1	SAMUEL T. REES (State Bar No. 58099) THOMAS P. BLEAU (State Bar No. 152945)			
2	MARTIN R. FOX (State Bar No. 155783) BLEAU FOX			
3	A Professional Law Corporation			
4	2801 West Empire Avenue Burbank, CA 91504 Talantage (818) 748 2424			
5	Telephone: (818) 748-3434 Facsimile: (818) 748-3436			
6	Attorneys for Plaintiff and the Plaintiff Class			
7	and the Plaintiff Class			
8	8 SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA			
9	FOR THE COU	INTY OF ORANGE		
10				
11	RAYMOND STODDARD and SANTIAGO	Case No. 30-2010-00395208-CU-OE-CXC		
12	MEDINA, etc., Plaintiffs,	Hon. James J. Di Cesare Department C 16		
13	vs.) CLASS ACTION		
14	EQUILON ENTERPRISES, LLC, et al.,) PROPOSED REVISED SECOND		
15	R&Ms.) AMENDED PRELIMINARY APPROVAL) ORDER		
16)) Date: May 14, 2021		
17		Time: 9:30 a.m. Dept: C-16		
18	•	Complaint Filed: August 2, 2010 Trial Date: None Set		
19))		
20				
21				
22	WHEREAS, this action is pending before this Court as a Class Action;			
23	WHEREAS, Plaintiff Santiago Medina ("Medina") has previously filed an			
24	unopposed motion with this Court for an Order preliminarily approving the			
25	settlement of the Class Action entered into by and between R & M Pacific Rim,			
26	Inc., a California corporation, ("R&M") and Medina, individually and on behalf of			
27	Settlement Class Members as defined therein;			
28	WHEREAS, this Court had previously preliminarily approved that			
		1		
BLEAU FOX	- 1 - NDED PRELIMINARY APPROVAL ORDER			
	·			

settlement, in accordance with the parties' Second Amended and Restated Settlement Agreement;

WHEREAS, the parties now seek to have this Court reconsider it prior preliminary approval order in light of the parties' the Third Amended and Restated Settlement Agreement, which now sets forth the terms and conditions for a proposed partial settlement of the Class Action; and

WHEREAS, the Court having read and considered the Third Amended and Restated Settlement Agreement and the Exhibits attached thereto;

NOW, THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED:

- 1. This Preliminary Order incorporates by reference the definitions in the Third Amended and Restated Settlement Agreement, as filed with the Court, and all terms defined therein shall have the same meaning as set forth in the Third Amended and Restated Settlement Agreement.
- 2. The Court hereby reconsiders its prior preliminary approval order and finds the terms of the Third Amended and Restated Settlement Agreement to be within the range of reasonableness of a settlement that ultimately could be granted approval by the Court at a Final Approval Hearing.
- 3. The Court preliminarily approves the terms of the Third Amended and Restated Settlement Agreement and finds that they fall within the range of approval as fair, adequate, and reasonable. The Court hereby preliminarily finds that the Third Amended and Restated Settlement Agreement is the product of informal, non-collusive negotiations conducted at arms' length by the parties. The Court has considered the estimate of the Class Members' total recovery, R&M's potential liability, the allocation of settlement proceeds among Class Members, including the two subclasses, and the fact that a settlement represents a compromise of the parties' respective positions rather than the result of a finding of liability at trial. The assistance of an experienced mediator

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

22

21

23 24

25

26

27

28

in the settlement process supports the Court's conclusion that the Settlement is non-collusive and reasonable. The Settlement is presumptively valid.

- For purposes of the Settlement only, the Court finds that the proposed Settlement Class is ascertainable and that there is a sufficiently welldefined community of interest among the members of the Settlement Class in questions of law and fact. Therefore, the Court preliminarily certifies as the Settlement Class, for settlement purposes only, all persons who were employed by R&M and who worked at a Shell branded station operated by R&M and owned by Equilon Enterprises, LLC at any time during the period from August 2, 2006 to September 1, 2008. The Settlement Class consists of the Settlement Misclassification Subclass, consisting of all Settlement Class Members during any portion of the Class Period that they were declared by R&M as exempt employees and paid a salary. and the Settlement Break Subclass, consisting of all Settlement Class Members during any portion of the Class Period that they were non-exempt hourly wage employees. The Settlement Misclassification Subclass does not include any Settlement Class Member during any portion of the Class Period such Settlement Class Member was an Area Manager.
- 5. For purposes of the Settlement only, Medina is re-approved as the Class Representative.
- 6. For purposes of the Settlement only, Bleau Fox, a Professional Law Corporation, is re-appointed and approved as Class Counsel.
- 7. The Court hereby re-appoints and approves Phoenix Settlement Administrators as the Settlement Administrator.
- 8. After previously balancing the privacy interests of the Settlement Class as asserted by R&M, the Court continues to find that in order for the Class Notice to be mailed to the Settlement Class at their last known address based upon R&M's employment records, that the Settlement Administrator and Class Counsel have sufficient information to locate Settlement Class members and

