BY FAX

Adam Rose (210880) 1 adam@frontierlawcenter.com Manny Starr (319778) 2 manny@frontierlawcenter.com AUG - 4 2021 3 **Frontier Law Center** 23901 Calabasas Road, #2074 Calabasas, CA 91302 Telephone: (818) 924-3433 5 Facsimile: (818)924-3433 6 Larry Lee (228175) 7 lwlee@diversitylaw.com **Diversity Law Group** Max Gavron (291697) mgavron@diversitylaw.com 9 515 S. Figueroa Street, #1250 Los Angeles, CA 90071 10 Telephone: (213) 488-655 11 Attorneys for Plaintiff 12 Derek Morris 13 SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA 14 COUNTY OF SOLANO 15 DEREK MORRIS, individually and on behalf CASE NO. FCS053858 of all similarly situated individuals and 16 aggrieved employees, [Amended Proposed] JUDGMENT 17 Plaintiff, 18 V. 19 BLUE MOUNTAIN ENTERPRISES, LLC, 20 BLUE **MOUNTAINT** CONSTRUCTION SERVICES, INC., and DOES 1 to 100 21 Defendants 22 23 24 Pursuant to Rules of Court, rule 3.771, judgment is entered as follows: 25 26 1. The distribution and notice to class members constituted the best practicable notice pursuant 27 to Rule of Court, rule 3.769(h) and met the requirements of due process. 28 JUDGMENT

1	2. The settlement is fair, reasonable, and adequate pursuant to Rule of Court 3.769(h).					
2	3. For the purpose of approving the settlement, the court finds the class is ascertainable and so					
3	numerous that joinder of all members is not practicable; also there are questions of law and fact					
4	common to the class, there is a well-defined community of interest, Plaintiff's claims are typical of					
5	the class members, a class action is superior to other methods to efficiently adjudicate the					
6	controversy, and Plaintiff's counsel is qualified to represent the class.					
7	4. Class members who did not opt out or exclude themselves from the settlement have					
8	released all claims covered by the release in the settlement agreement.					
9	5. The court approves attorney fees to class counsel of \$249,387.50, of which 40% will go to					
10	Frontier Law Center and 60% will go to Diversity Law Group, costs to class counsel up to \$20,000					
11	settlement administration of \$8,750, and a \$10,000 service award enhancement to Derek Morris.					
12	The difference between what was requested for attorney fees and what the court approved shall					
13	revert to the common fund for distribution, pursuant to the terms of the Settlement Agreement. The					
14	difference between what was requested for Plaintiff's service award enhancement and what the					
15	Court approved shall revert to the common fund for distribution, pursuant to the terms of the					
16	Settlement Agreement.					
17	6. Pursuant to Rule of Court 3.769(h), the court retains jurisdiction to enforce the settlement.					
18	7. Pursuant to Rule of Court 3.771(a), the class consists of 392 current and former non-exempt					
19	employees in defendants' HVAC department who were eligible to participate in the incentive					
20	bonus program and have worked in California for Defendants at any time between November 4,					
21	2016 and October 8, 2020.					
22	8. Pursuant to Rule of Court 3.771(b), notice of this judgment will be available on the					
23	settlement administrator's website at www.phoenixclassaction.com					
24	9. No class members excluded themselves from the settlement.					
25						
26	//					
27						
28	//					
	JUDGMENT					

	Sug *			
1	10. Finally, for the PAGA portion of	of the settlement, which is amount	of \$100,000	, \$75,000 will
2	be sent to the Labor and Workforce De-	velopment Agency, and \$25,000 v	vill be distri	buted to the
3	aggrieved employees on a pro rata basis	s.		
4				
5	IT IS SO ORDERED.			
6		0.0		
7	Date: July 32, 2021	Olar	ly	
8		Hon. Judge C	Christine Car	ringer
9				
10				
11	j j			
12				
13				
14				
15				
16				
17				
18				
19				
20				
21				
22				
23				
24				
25	, '			
26				
27				
28				

1	PROOF OF SERVICE			
2	(Code of Civil Procedure Sections 1013a, 2015.5)			
3				
4	STATE OF CALIFORNIA]]ss.			
5	COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES]			
-6				
7 8	I am employed in the County of Los Angeles, State of California. I am over the age of 18 and not a party to the within action; my business address is 515 S. Figueroa Street, Suite 1250, Los Angeles, California 90071.			
9	On July 19, 2021, I served the following document(s) described as: [Amended Proposed] JUDGMENT on the interested parties in this action as follows:			
10				
11	Julie A. Marquis			
12	Nicole M. Clowdsley Freeman Mathis & Gary, LLP			
13	1010 B Street, Suite 300			
14	San Rafael, California 94901 Attorneys for Defendants Blue Mountain Enterprises, LLC			
15	& Blue Mountain Construction Services, Inc.			
16	X BY ELECTRONIC MAIL: by personally sending a true and correct copy			
17	of the above-described document(s) to the party(ies) listed above or on the attached mailing list			
	from the e-mail server diversitylaw.com, to the electronic transmission address of the recipient(s) indicated above or on the attached mailing list. I certify that said electronic transmission was			
18	completed and that all pages were received by the party(ies) identified herein or on the attached mailing list.			
19				
20	I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the above is true and correct. Executed on July 19, 2021, at Los Angeles, California.			
21	above is true and correct. Executed on July 19, 2021, at Eos Migeles, Camornia.			
22	Conus			
23	Erika Mejia			
24				
25				
26				
27				
28	128			