ADDITIONAL COUNSEL Dennis S. Hyun (SBN 224240) HYUN LEGAL, APC 515 S. Figueroa St., Suite 1250 Los Angeles, CA 90071 Telephone: (213) 488-6555 Facsimile: (213) 488-6554 William L. Marder, Esq. (SBN 170131) POLARIS LAW GROUP 501 San Benito Street, Suite 200 Hollister, CA 95023 Telephone: (831) 531-4214 Facsimile: (831) 634-0333 Attorneys for Plaintiff and the Class

[PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING FINAL APPROVAL OF SETTLEMENT AND JUDGMENT

Plaintiff and Class Representative DEMOND COLE ("Plaintiff" or "Class Representative"), and Defendant CORE-MARK INTERNATIONAL, INC. ("Defendant") (Plaintiff and Defendant are collectively referred to as "Parties") have entered into the Stipulation and Settlement of Class and Representative Action ("Settlement Agreement") to settle the above-captioned class action subject to the Court's approval (the "Settlement").

This matter is now before the Court on Plaintiff's Motion for Final Approval of the Class Action Settlement, including approval of an Enhancement Payment for the Class Representative and Class Counsel's Application for the attorney's fees and costs. The Court has read, heard, and considered all the pleadings and documents submitted, and the presentations made in connection with the Motion which came on for hearing on May 19, 2022.

I. <u>BACKGROUND</u>

A. <u>Class Members</u>

The "Class" or "Class Members" are defined as "all persons employed by Defendant in California as non-exempt merchandisers, merchandise associates, sales service representatives, and smart stock specialists during the time period from June 1, 2018 through September 1, 2021."

B. Operation of the Settlement.

Pursuant to the Order Granting Motion for Preliminary Approval of Class Action
Settlement ("Preliminary Approval Order") dated January 5, 2022 this Court conditionally
certified the Class and granted preliminary approval to the Settlement. The Preliminary
Approval Order also approved of the proposed class notice. The Court entered the Preliminary
Approval Order after review and consideration of all of the pleadings filed in connection
herewith.

In compliance with the Preliminary Approval Order, the Class Notice was sent to all Class Members via first class mail. The notice process was timely completed.

This Court finds that the Settlement appears to be the product of serious, informed, noncollusive negotiations, has no obvious deficiencies, and does not improperly grant preferential treatment to any individuals. The Court further finds that the Settlement is fair, reasonable and

adequate, that due and adequate notice was provided to Class Members, and that Plaintiff has satisfied the standards for final approval of a class action settlement under California law. Under the provisions of California *Code of Civil Procedure* section 382 as set forth in *Vasquez v. Superior Court*, 4 Cal.3d 800, 821 (1971), the trial court has discretion to certify a class where:

[Q]uestions of law or fact common to the members of the class predominate over any questions affecting only individual members, and that a class action is superior to the available methods for the fair and efficient adjudication of the controversy ... Fed. R. Civ. Proc. 23.

Certification of a settlement class is the appropriate judicial device under these circumstances.

Based on the foregoing, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED AS FOLLOWS:

- 1. The Court, for purposes of this Order, adopts all defined terms as set forth in the Settlement Agreement filed in this case.
- The Court has jurisdiction over the subject matter of the litigation, the Class Representative, the Class, and Defendant.
- 3. The motion seeking final approval of the settlement is granted. Final Judgment shall be entered pursuant to the Settlement Agreement.
- 4. Solely for purposes of effectuating the Settlement this Court has certified the Class as "all persons employed by Defendant in California as non-exempt merchandisers, merchandise associates, sales service representatives, and smart stock specialists during the time period from June 1, 2018 through September 1, 2021". 376 Class Members were provided notice of the Settlement. Zero (0) class members have opted out and zero (0) objections were filed. The Court deems this definition sufficient for purposes of California Rules of Court 3.765(a) and 3.771.
- 5. The Settlement is not an admission by Defendant, nor is this Judgment a finding of the validity or of any wrongdoing by Defendant. Neither this Judgement, nor the Settlement, nor any document referred to herein, nor any action taken to carry out the Settlement, shall be construed or deemed an admission of liability, culpability, negligence, or wrongdoing on the part

- 2 3 4
- 5
- 7

- 8 9
- 10 11
- 12
- 13
- 14 15
- 16
- 17
- 18
- 19
- 20
- 21 22
- 23
- 24
- 25 26
- 27

28

- 6 The Court finds that the dissemination of the Class Notice constituted the best notice practicable under the circumstances to all Persons within the definition of the Class, and fully met the requirements of California law and due process under the United States Constitution.
- 7. The Court approves the Settlement of the above-captioned action, as set forth in the Settlement Agreement, and the releases and other terms set forth therein, as fair, just, reasonable, and adequate. The Parties are directed to perform in accordance with the terms set forth in the Settlement Agreement.
- 8. With respect to the Class and for purposes of approving the Settlement only and for no other purpose, this Court finds and concludes that: (a) the members of the Class are ascertainable and so numerous that joinder of all members is impracticable; (b) there are questions of law or fact common to the Class, and there is a well-defined community of interest among Class Members with respect to the subject matter of the claims in the litigation; (c) the claims of Class Representative are typical of the claims of the members of the Class; (d) the Class Representative has fairly and adequately protected the interests of the members of the Class; (e) a class action is superior to other available methods for an efficient adjudication of this controversy; and (f) the counsel of record for the Class Representative, i.e., Class Counsel, are qualified to serve as counsel for the Plaintiff in his individual and representative capacities and for the Class.
- 9. Upon the Effective Date, the Class Representative shall have, by operation of this Judgment, fully, finally and forever generally released, relinquished, and discharged Defendant and the Released Parties as set forth in the Settlement Agreement.
- Upon the Effective Date, the Settlement Class Members shall have, by operation 10. of this Judgment, fully, finally and forever released, relinquished, and discharged Defendant and the Released Parties from all of the Released Claims as defined in the Settlement Agreement. Upon the Effective Date, the Settlement Class Members, and any person acting on behalf of any of them, shall be and are hereby permanently barred and enjoined from filing, commencing,

prosecuting, intervening in, participating in (as class members or otherwise), or receiving any benefits or other relief from, any other lawsuit, in any state or federal court, arbitration, or administrative, regulatory or other proceeding or order in any jurisdiction based on any the Released Claims against any of the Released Parties; and from organizing any such Settlement Class Members into a separate class for purposes of pursuing as a purported class action (including by seeking to amend a pending complaint to include class allegations, or by seeking class certification in a pending action) in any lawsuit or proceeding based on or relating to the Released Claims against any of the Released Parties.

- 11. Except as otherwise provided in the Settlement Agreement and approved by this Court, the Parties are to bear their own costs and attorneys' fees.
- 12. The Court approves the Individual Settlement Payment amounts to Settlement Class Members, which shall be distributed pursuant to the terms of the Settlement Agreement.
- Settlement Amount of \$375,000.00 by payment to a Qualified Settlement Fund, which includes (a) all Individuals Settlement Payments; (b) the Class Counsel Award of fees in the amount of \$131,250.00 and reimbursement of costs in the amount of \$10,428.23; (b) the Service Award to the Class Representative in the amount \$10,000.00; (c) the sum of \$22,500.00 to be paid to the LWDA for PAGA Penalties (which represents the LWDA's 75% share of the \$30,000.00 in PAGA Penalties paid); and (d) \$7,250.00 to the Settlement Administrator, Phoenix Settlement Administrators, for Administrative Costs. The Court finds that these amounts are fair and reasonable. To the extent it has not already done so, Defendant is directed to pay the Gross Settlement Administrator is directed to distribute the funds in accordance with the Settlement Agreement, and the Settlement Administrator is directed to distribute the funds in accordance with the Settlement Agreement.
- 14. Accordingly, the Court hereby enters Final Judgment in this case in accordance with the terms of the Settlement, Preliminary Approval Order, and this Order. Without affecting the finality of the Settlement or Judgment entered thereon, this Court shall have and retain exclusive and continuing jurisdiction over the action and the Parties, including all Class

[PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING FINAL APPROVAL OF SETTLEMENT AND JUDGMENT