



MAY 0 5 2021

CLERK OF THE SUPERIOR COURT

HUNTER PYLE, SBN 191125 KATHERINE FIESTER, SBN 301316

HUNTER PYLE LAW

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

428 Thirteenth Street, 11th Floor

Oakland, California 94612

Telephone: (510) 444-4400 Facsimile: (510) 444-4410

Email: hunter@hunterpylelaw.com

kfiester@hunterpylelaw.com

Attorneys for Erik Contreras, Omar Dominguez, Ivan Landeros, Guillermo Mendez, Alejandro Olivera and the Putative Class

Additional Counsel Listed on the Following Page

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

FOR THE COUNTY OF ALAMEDA

ALEJANDRO OLIVERA, on behalf of himself and all others similarly situated;

Plaintiffs,

٧.

C & B DELIVERY SERVICE, a California Corporation doing business as TEMCO WAREHOUSES & DISTRIBUTION; HOME EXPRESS DELIVERY SERVICE, LLC, a California Limited Liability Company doing business as TEMCO LOGISTICS, and DOES 1 through 10, inclusive,

Defendants.

Case No.: RG20062287

CLASS ACTION

[PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING MOTION FOR PRELIMINARY APPROVAL OF SETTLEMENT

Reservation No.: R-2236806

Date:

May 4, 2021

Time:

3:00 p.m.

Dept:

23

Judge:

Hon. Brad Seligman

Complaint Filed:

May 26, 2020

Trial Date:

N/A

23

24

25

26

27

28

1 2 3 4 5 6 7	SAMUEL WONG, SBN 217104 JESSICA CAMPBELL, SBN 280626 CAROLYN BELL, SBN 313435 AEGIS LAW FIRM, PC 9811 Irvine Center Drive, Suite 100 Irvine, CA 92618 Telephone: (949) 379-6250 Facsimile: (949) 379-6251 Emails: swong@aegislawfirm.com
8	Attorneys for Erik Contreras, Omar Dominguez, Ivan Landeros, Guillermo Mendez, Alejandro Olivera
10	and the Putative Class
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17 18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	

ORDER GRANTING MOTION FOR PRELIMINARY APPROVAL OF SETTLEMENT

ORDER GRANTING PRELIMINARY APPROVAL OF CLASS ACTION SETTLEMENT

Plaintiffs' Alejandro Olivera, Erik Contreras, Omar Dominguez, Ivan Landeros, and Guillermo Mendez ("Plaintiffs") Motion for Preliminary Approval of Class Action Settlement ("Motion") came before this court on May 4, 2021, at 3:00 p.m. The Court, having considered the proposed Joint Stipulation for Class and PAGA Representative Action Settlement and Release of Claims (the "Settlement" or "Settlement Agreement") attached as Exhibit 1 to the Declaration of Hunter Pyle filed concurrently with the Motion, and the exhibits attached thereto; having considered Plaintiffs' unopposed Motion, memorandum of points and authorities in support thereof, and supporting declarations filed therewith; and good cause appearing thereto, **HEREBY ORDERS THE FOLLOWING:**

The Court **GRANTS** preliminary approval of the class action settlement

- 1. The Court **GRANTS** preliminary approval of the class action settlement as set forth in the Settlement Agreement and finds the terms to be within the range of reasonableness of a settlement that ultimately could be granted approval by the Court at a final Fairness Hearing. For purposes of the settlement, the Court finds that the proposed Settlement Class is ascertainable and that there is a sufficiently well-defined community of interest among the members of the Settlement Class in questions of law and fact. Therefore, for settlement purposes only, the Court grants conditional certification of the following Settlement Class: Current and former drivers and helpers who were employed by Defendants working out of Defendants' Pomona warehouse from May 25, 2020 through December 4, 2020, or any of Defendants' other warehouses throughout California at any time between May 26, 2016 through December 4, 2020.
 - 2. Plaintiffs are granted leave to file the proposed Second Amended Complaint.
- 3. For purposes of the Settlement, the Court designates Plaintiffs Alejandro Olivera, Erik Contreras, Omar Dominguez, Ivan Landeros, and Guillermo Mendez as Class Representatives, and designates Hunter Pyle and Katherine Fiester of Hunter Pyle Law and Jessica Campbell, Samuel Wong, and Carolyn Bell of AEGIS Law Firm PC as Class Counsel.
- 4. The Court designates Phoenix Settlement Administrators, Inc. as the third-party-Settlement Administrator.

5

3

12

10

15

18 19

20 21

22

23

p.m/.

25 26 27

28

- 5. The Court approves, as to form and content, the Notice of Settlement of Class Action ("Notice") attached as Exhibit A to the Settlement Agreement.
- 6. The Court finds that the form of Notice to the Settlement Class regarding the pendency of the Action and of this Settlement, and the methods of giving notice to members of the Settlement Class constitute the best notice practicable under the circumstances, and constitute valid, due, and sufficient notice to all members of the Settlement Class. The form and method of giving notice complies fully with the requirements of California Code of Civil Procedure section 382, California Civil Code section 1781, California Rules of Court 3.766 and 3.769, the California and United States Constitutions, and other applicable law.
- 7. The Court further approves the procedures for Settlement Class Members to opt out of, or object to, the Settlement, as set forth in the Settlement Agreement and Notice.
- 8. The procedures and requirements for filing objections in connection with the Final Fairness Hearing are intended to ensure the efficient administration of justice and the orderly presentation of any Settlement Class Member's objection to the Settlement Agreement, in accordance with the due process rights of all Settlement Class Members.
- 9. The Court directs the Settlement Administrator to mail the Notice to the Settlement Class Members in accordance with the terms of the Settlement Agreement.
- 10. The Notice shall provide at least 60 calendar days' notice for members of the Settlement Class to submit disputes or requests for exclusion or to object to the settlement.
- 11. A final fairness hearing on the question of whether the Settlement should be finally approved as fair, reasonable and adequate is scheduled in Department 23 of this Court, located at
- 12. At the final fairness hearing, the Court will consider: (a) whether the Settlement should be approved as fair, reasonable, and adequate for the class; (b) whether a judgment granting final approval of the Settlement should be entered; and (c) whether Plaintiffs' application for an award of reasonable attorneys' fees, reimbursement of litigation expenses, class representative Service Payments, and Administrative Costs should be granted.

SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA COUNTY OF ALAMEDA

Case Number: RG20062287

Case Name: Olivera v. C & B Delivery Service

RE: ORDER GRANTING MOTION FOR PRELIMINARY APPROVAL OF

SETTLEMENT

CLERK'S CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I certify that I am not a party to this cause and that a true and correct copy of the foregoing document was mailed first class, postage prepaid, in a sealed envelope, and that the mailing of the foregoing and execution of this certificate occurred at 1225 Fallon Street, Oakland, California.

Executed: 5/6/2021

Ghalisa Castaneda
Courtroom Clerk, Dept. 23

Hunter Pyle Katherine Fiester **HUNTER PYLE LAW** 428 Thirteenth Street, 11th Floor Attorneys for Plaintiff Oakland, CA 94612 hunterr@hunterpylelaw.com kfiester@hunterpylelaw.com Kamran Mirrafati Kaleb N. Berhe Attorneys for Defendant C & B Delivery Serrvice dba Temco warehouses & Distribution and Home **FOLEY & LARDNER LLP** 555 South Flower Street, Suite 3300 Express Delivery Service, LLC dba Temco Los Angeles. CA 90071 Logistic kmirrafati@foley.com kberhe@foley.com