1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	HAINES LAW GROUP, APC Paul K. Haines (SBN 248226) phaines@haineslawgroup.com Fletcher W. Schmidt (SBN 286462) fschmidt@haineslawgroup.com Alexandra R. McIntosh (SBN 320904) amcintosh@haineslawgroup.com 2155 Campus Drive, Suite 180 El Segundo, California 90245 Tel: (424) 292-2350 Fax: (424) 292-2355 TOJARIEH LAW FIRM, PC Joseph Tojarieh (SBN 265492) 10250 Constellation Blvd., Suite 100	FILED Superior Court of California County of Los Angeles 06/21/2022 Sherri R. Carter, Executive Officer / Clerk of Cou
9	Los Angeles, CA 90067 Tel: (310) 553-5533 Fax: (310) 553-5536	
10	Attorneys for Plaintiff	
11	Attorneys for 1 tuintiff	
12		
13		
14		
15	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA	
16	FOR THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES	
17		
18	JANELLE BASICH-MUSTAFA, as an individual and on behalf of all others	CASE NO. 20STCV16314
19	similarly situated,	[Case assigned for all purposes to the Hon. William F. Highberger, Dept. 10]
20	Plaintiff,	(PROPOSED) ORDER GRANTING
21	V.	PLAINTIFF'S MOTION FOR PRELIMINARY APPROVAL OF CLASS
22	DESIGNER FRAGRANCES &	ACTION SETTLEMENT
23	COSMETICS COMPANY, a California corporation d/b/a NYX Professional	Date: June 2, 2022 Time: 11:00 a.m.
24	Makeup; and DOES 1 through 100,	Dept.: 10
25	Defendants.	Action Filed: April 28, 2020 Trial Date: None Set
26		
27		
28		

22 23

21

24 25

26

27

28

The Motion of Plaintiff Janelle Basich-Mustafa ("Plaintiff") for Preliminary Approval of Class Action Settlement came regularly for hearing before this Court on June 2, 2022, at 11:00 a.m. The Court, having considered the proposed Amended Class Action Settlement Agreement and Release of Claims (the "Settlement"), attached as Exhibit 1 to the Supplemental Declaration of Fletcher W. Schmidt filed concurrently herewith; having considered Plaintiff's Motion for Preliminary Approval of Class Action Settlement, Memorandum of Points and Authorities in support thereof, and supporting declarations filed therewith; and good cause appearing, HEREBY ORDERS THE FOLLOWING:

The Court GRANTS preliminary approval of the class action settlement as set 1. forth in the Settlement and finds its terms to be within the range of reasonableness of a settlement that ultimately could be granted approval by the Court at a Final Approval Hearing. For purposes of the Settlement, the Court finds that the proposed Settlement Class is ascertainable and that there is a sufficiently well-defined community of interest among the members of the Settlement Class in questions of law and fact. Therefore, for settlement purposes only, the Court grants conditional certification of the following Settlement Class:

> All current and former non-exempt employees of Defendant Designer Fragrances & Cosmetics Company ("Defendant" or "Designer Fragrances & Cosmetics Company") in California who worked at any time between April 28, 2016 and the date of preliminary approval (the "Class Period").

- 2. For purposes of the Settlement, the Court designates named Plaintiff Janelle Basich-Mustafa as Class Representative and designates Paul K. Haines, Fletcher W. Schmidt, and Alexandra R. McIntosh of Haines Law Group, APC and Joseph Tojarieh of Tojarieh Law Firm, PC as Class Counsel.
- The Court designates Phoenix Settlement Administrators as the third-party 4. Settlement Administrator for mailing notices.

- 5. The Court approves, as to form and content, the Class Notice, Notice of Settlement, and Opt-Out Form attached as a combined Exhibit A to the Class Action Settlement Agreement and submitted concurrently with Plaintiff's Motion.
- 6. The Court finds that the form of notice to the Settlement Class regarding the pendency of the action and of the Settlement, and the methods of giving notice to members of the Settlement Class constitute the best notice practicable under the circumstances, and constitute valid, due, and sufficient notice to all members of the Settlement Class. The form and method of giving notice complies fully with the requirements of California Code of Civil Procedure § 382, California Civil Code § 1781, California Rules of Court 3.766 and 3.769, the California and United States Constitutions, and other applicable law.
- 7. The Court further approves the procedures for Settlement Class members to opt out of or object to the Settlement, as set forth in the Opt-Out Form.
- 8. The procedures and requirements for filing objections in connection with the Final Fairness Hearing are intended to ensure the efficient administration of justice and the orderly presentation of any Class Member's objection to the Settlement, in accordance with the due process rights of all Settlement Class members.
- 9. The Court directs the Settlement Administrator to mail the Class Notice, Notice of Settlement Award, and Opt-Out Form (collectively the "Notice Packet") to the members of the Settlement Class in accordance with the terms of the Settlement. The Court directs the Settlement Administrator to carry out all duties as required by the Settlement.
- 10. The Class Notice shall provide at least 60 calendar days' notice for members of the Settlement Class to opt out of, or object to the Settlement. Any Opt-Out Form or Objection shall be submitted directly to the Settlement Administrator and not filed with the Court. Upon receipt of any Opt-Out Form or Objections, the Settlement Administrator shall forward copies of all Opt-Out Forms and Objections to counsel for all parties. The Settlement Administrator shall file a declaration concurrently with the filing of the Motion for Final Approval of Class Action Settlement which authenticates a copy of every Request for Exclusion and Objection received by

the Settlement Administrator. The Settlement Administrator shall give notice to any objecting Settlement Class member of any continuance of the hearing on Plaintiff's Motion for Final Approval of Class Action Settlement.

- 11. The Final Fairness Hearing on the question of whether the Settlement should be finally approved as fair, reasonable, and adequate is scheduled in Department 10 of this Court, located at 312 North Spring Street, Los Angeles, California 90012, on November 17, 2022, at 11:00 a.m.
- 12. At the Final Approval Hearing, the Court will consider: (a) whether the Settlement should be finally approved as fair, reasonable, and adequate for the Settlement Class; (b) whether a judgment granting final approval of the Settlement should be entered; and (c) whether Plaintiff's application for reasonable attorneys' fees, reimbursement of litigation expenses, enhancement payments to Plaintiff, settlement administration costs, and payment to the Labor and Workforce Development Agency ("LWDA") for penalties under the Labor Code Private Attorneys General Act ("PAGA") should be granted.
- 13. Counsel for the parties shall file memoranda, declarations, or other statements and materials in support of their request for final approval of the Settlement, attorneys' fees, litigation expenses, Plaintiff's enhancement payment, settlement administration costs, and payment to the LWDA for PAGA penalties prior to the Final Approval Hearing according to the time limits set by the Code of Civil Procedure and the California Rules of Court.
- 14. The implementation schedule is provided below (assuming the Court signs this Order on June 2, 2022):

Event	Date
Defendant to provide Class Member information to the Settlement Administrator no later than [20 business days	July 1, 2022
after preliminary approval]:	
Settlement Administrator to mail Notice Packet to Class Members no later than [14 days from receipt of Class	July 15, 2022
Member information]:	
Deadline for Class Members to request exclusion from, submit dispute, or object to the Settlement [60 calendar days from mailing of Notice Packet]:	September 13, 2022