1 2 3 4 5	MATERN LAW GROUP, PC Matthew J. Matern (SBN 159798) Email: mmatern@maternlawgroup.com Joshua D. Boxer (SBN 226712) Email: jboxer@maternlawgroup.com 1230 Rosecrans Avenue, Suite 200 Manhattan Beach, California 90266 Telephone: (310) 531-1900 Facsimile: (310) 531-1901	
6 7 8 9	MATERN LAW GROUP, PC Sara B. Tosdal (SBN 280322) Email: stosdal@maternlawgroup.com 1330 Broadway, Suite 428 Oakland, California 94612 Telephone: (510) 227-3998 Facsimile: (310) 531-1901	
10 11 12	Attorneys for PLAINTIFFS JULIE SAMORA and TIANA BEARD, individually and on behalf of others similarly situated	
13	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
14	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	JULIE SAMORA and TIANA BEARD, individually, and on behalf of others similarly situated, Plaintiff, vs. CHASE DENNIS EMERGENCY MEDICAL GROUP, INC., a California Corporation; TEAM HEALTH HOLDINGS, LLC, a Delaware corporation; and DOES 1 through 50, Defendants.	Case No. 5:20-cv-02027-BLF [PROPOSED] FINAL JUDGMENT Date: July 28, 2022 Time: 9:00 a.m. Courtroom: 3 – 5 th Floor Action Filed: February 7, 2020 Removal Filed: March 23, 2020 FAC Filed: April 20, 2020 Trial Date: November 28, 2022
26		
27		
28		

PROPOSED FINAL JUDGMENT

This matter came before the Court for a hearing on Plaintiffs' Motion for Final Approval of Class Action Settlement. Due and adequate notice having been given to Class Members as required by the Court's March 17, 2022 Order Granting Preliminary Approval (Dkt. 77), and the Court having considered all papers filed and proceedings herein, and having received no objections to the settlement, and determining that the settlement is fair, adequate, and reasonable, and otherwise being fully informed and good cause appearing therefore, it is hereby **ORDERED**,

ADJUDGED, AND DECREED AS FOLLOWS:

- 1. The Court, for purposes of this Order, adopts all defined terms as set forth in the Joint Stipulation of Class Action Settlement and Release of Claims ("Stipulation") filed in this Action.
- 2. The Court finds that it has jurisdiction over the subject matter of the action and over all parties to the action, including all members of the Settlement Class.
- 3. The Notice of Class Action Settlement fully and accurately informed Class Members of all material elements of the proposed settlement and of their opportunity to opt out or object; was the best notice practicable under the circumstances; was valid, due, and sufficient notice to all Class Members; and complied fully with the laws of the United States of America and due process. The class notice fairly and adequately described the settlement and provided Class Members with adequate instructions and a variety of means to obtain additional information.
- 4. Class Members were given a full opportunity to participate in the Final Approval hearing, and all Class Members and other persons wishing to be heard have been heard. Accordingly, the Court determines that all Class Members who did not timely and properly optout of or request exclusion from the settlement are bound by this Judgment.
- 5. The Court has considered all relevant factors for determining the fairness of the settlement and has concluded that all such factors weigh in favor of granting final approval. In particular, the Court finds that the settlement was reached following meaningful discovery and investigation conducted by Class Counsel; that the settlement is the result of serious, informed,

adversarial, and arm's-length negotiations between the Parties; and that the terms of the settlement are in all respects fair, adequate, and reasonable.

- 6. In so finding, the Court has considered all evidence presented, including evidence regarding the strength of Plaintiffs' case; the risk, expense, and complexity of the claims presented; the likely duration of further litigation; the amount offered in settlement; the extent of investigation and discovery completed; and the experience and views of counsel. The Parties have provided the Court with sufficient information about the nature and magnitude of the claims being settled, as well as the impediments to recovery, to make an independent assessment of the reasonableness of the terms to which the Parties have agreed.
- 7. Accordingly, the Court hereby approves the settlement as set forth in the Stipulation and expressly finds that the settlement is, in all respects, fair, reasonable, adequate, and in the best interests of the entire Settlement Class and hereby directs implementation of all remaining terms, conditions, and provisions of the Stipulation of Class Action Settlement and Release. The Court also finds that settlement now will avoid additional and potentially substantial litigation costs, as well as delay and risks if the Parties were to continue to litigate the case. Additionally, after considering the monetary recovery provided by the settlement in light of the challenges posed by continued litigation, the Court concludes that the settlement provides Class Members with fair and adequate relief.
- 8. The settlement is approved with respect to: All persons who were employed in hourly, non-exempt positions at Defendants' facilities in the State of California at any time during the time period from February 7, 2016 through February 22, 2022.
- 9. Plaintiffs Julie Samora and Tiana Beard (collectively, "Plaintiffs") are suitable representatives and are hereby appointed the representatives for the Settlement Class. The Court finds that Plaintiffs' investment and commitment to the litigation and its outcome ensured adequate and zealous advocacy for the Settlement Class, and that Plaintiffs' interests are aligned with those of the Settlement Class.