## County of Los Angeles HAINES LAW GROUP, APC 1 12/14/2021 Paul K. Haines (SBN 248226) Sherri R. Carter, Executive Officer / Clerk of Court phaines@haineslawgroup.com Tuvia Korobkin (SBN 268066) B. Guerrero By: 3 tkorobkin@haineslawgroup.com 2155 Campus Drive, Suite 180 El Segundo, California 90245 4 Tel: (424) 292-2350 5 Fax: (424) 292-2355 Attorneys for Plaintiff, Settlement Class 6 Members, and Aggrieved Employees 7 8 Electronically Received 11/15/2021 12:10 PM SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA 9 FOR THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES 10 LUIS SEGURA, as an individual and on Case No. 19STCV25307 behalf of all others similarly situated, **CLASS ACTION** 12 Plaintiff, [Assigned for all purposes to the Hon. Kenneth 13 R. Freeman, Dept. SSC-14] 14 VS. **PROPOSED** ORDER GRANTING PLAINTIFF'S MOTION FOR FINAL 15 APPROVAL OF CLASS ACTION SETTLEMENT, CLASS REPRESENTATIVE 16 CERTIFIED TILE, INC., a California **INCENTIVE AWARD, AND ATTORNEYS'** Corporation; and DOES 1 through 100, FEES AND COSTS 17 18 Date: December 14, 2021 Defendants. Time: 10:00 a.m. 19 Dept.: SSC-14 20 21 22 23 24 25 26

27

28

Superior Court of California

Deputy

## 

28 || /

## **ORDER**

The Motion of Plaintiff Luis Segura ("Plaintiff") for Final Approval of Class Action Settlement, Class Representative Incentive Award, and Attorneys' Fees and Costs ("Final Approval Motion") came on regularly for hearing on December 14, 2021 at 10:00 a.m., pursuant to California Rule of Court 3.769 and this Court's earlier Order granting preliminary approval. Having considered the parties' Stipulation of Settlement ("Settlement") and the documents and evidence presented in support thereof (including the Amendment to Stipulation of Settlement submitted by the parties on July 12, 2021), and recognizing the sharply disputed factual and legal issues involved in this case, the risks of further prosecution, and the substantial benefits to be received by the Settlement Class pursuant to the Settlement, the Court hereby makes a final ruling that the Settlement is fair, reasonable, and adequate, and is the product of good faith, arm's-length negotiations between the parties. Good cause appearing therefor, the Court hereby GRANTS Plaintiff's Final Approval Motion and hereby ORDERS the following:

1. The conditional class certification is hereby made final, and the Court thus certifies, for purposes of the Settlement, the Settlement Class, defined as follows:

All current and former non-exempt employees of Certified Tile, Inc. ("CTI") who worked on tile installation projects for CTI in California at any time from July 22, 2015 through July 15, 2020 ("Class Period").

- 2. Plaintiff is hereby confirmed as Class Representative. Paul K. Haines and Tuvia Korobkin of Haines Law Group, APC are hereby confirmed as Class Counsel.
- 3. Notice was provided to Settlement Class members as set forth in the Settlement, which was approved by the Court on July 20, 2021, and the notice process has been completed in conformity with the Settlement. The Court finds that said notice was the best notice practicable under the circumstances. The Class Notice provided due and adequate notice of the proceedings and matters set forth therein, informed Settlement Class members of their rights, and fully satisfied the requirements of California Code of Civil Procedure § 1781(e), California Rule of Court 3.769, and due process.

4

8

9

12

13

10

1415

17

18

16

1920

2122

2324

25

27

28

26

4. The Court finds that no Settlement Class member objected to the Settlement, that no Settlement Class member opted out of the Settlement, and that the 100% participation rate in the Settlement supports final approval.

5. The Court finds that upon the occurrence of the Effective Date (as defined in the Settlement) and the Settlement being fully funded, and upon satisfaction of all obligations under the Settlement and this Final Approval Order, and by virtue of the Judgment entered concurrently herewith, Plaintiff and every member of the Settlement Class will fully release and discharge CTI and any of CTI's past and present divisions, d/b/a's, parents, subsidiaries, affiliates, predecessors, successors, assigns, and their respective shareholders, owners, officers, directors, managers, employees, agents, trustees, attorneys, insurers, representatives, administrators, privies, and/or any and all persons and/or corporate entities acting by, through, under or in concert with any of them (collectively, the "Released Parties") from all claims, causes of action, and legal theories alleged in the Complaint, or which could have been alleged based on the facts alleged in the Complaint, including but not limited to all claims that could be brought under Labor Code Sections: 201, 202, 203, 204, 210, 226 et seq., 226.3, 226.7, 510, 558 et seq., 1174(d), 1194, 1197, 1197.1, 1198, and 2802 for: (a) failure to pay all overtime wages (including unpaid travel time, and failure to include value of "meal allowances" in the regular rate of pay for purposes of calculating overtime premium wages); (b) minimum wage violations (including unpaid travel time); (c) rest period violations; (d) wage statement violations; (e) waiting time penalties; (f) failure to reimburse for necessary business expenditures (including mileage and tools); and (g) all claims for unfair business practices premised on the above-mentioned violations ("California Released Claims"). The period of the Release of the California Released Claims shall extend to the limits of the Class Period. In addition, all Settlement Class members (regardless of whether they opt out) who worked for CTI during the period of July 23, 2018 through the end of the Class Period ("PAGA Period") shall release all claims for civil penalties under PAGA based on the facts alleged in the operative Complaint and Plaintiff's PAGA letter to the LWDA (the "PAGA Released Claims"). The Period of the Release of the PAGA Released Claims shall extend to the limits of the PAGA Period.

