1 2 3 4	Christopher L. Burrows, CA Bar No. 222301 cburrows@cburrowslaw.com BURROWS LAW FIRM 8383 Wilshire Blvd., Suite 634 Beverly Hills, California 90211 Tel: (310) 526-9998 Fax: (424) 644-2446					
5	Attorneys for Plaintiff					
6						
7						
8	IN THE SUPERIOR COURT O	F THE STATE OF CAI	LIFORNIA			
9	IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES					
10		SINII OI EOS MINGEE				
11	LAURA ORTIZ, an individual, on behalf of herself and all others similarly situated,	Case No. BC682710				
12	Plaintiff,	Assigned to: Hon. Day Dept.: SSC-11	rid S. Cunningham			
13	v.	NOTICE OF COUR				
15	CASA COLINA, INC., a California	OF CLASS ACTION	MINARY APPROVA SETTLEMENT			
16	Corporation, and DOES 1 through 100,	[Related Case No.: 21	STCV20670 <i>Ortega v.</i> elated on July 30, 2021)			
17	Defendants	Dept. 11.	ciated on July 30, 2021)			
18		Casa Colina, Inc. et a	STCV35101 <i>Jackson v. l.</i> (related on October 19			
19		2021), Dept. 11.				
20		G 1: FILE	N 1 7 2017			
21		Complaint Filed: FAC Filed::	November 7, 2017 September 11, 2020			
22		Trial Date:	None Set			
23						
24						
25						
26						
27						
28		_1_				

TO THE COURT, THE PARTIES, AND THEIR ATTORNEYS OF RECORD:

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that on December 8, 2022, in Department 11 of the aboveidentified Court, the Honorable David S. Cunningham, Presiding, the Court entered an Order granting preliminary approval of the proposed Class Action Settlement. A true and correct copy of the Order is attached hereto as Exhibit A.

Dated: December 12, 2022

Christopher L. Burrows Attorneys for Plaintiff and proposed class

BURROWS LAW_FIRM

EXHIBIT A

1 2 3 4 5 6	BURROWS LAW FIRM Christopher L. Burrows (SBN 222301) cburrows@cburrowslaw.com 8383 Wilshire Boulevard, Suite 634 Beverly Hills, CA 90211 Tel: (310) 526-9998 Fax: (424) 644-2446 Attorneys for Plaintiff	FILED Superior Court of California County of Los Angeles 12/08/2022 Sherri R. Carter, Executive Officer / Clerk of County By:T. Lewis Deputy
8		
9		
10		
11		
12	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE S	STATE OF CALIFORNIA
13	FOR THE COUNTY O	F LOS ANGELES
14		
15	LAURA ORTIZ, an individual, on behalf of	Case No.: BC682710
16	herself and all others similarly situated,	[Assigned for all purposes to Hon. David S.
17	Plaintiff,	Cunningham, Dept.: SSC-11]
18	v.	DEVISED (DUCBAGED)
19	CASA COLINA, INC., a California Corporation,	REVISED [PROPOSED] PRELIMINARY APPROVAL ORDER
20	and DOES 1 through 100,	Date: December 7, 2022 Time: 9:30 a.m.
21	Defendants.	Dept.: SSC-11
22		Complaint Filed: November 7, 2017 FAC filed: September 11, 2020
23		Trial Date: None set
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		

PRELIMINARY APPROVAL ORDER

Electronically Received 12/07/2022 06:06 PM

This matter, having come before the Superior Court of the State of California, in and for the County of Los Angeles, at 9:30 a.m. on December 7, 2022, or thereafter as determined by the Court, the Court having carefully considered the briefs, argument of counsel, and all matters presented to the Court and good cause appearing, hereby GRANTS Plaintiffs' Motion for Preliminary Approval of Class Action Settlement.

