1	Kane Moon (SBN 249834)	FILED	
2	Lilit Ter-Astvatsatryan (SBN 320389) MOON & YANG, APC	Superior Court of California County of Los Angeles	
3	1055 W. Seventh St., Suite 1880 Los Angeles, California 90017	11/09/2022 Sherri R. Carter, Executive Officer / Clerk of Court	
4	Telephone: (213) 232-3128 Facsimile: (213) 232-3125	By: L. M'Greené Deputy	
5	E-mail: kane.moon@moonyanglaw.com E-mail: lilit@moonyanglaw.com		
6	Attorneys for Plaintiff CYNTHIA DELA VEGA	X	
7			
8	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA		
9	FOR THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES		
10	CYNTHIA DELA VEGA, individually, and on	Case No.: 20STCV27597	
11	behalf of all others similarly situated,	CLASS AND REPRESENTATIVE ACTION	
12	Plaintiff,	[Assigned for all purposes to Hon. Carolyn B.	
13	VS.	Kuhl, Dept. 12]	
14	vs.	[PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING PLAINTIFF'S MOTION FOR	
15	VALERIO'S TROPICAL BAKESHOP I, INC., a California corporation; VALERIO'S	PRELIMINARY APPROVAL OF CLASS AND PAGA ACTION SETTLEMENT	
16	TROPICAL BAKESHOP II, INC., a California corporation; VALERIO'S TROPICAL		
17	BAKESHOP III, INC., a California corporation; VALERIO'S TROPICAL BAKESHOP IV,		
Ę ¹⁸	INC., a California corporation; VALERIO'S TROPICAL BAKESHOP V, INC., a California		
	corporation; VALERIO'S TROPICAL BAKESHOP VI, INC., a California corporation;		
20	VALERIO'S TROPICAL BAKESHOP VII, INC., a California corporation; VALERIO'S		
22 21	TROPICAL BAKESHOP VIII, INC., a California corporation; VALERIO'S		
22 22	TROPICAL BAKESHOP IX, INC., a California corporation; VALERIO'S TROPICAL		
<u>5</u> 23	BAKESHOP X, INC., a California corporation; VALERIO'S TROPICAL BAKESHOP XI,		
<u>∧</u> ia 24	INC., a California corporation; VALERIO'S TROPICAL BAKESHOP XII, INC., a	Action Filed: July 17, 2020 Trial Date: Not Set	
≝ 25 ≥ 25	California corporation; and DOES 1 through 10, inclusive,	That Date. Not bet	
26 Jica	Defendants		
Electronically Received 10/27/2022 10:10 AM 52 52 53 53 54 54 55 55 55 56 55 57 57 57 57 57 50 57 50 57 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50			
ан 28			
	[PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING PLAINTIFF'S CLASS AND PAGA AC		

1

2

3. The Court finds on a preliminary basis that: (1) the settlement amount is fair and reasonable to the class members when balanced against the probable outcome of further [PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING PLAINTIFF'S MOTION FOR PRELIMINARY APPROVAL OF CLASS AND PAGA ACTION SETTLEMENT

could ultimately be given final approval by this Court, and appears to be presumptively valid, subject only to any objections that may be raised at the Final Approval Hearing and final approval by this Court. The Court notes that Defendants have agreed to create a common fund of \$1,100,000.00 to cover (a) settlement payments to class members who do not validly opt out; (b) \$75,000.00 allocated to penalties under the Private Attorneys General Act of 2004 ("PAGA"), distributed as follows: 25% (\$18,750.00) to the PAGA Employees and 75% (\$56,250.00) to the California Labor and Workforce Development Agency; (c) Class Representative Enhancement Payment of up to \$10,000.00 to Plaintiff; (d) Class Counsel's attorneys' fees, not to exceed 33.3% of the Gross Settlement Amount (\$366,300.00), and up to \$25,000.00 in costs for actual litigation expenses incurred by Class Counsel; and (e) Settlement Administration costs of up to \$15,000.00.

Preliminary Approval of Class and PAGA Action Settlement. Having reviewed the Motion for Preliminary Approval of Class and PAGA Action Settlement, the Declaration of Kane Moon, the Declaration of Plaintiff Cynthia Dela Vega, the First Amended Joint Stipulation and Settlement Agreement (which is referred to here as the "Settlement" or "Settlement Agreement"), and good cause appearing, the Court hereby finds, and orders as follows:

The Court has before it Plaintiff Cynthia Dela Vega's ("Plaintiff") Motion for

The Court finds on a preliminary basis that the Settlement Agreement appears to be fair, adequate, and reasonable, and therefore meets the requirements for preliminary approval. The Court grants preliminary approval of the Settlement and the Settlement Class based upon the terms set forth in the Settlement Agreement attached to the Declaration of Lilit Ter-Astvatsatryan in Support of Plaintiff's Motion for Preliminary Approval of Class and PAGA Action Settlement ("LTA Declaration") as Exhibit 1. The Court preliminarily finds that the terms of the Settlement appear to be within the range of possible approval, pursuant to California Code of Civil Procedure § 382 and applicable law.

26

27

litigation relating to class certification, liability and damages issues, and potential appeals; (2) significant informal discovery, investigation, research, and litigation have been conducted such that counsel for the Parties at this time are able to reasonably evaluate their respective positions; (3) settlement at this time will avoid substantial costs, delay, and risks that would be presented by the further prosecution of the litigation; and (4) the proposed settlement has been reached as the result of intensive, serious, and non-collusive negotiations between the Parties. Accordingly, the Court preliminarily finds that the Settlement Agreement was entered into in good faith.

4. A final fairness hearing on the question of whether the proposed settlement, attorneys' fees and costs to Class Counsel, and the class representative's enhancement award should be finally approved as fair, reasonable and adequate as to the members of the class is hereby set in accordance with the Implementation Schedule set forth below.

5. The Court provisionally certifies for settlement purposes only the following class (the "Settlement Class"): "Any and all persons who have been employed by Defendants as nonexempt, hourly employees in California at any time from July 17, 2016 to April 22, 2022 (the "Class Period")." Excluded from the Settlement Class are all persons who properly and timely elect to opt out.

The PAGA Employee, or Aggrieved Employee, Group is provisionally approved 6. for settlement purposes only. The court notes the PAGA Employee Group includes "all individuals employed as non-exempt employees by Defendants within California from July 17, 2019 to April 22, 2022 ("PAGA Period")."

7. Upon the final approval by the Court of this Settlement and Defendants' payment of all sums due pursuant to this Settlement, and except as to such rights or claims as may be created by this Settlement, the Class Representatives, the Class and each Class Member who has not submitted a valid and timely request for exclusion as to claims other than the PAGA claim, and each PAGA Employee, regardless of whether they have requested exclusion from the Settlement of Class claims, will release claims as follows:

28

a. Release of Claims. Upon Defendants' fulfillment of its payment obligations under

1

Section IV(I)(9)(a) of this Agreement, Class Members, who do not submit a timely and valid request for exclusion, hereby waive, release, promise never to assert in any forum, remise and forever discharge the Released Parties from the Released Claims during the Class Period.

- b. Released Claims. Upon Effective Final Settlement Date, the claims that Plaintiff, the other Participating Class Members, and the Eligible Aggrieved Employees are releasing in exchange for the consideration provided for by this Agreement are all known and unknown claims, rights, demands, damages, liabilities and causes of action, in law or in equity, arising at any time during the Class Period alleged in the Complaint, Plaintiff's letter to the LWDA, ascertained in course of the Action, or that could have been alleged based on the facts pleaded, including but not limited to California Labor Code §§ 201- 203, 204, 226, 226.3, 226.7, 510, 512, 1174, 1174.5, 1194, 1197, 1197.1, 1198, any category of violations identified in Labor Code § 2699.5, and the related applicable IWC Wage Orders.
- c. Released Parties. Defendants and each of their current and former owners, operators, officers, members, directors, shareholders, partners, affiliated companies, parent companies, subsidiary companies, holding companies, predecessors, successors, insurers, assigns, trustees, DBAs, franchisors, franchisees, payroll service providers, legal representatives, accountants, employees, independent contractors, lessors, and agents.
- d. Effect of PAGA Settlement. Upon Defendants' fulfillment of its payment obligations under Section IV(I)(9)(a) of this Agreement, the LWDA, and any other representative, proxy, or agent thereof, including, but not limited to, any and all Eligible Aggrieved Employees during the PAGA Timeframe, shall not pursue any action for civil penalties under the California Labor Code Private Attorneys General Act of 2004 ("PAGA"), Labor Code §§ 2698, *et seq.*, against, the Released Parties based on or arising out of alleged violations of Labor Code sections alleged in Plaintiff's letter to the LWDA and the Complaint

8. The Court finds, for settlement purposes only, that the Settlement Class meets the requirements for certification under California Code of Civil Procedure § 382 in that: (1) the Settlement Classes are so numerous that joinder is impractical; (2) there are questions of law and fact that are common, or of general interest, to all Settlement Class Members, which predominate over individual issues; (3) Plaintiff's claims are typical of the claims of the Settlement Class Members; (4) Plaintiff and Class Counsel will fairly and adequately protect the interests of the Settlement Class Members; and (5) a class action is superior to other available methods for the fair and efficient adjudication of the controversy.

9. The Court appoints, for settlement purposes only, Cynthia Dela Vega, as Class Representative.

10. The Court appoints, for settlement purposes only, Moon & Yang, APC, as Class Counsel. The Court further preliminary approves Class Counsel's ability to request attorneys' fees of up to thirty-three and one-third (33.3%) of the Total Settlement Amount (\$366,300.00), and costs not to exceed \$25,000.00.

11. The Court appoints Phoenix Class Action Administration Solutions as the Settlement Administrator with reasonable administration costs estimated not to exceed \$15,000.00.

12. The Court approves the Notice, as to its form and content, attached as Exhibit 3 to the Declaration of Lilit Ter-Astvatsatryan. The Court finds on a preliminary basis that plan for distribution of the Notice to Settlement Class Members satisfies due process, provides the best notice practicable under the circumstances, and shall constitute due and sufficient notice

13. The Parties are ordered to carry out the Settlement according to the terms of the Agreement.

14. Any class member who does not request exclusion from the settlement may object to the Settlement Agreement.

15. The Court orders the following Implementation Schedule:

[PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING PLAINTIFF'S MOTION FOR PRELIMINARY APPROVAL OF CLASS AND PAGA ACTION SETTLEMENT

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

Defendants to provide Class List to the Settlement Administrator	Within 21 days of preliminary approval
Settlement Administrator to mail the	Within 14 days of receipt of the Class
Notice Packets by First Class Mail	List
Response Deadline	60 days from the initial mailing of the Notice Packet
Deadline to file Motion for Final Approval	FÎÁ&[č¦ó&sæê•Á]¦ā]¦Á{[Ás@Á@æa3;*Á]}Ás@ T[cā]}Á{[¦Á23];aa¦ÁQE]]¦[çæa]
Final Approval Hearing	I ₱F₺€€€₩\$\$\$€₩\$\$\$ Department 12

16. The Court further ORDERS that, pending further order of this Court, all proceedings in this lawsuit, except those contemplated herein and in the settlement, are stayed.

17. The Settlement is preliminarily approved but is not an admission by Defendants of the validity of any claims in this class and PAGA action, or of any wrongdoing or violation of law by Defendants. Neither the Agreement nor any related document shall be offered or received in evidence in any civil, criminal, or administrative action or proceeding other than such proceedings as may be necessary to consummate or enforce the Agreement and Settlement. The obligations set forth in the Agreement are deemed part of this Order.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATE: 11/09/2022

realyn & Kukl

Carolyn B. Kuhl / Judge Hon. Carolyn B. Kuhl, Dept. 12 Judge of the Los Angeles County Superior Court

1	PROOF OF SERVICE			
2				
3	STATE OF CALIFORNIA)) ss			
4	COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES)			
5	I am employed in the county of Los Angeles, State of California. I am over the age of 18 and not a party to the within action; my business address is 1055 West Seventh Street, Suite 1880, Los Angeles, California 90017. On October 27, 2022, I served the foregoing document described			
6				
7	as:			
8	[PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING PLAINTIFF'S MOTION FOR PRELIMINARY APPROVAL OF CLASS AND PAGA ACTION SETTLEMENT			
9 10	\underline{X} by placing the original \underline{X} a true copy thereof enclosed in sealed envelope(s) addressed as follows:			
11				
12	Ann Smith			
13	Michele L. Collender ATKINSON, ANDELSON, LOYA, RUUD & ROMO			
14	12800 Center Court Drive, Suite 300 Cerritos, CA 90703			
15	Tel.: 562-653-3200 Fax: 562-653-3333			
16	asmith@aalrr.com michele.collender@aalrr.com			
17				
18	Attorneys for Defendants Valerio's Tropical Bakeshop I, Inc., Valerio's Tropical Bakeshop II, Inc., Valerio's Tropical Bakeshop III, Inc., Valerio's Tropical Bakeshop V, Inc., Valerio's Tropical Bakeshop VI, Inc., Valerio's Tropical Bakeshop VII, Inc., Valerio's Tropical Bakeshop X, Inc.,			
19	Valerio's Tropical Bakeshop XI, Inc, and Valerio's Tropical Bakeshop XII, Inc.			
20	[✓] BY Via Court Approved Efiling & Eservice Vendor: FILE & SERVE EXPRESS: by transmitting via electric service the document(s) listed above to the parties and or			
21	email address(es) set forth below.			
22				
23	X(State)I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the above is true and correct.			
24	Executed on October 27, 2022, at Los Angeles, California			
25 26	4n A			
26	Ivette Hernandez			
27	Name Signature			
28				
	1 PROOF OF SERVICE			