6. The Court finds that, pursuant to the Settlement, and in consideration of his incentive award, Plaintiff, upon the occurrence of the Effective Date (as defined in the Settlement) and the Settlement being fully funded, and upon satisfaction of all obligations under the Settlement and this Final Approval Order, shall, by virtue of the concurrently entered Judgment, in addition to the California Released Claims and PAGA Released Claims described above, release any and all complaints, claims, penalties, liabilities, obligations, promises, agreements, controversies, damages, costs, losses, debts and expenses (including attorneys' fees and costs actually incurred), of any nature whatsoever, including but not limited to claims arising from the California Constitution; California Labor Code; Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964 (42 U.S.C. §2000e); the California Fair Employment and Housing Act (Cal. Govt. Code §12900 et seq.); the Americans with Disabilities Act; the Age Discrimination in Employment Act (29 U.S.C. §§621-633a); and claims of intentional infliction of emotional distress; defamation and/or libel, or any other damage to reputation claims; breach of implied contract or for claims of a breach of the covenant of good faith and fair dealing, as well as any other express or implied covenant; or any other statute or common law principle of similar effect, known or unknown, which the person giving this release now has, owns, or holds, or claims to have, own or hold, or which said person at any time heretofore had, owned, or held, or claimed to have, own, or hold or which said person at any time hereinafter may have, own, or hold, or claim to have, own, or hold, against each or any of the Released Persons, arising from acts, events, or circumstances occurring on or before the date the Settlement was signed by Plaintiff. As to the foregoing claims, Plaintiff expressly waives the benefits of California Civil Code §1542. Civil Code §1542 provides: "A general release does not extend to claims that the creditor or releasing party does not know or suspect to exist in his or her favor at the time of executing the release and that, if known by him or her, would have materially affected his or her settlement with the debtor or released party." Plaintiff's Release is not intended to, nor does it cover, any claims related to workers compensation benefits or any claims that cannot be released as a matter of law. ///

27

25

26

28 | | ///

- 7. The Court hereby approves the settlement as set forth in the Settlement as fair, reasonable, and adequate, and directs the parties to effectuate the Settlement according to its terms.
- 8. For purposes of settlement only, the Court finds that: (a) the members of the Settlement Class are ascertainable and so numerous that joinder of all members is impracticable; (b) there are questions of law or fact common to the Settlement Class, and there is a well-defined community of interest among members of the Settlement Class with respect to the subject matter of the litigation; (c) the claims of the Class Representative are typical of the claims of the members of the Settlement Class; (d) the Class Representative has fairly and adequately protected the interests of the Settlement Class members; (e) a class action is superior to other available methods for an efficient adjudication of this controversy; and (f) Class Counsel are qualified to serve as counsel for the Class Representative and the Settlement Class.
- 9. The Court finds that given the absence of objections, and objections being a prerequisite to appeal, this Order shall be considered "Final," and the "Final Effective Date" (as defined in the Settlement) shall have occurred, as of the date this Order is entered.
- 10. The Court orders CTI to fund the Gross Settlement Amount as provided in the Settlement.
- 11. The Court finds that the individual Settlement Awards, as provided for in the Settlement, are fair, reasonable, and adequate, and orders the Settlement Administrator to distribute the Settlement Awards in conformity with the terms of the Settlement.
- 12. The Court finds that an enhancement award in the amount of \$5,000 to Plaintiff is appropriate for Plaintiff's risks undertaken and his service to the Settlement Class. The Court finds that this award is fair, reasonable, and adequate, and orders that the Settlement Administrator make this payment in conformity with the terms of the Settlement.
- 13. The Court finds that attorneys' fees in the amount of \$116,666.67, and litigation costs of \$16,911.88 for Class Counsel, are fair, reasonable, and adequate in light of the common fund created by the Settlement, and orders that the Settlement Administrator distribute these payments to Class Counsel in conformity with the terms of the Settlement.

- 14. The Court orders that the Settlement Administrator shall be paid \$10,000.00 from the Gross Settlement Amount in conformity with the terms of the Settlement, for all of its work done and to be done until the completion of this matter, and finds that sum appropriate.
- 15. The Court finds that the payment to the California Labor & Workforce Development Agency ("LWDA") in the amount of \$7,500.00 for its share of the settlement of Plaintiff's representative claim under the California Labor Code Private Attorneys General Act ("PAGA") is fair, reasonable, and adequate, and orders the Settlement Administrator to distribute this payment to the LWDA in conformity with the terms of the Settlement.
- 16. This Court will retain jurisdiction to enforce the Settlement, this Final Approval Order, and the Judgment entered concurrently herewith. Ù^1 c^{ à^¦ÁFI ÉÁG€GG
- 17. Plaintiff shall file a disbursement declaration on or before Februar Non-Appearance Case Review regarding the disbursement of Settlement funds is hereby set for Ù^]e^{à^¦ÁFÎÉAG€GG ΙΚ**€€**ÁΙÈĘ <u>a.m./p.m</u>.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

12/14/2021 Dated:



## Kenneth R. Freeman

Honorable Kenneth R. Freeman Judge of the Superior Court Kenneth R. Freeman/Judge