- 1. The Court preliminarily approves the Stipulation and Settlement of Class, Collective and Representative Action ("Settlement Agreement" or "Agreement") attached as Exhibit 1 to the Declaration of Christopher L. Burrows in Support of Plaintiffs' Motion for Preliminary Approval of Class Action Settlement. This is based on the Court's determination that the Settlement Agreement is within the range of possible final approval, pursuant to the provisions of Section 382 of the California Code of Civil Procedure and California Rule of Court 3.769.
- 2. This Order incorporates by reference the definitions in the Agreement, and all terms defined therein shall have the same meaning in this Order as set forth in the Agreement.
- 3. The Settlement Fund that Defendants shall pay is Seven Hundred Fifty Thousand Dollars (\$750,000). It appears to the Court on a preliminary basis that the settlement amount and terms are fair, adequate, and reasonable as to all potential Settlement Class Members when balanced against the probable outcome of further litigation relating to certification, liability, and damages issues. It further appears that investigation and research have been conducted such that counsel for the Parties are able to reasonably evaluate their respective positions. It further appears to the Court that settlement at this time will avoid substantial additional costs by all Parties, as well as avoid the delay and risks that would be presented by the further prosecution of the Action. It further appears that the Settlement has been reached as the result of intensive, serious, and noncollusive, arms-length negotiations.
- 4. The Court preliminarily finds that the Settlement appears to be within the range of reasonableness of a settlement that could ultimately be given final approval by this Court. The Court has reviewed the monetary recovery that is being granted as part of the Settlement and preliminarily finds that the monetary settlement awards made available to Settlement Class Members are fair, adequate, and reasonable when balanced against the probable outcome of

9 10

11

12 13

14

15 16

17

18 19

20

21

22

24 25

23

26

27

28

further litigation relating to certification, liability, and damages issues.

- 5. The Court recognizes that Plaintiffs and Defendant stipulate and agree to certification of a class for settlement purposes only. This stipulation will not be deemed admissible in this or any other proceeding should this Settlement not become final. For settlement purposes only, the Court conditionally certifies the following Class: "All current and former nonexempt employees of Casa Colina, Inc., within the state of California from November 7, 2013, through the date of Preliminary Approval."
- 6. The Court concludes that, for settlement purposes only, the Class meets the requirements for certification under section 382 of the California Code of Civil Procedure in that: (a) the Class is ascertainable and so numerous that joinder of all members of the Class is impracticable; (b) common questions of law and fact predominate, and there is a well-defined community of interest amongst the members of the Class with respect to the subject matter of the litigation; (c) the claims of the Plaintiffs are typical of the claims of the members of the Class; (d) the Plaintiffs have and will fairly and adequately protect the interests of the members of the Class; (e) a class action is superior to other available methods for the efficient adjudication of this controversy; and (f) counsel for the Class is qualified to act as counsel for the Class and the Plaintiffs are adequate representatives of the Class.
- 7. The Court provisionally appoints Plaintiffs Tiffany Schneidmiller and Maria Alicia Ortega as the representatives of the Class. The Court provisionally appoints Burrows Law Firm, the Novak Law Firm, PC, and Haines Law Group, APC, as Co-Class Counsel, and approves the filing of the proposed Second Amended Consolidated Class and Representative Action Complaint ("SAC").
- 8. The Court hereby approves, as to form and content, the Notice attached to the Agreement as Exhibit A. The Court finds that the Notice appears to fully and accurately inform the Class of all material elements of the proposed Settlement, of the Class Members' right to be excluded from the Settlement Class by submitting a written opt-out request, and of each Settlement Class Member's right and opportunity to object to the Settlement. The Court further finds that the distribution of the Notice substantially in the manner and form set forth in the

Agreement and this Order meets the requirements of due process, is the best notice practicable under the circumstances, and shall constitute due and sufficient notice to all persons entitled thereto. The Court orders the mailing of the Notice by first class mail, pursuant to the terms set forth in the Agreement.

- 9. The Court hereby appoints Phoenix Class Action Administration Solutions, Inc., ("Phoenix"), as Settlement Administrator. Within twenty-one (21) calendar days after entry of this Order, Defendant shall provide the Settlement Administrator with the Class Information for purposes of sending the Class Notice to Class Members. Within fourteen (14) calendar days after receiving the Class Information from Defendant, the Settlement Administrator shall send a copy of the Class Notice including the Exclusion Request procedure by first class U.S. Mail to each potential Settlement Class Member.
- 10. Any Class Member who has not opted out may appear at the Final Approval Hearing and may object or express the Class Member's views regarding the Settlement and may present evidence and file briefs or other papers that may be proper and relevant to the issues to be heard and determined by the Court as provided in the Notice. Class Members who wish to object to the Settlement may file with the Court and serve on the Claims Administrator either a written statement objecting to the Settlement or a written notice of intention to appear at the Final Approval Hearing and object. Regardless whether Class Members submit written objections or notices of intention to appear, they may appear at the Final Approval Hearing to have their objections heard by the Court.
- a.m. in Department 11 of the Los Angeles County Superior Court to determine all necessary matters concerning the Settlement, including: whether the proposed Settlement of the Action on the terms and conditions provided for in the Agreement is fair, adequate and reasonable and should be finally approved by the Court; whether an Order Granting Final Approval should be entered herein; whether the plan of allocation contained in the Agreement should be approved as fair, adequate and reasonable to the Settlement Class Members; and to finally approve the Class Counsel Award, Service Awards, and the Settlement Administrator Expenses. All papers in

28 || /

support of the motion for final approval and the motion for attorneys' fees, costs and service awards shall be filed with the Court and served on all counsel no later than sixteen (16) court days before the Final Approval Hearing.

- thereunder shall be construed as a concession or admission by Defendant in any way, and shall not be used as evidence of, or used against Defendant as, an admission or indication in any way, including with respect to any claim of any liability, wrongdoing, fault, or omission by Defendant or with respect to the truth of any allegation asserted by any person. Whether or not the Settlement is finally approved, neither the Settlement, nor any exhibit, document, statement, proceeding or conduct related to the Settlement, nor any reports or accounts thereof, shall in any event be construed as, offered or admitted in evidence as, received as or deemed to be evidence for any purpose adverse to the Defendant, including, but not limited to, evidence of a presumption, concession, indication or admission by Defendant of any liability, fault, wrongdoing, omission, concession or damage.
- 13. In the event the Settlement does not become effective in accordance with the terms of the Agreement, or the Settlement is not finally approved, or is terminated, canceled, or fails to become effective for any reason, this Order shall be rendered null and void and shall be vacated, and the Parties shall revert to their respective positions as of before entering into the Agreement. In such an event, the Court's orders regarding the Settlement, including this Preliminary Approval Order, shall not be used or referred to in litigation for any purpose. Nothing in this paragraph is intended to alter the terms of the Settlement Agreement with respect to the effect of the Settlement Agreement if it is not approved.
- 14. The Court reserves the right to adjourn or continue the date of the Final Approval Hearing and all dates provided for in the Agreement without further notice to Class Members and retains jurisdiction to consider all further applications arising out of or connected with the proposed Settlement.

15. An implementation schedule is below:

E4	D-4-
Event	Date
Defendant to provide class list and data report (contact	Within 21 calendar days of
information) to Settlement Administrator no later than:	Preliminary Approval Order
	(Agrmt § XI (2))
Settlement Administrator to mail the Class Notice to the	Within fourteen (14) calendar
Settlement Class no later than:	days after receiving the Class
	Information (Agrmt § XI (3))
Deadline for Class Members to submit disputes or request	45 days from mailing.
exclusion from the Settlement:	
Deadline for Plaintiff to file Motion for Final Approval of	April 7, 2023 (30 calendar days
Class Action Settlement:	before Final Fairness Hearing)
Final Fairness Hearing	May 8, 2023, at 11:00 a.m.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated:	12/08/2022	Durk 1
		(/)WV44(() ./ W

HON. DAVID S. CUNNINGHAM
JUDGE, SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA