Superior Court of California County of Los Angeles

AUG 05 2021

Deine Uborn

Dejane Wortham

Edwin Aiwazian (SBN 232943) Sherri R. Carter, Executive Officer/Clerk of Cour Arby Aiwazian (SBN 269827) Joanna Ghosh (SBN 272479) LAWYERS for JUSTICE, PC 410 West Arden Avenue, Suite 203 Glendale, California 91203 Tel: (818) 265-1020 / Fax: (818) 265-1021 ECEIVED LOS ANGELES SUPERIOR COURT

Attorneys for Plaintiff and the Class

JUL 26 2021

S. DREW

SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

FOR THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES—SPRING STREET COURTHOUSE

COREY STUART, individually, and on behalf of the other members of the general public similarly situated, and on behalf of other aggrieved employees pursuant to the California Private Attorneys General Act;

Plaintiff,

V.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

COAST ALUMINUM AND ARCHITECTURAL, INC. a California corporation; and DOES 1 through 100, inclusive,

Defendants.

Case No.: 19STCV16308

Honorable Ann I. Jones Department SSC11

CLASS ACTION

[PROPOSED] FINAL APPROVAL ORDER

Complaint Filed: FAC Filed:

May 10, 2019 August 30, 2019

SAC Filed:

December 26, 2019

Trial Date: None Set

This matter came on for hearing on July 16, 2021, at 11:00 a.m., before the Honorable Ann
I. Jones in Department SSC11 of the above-entitled Court, located at Spring Street Courthouse
312 N. Spring Street, Los Angeles, California 90012, for Plaintiff Corey Stuart's ("Plaintiff")
Motion for Final Approval of Class Action Settlement, Attorneys' Fees, Costs, and Enhancemen
Payment ("Motion for Final Approval"). Lawyers for Justice, PC appeared on behalf of Plaintiff
and Jackson Lewis P.C. appeared on behalf of Defendant Coast Aluminum and Architectural, Inc
("Defendant").
The Court issued a tentative ruling on July 11, 2021. No party contested the tentative ruling
Furthermore no ennearances were made by our few energhings

Furthermore, no appearances were made by or for any objector.

Having reviewed the Settlement Agreement and duly considered the parties' papers and oral argument, and good cause appearing,

THE COURT ADOPTS ITS TENTATIVE RULING AS THE ORDER OF THE COURT AND RULES AS FOLLOWS:

The Plaintiff's Notice Of Motion and Motion For Final Approval Of Class Action Settlement, Attorneys' Fees, Costs, And Enhancement Payment; Memorandum of Points And Authorities In Support Thereof filed by Corey Stuart on June 25, 2021 is GRANTED.

- (1)The Court certifies the class for purposes of settlement.
- (2)The Court finds that the settlement is fair, adequate, and reasonable.
- Class counsel, Lawyers for Justice, PC, is awarded \$910,000 in attorney fees and (3) \$22,263.10 in costs.
- (4)Class representative, Corey Stuart, is awarded an enhancement payment of \$10,000.
- (5)The claims administrator, Phoenix Settlement Administrators, is awarded \$12,000 in costs.
- (6)Payment of \$300,000 (75% of \$400,000 PAGA penalty) payable to the LWDA is approved.

