



**FILED**  
San Francisco County Superior Court

SEP 07 2022

CLERK OF THE COURT

BY: [Signature]  
Deputy Clerk

SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA  
COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO  
DEPARTMENT 304

TANIKA TURLEY, individually and on  
behalf of all others similarly situated,

Plaintiff,

v.

CHIPOTLE SERVICES, LLC; a Colorado  
business entity, and DOES 1 through and  
including DOE 100,

Defendants.

Case No. CGC-15-544936

CLASS ACTION

AMENDED JUDGMENT FOLLOWING  
FINAL APPROVAL OF CLASS  
ACTION SETTLEMENT

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

1 **AMENDED JUDGMENT**

2 Judgment is hereby entered in accordance with the terms of this Court’s Order Granting Final  
3 Approval of Class Action Settlement (“Final Approval Order”), dated March 19, 2021.

4 The Settlement Class is defined as:

5 The settlement “Class” shall consist of any current or former employee of  
6 Chipotle who was hired before August 1, 2014 and who worked in  
7 California at any time between October 1, 2014 and August 1, 2020  
8 (“Class Period”). Each person in the class is a “Class Member,” and all  
9 such persons are referred to as the “Class.”

10 Excluded from the Class are any California employees that are members  
11 of the collective action in the currently pending Turner v. Chipotle  
12 Mexican Grill, Inc., Case No. 1:14-cv-02612-JLK-CBS or who have filed  
13 individual arbitrations related to that action, as well as any other person  
14 who has a pending arbitration or lawsuit against Defendant as of August 1,  
15 2020.

16 Five individuals timely and validly requested exclusion from the Settlement Class. Accordingly,  
17 the Settlement Class governed by the Court’s Final Approval Order and this Judgment does not include  
18 the following five individuals who timely and validly requested exclusion:

19 Luz Breschini

20 Tatiana Johnson

21 Maggie Lai

22 Ilaria Medina

23 Justin Torres

24 As set forth in the Final Approval Order, the Court approves the following disbursements from  
25 the \$1,750,000 settlement amount: \$538,725 for attorneys’ fees, \$25,000 for litigation costs, \$2,500 as  
26 an enhancement award; \$50,000 as an LWDA payment; and \$49,500 as administrative costs.  
27 Accordingly, \$1,039,275 will be payable to all Settlement Class Members if all Settlement Class  
28 Members are paid the amount to which they are entitled pursuant to the Judgment.

As of August 30, 2022, one thousand one hundred twenty-five (1,125) checks, totaling  
\$56,146.27, remain uncashed. The Court hereby directs the Settlement Administrator to remit  
\$56,146.27 to Public Counsel, the approved cy pres beneficiary.

1 Turley and the Settlement Class shall take nothing from the Released Parties except as set forth  
2 in the Joint Stipulation of Settlement, the final approval order, or this Judgment.

3 Pursuant to California Rule of Court, Rule 3.769(h), and without affecting the finality of this  
4 Judgment, the Court shall retain jurisdiction over the Parties to enforce the terms of the Judgment.  
5 Pursuant to Code of Civil Procedure section 664.6 and Rule 3.769(h) of the California Rules of Court  
6 and without affecting the finality of this Judgment, the Court reserves exclusive and continuing  
7 jurisdiction over this Action and all Parties for the purposes of supervising:

- 8 (a) the implementation, enforcement, construction, and interpretation of the Joint Stipulation,  
9 the Order Granting Preliminary Approval of Class Action Settlement, the plan of allocation, the  
10 Order Granting Final Approval of Class Action Settlement, and the Judgment;
- 11 (b) distribution of amounts paid under the Settlement; and
- 12 (c) final declaration regarding total amount actually paid to the class members.

13 Notice of this Judgment and of Entry of this Judgment, which shall state that “[o]n [date of entry  
14 of Judgment], 2021, the Court entered Judgment in this Class Action Settlement. The Court’s Judgment  
15 Re Class Action Settlement is attached.” shall be effectuated by: (a) serving it on the Settlement Class  
16 through service upon Class Counsel and Defendant’s counsel by Class Counsel; and (b) posting it on the  
17 settlement website maintained by the Claims Administrator. Cal. Rules of Court, rule 3.771(b)).

18 The individual claims of Plaintiff Christopher Thompson are dismissed with prejudice pursuant  
19 to his individual settlement.


20 The claims of any other members of the class certified in connection with the contested class  
21 certification proceedings, including through the November 2, 2018 Order who are not in the Settlement  
22 Class are dismissed without prejudice. Notice of dismissal of those claims shall be accomplished by  
23 Posting the Final Approval Order and this Judgment on the settlement website maintained by the Claims  
24 Administrator for a period of not less than 60 days from the date this order is entered to effectuate notice  
25 to any members of any putative or certified class in this action who were not included in the Settlement  
26 Class.

27 //  
28 //

1 This document shall constitute a judgment for the purposes of Rule 3.769(h) of the California  
2 Rules of Court.

3 IT IS SO ORDERED.

4  
5 Dated: September 7, 2022



Ethan P. Schulman  
Judge of the Superior Court

6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28


**CERTIFICATE OF ELECTRONIC SERVICE**  
(CCP 1010.6(6) & CRC 2.251)

I, Ericka Larnauti, a Deputy Clerk of the Superior Court of the County of San Francisco, certify that I am not a party to the within action.

On September 7, 2022, I electronically served the attached document via File & ServeXpress on the recipients designated on the Transaction Receipt located on the File & ServeXpress website.


Dated: September 7, 2022

Mark Culkins, Interim Clerk

By:   
\_\_\_\_\_  
Ericka Larnauti, Deputy Clerk

I, the undersigned, certify that I am an employee of the Superior Court of California, County Of San Francisco and not a party to the above-entitled cause and that on September 7, 2022, I served the foregoing on the party listed below by causing a copy thereof to be enclosed in a postage paid sealed envelope and deposited in the United States Postal Service mailbox located at 400 McAllister Street, San Francisco CA 94102-4514 pursuant to standard court practice.

Date: September 7, 2022

  
By: Ericka Larnauti, Deputy Clerk

Judicial Council of California  
Attn: Office of Court Research  
455 Golden Gate Avenue  
San Francisco, Ca. 94102

Judicial Council of California  
Attn: Office of Court Research  
455 Golden Gate Avenue  
San Francisco, Ca. 94102

Certificate of Service –

Form C00005010