

Deputy

Edwin Aiwazian (SBN 232943) 1 Arby Aiwazian (SBN 269827) ALAMEDA COUNTY Joanna Ghosh (SBN 272479) Danielle L. GruppChang (SBN 313881) MAR 2 5 2021 LAWYERS for JUSTICE, PC 3 410 West Arden Avenue, Suite 203 CLERK OF THE SUPERIOR COURT Glendale, California 91203 Tel: (818) 265-1020 / Fax: (818) 265-1021 5 Attorneys for Plaintiffs and the Class 6 7 SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA 8 FOR THE COUNTY OF ALAMEDA 9 MICHAEL HEARRON, individually, and on Case No.: RG18928054 behalf of other members of the general public 10 similarly situated and on behalf of other aggrieved employees pursuant to the California Department 21 11 Private Attorneys General Act; FRANKLIN TURNER, individually and on behalf of other

members of the general public similarly

Plaintiffs.

and DOES 1 through 100, inclusive,

THREDUP INC, an unknown business entity;

Defendants.

Honorable Winifred Y. Smith

## **CLASS ACTION**

## [PROPOSED] FINAL APPROVAL ORDER AND JUDGMENT

Date:

February 19, 2021

Time:

10:00 a.m.

Department:

21

Complaint Filed:

November 8, 2018

FAC Filed:

December 8, 2018

SAC Filed:

March 5, 2019

Trial Date:

None Set

situated:

VS.

19

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

[PROPOSED] FINAL APPROVAL ORDER AND JUDGMENT

This matter has come before the Honorable Winifred Y. Smith in Department 21 of the above-entitled Court, located at Administration Building, 1221 Oak Street, Oakland, California 94612, on Plaintiffs Michael Hearron and Franklin Turner's (together, "Plaintiffs") Motion for Final Approval of Class Action Settlement, Attorneys' Fees, Costs, and Enhancement Payments ("Motion for Final Approval"). Lawyers *for* Justice, PC appeared on behalf of Plaintiffs, and Jackson Lewis P.C. appeared on behalf of Defendant Thredup, Inc. ("Defendant").

On September 15, 2020, the Court entered the Order Granting Preliminary Approval of Class Action Settlement ("Preliminary Approval Order"), thereby preliminarily approving the settlement of the above-entitled action ("Action") in accordance with the Joint Stipulation of Class Action and PAGA Settlement and Release ("Settlement," "Agreement," or "Settlement Agreement"), which, together with the exhibits annexed thereto, set forth the terms and conditions for settlement of the Action.

Having reviewed the Settlement Agreement and duly considered the parties' papers and oral argument, and good cause appearing,

## THE COURT HEREBY ORDERS, ADJUDGES, AND DECREES AS FOLLOWS:

- 1. All terms used herein shall have the same meaning as defined in the Settlement Agreement and the Preliminary Approval Order.
- 2. This Court has jurisdiction over the claims of the Class Members asserted in this proceeding and over all parties to the Action.
- 3. The Court finds that the applicable requirements of California Code of Civil Procedure section 382 and California Rule of Court 3.769, *et seq.* have been satisfied with respect to the Class and the Settlement. The Court hereby makes final its earlier provisional certification of the Class for settlement purposes, as set forth in the Preliminary Approval Order. The Class is hereby defined to include:

All current and former hourly-paid or non-exempt employees of Defendant in California at any time during the period from November 8, 2014 through September 15, 2020 ("Class" or "Class Members").

///

28 ||

- 4. The Notice of Class Action Settlement ("Class Notice") that was provided to the Class Members, fully and accurately informed the Class Members of all material elements of the Settlement and of their opportunity to participate in, object to or comment thereon, or to seek exclusion from, the Settlement; was the best notice practicable under the circumstances; was valid, due, and sufficient notice to all Class Members; and complied fully with the laws of the State of California, the United States Constitution, due process and other applicable law. The Class Notice fairly and adequately described the Settlement and provided the Class Members with adequate instructions and a variety of means to obtain additional information.
- 5. Pursuant to California law, the Court hereby grants final approval of the Settlement and finds that it is reasonable and adequate, and in the best interests of the Class as a whole. More specifically, the Court finds that the Settlement was reached following meaningful discovery and investigation conducted by Lawyers *for* Justice, PC ("Class Counsel"); that the Settlement is the result of serious, informed, adversarial, and arms-length negotiations between the parties; and that the terms of the Settlement are in all respects fair, adequate, and reasonable. In so finding, the Court has considered all of the evidence presented, including evidence regarding the strength of Plaintiffs' claims; the risk, expense, and complexity of the claims presented; the likely duration of further litigation; the amount offered in the Settlement; the extent of investigation and discovery completed; and the experience and views of Class Counsel. The Court has further considered the absence of objections to the Settlement submitted by Class Members. Accordingly, the Court hereby directs that the Settlement be affected in accordance with the Settlement Agreement and the following terms and conditions.
- 6. A full opportunity has been afforded to the Class Members to participate in the Final Approval Hearing, and all Class Members and other persons wishing to be heard have been heard. The Class Members also have had a full and fair opportunity to exclude themselves from the Settlement. Accordingly, the Court determines that all Class Members who did not timely and validly opt out of the Settlement ("Settlement Class Member") are bound by this Final Approval Order and Judgment.

- 7. The Court finds that one (1) Class Member has timely and validly opted out of the Settlement and will not be bound by this Final Approval Order and Judgment.
- 8. The Court finds that payment of Settlement Administration Costs in the amount of \$14,500.00 is appropriate for the services performed and costs incurred and to be incurred for the notice and settlement administration process. It is hereby ordered that the Settlement Administrator, Phoenix Settlement Administrators, shall issue payment to itself in the amount of \$14,500.00, in accordance with the terms and methodology set forth in Settlement Agreement.
- 9. The Court finds that the Enhancement Payments sought are fair and reasonable for the work performed by Plaintiffs on behalf of the Class. It is hereby ordered that the Settlement Administrator issue payment in the amount of \$7,500.00 each to Plaintiffs Michael Hearron and Franklin Turner for their Enhancement Payments, according to the terms and methodology set forth in the Settlement Agreement.
- 10. The Court finds that the allocation of \$50,000.00 toward penalties under the California Private Attorneys General Act of 2004 ("PAGA Penalties"), is fair, reasonable, and appropriate, and hereby approved. The Settlement Administrator shall distribute the PAGA Penalties as follows: the amount of \$37,500.00 to the California Labor and Workforce Development Agency, and the amount of \$12,500.00 to be included in the Net Settlement Amount for distribution to Settlement Class Members, according to the terms and methodology set forth in the Settlement Agreement.
- The Court finds that the request for attorneys' fees in the amount of \$227,500.00 to Class Counsel falls within the range of reasonableness, and the results achieved justify the award sought. The requested attorneys' fees to Class Counsel are fair, reasonable, and appropriate, and are hereby approved. It is hereby ordered that the Settlement Administrator issue payment in the amount of \$227,500.00 to Class Counsel for attorneys' fees, in accordance with the terms and methodology set forth in the Settlement Agreement.
- 12. The Court finds that reimbursement of litigation costs and expenses in the amount of \$14,700.81 to Class Counsel is reasonable, and hereby approved. It is hereby ordered that the Settlement Administrator issue payment in the amount of \$14,700.81 to Class Counsel for

reimbursement of litigation costs and expenses, in accordance with the terms and methodology set forth in the Settlement Agreement.

- 13. The Court hereby enters Judgment by which Settlement Class Member shall be conclusively determined to have given a release of any and all Released Claims against the Released Parties, as set forth in the Settlement Agreement and Class Notice.
- 14. It is hereby ordered that Defendant shall deposit the Maximum Settlement Amount in accordance with the terms and methodology set forth in the Settlement Agreement.
- 15. It is hereby ordered that the Settlement Administrator shall distribute Individual Settlement Payments to the Settlement Class Members according to the methodology and terms set forth in the Settlement Agreement.
- 16. After entry of this Final Approval Order and Judgment, pursuant to California Rules of Court, Rule 3.769(h), the Court shall retain jurisdiction to construe, interpret, implement, and enforce the Settlement Agreement and this Final Approval Order and Judgment, to hear and resolve any contested challenge to a claim for settlement benefits, and to supervise and adjudicate any dispute arising from or in connection with the distribution of settlement benefits.
- 17. Notice of entry of this Final Approval Order and Judgment shall be given to the Class Members by posting a copy of the Final Approval Order and Judgment on Phoenix Settlement Administrator's website for a period of at least sixty (60) calendar days after the date of entry of this Final Approval Order and Judgment. Individualized notice is not required.
- 18. Pursuant to California Code of Civil Procedure section 384, no later than five (5) calendar days before the Final Compliance Hearing, the parties shall submit a report to the Court specifying, among other things, the total amount paid to Settlement Class Members, the leftover residual of settlement funds that will be paid to the entities identified as recipients of such funds in the Settlement Agreement, and the amount of the portion of attorneys' fees that were held back, along with a proposed amended judgment containing language addressing the tentative transmission of the leftover residual funds to the entities identified as recipients of such funds in the Settlement Agreement and also the release of the portion of the attorneys' fees that were held back. No later than five (5) calendar days after receipt of notice of the entry of the amended

1	judgment, Class Counsel shall submit the amended judgment to the Judicial Council, pursuant to
2	California Code of Civil Procedure section 384.5.
3	19. A Final Compliance Hearing is set for November 9, 2021 at
4	a.m. / p.m. in Department 21.
5	
6	
7	Dated: Warch 25, 2001 Strifted & nith HONORABJE WINIFFED Y. SMITH
8	JUDGE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT
9	
10	
11	
12	·
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23   24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
	5
	[PROPOSED] FINAL APPROVAL ORDER AND JUDGMENT