28

111

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

- (7) Class Counsel is ordered to provide an order consistent with this ruling and a separate judgment containing the class definition, release language, and a statement that no class member opted out of the settlement by July 23, 2021.
- (8) This Order includes two distinct procedures by which the parties and the Court will comply with CCP Section 384's amended provisions with regards to cy pres recipients. Thirty (30) days after the final report is filed with the Court, the parties shall prepare and file a stipulation and proposed order and Proposed Amended Judgment. The stipulation and proposed order shall include, inter alia, the amount of the distribution of unpaid cash residue, and unclaimed or abandoned funds to the non-party, the accrued interest on that sum and any other information required to be set forth pursuant to Section 68520 of the Government Code, as incorporated into CCP Section 384.5. The stipulation shall be signed by Class Counsel, Defendant's counsel, and counsel for (or an authorized representative of) the non-party ("cy pres") recipient. The stipulation shall include a statement to the effect that all interested persons are in accord with the amended judgment and have no objection to the entry of an amended judgment. If there are objections by any party, Class Counsel shall immediately notify the court and the matter will be set for further hearing. Pursuant to Section CCP 384.5, a conformed copy of the stipulation and order and amended Judgement (once signed by the Court) shall be forwarded by class counsel to the Judicial Council.
- (9) The Court sets a Non-Appearance Case Review for Submission of Final Report for April 29, 2022, at 8:30 a.m. in Department 11 at Spring Street Courthouse.
- (10) The Court orders Class Counsel to file a final report summarizing all distributions made pursuant to the approved Settlement, supported by declaration.
- (11) Further findings of the Court are more fully reflected in the Court's Ruling Re. Motion for Final Approval of Class Action Settlement, filed on July 16, 2021, and incorporated herein by reference to the Court's file.

THE COURT FURTHER ORDERS, ADJUDGES, AND DECREES AS FOLLOWS:

1. All terms used herein shall have the same meaning as defined in the Settlement Agreement and the Preliminary Approval Order.

- 2. This Court has jurisdiction over the claims of the Class Members asserted in this proceeding and over all parties to the Action.
- 3. The Court finds that the applicable requirements of California Code of Civil Procedure section 382 and California Rule of Court 3.769, *et seq.* have been satisfied with respect to the Class and the Settlement. The Court hereby makes final its earlier provisional certification of the Class for settlement purposes, as set forth in the Preliminary Approval Order. The Class is hereby defined to include:

All current and former hourly-paid or non-exempt employees of Defendant in California at any time during the period from May 10, 2015 through July 3, 2020 ("Class" or "Class Members").

- 4. The Notice of Class Action Settlement ("Class Notice") that was provided to the Class Members, fully and accurately informed the Class Members of all material elements of the Settlement and of their opportunity to participate in, object to or comment thereon, or to seek exclusion from, the Class Settlement; was the best notice practicable under the circumstances; was valid, due, and sufficient notice to all Class Members; and complied fully with the laws of the State of California, the United States Constitution, due process and other applicable law. The Class Notice fairly and adequately described the Settlement and provided the Class Members with adequate instructions and a variety of means to obtain additional information.
- 5. Pursuant to California law, the Court hereby grants final approval of the Settlement and finds that it is reasonable and adequate, and in the best interests of the Class as a whole. More specifically, the Court finds that the Settlement was reached following meaningful discovery and investigation conducted by Lawyers *for* Justice, PC ("Class Counsel"); that the Settlement is the result of serious, informed, adversarial, and arms-length negotiations between the parties; and that the terms of the Settlement are in all respects fair, adequate, and reasonable. In so finding, the Court has considered all of the evidence presented, including evidence regarding the strength of Plaintiff's claims; the risk, expense, and complexity of the claims presented; the likely duration of further litigation; the amount offered in the Settlement; the extent of investigation and discovery completed; and the experience and views of Class Counsel. The Court has further considered the absence of objections to and requests for exclusion from the Class Settlement submitted by Class

Members. Accordingly, the Court hereby directs that the Settlement be affected in accordance with the Settlement Agreement and the following terms and conditions.

- 6. A full opportunity has been afforded to the Class Members to participate in the Final Approval Hearing, and all Class Members and other persons wishing to be heard have been heard. The Class Members also have had a full and fair opportunity to exclude themselves from the Class Settlement. Accordingly, the Court determines that all Class Members who did not submit a valid and timely Request for Exclusion ("Settlement Class Member") are bound by this Final Approval Order and accompanying Judgment.
- 7. The Court finds that payment of Settlement Administration Costs in the amount of \$12,000.00 is appropriate for the services performed and costs incurred and to be incurred for the notice and settlement administration process. It is hereby ordered that the Settlement Administrator, Phoenix Settlement Administrators, shall issue payment to itself in the amount of \$12,000.00, in accordance with the terms and methodology set forth in Settlement Agreement.
- 8. The Court finds that the Enhancement Payment sought is fair and reasonable for the work performed by Plaintiff on behalf of the Class. It is hereby ordered that the Settlement Administrator issue payment in the amount of \$10,000.00 to Plaintiff Corey Stuart for his Enhancement Payment, according to the terms and methodology set forth in the Settlement Agreement.
- 9. The Court finds that the allocation of \$400,000.00 toward penalties under the California Private Attorneys General Act of 2004 ("PAGA Penalties"), is fair, reasonable, and appropriate, and hereby approved. The Settlement Administrator shall distribute the PAGA Penalties as follows: the amount of \$300,000.00 to the California Labor and Workforce Development Agency ("LWDA Portion"), and the amount of \$100,000.00 to be distributed to Class Members ("Employee PAGA Portion"), according to the terms and methodology set forth in the Settlement Agreement.
- 10. The Court finds that the request for attorneys' fees in the amount of \$910,000.00 to Class Counsel falls within the range of reasonableness, and the results achieved justify the award sought. The requested attorneys' fees to Class Counsel are fair, reasonable, and appropriate, and

are hereby approved. It is hereby ordered that the Settlement Administrator issue payment in the amount of \$910,000.00 to Class Counsel for attorneys' fees, in accordance with the terms and methodology set forth in the Settlement Agreement.

- 11. The Court finds that reimbursement of litigation costs and expenses in the amount of \$22,263.10 to Class Counsel is reasonable, and hereby approved. It is hereby ordered that the Settlement Administrator issue payment in the amount of \$22,263.10 to Class Counsel for reimbursement of litigation costs and expenses, in accordance with the terms and methodology set forth in the Settlement Agreement.
- 12. It is hereby ordered that Defendant shall make a deposit of fifty percent (50%) of the Maximum Settlement Amount into an account established by the Settlement Administrator within thirty (30) calendar days of the date of this Order ("First Installment"), and a second deposit for the remaining fifty percent (50%) of the Maximum Settlement Amount to the Settlement Administrator within six (6) months of the First Installment ("Second Installment"), in accordance with the terms and methodology set forth in the Settlement Agreement.
- 13. It is hereby ordered that the Settlement Administrator shall issue Settlement Class Members their settlement payments by way of two (2) checks, with one check being for the Individual PAGA Payment and partial payment of half the Settlement Class Member's Individual Settlement Payment within ten (10) calendar days after Defendant funds the First Installment, and one check being for the remainder payment of each Individual Settlement Payment within ten (10) calendar days after Defendant funds the Second Installment, according to the methodology and terms set forth in the Settlement Agreement.
- 14. Each Settlement check issued to a Settlement Class Member shall be valid and negotiable for a period of one hundred and twenty (120) calendar days from the date of issuance of the check, and after this time period, the check(s) shall be cancelled. If a Class Member fails to cash or deposit his or her check that is issued to him or her from the First Installment within the 120-day period, then, that check shall be cancelled and the funds associated with that cancelled check shall be included in the check to be issued to the Settlement Class Member after the Second Installment (if applicable). The funds associated with cancelled Individual PAGA

Payment checks to Class Members who have opted out of the Class Settlement shall be
transmitted to the cy pres recipient, Family Promise. If a Settlement Class Member fails to cash
or deposit his or her check that is issued to him or her after the Second Installment within the
120-day period, then, that check shall be cancelled and the funds associated with such cancelled
checks shall be transmitted to Family Promise, in conformity with the requirements of California
Code of Civil Procedure section 384.
15. After entry of this Final Approval Order, pursuant to California Rules of Court,
Rule 3.769(h), the Court shall retain jurisdiction to construe, interpret, implement, and enforce the
Settlement Agreement and this Final Approval Order, to hear and resolve any contested challenge
to a claim for settlement benefits, and to supervise and adjudicate any dispute arising from or in
connection with the distribution of settlement benefits.
16. Notice of entry of this Final Approval Order shall be given to the Class Members
by posting a copy of the Final Approval Order on Phoenix Settlement Administrators' website for
a period of at least sixty (60) calendar days after the date of entry of this Final Approval Order.
Individualized notice is not required.
Dated: 8/5/21 Ones HONORABLE ANN I. JONES
JUDGE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT