16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

FILED

Superior Court of California County of Los Angeles

09/21/2022

Sherri R.	Carter, Executive Officer /	Clerk of Court
Ву:	A. Lim	Deputy

SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

FOR THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

ERIC HERNANDEZ, individually, and on behalf of all other similarly situated current and former employees of Defendants,

Plaintiff,

VS.

CASTLE & COOKE AVIATION SERVICES, INC.; and DOES 1 through 10, inclusive,

Defendants.

CASE NO.: 21STCV12614

[Assigned for all purposes to the Hon. William F. Highberger, Dept. 10]

CLASS ACTION

[PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING PLAINTIFF'S MOTION FOR PRELIMINARY APPROVAL OF CLASS ACTION SETTLEMENT

The Court has before It Plaintiff's unopposed Motion for Preliminary Approval of Class Action Settlement ("Motion"). After reviewing the Motion, the Class Action Settlement Agreement and Release ("Settlement Agreement") and exhibits attached hereto, and good cause appearing therefore, the Court hereby finds, and orders as follows:

1. The Court finds on a preliminary basis that the settlement memorialized in the Settlement Agreement, attached as Exhibit 1 to the Declaration of Farzad Rastegar, appears to

 be fair and adequate, and falls within the range of reasonableness, and therefore meets the requirement for preliminary approval.

2. The Court conditionally certifies for settlement purposes only the following class (the "Class" or "Settlement Class"):

All non-exempt employees of Defendant who performed work at Castle & Cooke Aviation Services, Inc., in California at any time from April 1, 2017, to UNI CHAFTECCG.

- 3. The Court finds, for purposes of settlement only, that the Class meets the requirements for certification under California Code of Civil Procedure § 382 in that: (1) the Class is so numerous that joinder is impractical; (2) there are questions of law and fact that are common, or of general interest, to all Class Members, which predominate over individual issues; (3) Plaintiff's claims are typical of the claims of the Class; (4) Plaintiff and his counsel will fairly and adequately protect the interests of the Class; and (5) a class action is superior to other available methods for the fair and efficient adjudication of the controversy.
- 4. The Court appoints, for settlement purposes only, Plaintiff Eric Hernandez as Representative for the Class.
- 5. The Court appoints, for settlement purposes only, Farzad Rastegar and Thomas S. Campbell of Rastegar Law Group, APC as class counsel.
- 6. The Court appoints Phoenix Settlement Administrators ("Phoenix") as the Settlement Administrator. Phoenix will perform its duties in accordance with the Settlement Agreement.
- 7. Any Requests for exclusion or objection shall be submitted to Phoenix rather than filed with the court. Class members are not required to send copies of their exclusion or objection forms to counsel. Rather, Phoenix shall provide counsel with any exclusion or objection form it receives from the class members.
- 8. Phoenix shall file a declaration concurrently with the filing of any motion for final approval, authenticating a copy of every exclusion and/or objection form it receives from any and all class members.

- 9. The Parties are ordered to carry out the Settlement according to the terms of the Settlement Agreement.
 - 10. The Court orders the following implementation schedule:
 - Deadline for Defendant to provide the Settlement Administrator with the
 Class Information: 14 calendar days after entry of this order;
 - b. Deadline for Settlement Administrator to disseminate Notice: 14 calendar days after receipt of the class information;
 - c. Dispute/Objection/Opt-Out Deadline ("Response Deadline"): 60 calendar days after the Class Notice is mailed to the Class Members;
 - d. Deadline for Settlement Administrator to submit notice report: 30 days after the Response Deadline;
 - e. Deadline for Filing Motion for Final Approval and Request for Attorneys' Fees and Costs and Service Awards: Ö^&#GFOEGG
 - f. Final Approval Hearing Ray FA FAGECHAO AFF ARE È to be heard in Department 10 of this Court.
- 11. Class Counsel and Counsel for Defendant shall file any responses to any written objections submitted to the Court in accordance with the time frame set forth in the Settlement Agreement.
- 12. The Court approves as to form and content the Class Action Settlement Notice attached as Exhibit A to the Settlement Agreement. The Court finds that the dates selected for the mailing and distribution of the Notice Packet in the Settlement Agreement meet the requirements of due process, provide the best notice practicable under the circumstances, and shall constitute due and sufficient notice to all persons entitled thereto.
- 13. The Notice is hereby found to be the best means practicable of providing notice under the circumstances, and, when contemplated, shall constitute due and sufficient notice of the class action, proposed settlement, and the final approval hearing to all persons affects by and/or authorized to participate in the Settlement, in full compliance with due process and the notice requirements of California Code of Civil Procedure § 877.6.

- 14. Pending further order of this Court, all proceedings, except those contemplated herein and in the Settlement, are stayed, and all deadlines are vacated.
- 15. To facilitate administration of this Settlement, all putative Class Members are hereby enjoined from filing or prosecuting any claims, cases, suits or administrative proceedings regarding claims released by the Settlement unless and until such putative Class Members have submitted requests for exclusion with the Settlement Administrator.
- 16. In the event the settlement does not become effective in accordance with the terms of the Settlement Agreement, or the settlement is not finally approved, or is terminated, cancelled or fails to become effective for any reason, this Order shall be rendered null and void and shall be vacated, and the parties shall revert to their respective positions as of before entering into the Settlement Agreement.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED: <u>U^</u>] dŽGFÉGEGG

HON. WILLIAM F. HIGHBERGER SUPERIOR COURT JUDGE

Auf. Highborger