6

7

8

9

HEATHER DAVIS, SBN 239372 heather@protectionlawgroup.com LUKE CLAPP, SBN 306040 luke@protectionlawgroup.com PROTECTION LAW GROUP, LLP 237 California Street El Segundo, California 90245 Telephone: (424) 290-3095

Attorneys for Plaintiff

SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA **COUNTY OF IMPERIAL**

ISAIAS RUVALCABA, individually and on behalf of others similarly situated,

Plaintiff,

VS.

SPRECKELS SUGAR COMPANY, INC., a California Corporation; SOUTHERN MINNESOTA BEET SUGAR COOPERATIVE, a Minnesota Corporation; and DOES 1 through 50, inclusive,

Defendants.

Case No.: ECU001415

Assigned for all purposes to Honorable L. Brooks Anderholt, Dept. 9

[PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING PLAINTIFF'S MOTION FOR PRELIMINARY APPROVAL OF CLASS ACTION AND PAGA SETTLEMENT

Date: September 28, 2022

Time: 8:30 a.m.

Dept.: 9

Complaint Filed: May 28, 2020

TAC Filed: July 8, 2021

Trial Date: None.

[PROPOSED] ORDER

The Motion of Plaintiff Isais Ruvalcaba ("Plaintiff") for Preliminary Approval of Class Action and PAGA Settlement ("Motion") came regularly for hearing before the Court. The Court, having considered the proposed Settlement Agreement attached as Exhibit 1 to the Declaration of Heather Davis ("Settlement Agreement") filed concurrently with the Motion; having considered Plaintiff's Motion for Preliminary Approval of Class Action Settlement, memorandum of points and authorities in support thereof, and supporting declarations filed therewith; and good cause appearing, HEREBY ORDERS THE FOLLOWING:

1. The Court GRANTS preliminary approval of the class action settlement as set forth in the Settlement and finds its terms to be within the range of reasonableness of a settlement that ultimately could be granted approval by the Court at a Final Fairness hearing. All terms used herein shall have the same meaning as defined in the Settlement Agreement. For purposes of the Settlement only, the Court finds that the proposed Class is ascertainable and that there is a sufficiently well-defined community of interest among the members of the Class in questions of law and fact. Therefore, for settlement purposes only, the Court grants conditional certification of the following settlement Class:

All current and former non-exempt employees who worked for Defendants Spreckels Sugar Company, Inc. and Southern Minnesota Beet Sugar Cooperative, in California, at any time between May 28, 2016, and July 7, 2022.

- 2. For purposes of the Settlement only, the Court designates Plaintiff Isais Ruvalcaba as the Class Representative and designates Protection Law Group, LLP as Class Counsel.
- 3. The Court designates Phoenix Settlement Administrators as the third-party Settlement Administrator.
- 4. The Parties are ordered to implement the Settlement according to the terms of the Settlement Agreement.
- 5. The Court approves, as to form and content, the Notice of Proposed Class Action Settlement ("Class Notice").
- 6. The Court finds that the form of notice to the Class regarding the pendency of the action and of the Settlement, the dates selected for mailing and distribution, and the methods of

giving notice to members of the Class, satisfy the requirements of due process, constitute the best notice practicable under the circumstances, and constitute valid, due, and sufficient notice to all members of the Class. The form and method of giving notice complies fully with the requirements of California Code of Civil Procedure § 382, California Civil Code § 1781, California Rules of Court §§ 3.766 and 3.769, the California and United States Constitutions, and other applicable law.

- 7. The Court further approves the procedures for Class Members to opt-out of or object to the Settlement, as set forth in the Class Notice and the Settlement Agreement. The procedures and requirements for filing objections in connection with the final fairness hearing are intended to ensure the efficient administration of justice and the orderly presentation of any Class Member's objection to the Settlement, in accordance with the due process rights of all Class Members.
- 8. The Court directs the Settlement Administrator to mail the Class Notice to the members of the Class in accordance with the terms of the Settlement.
- 9. The Class Notice shall provide forty-five (45) calendar days notice for Class Members to submit disputes, opt-out of, or object to the Settlement.
- 10. The hearing on Plaintiff's Motion for Final Approval of Settlement on the question of whether the Settlement should be finally approved as fair, reasonable, and adequate is scheduled in Department 9 of this Court, located at 939 West Main Street, El Centro, California 92243, on T & QGJEGG-WWWWWW KEEWWWW Court, California
- 11. At the Final Fairness hearing, the Court will consider: (a) whether the Settlement should be approved as fair, reasonable, and adequate for the Class; (b) whether a judgment granting final approval of the Settlement should be entered; and (c) whether Plaintiff's application for an incentive payment, settlement administration costs, and Class Counsel's attorneys' fees and costs, should be granted.
- 12. Counsel for the parties shall file memoranda, declarations, or other statements and materials in support of their request for final approval of Plaintiff's application for an incentive payment, settlement administration costs, Class Counsel's attorneys' fees and costs, prior to the

hearing on Plaintiff's Motion for Final Approval of Settlement according to the time limits set by the Code of Civil Procedure and the California Rules of Court.

13. An implementation schedule is below:

Event	Date
Defendants to provide class contact information to	[30 days following preliminary
Settlement Administrator no later than:	approval]
Settlement Administrator to mail the Class Notice to the	[15 days following provision of
Class no later than:	contact information]
Deadline for Class Members to submit disputes, request	[45 calendar days after mailing
exclusion from, or object to the Settlement:	of the Class Notice]
Deadline for Plaintiff to file Motion for Final Approval	
of Class Action Settlement:	
Hearing on Motion for Final Approval of Settlement	

- 14. Pending the Final Fairness hearing, all proceedings in this Action, other than proceedings necessary to carry out or enforce the terms and conditions of the Settlement and this Order, are stayed. To facilitate administration of the Settlement pending final approval, the Court hereby enjoins Plaintiff and all members of the Class from filing or prosecuting any claims, or suits regarding claims released by the Settlement, unless and until such Class Members have filed valid Requests for Exclusion with the Settlement Administrator.
- 15. Counsel for the parties are hereby authorized to utilize all reasonable procedures in connection with the administration of the Settlement which are not materially inconsistent with either this Order or the terms of the Settlement.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED: 09/28/2022

JUDGE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT

By: _