1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Larry W. Lee (State Bar No. 228175) lwlee@diversitylaw.com Kristen Agnew (State Bar No. 247656) kagnew@diversitylaw.com Nicholas Rosenthal (State Bar No. 268297) nrosenthal@diversitylaw.com Max W. Gavron (State Bar No. 291697) mgavron@diversitylaw.com Kwanporn "Mai" Tulyathan (State Bar No. 3 ktulyathan@diversitylaw.com DIVERSITY LAW GROUP, A Profession 515 S. Figueroa St., Suite 1250 Los Angeles, CA 90071 (213) 488-6555 (213) 488-6554 facsimile		n	
9	Attorneys for Plaintiff and the Certified Class	s		
10 11	[ADDITIONAL COUNSEL ON THE NEXT F	PAGE]		
12	UNITED STATE	S DISTRICT	COURT	
13	FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA			
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	ISAAC RODRIGUEZ, as an individual and on behalf of all others similarly situated, Plaintiff, v. NIKE RETAIL SERVICES, INC., an Oregon corporation; and DOES 1 through 50, inclusive, Defendants.	[PROPOSI PLAINTIF PRELIMIN ACTION S Date: Time:	:14-CV-1508 BLF ED] ORDER GRANTING F'S MOTION FOR NARY APPROVAL OF CLASS ETTLEMENT September 30, 2021 9:00 a.m. 3, 5 th Floor	
23				
24 25				
25				
27				
28		1		
		1		

1	William L. Marder (State Bar No. 170131)
2	bill@polarislawgroup.com
	Polaris Law Group 501 San Benito Street, Suite 200
3	Hollister, CA 95023
4	Tel: (831) 531-4214
5	Fax: (831) 634-0333
6	Dennis S. Hyun (State Bar No. 224240)
7	dhyun@hyunlegal.com HYUN LEGAL, APC
8	515 S. Figueroa St., Suite 1250
9	Los Angeles, CA 90071 (213) 488-6555
	(213) 488-6554 facsimile
10	Attorneys for Plaintiff and the Certified Class
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	

(PROPOSED) ORDER

Plaintiff Isaac Rodriguez ("Plaintiff" or "Class Representative"), having made an application pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. Rule 23(e) for entry of an order (a) preliminarily approving the settlement of the litigation pursuant to the Joint Stipulation of Class Action Settlement and Release (the "Agreement"); (b) certifying the Settlement Class for purposes of proceedings in connection with the final approval of the Agreement; (c) approving the form of Notice of Class Action Settlement ("Class Notice") and directing the manner of delivery thereof; (d) approving Larry W. Lee, Kristen M. Agnew, Nicholas Rosenthal, Max W. Gavron and Mai Tulyathan of Diversity Law Group, William L. Marder of Polaris Law Group, and Dennis S. Hyun of Hyun Legal as Class Counsel and Plaintiff as Class Representative.

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED THAT:

- 1. All defined terms contained herein shall have the same meaning as set forth in the Agreement executed by the Parties and filed with this Court.
- 2. The Agreement is hereby PRELIMINARILY APPROVED as appearing on its face to be fair, reasonable, and adequate and to have been the product of serious, informed, and extensive arm's-length negotiations among the Plaintiff and Defendant Nike Retail Services, Inc. ("Defendant" or "Nike") (Plaintiff and Defendant collectively referred to as the "Parties"). In making this preliminary finding, the Court considered the nature of the claims, the relative strength of Plaintiff's claims, the amounts and kinds of benefits paid in settlement, the allocation of settlement proceeds among the class members, and the fact that a settlement represents a compromise of the Parties' respective positions rather than the result of a finding of liability at trial. The Court further preliminarily finds that the terms of the Agreement have no obvious deficiencies and do not improperly grant preferential treatment to any individual class member.
- 3. Pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 23(a) and 23(b)(3), the Court conditionally certifies the Settlement Class defined as the following:

All current and former non-exempt/hourly retail store employees of Defendant who worked in California at any time from February 25, 2010 through and including November 15, 2019.

The Court finds preliminarily, and for purposes of proceeding pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P.

