1	Larry W. Lee (State Bar No. 228175)	
ا ر	Kristen M. Agnew (State Bar No. 247656)	SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA
2	Nicholas Rosenthal (State Bar No. 268297)	COUNTY OF ORANGE CENTRAL JUSTICE CENTER
3	DIVERSITY LAW GROUP, P.C. 515 S. Figueroa Street, Suite 1250	NOV 1 5 2022
4	Los Angeles, CA 90071	0.000 a l M 1000 mm.
	(213) 488-6555	DAVID H. YAMASAKI, Clerk of the Court
5	(213) 488-6554 facsimile	BY: Ct (J., DEPUTY
6	William L. Marder (State Bar No. 170131)	
7	Polaris Law Group	
8	501 San Benito Street, Suite 200	
	Hollister, CA 95023 (831) 531-4214	
9	(831) 634-0333 facsimile	
10	(651) 651 6555 1455111115	
11	Attorneys for Plaintiff, the Class, and Aggriev	ved Employees
12	SUPERIOR COURT OF T	THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
13		UNTY OF ORANGE
14		
15	MELISSA MOSS, as an individual and on behalf of all others similarly situated,	Case No.: 30-2021-01201041-CU-OE-CXC
	,	[Assigned for all purposes to the Hon. William
16	· Plaintiffs,	Claster, in Department CX104]
17	vs.	[ <del>PROPOSED</del> ] AMENDED ORDER
18		GRANTING PLAINTIFF'S MOTION FOR
19	SARES REGIS OPERATING COMPANY,	PRELIMINARY APPROVAL OF CLASS
	L.P.; and DOES 1 through 50, inclusive,	ACTION SETTLEMENT
20	Defendants.	Date: November 4, 2022
21		Time: 9:00 a.m. Dept.: CX104
22		Dopt CATO+
23		Complaint Filed: May 17, 2021
24		FAC Filed: August 16, 2021
25		SAC Filed: June 10, 2022 Trial Date: None Set
26		That Date. Note Set
27		
28	1	

Plaintiff Melissa Moss's ("Plaintiff") Motion for Preliminary Approval of Class Action Settlement (the "Motion") was filed with the Court on June 10, 2022. On November 3, 2022, the Court published a tentative order granting the Motion. Plaintiff and Defendant Sares Regis Operating Company, L.P. ("Defendant") did not contest the tentative.

The Court has considered the Amended Joint Stipulation of Class Action and PAGA Settlement and Release of Claims ("Stipulation" or "Settlement Agreement") and all other papers filed in this action.

## NOW THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED:

- 1. This Court grants preliminary approval of the Settlement Agreement between Plaintiff and Defendant filed herewith. The Settlement Agreement appears to be fair, adequate, and reasonable to the Class;
- 2. The Class Representative and Defendant (the "Parties"), through their counsel of record in the Action, have reached an agreement to settle all claims in the Action on behalf of the Class (as defined below and in the Settlement Agreement) as a whole;
- 3. The Court hereby conditionally certifies the following Class for settlement purposes only:

all individuals who worked for SRG as an hourly or non-exempt employee in the State of California from May 7, 2019 to July 8, 2021 (the "Class Period"), and who either (a) earned non-discretionary incentive wages and received a meal or rest period premium during the same workweek at any time during the Class Period ("Meal/Rest Class Members"); or who were paid final wages (upon separation of employment) via a paycard at any time during the Class Period ("Paycard Class Members").

- 4. Should for whatever reason the Settlement Agreement not become Final, the fact that the Parties were willing to stipulate to certification of a class as part of the Settlement Agreement shall have no bearing on, or be admissible in connection with, the Action or the issue of whether a class should be certified in a non-settlement context.
- 5. The Court appoints and designates: (a) Plaintiff Melissa Moss as the Class Representative and (b) Larry W. Lee, Kristen M. Agnew, and Nicholas Rosenthal of Diversity Law Group, P.C. and William L. Marder of Polaris Law Group as Class Counsel for the Class.

Class Counsel is authorized to act on behalf of the Class with respect to all acts or consents required by, or which may be given, pursuant to the Settlement Agreement, and such other acts reasonably necessary to finalize the Settlement Agreement and its terms. Any Class Member may enter an appearance through his or her own counsel at such Class Member's own expense. Any Class Member who does not enter an appearance or appear on his or her own behalf will be represented by Class Counsel.

