1	Kevin Mahoney (SBN: 235367)	
2	kmahoney@mahoney-law.net	
	MAHONEY LAW GROUP, APC 249 E. Ocean Blvd., Ste. 814	
3	Long Beach, CA 90802	
4	Telephone: (562) 590-5550	
5	Facsimile: (562) 590-8400	
6	Attorneys for Plaintiff CESAR BECERRA, as individual and on behalf of all employees simi	
7	situated	
8	SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA	
9	COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE	
10		
11	JORGE SANCHEZ as an individual and on	Case No. RIC1507132
12	behalf of all similarly situated employees	AMENDED [PROPOSED] FINAL
13	Plaintiff,	APPROVAL ORDER AND JUDGEMENT
14	V.	Assigned for all purposes to: Hon. Sunshine Sykes, Dept. 6
15	CONTAIN-A-WAY, INC. dba	• •
16	NEXCYCLE, a California corporation,	Date: October 25, 2021 Time: 8:30 a.m.
17	STRATEGIC MATERIALS, INC. dba	Dept. 6
	WESTERN STRATEGIC MATERIALS,	G 1: (F:1 1 I 15 0015
18	INC., a Delaware corporation, and DOES 1 through 50, inclusive	Complaint Filed: June 15, 2015 Trial Date: No Trial Date Set
19		
20	Defendant.	Complaint Filed: June 15, 2015
21		•
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27	_	
28		

9

1516

14

18

17

20

19

2223

21

24

25

26

27

28

AMENDED [PROPOSED] FINAL APPROVAL ORDER AND JUDGMENT

WHEREAS, this matter has come before the Court for hearing pursuant to the Preliminary Approval Order dated April 9, 2021 for final approval of the Settlement as set forth in the Second Revised Joint Stipulation and Settlement of Class Action Claims (the "Settlement" or "Settlement Agreement") filed on February 10, 2021 as Exhibit "A" to the Declaration of Kevin Mahoney, and the Court having considered all papers filed and the proceedings had and otherwise being fully informed,

THE COURT HEREBY MAKES THE FOLLOWING DETERMINATIONS AND ORDERS:

- 1. This Order incorporates by reference the definitions in the Settlement Agreement and all terms defined therein shall have the same meaning in this Order as set forth in the Settlement Agreement.
- 2. This Court has jurisdiction over the subject matter of this litigation and over all Parties to this litigation, including the Plaintiffs and Class Members.
- 3. Pursuant to the Preliminary Approval Order, the appointed Settlement Administrator, Phoenix Class Action Administration Solutions, mailed a Notice of Settlement to all known Class Members by First Class U.S. Mail. The Notice of Settlement fairly and adequately informed Class Members of the terms of the proposed Settlement and the benefits available to Class Members thereunder. The Notice of Settlement further informed Class Members of the pendency of the Action, of the proposed Settlement, of Class Members' right to receive their share of the Settlement (if approved), of the scope and effect of the Released Claims, of the preliminary Court approval of the proposed Settlement, of exclusion and objection timing and procedures, of the date of the Final Approval Hearing, and of the right to file documentation in support of or in opposition to the Settlement and to appear in connection with the Final Approval Hearing. Class Members had adequate time to consider this information and to use the procedures identified in the Notice. The Court finds and determines that this notice procedure afforded adequate protections to Class Members and provides the basis for the Court to make an informed decision regarding approval of the Settlement based on the responses of Class Members. The Court finds and determines that the Notice provided in the Action was the best notice practicable, which satisfied the requirements of law and due process.

15

22 23

21

2425

2627

28

- 4. In response to the Notice of Settlement, zero (0) Class Members objected to the Settlement and zero (0) Class Members submitted a Request for Exclusion from the Settlement.
- 5. The Court finds that the Settlement offers significant monetary recovery to Class Members and finds that such recovery is fair, adequate and reasonable when balanced against further litigation related to liability and damages issues. The Court further finds that the Parties have conducted extensive and costly investigation, formal and informal discovery, research and litigation such that Class Counsel and Defense Counsel are able to reasonably evaluate their respective positions at this time. The Court finds that the proposed Settlement, at this time, will avoid substantial additional costs by all Parties, as well as avoid the risks and delay inherent to further prosecution of the Action. The Court further finds that the Parties reached the Settlement as the result of intensive, serious and non-collusive, arms-length negotiations. Thus, the Court approves the Settlement set forth in the Settlement Agreement and finds that the Settlement is, in all respects, fair, adequate and reasonable and directs the Parties to effectuate the Settlement according to its terms.
- 6. The Court hereby orders the Settlement Administrator to distribute the Individual Settlement Award payments to Settlement Class Members who did not submit a timely Request for Exclusion in accordance with the provisions of the Settlement Agreement. The envelopes transmitting the Settlement Award checks shall include the notation, "YOUR CLASS ACTION SETTLEMENT CHECK IS ENCLOSED" and shall remain valid for a period of 120 calendar days. The Settlement Administrator shall mail a reminder postcard to any class member whose settlement distribution check has not been negotiated or cashed within sixty (60) days after the date of mailing. Any settlement check distributed shall be negotiable for ninety (90) days from the date of mailing. Any funds from checks/Individual Settlement Awards that remain uncashed after the 120-day period after mailing will then be redistributed by the Settlement Administrator to the Participating Class Members who cashed their initial settlement checks on a prorated basis based on workweeks. More specifically, the funds from these uncashed checks (if any) will go into a second payment fund, which the Settlement Administrator - after the expiration of the 120-day period - will use to calculate second payment amounts based on the amount of funds available. The Settlement Administrator will prorate these second payments based on the number of full workweeks worked from June 15, 2011 through July 24,

2014 by the Participating Class Members who cashed their initial settlement check. The Settlement Administrator shall mail out these second payment checks using the same address as used for the first payment unless the Administrator is informed of a change of address or receives notice of a forwarding address, in which case the Administrator will mail the second check to the updated address.

- 7. The Settlement Administrator shall arrange with Defendant to have their payments delivered to their employees at the place of their employment if (i) any of the Participating Class Members are current employees of the Defendant, and (ii) the payment mailed to those employees is being returned as undeliverable and the Settlement Administrator is unable to locate a valid mailing address.
- 8. All Participating Class Members, regardless of whether or not they cash their Individual Settlement Award check(s), will be bound by the releases detailed in this Settlement Agreement. Participating Class Members who receive a second payment check, will have an additional 90 days to cash that second check. If they do not do so, the Settlement Administrator will pay funds from any uncashed second settlement payments to Riverside Legal Aid, 4129 Main Street, Suite 101, Riverside, CA 92501, a nonprofit 501c(3) organization dedicated to offering free legal services to low-income self-represented individuals who need legal assistance.
- 9. For purposes of this Final Approval Order and this Settlement only, the Court hereby confirms the appointment of Plaintiff Cesar Becerra Buenrostro as the class representative for the Class Members. Further, the Court finally approves an Incentive Award to <u>Plaintiff Cesar Becerra Buenrostro only</u>, as fair and reasonable, in the total amount of seven thousand five hundred dollars (\$7,500.00). The Court hereby orders the Settlement Administrator to distribute the Incentive Award to the Plaintiff Cesar Becerra Buenrostro in accordance with the provisions of the Settlement. No other individual or former named Plaintiff in this action shall receive an Incentive Award.
- 10. For purposes of this Final Approval Order and this Settlement only, the Court hereby confirms the appointment of The Mahoney Law Group as Class Counsel for the Class Members. Further, the Court finally approves a Class Counsel Fees and Costs Award, as fair and reasonable, of one hundred eighty thousand dollars (\$180,000.00) for attorneys' fees and eighty-three thousand six hundred seventeen dollars and ninety cents (\$83,617.90) for costs. Class Counsel's receipt of the

Class Counsel Fees and Costs Award shall fully satisfy all fees and litigation costs incurred by Class Counsel that represented Plaintiffs and Class Members in the Action. Defendants' payment of the Court-awarded attorneys' fees and costs shall constitute full satisfaction of Defendants' obligation to pay any person, attorney, or law firm for attorneys' fees, costs, and expenses incurred on behalf of the Plaintiff and the Class Members. The Court hereby orders the Settlement Administrator to distribute the Class Counsel Fees and Costs Award payment to Class Counsel in accordance with the provisions of the Settlement Agreement.

- 11. For purposes of this Final Approval Order and this Settlement only, the Court hereby confirms the appointment of Phoenix Class Action Administration Solutions as the Settlement Administrator to administer the Settlement of this matter as more specifically set forth in the Settlement Agreement and further finally approves Settlement Administration Costs, as fair and reasonable, of seven thousand five hundred dollars (\$7,500,00).
- 12. As of the Effective Date, all Settlement Class Members who did not submit a timely and valid Request for Exclusion shall be deemed to have released the Released Parties from all Released Claims, as defined in the Settlement Agreement.
- 13. After Settlement administration has been completed in accordance with the Settlement Agreement, the Parties shall file a report with this Court certifying compliance with the terms of the Settlement.
- 14. Neither this Final Approval Order, the Settlement Agreement, nor any document referred to herein, nor any action taken to carry out the Settlement Agreement is, may be construed as, or may be used by Plaintiff as an admission by or against Defendants or any of the other Released Parties of any fault, wrongdoing or liability whatsoever. Nor is this Final Approval Order a finding of the validity of any claims in the Action or of any wrongdoing by Defendants or any of the other Released Parties. The entering into or carrying out of the Settlement Agreement, and any negotiations or proceedings related thereto, shall not in any event be construed as an admission or concession with regard to the denials or defenses by Defendants or any of the other Released Parties and shall not be offered in evidence by Plaintiff against Defendants or any of the Released Parties in any action or proceeding in any court, administrative agency or other tribunal for any purpose whatsoever other than

to enforce the provisions of this Final Approval Order, the Settlement Agreement, or any related agreement or release. Notwithstanding these restrictions, any of the Released Parties may file in the Action or in any other proceeding this Final Approval Order, the Settlement Agreement, or any other papers and records on file in the Action as evidence of the Settlement and to support a defense of res judicata, collateral estoppel, release, waiver or other theory of claim preclusion, issue preclusion or similar defense.

- 15. If the Settlement does not become final and effective in accordance with the terms of the Settlement Agreement, resulting in the return and/or retention of the Settlement funds to Defendants consistent with the terms of the Settlement, then this Final Approval Order and all orders entered in connection herewith, shall be rendered null and void and shall be vacated.
- 16. The Court hereby enters judgment, with prejudice, for the reasons set forth above, and in accordance with the terms set forth in the Settlement Agreement. The Settlement Administrator shall provide notice of this judgement by posting a copy of this order on the website specified in the Class Notice.
- 17. Without affecting the finality of this Final Approval Order in any way, this Court hereby retains continuing jurisdiction over the interpretation, implementation and enforcement of the Settlement and all orders and judgments entered in connection therewith.
- 18. A Final Report (Nonappearance) Hearing is hereby set for May 25, 2022 (or ________, a date more convenient for the Court). A report pursuant to Code of Civil Procedure section 384, subdivision (b), shall be filed within 5 court days of the nonappearance hearing and shall be in the form of a declaration from the Settlement Administrator or other declarant with personal knowledge of the facts. The report shall be in the form of a declaration from the Settlement Administrator or other declarant with personal knowledge of the facts, and to describe (i) the date the checks were mailed, (ii) the total number of checks mailed to class members, (iii) the average amount of those checks, (iv) the number of checks that remained uncashed and were redistributed,(v) the total value of those uncashed checks, (vi) the average amount of the uncashed checks, and (vii) the nature and date of the disposition of those unclaimed funds. If applicable, the proposed amended judgment shall require counsel for Plaintiff to send a copy of any amended judgment which distributes funds to

PROOF OF SERVICE

Code of Civ. Proc. § 1013a, subd. (3)

STATE OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE

I am employed in the County of Los Angeles, State of California. I am over the age of eighteen (18) and not a party to the within entitled action. My place of business is 249 East Ocean Boulevard, Suite 814, Long Beach, CA 90802.

On October 12, 2021, I served true copies of the foregoing documents described as: AMENDED [PROPOSED] FINAL APPROVAL ORDER AND JUDGMENT. The documents listed above were served on the interested parties in this action, addressed as follows:

Jackyo Lovina E			
Joshua Levine, Esq.	Attorney for Defendant STRATEGIC		
LITTLER MENDELSON, P.C.	MATERIALS, INC.		
501 W. Broadway, Ste. 900			
San Diego, CA 92101	Telephone: (619) 515-1841		
	Facsimile: (619) 232-4302		
	Email: <u>JDLevine@littler.com</u>		
Lawrence H. Stone, Esq.	Attorney for Defendant CONTAIN-A-WAY,		
Steve Zimmerman, Esq.	INC.		
Jackson Lewis, P.C.			
Steve Zimmerman, Esq. Jackson Lewis, P.C. 725 S. Figueroa Street, Ste. 2500 Los Angeles, CA 90017	Telephone: (213) 630-8238		
Los Angeles, CA 90017	Facsimile: (213) 689-0430		
	Emails: lawrence.stone@jacksonlewis.com		
	steve.zimmerman@jacksonlewis.com		
	ste ve.zammerman(a) acksomewis.com		

By e-mail: Based upon court order or an agreement of the parties to accept service by e-mail, I caused the document(s) to be sent to the persons at the electronic service addresses listed above from the email address reastilla@mahoney-law.net. Within a reasonable time after the transmission, no error, electronic message or any other indication that the transmission was unsuccessful was received.

State: I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct.

Executed on October 12, 2021, at Long Beach, California.

Messifacceda Rosa Sauceda