Rule 23(e), that the number of class members is sufficiently numerous, the class members are ascertainable based on the Defendant's records, the Plaintiff's claims are typical of those in the class, and that there is adequate and fair representation. Accordingly, the Settlement Class is hereby CERTIFIED for the purposes of the Settlement pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 23(e).

- 4. Pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 23(g), the Court hereby APPOINTS as Class Counsel for the Settlement Class Larry W. Lee, Kristen M. Agnew, Nicholas Rosenthal, Max W. Gavron and Mai Tulyathan of Diversity Law Group, William L. Marder of Polaris Law Group, and Dennis S. Hyun of Hyun Legal. The Court finds that Class Counsel collectively have extensive experience and expertise in prosecuting wage and hour class actions.
 - 5. Plaintiff is approved as the class representative for the Settlement Class Members.
- 6. The Court finds on a preliminary basis that the proposed settlement described in the Agreement (including the monetary provisions, the plan of allocation, the release of claims, the proposed award of attorneys' fees and costs and the class representative enhancement payment) falls within the "range of reasonableness" and therefore grants preliminary approval of the Agreement. Based on a review of the papers submitted by the Parties, the Court finds that the Agreement is the result of extensive arm's-length negotiations conducted after Class Counsel had adequately investigated the claims and became familiar with the strengths and weaknesses of those claims. The assistance of an experienced neutral mediator during the settlement process supports the Court's conclusion that the Agreement is non-collusive.
- 7. The Court hereby APPROVES Phoenix Settlement Administrators as the Settlement Administrator for the purposes of this settlement.
- 8. A hearing (the "Final Approval and Fairness Hearing") is hereby SCHEDULED to be held before the Court on January 27, 2022, at 9:00 a.m. for the following purposes:
 - a. to finally approve the Settlement as fair, reasonable, and adequate and direct its consummation pursuant to the terms of the Settlement Agreement;
 - to determine whether Class Counsel and Plaintiff adequately represented the Settlement Class for the purpose of entering into and implementing the Agreement;
 - c. to re-confirm the appointment of the Settlement Administrator and find that

CLASS ACTION SETTLEMENT

the Settlement Administrator has fulfilled its duties under the Settlement to date;

- d. to determine whether the Class Notice (i) constituted the best practicable notice; (ii) constituted notice that was reasonably calculated, under the circumstances, to apprise Settlement Class Members of the pendency of the Action, and their right to exclude themselves from or object to the proposed settlement and to appear at the Final Approval Hearing; (iii) was reasonable and constituted due, adequate, and sufficient notice to all persons entitled to receive notice; and (iv) met all applicable requirements of Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 23(c)(2), due process, and any other applicable rules or law;
- e. to approve the Opt-Out List and determine that the Opt-Out List is a complete list of all Settlement Class Members who have timely and properly requested exclusion from the Settlement Class and, accordingly, shall neither share in nor be bound by the Final Approval order and Judgment;
- f. to direct that the Final Approval order and Judgment of dismissal shall be final and entered forthwith;
- g. without affecting the finality of the Final Approval order and Judgment, to direct that the Court retain continuing jurisdiction over Plaintiff, the Settlement Class, and Defendant as to all matters concerning the administration, consummation, and enforcement of this Settlement Agreement;
- h. to adjudge that, as of the Final Approval Date, Plaintiff, and all Settlement Class Members who have not been excluded from the Settlement Class as provided in the Opt-Out List approved by the Court, and their Legally Authorized Representatives, heirs, estates, trustees, executors, administrators, principals, beneficiaries, representatives, agents, assigns, and successors, and/or anyone claiming through them or acting or purporting to act for them or on their behalf, regardless of whether they have received actual notice of the proposed Settlement, have conclusively compromised, settled, discharged, and released the Named Plaintiff's General Released Claims (in the case of Plaintiff) and Settlement Class Members' Released Claims (in the case of the Settlement Class Members) against

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Converse and the Released Parties, and are bound by the provisions of the Agreement;

to declare the Agreement and the Final Approval order and Judgment be binding on, and have res judicata and preclusive effect as to all pending and future lawsuits or other proceedings: (i) that encompass the Named Plaintiff's General Released Claims and that are maintained by or on behalf of Plaintiff and/or his Legally Authorized Representatives, heirs, estates, trustees, executors, administrators, principals, beneficiaries, representatives, agents, assigns, and successors, and/or anyone claiming through them or acting or purporting to act for them or on their behalf, and (ii) that encompass the Settlement Class Members' Released Claims and that are maintained by or on behalf of any Settlement Class Member who has not been excluded from the Settlement Class as provided in the Opt-Out List approved by the Court and/or their Legally Authorized Representatives, heirs, estates, trustees, executors, administrators, principals, beneficiaries, representatives, agents, assigns, and successors, and/or anyone claiming through them or acting or purporting to act for them or on their behalf, regardless of whether the Settlement Class Member previously initiated or subsequently initiates individual litigation or other proceedings encompassed by the Settlement Class Members' Released Claims, and even if such Settlement Class Member never received actual notice of the Action or of the Settlement: į. to determine that the Agreement and the Settlement provided for herein,

and any proceedings taken pursuant thereto, are not, and should not in any event be offered, received, or construed as evidence of, a presumption, concession, or an admission by any Party of liability or non-liability or of the certifiability or non-certifiability of a litigation class, or of any misrepresentation or omission in any statement or written document approved or made by any Party; provided, however, that reference may be made to the Agreement and the Settlement provided for herein in such proceedings as may be necessary to effectuate the provisions of the Agreement, as further set forth in the Agreement;

- k. to order the preliminary approval of the Settlement, certification of the Settlement Class and final approval of the proposed Settlement, and all actions associated with them, were undertaken on the condition that they shall be vacated if the Settlement Agreement is terminated or disapproved in whole or in part by the Court, or by any appellate court and/or other court of review, in which event the Agreement and the fact that it was entered into shall not be offered, received, or construed as an admission or as evidence for any purpose, including but not limited to an admission by any Party of liability or non-liability or of any misrepresentation or omission in any statement or written document approved or made by any Party, or of the certifiability of a litigation class, as further provided in this Settlement Agreement;
- 1. to authorize the Parties, without further approval from the Court, to mutually agree to and adopt such amendments, modifications, and expansions of this Agreement, including all Exhibits hereto, as (i) shall be consistent in all material respects with the Final Approval order and (ii) do not limit the rights of Settlement Class Members; and
- m. to rule upon such other and further provisions consistent with the terms of the Agreement to which the Parties expressly consented in writing.
- 9. The form of Class Notice is hereby APPROVED. No later than fourteen (14) calendar days after the Preliminary Approval Date, Defendant shall provide the Settlement Administrator with the Class Information for purposes of preparing and mailing the Class Notice to Settlement Class Members. The Class Information shall be confidential. The Settlement Administrator shall not provide the Class Information to Class Counsel or Plaintiff or any third party, or use the Class Information or any information contained therein for any purpose other than to administer this Settlement. Specifically, for each Class Member, Defendant will provide the Settlement Administrator with data Microsoft Excel spreadsheet and shall include, if possible, for each Settlement Class Member: full name, last known mailing address, last four digits of the social security number, and Shifts Worked ("Shifts Worked" means the best approximation of the total number of shifts a Settlement Class Member worked for Defendant as a non-exempt/hourly

employee from February 25, 2010 through and including November 15, 2019, based on the records and data maintained by Defendant). No more than thirty (30) calendar days after entry of the Preliminary Approval Order, the Settlement Administrator shall send a copy of the Class Notice by U.S. mail to each Settlement Class Member. Before the initial mailing of the Class Notice, the Settlement Administrator shall make a good-faith attempt to obtain the most-current names and postal mail addresses for all potential Settlement Class Members to receive such postal mail, including (1) cross-checking the names and/or postal mail addresses it received from Defendant, and (2) reviewing the addresses with the National Change of Address Database. If any Class Notice sent via U.S. mail to any Settlement Class Member is returned to the Settlement Administrator with a forwarding address, the Settlement Administrator shall forward the postal mailing to that address. If the Settlement Administrator is not provided a forwarding address, the Settlement Administrator shall attempt to locate a current mailing address for the Class Member by skip tracing using the Class Member's social security number and will mail the Class Notice to the updated address identified. In the event that any Class Notice is returned as undeliverable a second time, no further efforts shall be required. The Settlement Administrator shall maintain a log detailing the instances Class Notices are returned as undeliverable.

