ı Kevin Mahoney (SBN: 235367) **ENDORSED FILED** kmahoney@mahoney-law.net SAN MATEO COUNTY Berkeh Alemzadeh (SBN: 324834) balem@mahoney-law.net MAHONEY LAW GROUP, APC 3 JUN 11 2021 249 E. Ocean Blvd., Ste, 814 Clerk of the Superior Court
By ANDREA DALEY Long Beach, CA 90802 Telephone: (562) 590-5550 5 Facsimile: (562) 590-8400 DEPUTY CLERK Attorneys for Plaintiff JUAN LARA, as an individual and on behalf of all others similarly situated 7 8 SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA 9 FOR THE COUNTY OF SAN MATEO 10 JUAN LARA, as an individual and on 11 Case No.: 19CIV02396 behalf of all employees similarly situated, 12 CLASS ACTION Plaintiff, 13 JUDGMENT AND ORDER GRANTING v. FINAL APPROVAL OF CLASS ACTION 14 SETTLEMENT AND AWARD OF 15 PREMIERE RELOCATIONS SERVICES ATTORNEYS' FEES, COSTS, AND INC. dba CUMMINGS MOVING CO., REPRESENTATIVE ENHANCEMENT 16 and DOES 1 through 50, inclusive. 17 Assigned for all purposes to: Defendant. Hon. Marie S. Weiner, Dept. 2 18 Action Filed: April 30, 2019 19 Trial Date: None set 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 -1On May 18, 2021, at 2:00 p.m., the Court held a hearing on Plaintiff's unopposed Motion for Final Approval of Class Action Settlement and Award of Attorneys' Fees, Costs and Representative Enhancement (the "Motion"). The Court has reviewed the motion and the concurrently filed Application for Attorney's Fees and Enhancement.

The Court having also considered the Memorandum of Points and Authorities in support of the Motion and the declarations of counsel and the settlement administrator including a declaration of proof of publication submitted in support thereof, and for good cause appearing therein, the Court now FINDS and IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED AS FOLLOWS:

- 1. The Court finds that certification of the following Settlement Classes, for settlement purposes only, is appropriate under California Code of Civil Procedure: "all non-exempt employees, including, but not limited to, movers and delivery drivers currently and/or formerly employed by Defendant." The Class Period is from April 30, 2015, through August 20, 2020 (date Court signed order regarding the Preliminary Approval (the "Plaintiff Class" or "Settlement Class"). The Court hereby approves the class definition.
- 2. All terms used herein shall have the same meaning given to them in the Settlement Agreement.
- 3. The Court has jurisdiction over the subject matter of this action, all members of the Settlement Classes, and Defendants.
- 4. The Court finds that, in accordance with the California Rules of Court and the requirements of due process, all members of the Settlement Class have been given proper and adequate notice of the Settlement.
- 5. The Court has reviewed the terms of the Settlement Agreement and finds that the Settlement is fair, adequate, and reasonable to those it affects, and resulted from vigorously contested litigation and extensive, good-faith and arm's length negotiations between the parties. The Court further finds that the Settlement is in the public interest after considering the following factors: (a) the strength of the plaintiff's case; (b) the

- risk, expense, complexity, and likely duration of further litigation; (c) the possible outcome of further litigation relating to class certification, liability and damages; (d) the amount offered in settlement; (e) the experience and views of Class Counsel; and (f) the positive reaction of class members.
- 6. The Final Approval Motion is hereby GRANTED, and the Settlement Agreement is hereby APPROVED as fair, reasonable, and adequate, for the exclusive benefit of Class Members. The Parties are directed to carry out the Settlement Agreement according to its terms, and the Settlement Agreement is hereby incorporated into this Order as though all terms therein are set forth in full
- 7. The Court APPROVES the non-reversionary Gross Settlement Amount ("GSA") of Three Hundred Thousand dollars (\$300,000.00) in accordance with the Settlement Agreement.
- 8. The Court further APPROVES the distribution of the GSA as follows: (1) Class counsel attorneys' fees of One Hundred Thousand dollars (\$100,000.00), which shall not exceed one third (1/3rd) of the Maximum Settlement Amount; (2) costs in the amount of Nine Thousand One Hundred Ninety-One dollars and Twenty Nine cents (\$9,191.29); (3) Class Representative Enhancement Payment of Five Thousand Dollars (\$5,000.00); (4) PAGA Payment in the amount of Five Thousand Dollars (\$5,000.00), of which Three Thousand Seven Hundred Fifty Dollars (\$3,750.00) will be paid to the California Labor and Workforce Development Agency ("LWDA") and the other One Thousand Two Hundred Fifty Dollars (\$1,250.00) will returned to the GSA, and; (5) Claims Administration Costs in the amount of Six Thousand Dollars (\$6,000.00) to Phoenix Settlement Administrators. The remaining amount shall be the Net Settlement Amount ("NSA") which will be distributed to the Settlement Class pursuant to the terms of the Agreement.
- 9. If any settlement checks issued to Settlement Class Members remain uncashed after one hundred eighty days (180) days, these settlement checks will become void and any settlement amounts associated with the uncashed checks will be paid in

accordance with the Code of Civil Procedure section 384. The Court APPROVES of the parties' agreement that the cy pres recipient shall be Bay Area Legal Aid (BayLegal). Therefore, there will be no "residual" following distribution of this Settlement.

