VENTURA SUPERIOR COURT VENTURA SUPERIOR COURT Edwin Aiwazian (SBN 232943) Arby Aiwazian (SBN 269827) BRENDA . MCCORMICK Joanna Ghosh (SBN 272479) 2 xecutive Officer and Clerk MAR 1 0 2022 LAWYERS for JUSTICE, PC 410 West Arden Avenue, Suite 203° 3 Glendale, California 91203 JACOBS Tel: (818) 265-1020 / Fax: (818) 265-1021 4 5 Attorneys for Plaintiffs Deisy Salas, Kristal Carmona, Israel Linthrop, Alicia Krueger-Aguirre, and the Class 6 [Additional Counsel on Next Page] SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA 8 FOR THE COUNTY OF VENTURA 9 DEISY SALAS, KRISTAL CARMONA, 10 Case No.: 56-2019-00526959-CU-OE-VTA ISRAEL LINTHROP, ALICIA KRUEGER-(Consolidated with 56-2020-00546726-CU-- 11 AGUIRRE, individually, and on behalf of OE-VTA) other members of the general public similarly situated and on behalf of other aggrieved Honorable Henry J. Walsh 12 employees pursuant to the California Private Department 42 Attorneys General Act; 13 CLASS ACTION Plaintiffs, 14 [PROPOSED] FINAL APPROVAL ٧. ORDER AND JUDGMENT 15 J & S RESTAURANTS, INC., a California corporation; TOPP END ENTERPRISES, Date: April 4, 2022 16 INC., a California corporation; VALENCIA Time: 8:30 a.m. TPP, a general partnership; YEASTIE BOYS, Department: 42 17 a general partnership; and DOES 1 through 100, inclusive. Salas Complaint 18 Filed: March 29, 2019 Defendants. Salas FAC Filed: August 2, 2019 19 Salas SAC Filed: November 7, 2019 THEODORE CHRISTOPHER MILLER, Salas TAC Fited: October 29, 2020 20 NOLAN MALONE, individually, and on Miller Complaint behalf of other members of the general public Filed: 21 August 5, 2019 similarly situated; Miller FAC Filed: September 29, 2020 Trial Date: None Set 22 Plaintiffs. ٧. 23 VENTURA COUNTY RESTAURANT 24 SERVICES INC. DBA TOPPERS PIZZA PLACE, a California corporation; and DOES 25 1 through 100, inclusive, 26 Defendants. 27 28

•	
į	
1	Jonathan A. Weinman (SBN 256553) BROSLAVSKY & WEINMAN, LLP
2	1500 Rosecrans Avenue, Suite 500 Manhattan Beach, California 90266
3	Dennis S. Hyun (SBN 224240)
.4	HYUN LEGAL, APC
5	515 Figueroa Street, Suite 1250 Los Angeles, California 90071
6	Attorneys for Plaintiffs Theodore Christopher Miller, Nolan Malone, and the Class
7	
8	
9	
10	
11   12	
13	
14	
15	,
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	·
21	
22	
23	ſ
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
	[PROPOSED] FINAL APPROVAL ORDER AND JUDGMENT

This matter has come before the Honorable Henry J. Walsh in Department 42 of the above-entitled Court, located at 800 South Victoria Avenue, Ventura, California 93003, on Plaintiffs Deisy Salas, Kristal Carmona, Israel Linthrop. Alicia Krueger-Aguirre, Theodore Christopher Miller, and Nolan Malone's (together, "Plaintiffs") Motion for Final Approval of Class Action Settlement, Attorneys' Fees, Costs, and Enhancement Payments ("Motion for Final Approval"). Lawyers for Justice, PC appeared on Plaintiffs Deisy Salas, Kristal Carmona, Israel Linthrop, Alicia Krueger-Aguirre, and the Class, Broslavsky & Weinman, LLP and Hyun Legal, APC appeared on behalf of Plaintiffs Theodore Christopher Miller, Nolan Malone, and the Class, and LightGabler appeared on behalf of Defendants J & S Restaurants, Inc., Ventura County Restaurant Services, Inc. dba Toppers Pizza Place, Topp End Enterprises, Inc., Red Flash, Inc., Valencia TPP, and Yeastie Boys ("Defendants").