- 9. The Court finds that the Class Notice constitute the best notice practicable under the circumstances and are in full compliance with the laws of the State of California, the United States Constitution, and the requirements of due process. The Court further finds that the notice fully and accurately inform the Settlement Class Members of all material elements of the proposed settlement, of the Settlement Class Members' right to dispute their share of the settlement, of the Settlement Class Members' right to be excluded from the Settlement Class, and of each Settlement Class Member's right and opportunity to object to the Settlement.
- 10. The Court hereby APPROVES the proposed Exclusion/Written Objection Deadline of forty-five (45) calendar days from the initial mailing of the Class Notice to Settlement Class Members.
- 11. The Court hereby APPROVES the proposed procedure for opting out of the Settlement Class. To be effective, such a request to opt out must include the Settlement Class Member's name, address, and telephone number; a clear and unequivocal statement that the

Settlement Class Member wishes to be excluded from the Settlement Class; and the signature of the Settlement Class Member. The date of the postmark on the return-mailing envelope to the Settlement Administrator shall be the exclusive means used to determine whether a request for exclusion has been timely submitted. Any member of the Settlement Class who requests exclusion from the settlement will not be entitled to any share of the settlement and will not be bound by the Agreement or have any right to object, appeal or comment thereon. Members of the Settlement Class who fail to submit a valid and timely request for exclusion shall be bound by all terms of the Agreement and the Order and Final Judgment, regardless of whether they otherwise have requested exclusion from the settlement.

- 12. All reasonable costs of settlement administration undertaken by the Settlement Administrator, including the mailing of Class Notice, shall be paid for as provided in the Agreement.
- 13. All written objections and supporting papers must be submitted to the Court either by mailing them to the Class Action Clerk, United States District Court for the Northern District of California, San Jose Courthouse, Courtroom 3 - 5th Floor, 280 South 1st Street, San Jose, CA 95113, or by filing them in person at any location of the United States District Court for the Northern District of California, no later than the Exclusion/Written Objection Deadline. The date of the postmark on the return-mailing envelope or the filing date (respective of the method used) shall be the exclusive means used to determine whether an objection has been timely submitted. A written objection must contain at least the following: (i) the objector's full name, address, telephone number, and signature; (ii) a clear reference to the Action; (iii) a statement of the specific legal and factual basis for each objection argument; and (iv) a statement whether the objecting person or entity intends to appear at the Final Approval Hearing, either in person or through counsel and, if through counsel, a statement identifying that counsel by name, bar number, address, and telephone number. All objections shall be signed by the objecting Settlement Class Member, even if the Settlement Class Member is represented by counsel. Settlement Class Members who fail to make objections in the manner specified above shall be deemed to have waived any objections and shall be foreclosed from making any objections (whether by appeal or otherwise) to the Settlement. Any Settlement Class Member who submits

1	a timely written objection have the right to appear at the Final Approval/Settlement Fairness			
2	Hearing in order to present his or her objection to the Court orally, but is not required to attend.			
3	No Settlement Class Member may appear at the Final Approval/Settlement Fairness Hearing			
4	unless he or she has filed a written objection that complies with the procedures provided in this			
5	paragraph. Settlement Class Members who submit a request for exclusion are not entitled to			
6	object to the Settlement.			
7	14. It is further ordered that pending further order of this Court, all proceedings in this			
8	matter except those contemplated herein and as part of the settlement are stayed.			
9	15. All Parties are otherwise ordered to comply with the terms of the Agreement.			
10	16. Jurisdiction is hereby retained over this Action and the Parties to the Action, and			
11	each of the Settlement Class Members for all matters relating to this Action, the Agreement,			
12	including (without limitation) all matters relating to the administration, interpretation,			
13	effectuation, and/or enforcement of the Agreement and this Order.			
14				
15	IT IS SO ORDERED.			
16				
17	Dated: September 30, 2021 By: Both Lally Meman			
18	Dated: September 30, 2021 By: Hon. Beth Labson Freeman			
19	UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE			
20				
20				
22				
23				
24				
25				
26				
27				
28	9			