and Plaintiff have promised to do under the proposed Settlement. The easiest way to read the Agreement, the Judgment or any other Settlement documents is to go to Phoenix Settlement Administrators's website at ______. You can also telephone or send an email to Class Counsel or the Administrator using the contact information listed below, or consult the Superior Court website by going to http://www.lacourt.org/casesummary/ui/index.aspx and entering the Case Number for the Action, 19STCV30384. You can also make an appointment to personally review court documents in the Clerk's Office at the Stanley Mosk Courthouse by calling (213) 830-0800.

If you intend to review Court records, please check the Court's website for the most current requirements for masks and social distancing. The most recent Order of the Los Angeles Superior Court can be found at https://www.lacourt.org/newsmedia/uploads/14202232517164722NRFACEMASKS.pdf. Updated information may be available at https://www.lacourt.org/. Currently, face masks are recommended but not required.

DO NOT TELEPHONE THE SUPERIOR COURT TO OBTAIN INFORMATION ABOUT THE SETTLEMENT.

Class Counsel:

Kane Moon H. Scott Leviant Mariam Ghazaryan MOON & YANG, APC 1055 W. Seventh St., Suite 1880 Los Angeles, California 90017 Telephone: (213) 232-3128 Facsimile: (213) 232-3125 kane.moon@moonyanglaw.com scott.leviant@moonyanglaw.com mariam.ghazaryan@moonyanglaw.com

Settlement Administrator:

Name of Company: Phoenix Settlement Administrators Email Address: Mailing Address: Telephone: Fax Number:

10. WHAT IF I LOSE MY SETTLEMENT CHECK?

If you lose or misplace your settlement check before cashing it, the Administrator will replace it as long as you request a replacement before the void date on the face of the original check. If your check is already void you should consult the California Unclaimed Property Fund (https://ucpi.sco.ca.gov/) for instructions on how to retrieve the funds.

11. WHAT IF I CHANGE MY ADDRESS?

To receive your check, you should immediately notify the Administrator if you move or otherwise change your mailing address.

Exhibit "B"



ATTORNEYS AT LAW www.moonyanglaw.com 1055 W. Seventh St., Suite 1880 Los Angeles, California 90017 Telephone: (213) 232-3128 Facsimile: (213) 232-3125

Kane Moon, Esq. *kane.moon@moonyanglaw.com*

September 18, 2019

VIA ONLINE SUBMISSION

Labor & Workforce Development Agency Attn. PAGA Administrator 1515 Clay Street, Ste. 801 Oakland, CA 94612

VIA CERTIFIED MAIL

US-Reports, Inc. 3528 Precision Drive, Suite 200 Fort Collins, CO 80528

Notice of Labor Code Violations and PAGA Penalties

Re: Phyllis Salter v. US-Reports, Inc.

To Whom It May Concern:

Please be advised that my office has been retained by Phyllis Salter ("Plaintiff") to pursue a Labor Code Private Attorney General Act (PAGA) representative action (Cal. Lab. Code §§ 2699, *et seq.*) against his former employer, US-Reports, Inc.("Defendant"). The purpose of this letter is to comply with PAGA and set forth the facts and theories of California Labor Code violations which we allege Defendant engaged in with respect to Plaintiff and all of Defendant's aggrieved employees.

Plaintiff wishes to pursue a PAGA representative action on behalf of herself as an aggrieved employee, on behalf of the State of California, and on behalf of all other current and former aggrieved employees who worked for Defendant in California as an hourly paid, non-exempt employee at any time within the applicable statutory period (hereafter, the "Aggrieved Employees").

Plaintiff and the Aggrieved Employees of Defendant suffered the Labor Code violations described below.

Factual Background Regarding Plaintiff's Employment with Defendant

Defendant owns and operates an industry, business, and establishment within the State of California, including Los Angeles County. As such, Defendantis subject to the California Labor Code and the Wage Orders issued by the Industrial Welfare Commission ("IWC").

Plaintiff worked for Defendant as a surveyor / inspector from approximately December 2017 to April 2019, in Los Angeles County. Defendant classified Plaintiff as non-exempt from overtime. During the time period that Plaintiff was employed by Defendant, Plaintiff typically worked 5 days per workweek, and typically over 8 hours (and sometimes over 12 hours) per workday.

Throughout Plaintiff's employment, Defendant committed numerous labor code violations under state law. As discussed below, Plaintiff's experience working for Defendant was typical and illustrative.

Failure to Pay for All Hours Worked, Including Overtime

Under California law, an employer must pay for all hours worked by an employee. "Hours worked" is the time during which an employee is subject to the control of an employer, and includes all the time the employee is suffered or permitted to work, whether or not required to do so.

In addition, Labor Code § 510 provides that employees in California shall not be employed more than eight (8) hours in any workday or forty (40) hours in a workweek unless they receive additional compensation beyond their regular wages in amounts specified by law.

Labor Code §§ 1194 and 1198 also provide that employees in California shall not be employed more than eight hours in any workday unless they receive additional compensation beyond their regular wages in amounts specified by law. Additionally, Labor Code § 1198 states that the employment of an employee for longer hours than those fixed by the Industrial Welfare Commission is unlawful.

Throughout the time period involved in this case, Defendant maintained a policy and practice of failing to pay Plaintiff and the Aggrieved Employees for all hours worked (including minimum wages, straight time wages and overtime wages). Defendant regularly paid Plaintiff and the Class straight-time wages instead of double-time wages for work performed in excess of 12 hours in a single workday. Further, Defendants failed to pay those employees working on a commission-basis for all non-productive time worked. Finally, Plaintiff and the Class were not paid for all hours worked. In doing so, Defendant also failed to maintain accurate records of the hours Plaintiff and the Aggrieved Employees worked.

LWDA Notice of Labor Code Violations and PAGA September 18, 2019 Page 3 of 8

As a result, Defendant is liable to Plaintiff and the Aggrieved Employees for the civil penalties provided for in Labor Code §§ 210, 558, 1197.1, and 2699(f)(2) for failing to pay for all hours worked, including overtime.

Failure to Provide Meal Periods

Under California law, employers have an affirmative obligation to relieve employees of all duty in order to take their first 30-minute, duty-free meal periods no later than the start of sixth hour of work in a workday, and to allow employees to take their second 30-minute, duty-free meal period no later than the start of the eleventh hour of work in the workday. Further, employees are entitled to be paid one hour of additional wages for each workday they were not provided with all required meal period(s).

Despite these legal requirements, Defendant wrongfully failed to provide Plaintiff and the Aggrieved Employees with legally compliant meal periods. Defendant regularly required Plaintiff and the Aggrieved Employees to work in excess of five consecutive hours a day without providing a 30-minute, continuous and uninterrupted, duty-free meal period for every five hours of work, or without compensating Plaintiff and the Aggrieved Employees for meal periods that were not provided by the end of the fifth hour of work or tenth hour of work. Defendant did not adequately inform Plaintiff and the Aggrieved Employees of their right to take a meal period by the end of the fifth hour of work, or, for shifts greater than 10 hours, by the end of the tenth hour of work. Moreover, Defendant did not have adequate written policies or practices providing meal periods for Plaintiff and the Aggrieved Employees, nor did Defendant have adequate policies or practices to verify whether Plaintiff and the Aggrieved Employees were taking their required meal periods. Accordingly, Defendant's policy and practice was to not provide meal periods to Plaintiff and the Aggrieved Employees in compliance with California law.

Plaintiff and the Aggrieved Employees are thus entitled to be paid one hour of additional wages for each workday he or she was not provided with all required meal period(s). Defendant, however, regularly failed to pay Plaintiff and the Aggrieved Employees the additional wages to which they were entitled for meal periods and that were not provided.

As a result, Defendant is liable to Plaintiff and the Aggrieved Employees for the civil penalties provided for in Labor Code §§ 210, 558, and 2699(f)(2) for failing to provide meal periods and pay meal period premium wages.

Failure to Authorize and Permit Rest Periods

Employers are required by California law to authorize and permit breaks of 10 uninterrupted minutes for each four hours of work or major fraction of four hours (i.e. more than two hours).

Thus, for example, if an employee's work time is 6 hours and ten minutes, the employee is entitled to two rest breaks. Each failure to authorize rest breaks as so required is itself a violation of California's rest break laws.

Defendant, however, wrongfully failed to authorize and permit Plaintiff and the Aggrieved Employees to take timely and duty-free rest periods. Defendant regularly required Plaintiff and the Aggrieved Employees to work in excess of four consecutive hours a day without Defendant authorizing and permitting them to take a 10 minute, continuous and uninterrupted, rest period for every four hours of work (or major fraction of four hours), or without compensating Plaintiff and the Aggrieved Employees for rest periods that were not authorized or permitted. Defendant did not adequately inform Plaintiff and the Aggrieved Employees of their right to take a rest period. Moreover, Defendant did not have adequate policies or practices permitting or authorizing rest periods for Plaintiff and the Aggrieved Employees, nor did Defendant have adequate policies or practices regarding the timing of rest periods. Defendant also did not have adequate policies or practices to verify whether Plaintiff and the Aggrieved Employees were taking their required rest periods. Further, Defendant did not maintain accurate records of employee work periods, and therefore Defendant cannot demonstrate that Plaintiff and the Aggrieved Employees took rest periods during the middle of each work period. Accordingly, Defendant's policy and practice was for Plaintiff and the Aggrieved Employees to work through rest periods and to not authorize or permit them to take any rest periods.

Plaintiff and the Aggrieved Employees are thus entitled to be paid one hour of additional wages for each workday he or she was not authorized and permitted to take all required rest period(s). Defendant, however, regularly failed to pay Plaintiff and the Aggrieved Employees the additional wages to which they were entitled for rest periods and that they were not authorized and permitted to take.

As a result, Defendant are liable to Plaintiff and the Aggrieved Employees for the civil penalties provided for in Labor Code §§ 210, 558, and 2699(f)(2) for failing to authorize and permit rest periods and pay rest period premium wages.

Failure to Maintain Accurate Records of Hours Worked and Meal Periods

Plaintiff seeks penalties under Labor Code § 1174(d). Pursuant to Labor Code § 1174.5, any person, including any entity, employing labor who willfully fails to maintain accurate and complete records required by Labor Code § 1174 is subject to a penalty under § 1174.5. Pursuant to the applicable IWC Order § 7(A)(3), every employer shall keep time records showing when the employee begins and ends each work period. Meal periods and total hours worked daily shall also be recorded.

#

LWDA Notice of Labor Code Violations and PAGA September 18, 2019 Page 5 of 8

Defendant, however, failed to maintain accurate records of hours worked and all meal periods taken or missed by Plaintiff and the Aggrieved Employees.

Defendant's failure to provide and maintain records required by the Labor Code IWC Wage Orders deprived Plaintiff and the Aggrieved Employees the ability to know, understand and question the accuracy and frequency of meal periods, and the accuracy of their hours worked stated in Defendant's records. Therefore, Plaintiff and the Aggrieved Employees had no way to dispute the resulting failure to pay wages, all of which resulted in an unjustified economic enrichment to Defendant. As a direct result, Plaintiff and the Aggrieved Employees have suffered and continue to suffer, substantial losses related to the use and enjoyment of such wages, lost interest on such wages and expenses and attorney's fees in seeking to compel Defendant to fully perform its obligation under state law, all to their respective damage in amounts according to proof at trial. As a result of Defendant's knowing failure to comply with the Labor Code and applicable IWC Wage Orders, Plaintiff and the Aggrieved Employees have also suffered an injury in that they were prevented from knowing, understanding, and disputing the wage payments paid to them.

As a result, Defendant is liable to Plaintiff and the Aggrieved Employees for the civil penalties provided for in Labor Code § 1174.5 for failing to maintain accurate records of hours worked and meal periods.

Failure to Reimburse and Indemnify Expenses

California Labor Code § 2802 requires employers to reimburse employees for their necessary expenditures and losses incurred in direct consequence of the discharge of their duties or of their obedience to directions of the employer.

Throughout the statutory period, Defendant wrongfully required Plaintiff and the Aggrieved Employees to pay expenses that they incurred in direct discharge of their duties for Defendant without reimbursement, which included the purchase of office supplies for their home office, paper, ink and printers, and personal cellular phones. Plaintiff and the Aggrieved Employees incurred these substantial expenses as a direct result of performing their job duties for Defendant, and Defendant has failed to indemnify Plaintiff and the Aggrieved Employees for these employment-related expenses..

Failure to Timely Pay All Wages at Termination

Labor Code §§ 201 and 202 provide that if an employer discharges an employee, the wages earned and unpaid at the time of discharge are due and payable immediately, and that if an employee voluntarily leaves his or her employment, his or her wages shall become due and payable not later than seventy-two (72) hours thereafter, unless the employee has given seventy-

LWDA Notice of Labor Code Violations and PAGA September 18, 2019 Page 6 of 8

two (72) hours previous notice of his or her intention to quit, in which case the employee is entitled to his or her wages at the time of quitting.

Within the applicable statute of limitations, the employment of Plaintiff and many other Aggrieved Employees ended, i.e. was terminated by quitting or discharge, and the employment of others will be. However, during the relevant time period, Defendant failed, and continues to fail to pay Plaintiff and terminated Aggrieved Employees, without abatement, all wages required to be paid by Labor Code sections 201 and 202 either at the time of discharge, or within seventy-two (72) hours of their leaving Defendant's employ. These unpaid wages include wages for unpaid work time (including minimum and straight time wages), missed meal periods, and missed rest periods.

Defendant's conduct violates Labor Code §§ 201 and 202.Labor Code § 203 provides that if an employer willfully fails to pay wages owed, in accordance with sections 201 and 202, then the wages of the employee shall continue as a penalty wage from the due date, and at the same rate until paid or until an action is commenced; but the wages shall not continue for more than thirty (30) days.

Accordingly, Plaintiff and the Aggrieved Employees are entitled to recover from Defendant their additionally accruing wages for each day they were not paid, at their regular hourly rate of pay, up to 30 days maximum pursuant to Labor Code § 203.

Moreover, Defendant is liable to Plaintiff and the Aggrieved Employees for the civil penalties provided for in Labor Code § 2699(f)(2) for failing to timely pay all wages at termination.

Failure to Furnish Accurate Itemized Wage Statements

Labor Code § 226(a) provides that every employer shall furnish each of his or her employees an accurate itemized wage statement in writing showing nine pieces of information, including: (1) gross wages earned, (2) total hours worked by the employee, (3) the number of piece-rate units earned and any applicable piece rate if the employee is paid on a piece-rate basis, (4) all deductions, provided that all deductions made on written orders of the employee may be aggregated and shown as one item, (5) net wages earned, (6) the inclusive dates of the period for which the employee is paid, (7) the name of the employee and the last four digits of his or her social security number or an employee identification number other than a social security number, (8) the name and address of the legal entity that is the employer, and (9) all applicable hourly rates in effect during the pay period and the corresponding number of hours worked at each hourly rate by the employee. An employee is presumed to suffer an injury if this information is missing. (Lab. Code § 226(e)(2)(B)(iii).)

LWDA Notice of Labor Code Violations and PAGA September 18, 2019 Page 7 of 8

The statute further provides: "An employee suffering injury as a result of a knowing and intentional failure by an employer to comply with subdivision (a) is entitled to recover the greater of all actual damages or fifty dollars (\$50) for the initial pay period in which a violation occurs and one hundred dollars (\$100) per employee for each violation in a subsequent pay period, not to exceed an aggregate penalty of four thousand dollars (\$4,000), and is entitled to an award of costs and reasonable attorney's fees." (Lab. Code § 226(e)(1).)

Defendant intentionally and willfully failed to provide employees with complete and accurate wage statements. The deficiencies include, among other things, the failure to correctly identify hourly rates, the failure to correctly list gross wages earned, and the failure to list the true net wages earned, including wages for meal periods that were not provided in accordance with California law, wages for rest periods that were not authorized and permitted to take in accordance with California law, and correct wages earned for all hours worked.

As a result of Defendant violating Labor Code § 226, Plaintiff and similarly Aggrieved Employees suffered injury and damage to their statutorily protected rights.

Accordingly, Plaintiff and similarly Aggrieved Employees are entitled to recover from Defendantthe greater of their actual damages caused by Defendant's failure to comply with Labor Code § 226(a), or an aggregate penalty not exceeding \$4,000 dollars per employee.

Moreover, Defendant is liable to Plaintiff and the Aggrieved Employees for the civil penalties provided for in Labor Code § 226.3 for failing to furnish accurate itemized wage statements.

Failure to Pay All Earned Wages Twice Per Month

Based on its failure to pay Plaintiff and the Aggrieved Employees for all wages as discussed above, Defendant also violated Labor Code § 204.

Labor Code § 204 requires employers to pay employees all earned wages two times per month. Throughout the statute of limitations period applicable to this cause of action, employees were entitled to be paid twice a month at their regular rates, including all meal period premium wages owed, rest period premium wages owed, and wages owed for hours worked. However, during all such times, Defendant systematically failed and refused to pay the employees all wages due, and failed to pay those wages twice a month, in that employees were not paid all wages for all meal periods not provided by Defendant, all wages for all rest periods not authorized and permitted by Defendant, and all wages for all hours worked. As a result, Defendant owes employees the legally required wages for unpaid wages, and Plaintiff and the Aggrieved Employees suffered damages in those amounts.

Moreover, Defendant is liable to Plaintiff and the Aggrieved Employees for the civil penalties provided for in Labor Code § 210 for failing to pay all earned wages twice per month.

Action for Civil Penalties Under PAGA

In light of the above, Plaintiff alleges that Defendant violated the following provisions of the Labor Code with respect to the Aggrieved Employees:

- 1. Labor Code § 204, 510, 1194, 1197, and 1198 by failing to pay for all hours worked, including minimum wages, straight time wages and overtime wages;
- 2. Labor Code § 226.7, 512 and applicable Wage Orders by failing to provide meal periods;
- 3. Labor Code § 226.7 and applicable Wage Orders by failing to authorize and permit rest periods;
- 4. Labor Code § 1174.5 and applicable Wage Orders by failing to maintain accurate records of hours worked and meal periods taken or missed;
- 5. Labor Code § 2802 by failing to reimburse and indemnify employees for expenses and losses in the direct consequence and discharge of their duties;
- 6. Labor Code §§ 201 to 203 by willfully failing to pay all wages owed at termination;
- 7. Labor Code § 226 by failing to provide accurate itemized wage statements; and
- 8. Labor Code § 204 by failing to pay all earned wages two times per month.

Therefore, on behalf of all Aggrieved Employees, Plaintiff seeks applicable penalties related to the violations alleged above pursuant to the PAGA. These include, but are not limited to, penalties under Labor Code §§ 210, 226.3, 558, 1174.5, 1197.1, 2802, and 2699(f)(2).

Plaintiff has placed Defendant on notice by mailing a certified copy of this correspondence to its corporate address, as indicated on the first page.

Thank you for your attention to this matter. If you have any questions, please do not hesitate to contact me.

Sincerely,

MOON & YANG, APC

Kane Moon Attorney at Law

MOON & YANG, APC

MOON & YANG, APC

ATTORNEYS AT LAW www.moonyanglaw.com 1055 W. Seventh St., Suite 1880 Los Angeles, California 90017 Telephone: (213) 232-3128 Facsimile: (213) 232-3125

Kane Moon, Esq. *kane.moon@moonyanglaw.com*

September 18, 2019

VIA ONLINE SUBMISSION

Labor & Workforce Development Agency Attn. PAGA Administrator 1515 Clay Street, Ste. 801 Oakland, CA 94612

VIA CERTIFIED MAIL

Kaufman Financial Group, Inc. 30833 Northwestern Highway, Suite 220 Farmington Hills, MI 48334

Notice of Labor Code Violations and PAGA Penalties

Re: Phyllis Salter v. Kaufman Financial Group, Inc.

To Whom It May Concern:

Please be advised that my office has been retained by Phyllis Salter ("Plaintiff") to pursue a Labor Code Private Attorney General Act (PAGA) representative action (Cal. Lab. Code §§ 2699, *et seq.*) against his former employer, Kaufman Financial Group, Inc. ("Defendant"). The purpose of this letter is to comply with PAGA and set forth the facts and theories of California Labor Code violations which we allege Defendant engaged in with respect to Plaintiff and all of Defendant's aggrieved employees.

Plaintiff wishes to pursue a PAGA representative action on behalf of herself as an aggrieved employee, on behalf of the State of California, and on behalf of all other current and former aggrieved employees who worked for Defendant in California as an hourly paid, non-exempt employee at any time within the applicable statutory period (hereafter, the "Aggrieved Employees").

Plaintiff and the Aggrieved Employees of Defendant suffered the Labor Code violations described below.

Factual Background Regarding Plaintiff's Employment with Defendant

Defendant owns and operates an industry, business, and establishment within the State of California, including Los Angeles County. As such, Defendantis subject to the California Labor Code and the Wage Orders issued by the Industrial Welfare Commission ("IWC").

Plaintiff worked for Defendant as a surveyor / inspector from approximately December 2017 to April 2019, in Los Angeles County. Defendant classified Plaintiff as non-exempt from overtime. During the time period that Plaintiff was employed by Defendant, Plaintiff typically worked 5 days per workweek, and typically over 8 hours (and sometimes over 12 hours) per workday.

Throughout Plaintiff's employment, Defendant committed numerous labor code violations under state law. As discussed below, Plaintiff's experience working for Defendant was typical and illustrative.

Failure to Pay for All Hours Worked, Including Overtime

Under California law, an employer must pay for all hours worked by an employee. "Hours worked" is the time during which an employee is subject to the control of an employer, and includes all the time the employee is suffered or permitted to work, whether or not required to do so.

In addition, Labor Code § 510 provides that employees in California shall not be employed more than eight (8) hours in any workday or forty (40) hours in a workweek unless they receive additional compensation beyond their regular wages in amounts specified by law.

Labor Code §§ 1194 and 1198 also provide that employees in California shall not be employed more than eight hours in any workday unless they receive additional compensation beyond their regular wages in amounts specified by law. Additionally, Labor Code § 1198 states that the employment of an employee for longer hours than those fixed by the Industrial Welfare Commission is unlawful.

Throughout the time period involved in this case, Defendant maintained a policy and practice of failing to pay Plaintiff and the Aggrieved Employees for all hours worked (including minimum wages, straight time wages and overtime wages). Defendant regularly paid Plaintiff and the Class straight-time wages instead of double-time wages for work performed in excess of 12 hours in a single workday. Further, Defendants failed to pay those employees working on a commission-basis for all non-productive time worked. Finally, Plaintiff and the Class were not paid for all hours worked. In doing so, Defendant also failed to maintain accurate records of the hours Plaintiff and the Aggrieved Employees worked.

LWDA Notice of Labor Code Violations and PAGA September 18, 2019 Page 3 of 8

As a result, Defendant is liable to Plaintiff and the Aggrieved Employees for the civil penalties provided for in Labor Code §§ 210, 558, 1197.1, and 2699(f)(2) for failing to pay for all hours worked, including overtime.

Failure to Provide Meal Periods

Under California law, employers have an affirmative obligation to relieve employees of all duty in order to take their first 30-minute, duty-free meal periods no later than the start of sixth hour of work in a workday, and to allow employees to take their second 30-minute, duty-free meal period no later than the start of the eleventh hour of work in the workday. Further, employees are entitled to be paid one hour of additional wages for each workday they were not provided with all required meal period(s).

Despite these legal requirements, Defendant wrongfully failed to provide Plaintiff and the Aggrieved Employees with legally compliant meal periods. Defendant regularly required Plaintiff and the Aggrieved Employees to work in excess of five consecutive hours a day without providing a 30-minute, continuous and uninterrupted, duty-free meal period for every five hours of work, or without compensating Plaintiff and the Aggrieved Employees for meal periods that were not provided by the end of the fifth hour of work or tenth hour of work. Defendant did not adequately inform Plaintiff and the Aggrieved Employees of their right to take a meal period by the end of the fifth hour of work, or, for shifts greater than 10 hours, by the end of the tenth hour of work. Moreover, Defendant did not have adequate written policies or practices providing meal periods for Plaintiff and the Aggrieved Employees, nor did Defendant have adequate policies or practices to verify whether Plaintiff and the Aggrieved Employees were taking their required meal periods. Accordingly, Defendant's policy and practice was to not provide meal periods to Plaintiff and the Aggrieved Employees in compliance with California law.

Plaintiff and the Aggrieved Employees are thus entitled to be paid one hour of additional wages for each workday he or she was not provided with all required meal period(s). Defendant, however, regularly failed to pay Plaintiff and the Aggrieved Employees the additional wages to which they were entitled for meal periods and that were not provided.

As a result, Defendant is liable to Plaintiff and the Aggrieved Employees for the civil penalties provided for in Labor Code §§ 210, 558, and 2699(f)(2) for failing to provide meal periods and pay meal period premium wages.

Failure to Authorize and Permit Rest Periods

Employers are required by California law to authorize and permit breaks of 10 uninterrupted minutes for each four hours of work or major fraction of four hours (i.e. more than two hours).

Thus, for example, if an employee's work time is 6 hours and ten minutes, the employee is entitled to two rest breaks. Each failure to authorize rest breaks as so required is itself a violation of California's rest break laws.

Defendant, however, wrongfully failed to authorize and permit Plaintiff and the Aggrieved Employees to take timely and duty-free rest periods. Defendant regularly required Plaintiff and the Aggrieved Employees to work in excess of four consecutive hours a day without Defendant authorizing and permitting them to take a 10 minute, continuous and uninterrupted, rest period for every four hours of work (or major fraction of four hours), or without compensating Plaintiff and the Aggrieved Employees for rest periods that were not authorized or permitted. Defendant did not adequately inform Plaintiff and the Aggrieved Employees of their right to take a rest period. Moreover, Defendant did not have adequate policies or practices permitting or authorizing rest periods for Plaintiff and the Aggrieved Employees, nor did Defendant have adequate policies or practices regarding the timing of rest periods. Defendant also did not have adequate policies or practices to verify whether Plaintiff and the Aggrieved Employees were taking their required rest periods. Further, Defendant did not maintain accurate records of employee work periods, and therefore Defendant cannot demonstrate that Plaintiff and the Aggrieved Employees took rest periods during the middle of each work period. Accordingly, Defendant's policy and practice was for Plaintiff and the Aggrieved Employees to work through rest periods and to not authorize or permit them to take any rest periods.

Plaintiff and the Aggrieved Employees are thus entitled to be paid one hour of additional wages for each workday he or she was not authorized and permitted to take all required rest period(s). Defendant, however, regularly failed to pay Plaintiff and the Aggrieved Employees the additional wages to which they were entitled for rest periods and that they were not authorized and permitted to take.

As a result, Defendant are liable to Plaintiff and the Aggrieved Employees for the civil penalties provided for in Labor Code §§ 210, 558, and 2699(f)(2) for failing to authorize and permit rest periods and pay rest period premium wages.

Failure to Maintain Accurate Records of Hours Worked and Meal Periods

Plaintiff seeks penalties under Labor Code § 1174(d). Pursuant to Labor Code § 1174.5, any person, including any entity, employing labor who willfully fails to maintain accurate and complete records required by Labor Code § 1174 is subject to a penalty under § 1174.5. Pursuant to the applicable IWC Order § 7(A)(3), every employer shall keep time records showing when the employee begins and ends each work period. Meal periods and total hours worked daily shall also be recorded.

LWDA Notice of Labor Code Violations and PAGA September 18, 2019 Page 5 of 8

Defendant, however, failed to maintain accurate records of hours worked and all meal periods taken or missed by Plaintiff and the Aggrieved Employees.

Defendant's failure to provide and maintain records required by the Labor Code IWC Wage Orders deprived Plaintiff and the Aggrieved Employees the ability to know, understand and question the accuracy and frequency of meal periods, and the accuracy of their hours worked stated in Defendant's records. Therefore, Plaintiff and the Aggrieved Employees had no way to dispute the resulting failure to pay wages, all of which resulted in an unjustified economic enrichment to Defendant. As a direct result, Plaintiff and the Aggrieved Employees have suffered and continue to suffer, substantial losses related to the use and enjoyment of such wages, lost interest on such wages and expenses and attorney's fees in seeking to compel Defendant to fully perform its obligation under state law, all to their respective damage in amounts according to proof at trial. As a result of Defendant's knowing failure to comply with the Labor Code and applicable IWC Wage Orders, Plaintiff and the Aggrieved Employees have also suffered an injury in that they were prevented from knowing, understanding, and disputing the wage payments paid to them.

As a result, Defendant is liable to Plaintiff and the Aggrieved Employees for the civil penalties provided for in Labor Code § 1174.5 for failing to maintain accurate records of hours worked and meal periods.

Failure to Reimburse and Indemnify Expenses

California Labor Code § 2802 requires employers to reimburse employees for their necessary expenditures and losses incurred in direct consequence of the discharge of their duties or of their obedience to directions of the employer.

Throughout the statutory period, Defendant wrongfully required Plaintiff and the Aggrieved Employees to pay expenses that they incurred in direct discharge of their duties for Defendant without reimbursement, which included the purchase of office supplies for their home office, paper, ink and printers, and personal cellular phones. Plaintiff and the Aggrieved Employees incurred these substantial expenses as a direct result of performing their job duties for Defendant, and Defendant has failed to indemnify Plaintiff and the Aggrieved Employees for these employment-related expenses..

Failure to Timely Pay All Wages at Termination

Labor Code §§ 201 and 202 provide that if an employer discharges an employee, the wages earned and unpaid at the time of discharge are due and payable immediately, and that if an employee voluntarily leaves his or her employment, his or her wages shall become due and payable not later than seventy-two (72) hours thereafter, unless the employee has given seventy-

LWDA Notice of Labor Code Violations and PAGA September 18, 2019 Page 6 of 8

two (72) hours previous notice of his or her intention to quit, in which case the employee is entitled to his or her wages at the time of quitting.

Within the applicable statute of limitations, the employment of Plaintiff and many other Aggrieved Employees ended, i.e. was terminated by quitting or discharge, and the employment of others will be. However, during the relevant time period, Defendant failed, and continues to fail to pay Plaintiff and terminated Aggrieved Employees, without abatement, all wages required to be paid by Labor Code sections 201 and 202 either at the time of discharge, or within seventy-two (72) hours of their leaving Defendant's employ. These unpaid wages include wages for unpaid work time (including minimum and straight time wages), missed meal periods, and missed rest periods.

Defendant's conduct violates Labor Code §§ 201 and 202.Labor Code § 203 provides that if an employer willfully fails to pay wages owed, in accordance with sections 201 and 202, then the wages of the employee shall continue as a penalty wage from the due date, and at the same rate until paid or until an action is commenced; but the wages shall not continue for more than thirty (30) days.

Accordingly, Plaintiff and the Aggrieved Employees are entitled to recover from Defendant their additionally accruing wages for each day they were not paid, at their regular hourly rate of pay, up to 30 days maximum pursuant to Labor Code § 203.

Moreover, Defendant is liable to Plaintiff and the Aggrieved Employees for the civil penalties provided for in Labor Code § 2699(f)(2) for failing to timely pay all wages at termination.

Failure to Furnish Accurate Itemized Wage Statements

Labor Code § 226(a) provides that every employer shall furnish each of his or her employees an accurate itemized wage statement in writing showing nine pieces of information, including: (1) gross wages earned, (2) total hours worked by the employee, (3) the number of piece-rate units earned and any applicable piece rate if the employee is paid on a piece-rate basis, (4) all deductions, provided that all deductions made on written orders of the employee may be aggregated and shown as one item, (5) net wages earned, (6) the inclusive dates of the period for which the employee is paid, (7) the name of the employee and the last four digits of his or her social security number or an employee identification number other than a social security number, (8) the name and address of the legal entity that is the employer, and (9) all applicable hourly rates in effect during the pay period and the corresponding number of hours worked at each hourly rate by the employee. An employee is presumed to suffer an injury if this information is missing. (Lab. Code § 226(e)(2)(B)(iii).)

LWDA Notice of Labor Code Violations and PAGA September 18, 2019 Page 7 of 8

The statute further provides: "An employee suffering injury as a result of a knowing and intentional failure by an employer to comply with subdivision (a) is entitled to recover the greater of all actual damages or fifty dollars (\$50) for the initial pay period in which a violation occurs and one hundred dollars (\$100) per employee for each violation in a subsequent pay period, not to exceed an aggregate penalty of four thousand dollars (\$4,000), and is entitled to an award of costs and reasonable attorney's fees." (Lab. Code § 226(e)(1).)

Defendant intentionally and willfully failed to provide employees with complete and accurate wage statements. The deficiencies include, among other things, the failure to correctly identify hourly rates, the failure to correctly list gross wages earned, and the failure to list the true net wages earned, including wages for meal periods that were not provided in accordance with California law, wages for rest periods that were not authorized and permitted to take in accordance with California law, and correct wages earned for all hours worked.

As a result of Defendant violating Labor Code § 226, Plaintiff and similarly Aggrieved Employees suffered injury and damage to their statutorily protected rights.

Accordingly, Plaintiff and similarly Aggrieved Employees are entitled to recover from Defendantthe greater of their actual damages caused by Defendant's failure to comply with Labor Code § 226(a), or an aggregate penalty not exceeding \$4,000 dollars per employee.

Moreover, Defendant is liable to Plaintiff and the Aggrieved Employees for the civil penalties provided for in Labor Code § 226.3 for failing to furnish accurate itemized wage statements.

Failure to Pay All Earned Wages Twice Per Month

Based on its failure to pay Plaintiff and the Aggrieved Employees for all wages as discussed above, Defendant also violated Labor Code § 204.

Labor Code § 204 requires employers to pay employees all earned wages two times per month. Throughout the statute of limitations period applicable to this cause of action, employees were entitled to be paid twice a month at their regular rates, including all meal period premium wages owed, rest period premium wages owed, and wages owed for hours worked. However, during all such times, Defendant systematically failed and refused to pay the employees all wages due, and failed to pay those wages twice a month, in that employees were not paid all wages for all meal periods not provided by Defendant, all wages for all rest periods not authorized and permitted by Defendant, and all wages for all hours worked. As a result, Defendant owes employees the legally required wages for unpaid wages, and Plaintiff and the Aggrieved Employees suffered damages in those amounts.

Moreover, Defendant is liable to Plaintiff and the Aggrieved Employees for the civil penalties provided for in Labor Code § 210 for failing to pay all earned wages twice per month.

Action for Civil Penalties Under PAGA

In light of the above, Plaintiff alleges that Defendant violated the following provisions of the Labor Code with respect to the Aggrieved Employees:

- 1. Labor Code § 204, 510, 1194, 1197, and 1198 by failing to pay for all hours worked, including minimum wages, straight time wages and overtime wages;
- 2. Labor Code § 226.7, 512 and applicable Wage Orders by failing to provide meal periods;
- 3. Labor Code § 226.7 and applicable Wage Orders by failing to authorize and permit rest periods;
- 4. Labor Code § 1174.5 and applicable Wage Orders by failing to maintain accurate records of hours worked and meal periods taken or missed;
- 5. Labor Code § 2802 by failing to reimburse and indemnify employees for expenses and losses in the direct consequence and discharge of their duties;
- 6. Labor Code §§ 201 to 203 by willfully failing to pay all wages owed at termination;
- 7. Labor Code § 226 by failing to provide accurate itemized wage statements; and
- 8. Labor Code § 204 by failing to pay all earned wages two times per month.

Therefore, on behalf of all Aggrieved Employees, Plaintiff seeks applicable penalties related to the violations alleged above pursuant to the PAGA. These include, but are not limited to, penalties under Labor Code §§ 210, 226.3, 558, 1174.5, 1197.1, 2802, and 2699(f)(2).

Plaintiff has placed Defendant on notice by mailing a certified copy of this correspondence to its corporate address, as indicated on the first page.

Thank you for your attention to this matter. If you have any questions, please do not hesitate to contact me.

Sincerely,

MOON & YANG, APC

Kane Moon Attorney at Law