- 10. The Court further APPROVES additional payment of nine thousand seven dollars and six cents (\$9,007.0) to be paid out of the Gross Settlement for the costs expended in the publication of the Notice of Class Settlement, pursuant to the Joint Stipulation and Order Re: Publication of Court Notice of Class Action Settlement, signed by the Court on February 23, 2021.
- 11. The Court hereby appoints Kevin Mahoney and Berkeh Alemzadeh of MAHONEY LAW GROUP, APC, as Class Counsel for settlement purposes only, and awards attorneys' fees to Class Counsel in the amount of One Hundred Thousand dollars (\$100,000.00) and reimbursement of costs to Class counsel in the amount of Nine Thousand One Hundred Ninety-One dollars and Twenty-Nine cents (\$9,191.29); to be paid out of the Gross Settlement Amount and in accordance with the Settlement Agreement. The Court finds that the fees requested by Class Counsel are reasonable.
- 12. The Court further orders that the costs of administration of the Settlement as set forth in the declaration of Kevin Lee of Phoenix Settlement Administrators, be paid out of the GSA in the amount of Six Thousand dollars (\$6,000.00) and in accordance with the Settlement.
- 13. The Court appoints, for settlement purposes only, Plaintiff Juan Lara as the Class Representative and further orders that he be awarded an Enhancement Payment in the amount of Five Thousand Dollars (\$5,000.00), to be paid out of the GSA and in accordance with the Settlement. The Court finds that Plaintiff has undertaken significant risk and performed valuable services on behalf of the Settlement Class and that this award would have a negligible impact on the claims of any of the Class Members herein.
- 14. The Court finds that there have been no objections to the Settlement, and therefore

there is no person who has standing to appeal the same. The Court finds no basis for determining that the Settlement was reached by anything other than arm's-length negotiations. The Court further finds that the investigation and discovery was sufficient to allow Class counsel and the Court to act intelligently. The Court also finds that Class counsel is experienced in this type of litigation.

- 15. As of the date of this final approval order and judgment, except as to such rights or claims that may be created by the Settlement, each and every Released Claim of each Class Member who did not timely submit a valid opt-out request is and shall be deemed to be conclusively released as against the Released Parties (as those terms are defined in the Settlement Agreement).
- 16. Neither the Settlement nor any of the terms set forth in the Settlement Agreement constitute an admission by Defendant, or any of the other Released Parties, of liability to the Class Representatives or any other Class Members, nor does this final approval order constitute a finding by the Court of the validity of any of the claims alleged in the Lawsuit, or of any liability of Defendant or any of the other Released Parties.
- 17. Accordingly, the Court orders all Parties and their counsel to cooperate in fulfilling the terms of the Settlement Agreement herein consistent with this Order, and this Court shall retain jurisdiction to effectuate the terms of the settlement including the binding effect of the releases set forth in the Settlement Agreement as to the Class Representative, the Class Members, and the Participating Class Members herein.
- 18. Accordingly, the Court orders all Parties and their counsel to cooperate in fulfilling the terms of the Settlement Agreement herein consistent with this order, and this Court shall retain jurisdiction to effectuate the terms of the settlement including the binding effect of the releases set forth in the Settlement Agreement as to both the class representatives and the putative class herein.
- 19. Judgment is hereby entered pursuant to: (1) the terms of the Settlement, and; (2) this Order granting final approval and awarding attorneys' fees, costs and enhancement payment.

- 20. Without affecting the finality of the Judgment in any way, the Court shall retain exclusive and continuing jurisdiction over the above-captioned parties, including all Class Members pursuant to California Rule of Court, rule 3.769, for purposes of supervising, administering, implementing, enforcing, and interpreting the Settlement Agreement and the Final Approval Order.
- 21. In the event that the Effective Date of the Settlement does not occur, this Judgment shall be rendered null and void and any class certified for settlement purposes will be vacated and any other order entered by the Court in furtherance of the Settlement shall be treated as void ab initio.
- 22. In such an event, the Parties shall return to the status quo as if the Parties had not entered into this Settlement, as provided in the Settlement Agreement.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED: 6/11/21

THE HONORABLE MARIE S. WEINER JUDGE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT



SUPERIOR COURT OF SAN MATEO COUNTY

400 County Center Redwood City, CA 94063

1050 Mission Road South San Francisco, CA 94080

(650) 261-5100 www.sanmateocourt.org

FILED

SAN MATEO COUNTY

6/11/2021

Clerk of the Superior Court

/s/ Andrea Daley **DEPUTY CLERK**

AFFIDAVIT OF MAILING

Date:

6/11/2021

In the Matter of:

JUAN LARA vs PREMIERE RELOCATIONS SERVICES, INC

Case No.:

19-CIV-02396

Documents:

JUDGMENT AND ORDER GRANTING FINAL APPROVAL OF CLASS ACTION SETTLEMENT

AND AWARD OF ATTORNEYS' FEES, COSTS, AND REPRESENTATIVE ENHANCEMENT

The documents were served by the following means:

By U.S. Mail. I enclosed the document(s) in a sealed envelope or package addressed to the person(s) at the address(es) listed below and deposited the sealed envelope with the Unites States Postal Service, with the postage fully prepaid.

χ Placed the envelope for collection and mailing, following the Court's ordinary business practices. I am readily familiar with this business' practice for collecting and processing correspondence for mailing. On the same day the correspondence is placed for collection and mailing, it is deposited in the ordinary course of business with the United States Postal Service, in a sealed envelope with postage fully prepaid.

Executed on: 6/11/2021

Neal I Taniguchi, Court Executive Officer/Clerk

By: /s/ Andrea Daley

Andrea Daley, Deputy Clerk

Copies Mailed To:

KEVIN MAHONEY BERKEH ALEMZARDEH MAHONEY LAW GROUP APC 249 EAST OCEAN BLVD., STE 814 LONG BEACH CA 90802

DENIS S. KENNY RYAN STAHL JOHN LOUGH JR. SCHERER SMITH & KENNY LLP 140 GEARY STREET, SEVENTH FLOOR SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94108