- 6. The Court hereby approves the terms and conditions provided for in the Settlement Agreement. The Court finds that on a preliminary basis the Settlement Agreement falls within the range of reasonableness of a settlement, and appears to be presumptively valid, subject only to any objections that may be raised at the final fairness hearing and final approval by the Court. It appears to the Court on a preliminary basis that the settlement is fair, adequate, and reasonable as to all potential Class Members when balanced against the probable outcome of further litigation relating to liability and damages issues. It also appears that investigation, research, and court proceedings have been conducted so that counsel for the Parties are able to reasonably evaluate their respective positions. It appears to the Court that settlement at this time will avoid substantial additional costs by all Parties, as well as avoid the delay and risks that would be presented by the further prosecution of the Action. It also appears that settlement has been reached as a result of intensive, serious, and non-collusive arm's-length negotiations.
- 7. A Final Fairness and Final Approval Hearing on the question of whether the proposed Settlement Agreement, the allocation of payments to Settlement Class Members, attorneys' fees and costs to Class Counsel, settlement administration costs, and the Class Representative Payment should be finally approved as fair, reasonable, and adequate as to the members of the Class is hereby set for May 5, 2023 at 9:00 a.m. in this Court.
- 8. The Court hereby approves, as to form and content, the Notice of Proposed Class Action Settlement ("Class Notice") to be sent to Class Members, which is attached as **Exhibit C** to the Declaration of Nicholas Rosenthal Re Plaintiff's Supplemental Statement in Support of Plaintiff's Motion for Preliminary Approval of Class Action Settlement [ROA 97, 98]. The Court finds that distribution of the Class Notice to Class Members substantially in the manner and form

//

//

set forth in the Settlement Agreement and this Order meets the requirements of due process and shall constitute due and sufficient notice to all parties entitled thereto.

- 9. The Court appoints and designates Phoenix Settlement Administrators as the Claims Administrator. The Court hereby directs the Claims Administrator to provide the approved Class Notice to Class Members using the procedures set forth in the Settlement Agreement.
- 10. Any Class Member may choose to opt out of and be excluded from the settlement as provided in the Settlement Agreement and Class Notice and by following the instructions to request exclusion. Any person who timely and properly opts out of the settlement will not be bound by the Settlement Agreement or have any right to object, appeal, or comment thereon. Any opt out request must be in writing and signed by each such Class Member opting out and must otherwise comply with the requirements delineated in the Class Notice. Class Members who have not requested exclusion by submitting a valid and timely opt out request, by the opt out deadline, shall be bound by all determinations of the Court, the Settlement Agreement, and Judgment. No Aggrieved Employee may opt out of or be excluded from participating in the settlement of the PAGA claims.
- 11. Any Class Member may object to the Settlement Agreement or express his or her views regarding the Settlement Agreement, and may present evidence and file briefs or other papers that may be proper and relevant to the issues to be heard and determined by the Court as provided in the Class Notice.
- 12. The Motion for Final Approval shall be filed by the Class Representative no later than sixteen (16) court days before the Final Fairness and Final Approval Hearing.

1	13. The Court reserves the right to adjourn or continue the date of the Final Fairness
2	and Final Approval Hearing and all dates provided for in the Settlement Agreement without
3	further notice to the Class, and retains jurisdiction to consider all further applications arising out
4	of or connected with the Class Settlement Agreement.
5	IT IS SO ORDERED.
6	
7	DATED: 11-15-22 Will D. Clida
8	HON. WILLIAM CLASTER SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27 28	
20	5

1	PROOF OF SERVICE	
2	(Code of Civil Procedure Sections 1013a, 2015.5)	
3		
4	STATE OF CALIFORNIA ]	
5	]ss. COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES ]	
6		
7 8	I am employed in the County of Los Angeles, State of California. I am over the age of 18 and not a party to the within action; my business address is 515 S. Figueroa Street, Suite 1250, Los Angeles, California 90071.	
9	On November 4, 2022, I served the following document(s) described as:  [PROPOSED] AMENDED ORDER GRANTING PLAINTIFF'S MOTION FOR	
10	PRELIMINARY APPROVAL OF CLASS ACTION SETTLEMENT on the interested	
11	parties in this action as follows:	
12	Amy R. Patton	
13	arp@paynefears.com  Matthew C. Lewis	
14	mcl@paynefears.com PAYNE & FEARS LLP Attorneys at Law	
15		
16	4 Park Plaza, Suite 1100 Irvine, California 92614	
17	Attorney for Defendant Sares Regis Operating Company, L.P.	
18	X BY ELECTRONIC SERVICE: Based on a court order I caused the	
19	above-entitled document(s) to be served through the One Legal E-Filing System at the website <a href="https://www.onelegal.com">www.onelegal.com</a> , addressed to all parties appearing on the electronic service list for the above-	
20	entitled case. The service transmission was reported as complete and a copy of the filing receipt/confirmation will be filed, deposited, or maintained with the original document(s) in this	
21	office.	
22	I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the	
23	above is true and correct. Executed on November 4, 2022, at Los Angeles, California.	
24		
25	Erika Mejia	
26		
27		
28		