SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA COUNTY OF SAN BERNARDINO DISTRICT

DEC 15 2022

DEPUTY Samantha Neubauer

SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

6	FOR THE COUNTY OF SAN BERNARDINO	
7	Attorneys for ERIK MARTINEZ,	Case No. CIVDS2009663
8	on behalf of himself, all others similarly situated, and on behalf of the general public,	[Assigned for All Purposes to the Hon. David Cohn; Dept. S26]
9	Plaintiff,	nom zwiw com, zop. szoj
10	v.	[PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING
11	DATRICK DIDUCTRIES DIG 1 DOES 1	PLAINTIFF ERIK MARTINEZ'S MOTION FOR FINAL APPROVAL OF
12	PATRICK INDUSTRIES, INC.; and DOES 1-100,	CLASS ACTION SETTLEMENT, ATTORNEYS' FEES AND COSTS,
13	Defendants.	CLASS REPRESENTATIVE
14	Detendants.	ENHANCEMENT PAYMENT, ADMINISTRATION COSTS, LWDA
15		PAYMENT, AND ENTERING OF FINAL JUDGMENT
16		
17		Date: December 15, 2022
18		Time: 10:00 a.m.
19		
20		Complaint Filed: June 1, 2020 Trial Date: None Set
21		That Date: None Set
22		



TO ALL PARTIES AND THEIR RESPECTIVE COUNSEL OF RECORD:

Plaintiff's Motion for Final Approval of Class Action Settlement, Attorneys' Fees, Costs, Class Representative Enhancement Payment, and Entering of Final Judgment ("Motion for Final Approval") came before this Court, the Honorable David Cohn, presiding, on December 15, 2022. The Court having considered the papers submitted in support of the Motion for Final Approval, HEREBY ORDERS AND MAKES THE FOLLOWING DETERMINATIONS:

- 1. All terms used herein shall have the same meaning as defined in the Parties' Joint Stipulation and Settlement Agreement ("Settlement Agreement") and the Order Granting Plaintiff's Motion for Preliminary Approval of Class Action Settlement, Conditional Certification, Approval of Class Notice, Setting of Final Approval Hearing Date ("Preliminary Approval Order").
- 2. The Court finds that the applicable requirements of California Code of Civil Procedure section 382 and California Rule of Court 3.769, *et seq.* have been satisfied with respect to the Class and the settlement. The Court hereby makes final its earlier provisional certification of the Class for settlement purposes, as set forth in the Preliminary Approval Order. The Class is hereby defined to include all non-exempt employees of Defendant who worked in California from June 1, 2016 through June 10, 2022 ("Class" or "Class Members").
- 3. The Court has jurisdiction over the claims of the Class Members asserted in this proceeding and over all parties to the proceeding.
- 4. The Notice of Class Action Settlement ("Class Notice") was mailed by first-class U.S. mail to Class Members. The Class Notice informed the Class of the material terms of the settlement, of their right to receive a *pro rata* portion of the Net Settlement Amount, of their right to request exclusion from the settlement, of their right to comment upon or object to the settlement and to appear in person or through counsel at the Final Approval Hearing and of the date set for the Final Approval Hearing. Adequate periods of time were provided by each of these procedures.
- 5. In response to the Class Notice, no member of the Class submitted a written objection to the settlement or stated an intention to appear at the Final Approval Hearing. No

10

8

11 12

14

13

16

15

17 18

19

20 21

22 23

24 25

26 27

28

member of the Class requested to be excluded from the settlement. No member of the Class submitted a dispute regarding the number of workweeks credited to him/her.

- 6. The Court finds and determines that this notice procedure afforded adequate protections to Class Members and provides the basis for the Court to make an informed decision regarding approval of the settlement based on the Class Members' response. The Court finds and determines that the Class Notice was the best notice practicable under the circumstances and satisfied the requirements of law and due process.
- 7. The Court further finds and determines that the terms of the settlement are fair, reasonable, and adequate to the Class and to each Class Member
- 8. Pursuant to California law, the Court hereby grants final approval of the settlement. The Court finds that the settlement was reached as a result of informed and non-collusive arm'slength negotiations facilitated by a neutral mediator. The Court further finds that the Parties conducted extensive investigation, research, and discovery and that their attorneys were able to reasonably evaluate their respective positions. The Court also finds that settlement will enable the Parties to avoid additional and potentially substantial litigation costs, as well as delay and risks if the Parties were to continue to litigate the case. The Court has considered the absence of objections to and requests for exclusion from the settlement, reviewed the monetary recovery provided as part of the settlement, and recognizes the significant value accorded to the Class. Accordingly, the Court hereby approves the terms set forth in the Settlement Agreement and finds that the settlement is, in all respects, fair, adequate, and reasonable, and directs the Parties to effectuate the settlement according to its terms.
- 9. A full opportunity has been afforded to the Class Members to participate in the Final Approval Hearing, and all Class Members and other persons wishing to be heard have been heard. The Class Members also have had a full and fair opportunity to exclude themselves from the settlement. Accordingly, the Court determines that all Class Members who did not submit a timely and valid request for exclusion from the settlement to the Settlement Administrator ("Participating Class Members") are bound by this Order Granting Plaintiff's Motion for Final

11 12

13 14

15 16

17 18

19 20

21

22

23

25

24

26 27

28

Approval of Class Action Settlement, Attorneys' Fees, Costs, Class Representative Enhancement Payment, and Entering of Final Judgment ("Final Approval Order and Judgment").

- 10. The Court hereby confirms David Mara and Jill Vecchi of Mara Law Firm, PC, as Class Counsel in this action.
- 11. The Court hereby confirms Plaintiff Erik Martinez as the Class Representative in this action.
- 12. The Court finds and determines that the Individual Settlement Shares provided for by the terms of the Settlement Agreement to be paid to the Participating Class Members are fair and reasonable. The Court hereby gives final approval to and orders the payment of those amounts be made to the Participating Class Members in accordance with the terms of the Settlement Agreement.
- 13. The Court finds and determines the Class Representative Enhancement Payment in the sum of \$7,500 to Plaintiff Erik Martinez is fair and reasonable. The Court hereby orders the Settlement Administrator to make the payment to the Plaintiff/Class Representative Erik Martinez in the amount of \$7,500 for the Class Representative Enhancement Payment in accordance with the terms of the Settlement Agreement.
- 14. The Court finds and determines that the payment to the Settlement Administrator, Phoenix Settlement Administrators, in the sum of \$10,000 for its fee and expenses incurred and to be incurred for the notice and settlement administration process is fair and reasonable. The Court hereby orders the Settlement Administrator to make payment to itself in the amount of \$10,000 for Administration Costs in accordance with the terms of the Settlement Agreement.
- 15. Pursuant to the terms of the settlement, and the authorities, evidence and argument submitted by Class Counsel, the Court hereby approves of an attorneys' fee award in the sum of \$599,940 and a Cost Award of \$18,424.51 to Class Counsel. The Court finds such amounts to be fair and reasonable. The Court hereby orders the Settlement Administrator to make payment to Class Counsel in the amount of \$599,940 for attorneys' fees and \$18,424.51 for litigation expenses in accordance with the terms of the Settlement Agreement.

- 16. The Court finds and determines that the payment to the Labor and Workforce Development Agency ("LWDA"), in the sum of \$56,250 (which is 75% of the \$75,000 allocated to claims under the Private Attorneys General Act of 2004 ("PAGA")), is fair and reasonable. The Court hereby orders the Settlement Administrator to make the payment to the LWDA in the amount of \$56,250 for the PAGA payment in accordance with the terms of the Settlement Agreement.
- 17. Neither Defendant nor any related persons or entities shall have any further liability for costs, expenses, interest, attorneys' fees, or for any other charge, expense, or liability, except as provided for by the Settlement Agreement. Pursuant to the terms of the Settlement Agreement, Defendant shall not be liable for more than the agreed upon Gross Settlement Amount.
- 18. The Court finds and determines that the release contained in the Settlement Agreement is appropriate and shall bind all Settlement Class Members.
- 19. Nothing in this Final Approval Order and Judgment shall preclude any action to enforce the Parties' obligations pursuant to the Settlement Agreement or pursuant to this Final Approval Order and Judgment, including the requirement that Defendant make payments to Settlement Class Members in accordance with the Settlement Agreement.
- 20. The Court finds and determines that nothing in the Settlement Agreement or this Final Approval Order and Judgment is intended or will be construed as an admission of liability or wrongdoing by Defendant. Except as necessary to enforce the terms of the Settlement Agreement, neither the Settlement, nor any document, statement, proceeding or conduct related to the Settlement, nor any reports or accounts thereof, shall in any event be construed as, offered or admitted into evidence as, received as or deemed to be in evidence for any purpose adverse to the Defendant, including, but not limited to, evidence of a presumption, concession, indication or admission by Defendant of any liability, fault, wrongdoing, omission, concession, or damage, except for legal proceedings concerning the implementation, interpretation, or enforcement of the Settlement Agreement.