On November 1, 2021, the Court entered the Order Granting Preliminary Approval of Class Action Settlement ("Preliminary Approval Order"), thereby preliminarily approving the settlement of the above-entitled actions ("Actions") in accordance with the Joint Stipulation of Class Action and PAGA Settlement ("Settlement," "Agreement," or "Settlement Agreement"), which, together with the exhibits annexed thereto, set forth the terms and conditions for settlement of the Actions.

Having reviewed the Settlement Agreement and duly considered the parties' papers and oral argument, and good cause appearing,

## THE COURT HEREBY ORDERS, ADJUDGES, AND DECREES AS FOLLOWS:

- 1. All terms used herein shall have the same meaning as defined in the Settlement Agreement and the Preliminary Approval Order.
- 2. This Court has jurisdiction over the claims of the Class Members asserted in this proceeding and over all parties to the Actions.
- 3. The Court finds that the applicable requirements of California Code of Civil Procedure section 382 and California Rule of Court 3.769, et seq. have been satisfied with respect to the Class and the Settlement. The Court hereby makes final its earlier provisional certification of the Class for settlement purposes, as set forth in the Preliminary Approval Order. The Class is hereby defined to include:

7 8

9 10

12

11

13 14

15

16 17

18

19

20

21 22

23

24 25

26 27

28

All current and former hourly-paid or non-exempt employees employed by Defendants in California at any time from March 29, 2015 through November 1, 2021 ("Class" or "Class Members").

- The Notice of Class Action Settlement ("Class Notice") that was provided to the Class Members, fully and accurately informed the Class Members of all material elements of the Settlement and of their opportunity to participate in, object to or comment thereon, or to seek exclusion from, the Settlement; was the best notice practicable under the circumstances; was valid, due, and sufficient notice to all Class Members; and complied fully with the laws of the State of California, the United States Constitution, due process and other applicable law. The Class Notice fairly and adequately described the Settlement and provided the Class Members with adequate instructions and a variety of means to obtain additional information.
- 5. Pursuant to California law, the Court hereby grants final approval of the Settlement and finds that it is reasonable and adequate, and in the best interests of the Class as a whole. More specifically, the Court finds that the Settlement was reached following meaningful discovery and investigation conducted by Lawyers for Justice, PC, Broslavsky & Weinman, LLP, and Hyun Legal, APC (together, "Class Counsel"); that the Settlement is the result of serious, informed, adversarial, and arms-length negotiations between the parties; and that the terms of the Settlement are in all respects fair, adequate, and reasonable. In so finding, the Court has considered all of the evidence presented, including evidence regarding the strength of Plaintiffs' claims; the risk, expense, and complexity of the claims presented; the likely duration of further litigation; the amount offered in the Settlement; the extent of investigation and discovery completed; and the experience and views of Class Counsel. The Court has further considered the absence of objections to the Settlement submitted by Class Members. Accordingly, the Court hereby directs that the Settlement be affected in accordance with the Settlement Agreement and the following terms and conditions.
- A full opportunity has been afforded to the Class Members to participate in the Final Approval Hearing, and all Class Members and other persons wishing to be heard have been heard. The Class Members also have had a full and fair opportunity to exclude themselves from the Settlement. Accordingly, the Court determines that all Class Members who did not timely and

validly request exclusion from the Settlement ("Settlement Class Member") are bound by this Final Approval Order and Judgment.

- 7. The Court finds that Class Member Letitia Wilber has timely and validly requested exclusion from the Settlement and will not be bound by this Final Approval Order and Judgment and will not be entitled to an Individual Settlement Share.
- 8. The Court finds that payment of Settlement Administration Costs in the amount of \$21,000.00 is appropriate for the services performed and costs incurred and to be incurred for the notice and settlement administration process. It is hereby ordered that the Settlement Administrator, Phoenix Class Action Administration Solutions, shall issue payment to itself in the amount of \$21,000.00, in accordance with the terms and methodology set forth in Settlement Agreement.
- 9. The Court finds that the Enhancement Payments sought are fair and reasonable for the work performed by Plaintiffs on behalf of the Class. It is hereby ordered that the Settlement Administrator issue payment in the amount of \$10,000.00 each to Plaintiffs Deisy Salas, Kristal Carmona, Israel Linthrop, Alicia Krueger-Aguirre, Theodore Christopher Miller, and Nolan Malone for their Enhancement Payments, according to the terms and methodology set forth in the Settlement Agreement.
- 10. The Court finds that the allocation of \$250,000.00 toward penalties under the California Private Attorneys General Act of 2004 ("PAGA Allocation"), is fair, reasonable, and appropriate, and hereby approved. The Settlement Administrator shall distribute the PAGA Allocation as follows: the amount of \$187,500.00 to the California Labor and Workforce Development Agency, and the amount of \$62,500.00 to be included in the Net Settlement Amount for distribution to Settlement Class Members, according to the terms and methodology set forth in the Settlement Agreement.
- The Court finds that the request for attorneys' fees in the amount of \$805,000.00 to Class Counsel falls within the range of reasonableness, and the results achieved justify the award sought. The requested attorneys' fees to Class Counsel are fair, reasonable, and appropriate, and are hereby approved. It is hereby ordered that the Settlement Administrator issue payment in the

10 11

9

12 13

15

14

16 17

18

19 20

21

22 23

24

25 26

27

28

amount of \$805,000.00 to Class Counsel for attorneys' fees, in accordance with the terms and methodology set forth in the Settlement Agreement, as follows: \$644,000.00 to Lawyers for Justice, PC and \$161,000.00 to Broslavsky & Weinman, LLP and Hyun Legal, APC.

- The Court finds that reimbursement of litigation costs and expenses in the amount 12. of \$75,000.00 to Class Counsel is reasonable, and hereby approved. It is hereby ordered that the Settlement Administrator issue payment in the amount of \$66,687.60 to Class Counsel for reimbursement of litigation costs and expenses, in accordance with the terms and methodology set forth in the Settlement Agreement, as follows: \$60,212.84 to Lawyers for Justice, PC, \$3,867.38 to Broslavsky & Weinman, LLP, and \$2,607.38 to Hyun Legal, APC.
- The Court hereby enters Judgment by which Settlement Class Member shall be 13. conclusively determined to have given a release of any and all Released Claims against the Released Parties, as set forth in the Settlement Agreement and Class Notice.
- It is hereby ordered that Defendants shall deposit the Total Settlement Amount, 14. together with an amount sufficient to pay Employer Taxes, into a Qualified Settlement Fund ("QSF") account established by the Settlement Administrator within thirty (30) calendar days after the Effective Date; in accordance with the terms and methodology set forth in the Settlement Agreement.
- It is hereby ordered that the Settlement Administrator shall distribute (1) Individual 15. Settlement Payments to the Settlement Class Members; (2) the Court-approved Enhancement Payments to Plaintiffs; (3) the Court-approved Attorneys' Fees and Costs to Class Counsel; (4) the LWDA Payment to the LWDA; and (5) the Court-approved Settlement Administration Costs to itself within seven (7) calendar days after Defendants fund the Total Settlement Amount, according to the methodology and terms set forth in the Settlement Agreement.
- 16. After entry of this Final Approval Order and Judgment, pursuant to California Rules of Court, Rule 3.769(h), the Court shall retain jurisdiction to construe, interpret, implement, and enforce the Settlement Agreement and this Final Approval Order and Judgment, to hear and resolve any contested challenge to a claim for settlement benefits, and to supervise and adjudicate any dispute arising from or in connection with the distribution of settlement benefits.

1	17. Notice of entry of this Final Approval Order and Judgment shall be given to the
2	Class Members by posting a copy of the Final Approval Order and Judgment on Phoenix Class
3	Action Administration Solutions' website for a period of at least sixty (60) calendar days after the
4	date of entry of this Final Approval Order and Judgment. Individualized notice is not required.
5	
6	Dated: April 4, 2022 / Og Walsh
7	HØNORABLE HENRY J. WALSH
8	JUDGE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT
9	· . (
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	