SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA COUNTY OF SONOMA

Edwin Aiwazian (SBN 232943)
Arby Aiwazian (SBN 269827)
Joanna Ghosh (SBN 272479)
LAWYERS for JUSTICE, PC
410 West Arden Avenue, Suite 203
Glendale, California 91203
Tel: (818) 265-1020 / Fax: (818) 265-1021

JUL 2 8 2021

BY Deputy Clerk

Attorneys for Plaintiff and the Class

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

5

## SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

#### FOR THE COUNTY OF SONOMA

SMOKEY CHAIYAVONG, individually, and on behalf of other members of the general public similarly situated and on behalf of other aggrieved employees pursuant to the California Private Attorneys General Act;

Plaintiff,

VS.

REDWOOD CREDIT UNION, a California corporation; and DOES 1 through 100, inclusive,

Defendants.

Case No.: SCV-264292

Honorable Jennifer V. Dollard Courtroom 18

#### **CLASS ACTION**

# [PROPOSED] FINAL APPROVAL ORDER AND JUDGMENT

Date: Time: July 28, 2021 3:00 p.m.

Courtroom:

18 19

Complaint Filed:

April 17, 2019

FAC Filed:

June 18, 2019

Trial Date:

None Set

19

20

21

22

23

24

2526

27

All current and former non-exempt employees employed by Defendant in California at any time during the period from April 17, 2015 through March 25, 2021 ("Class" or "Class Members").

4. The Notice of Class Action Settlement ("Notice") that was provided to the Class Members, fully and accurately informed the Class Members of all material elements of the

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Settlement and of their opportunity to participate in, object to or comment thereon, or to seek exclusion from, the Settlement; was the best notice practicable under the circumstances; was valid, due, and sufficient notice to all Class Members; and complied fully with the laws of the State of California, the United States Constitution, due process and other applicable law. The Notice fairly and adequately described the Settlement and provided the Class Members with adequate instructions and a variety of means to obtain additional information.

- 5. Pursuant to California law, the Court hereby grants final approval of the Settlement and finds that it is reasonable and adequate, and in the best interests of the Class as a whole. More specifically, the Court finds that the Settlement was reached following meaningful discovery and investigation conducted by Lawyers for Justice, PC (Class Counsel"); that the Settlement is the result of serious, informed, adversarial, and arms-length negotiations between the parties; and that the terms of the Settlement are in all respects fair, adequate, and reasonable. In so finding, the Court has considered all of the evidence presented, including evidence regarding the strength of Plaintiff's claims; the risk, expense, and complexity of the claims presented; the likely duration of further litigation; the amount offered in the Settlement; the extent of investigation and discovery completed; and the experience and views of Class Counsel. The Court has further considered the absence of objections to the Settlement submitted by Class Members. Accordingly, the Court hereby directs that the Settlement be affected in accordance with the Settlement Agreement and the following terms and conditions.
- 6. A full opportunity has been afforded to the Class Members to participate in the Final Approval Hearing, and all Class Members and other persons wishing to be heard have been heard. The Class Members also have had a full and fair opportunity to exclude themselves from the Settlement. Accordingly, the Court determines that all Class Members who did not timely and validly opt out of the Settlement ("Settlement Class Members") are bound by this Final Approval Order and Judgment.
- 7. The Court finds that four (4) Class Members who did not submit a Request for Exclusion have timely and validly opted out of the Settlement and will not be bound by this Final Approval Order and Judgment.

- 8. The Court finds that payment of Administration Costs in the amount of \$10,500.00 is appropriate for the services performed and costs incurred and to be incurred for the notice and settlement administration process. It is hereby ordered that the Settlement Administrator, Phoenix Settlement Administrators, shall issue payment to itself in the amount of \$10,500.00, in accordance with the terms and methodology set forth in Settlement Agreement.
- 9. The Court finds that the Incentive Award sought is fair and reasonable for the work performed by Plaintiff on behalf of the Class. It is hereby ordered that the Settlement Administrator issue payment in the amount of \$7,500.00 to Plaintiff Smokey Chaiyavong for his Incentive Award, according to the terms and methodology set forth in the Settlement Agreement.
- The Court finds that the allocation of \$100,000.00 toward penalties under the California Private Attorneys General Act of 2004 ("PAGA Allocation"), is fair, reasonable, and appropriate, and hereby approved. The Settlement Administrator shall distribute the PAGA Allocation as follows: the amount of \$75,000.00 to the California Labor and Workforce Development Agency, and the amount of \$25,000.00 to be included in the Net Settlement Fund for distribution to Settlement Class Members, according to the terms and methodology set forth in the Settlement Agreement.
- 11. The Court finds that the request for attorneys' fees in the amount of \$630,000.00 to Class Counsel falls within the range of reasonableness, and the results achieved justify the award sought. The requested attorneys' fees to Class Counsel are fair, reasonable, and appropriate, and are hereby approved. It is hereby ordered that the Settlement Administrator issue payment in the amount of \$630,000.00 to Class Counsel for attorneys' fees, in accordance with the terms and methodology set forth in the Settlement Agreement.
- 12. The Court finds that reimbursement of litigation costs and expenses in the amount of \$34,028.73 to Class Counsel is reasonable, and hereby approved. It is hereby ordered that the Settlement Administrator issue payment in the amount of \$34,028.73 to Class Counsel for reimbursement of litigation costs and expenses, in accordance with the terms and methodology set forth in the Settlement Agreement.

1

2

27

### PROOF OF SERVICE BY MAIL

I certify that I am an employee of the Superior Court of California, County of Sonoma, and that my business address is 600 Administration Dr., Room 107-J, Santa Rosa, California, 95403; that I am not a party to this case; that I am over the age of 18; that I am readily familiar with this office's practice for collection and processing of correspondence for mailing with the United States Postal Service; and that on the date shown below I placed a true copy of *FINAL APPROVAL ORDER AND JUDGMENT* in an envelope, sealed and addressed as shown below, for collection and mailing at Santa Rosa, California, first class, postage fully prepaid, following ordinary business practices.

Date: July 29, 2021

Arlene Junior Clerk of the Court

By: <u>Melissa Waters</u>
Melissa Waters, Deputy Clerk

-ADDRESSEES-

EDWIN AIWAZIAN LAWYERS FOR JUSTICE PC 410 WEST ARDEN AVENUE SUITE 203 GLENDALE CA 91203