- 9. A hearing ("Final Approval Hearing") shall be conducted before this Court on July ___, 2021, at 9:30 a.m., in Department C-16, to determine whether the proposed settlement of the Class Action on the terms and conditions provided for in the Settlement Agreement is fair, reasonable and adequate, whether said settlement should be finally approved by the Court, and whether a Final Approval Order and Judgment should be entered herein.
- 10. The Court hereby approves, as to form and content, the Class Notice, Information Sheet and Request for Exclusion Form attached as Exhibit 1 to the Third Amended and Restated Settlement Agreement.
- 11. The Court approves the requirements for disputing the information upon which Settlement Class Members' share of the Settlement will be calculated. The Court approves the requirements for objecting to the Settlement and excluding Settlement Class Members who timely and properly request to be excluded from the Settlement Class, all as provided in the Third Amended and Restated Settlement Agreement. The Court finds that the procedures and requirements for submitting objections in connection with the Final Approval Hearing are intended to ensure the efficient administration of justice and the orderly presentation of any Settlement Class Member's objection to the Settlement, in accordance with the due process rights of all Settlement Class Members.
- 12. The Court finds that the mailing of the Class Notice substantially in the manner and form as set forth in the Third Amended and Restated

1	Settlement Agreement and this Preliminary Approval Order meets the				
2	requirements of California Rules of Court Rules 3.766(d) and 3.769(f), California				
3	Code of Civil Procedure section 382, California Civil Code section 1781, other				
4	applicable law, and due process, and is the best notice practicable under the				
5	circumstances, and shall constitute valid, due and sufficient notice to all				
6	Settlement Class Members.				
7	13. The Court hereby authorizes and directs the Settlement				
8	Administrator to mail or cause to be mailed to Settlement Class Members the				
9	Class Notice, completed Information Sheet and the Request for Exclusion Form.				
10	Such documents shall be sent by First Class U.S. mail, postage prepaid. Mailing				
11	of the Class Notice shall occur on April 26, 2021.				
12	14. Thirty Five days prior to the Final Approval Hearing, Class Counsel				
13	shall serve and file its application for a Class Counsel Award and litigation costs				
14	and expenses as well as any application for a Service Award.				
15	15. Five days prior to the Final Approval Hearing, Class Counsel shall				
16	serve and file the declaration of the Settlement Administrator containing the				
17	information required by the Third Amended and Restated Settlement				
18	$\mathbf{B} \ \mathbf{Agreement}.$				
19	16. The Court reserves the right to adjourn or continue the date of the				
20	Final Approval Hearing without further notice to Class Members, and retains				
21	jurisdiction to consider all further applications or motions arising out of or				
22	connected with the proposed settlement.				
23	IT IS SO ORDERED.				
24	Dated: March, 2021				
25	James J. Di Cesare Judge of the Superior Court				
26	dauge of the Superior Court				
27					

1	APPROVED AS TO FORM AND CONTENT.				
2	Dated: March 22, 2021	BLEAU FOX A Professional Law Corporation			
3		A Professional Law Corporation			
4		By: /s/ Samuel T. Rees SAMUEL T. REES			
5					
6	Datadi Marah 22, 2021	Attorneys for Plaintiff and the Plaintiff Class			
7	Dated: March 22, 2021	KRING & CHUNG LLP			
8		By: /s/ Kerri N. Polizzi			
9		KERRI N. POLIZZI			
10		Attorneys for R&M PACIFIC RIM, INC.			
11					
12					
13					
14					
15					
16					
17					
18					
19					
20					
21					
22					
23					
24					
25					
26					
27					
28					
		- 6 -			
_	·	~			

[PROPOSED] REVISED SECOND AMENDED PRELIMINARY APPROVAL ORDER

BLEAU FOX

1	PROOF OF SERVICE			
2	I am employed in the County of Los Angeles, State of California. I am over the age of 18 and not a party to			
3	the within action; my business address is 580 West Empire Avenue, Burbank, California 91504.			
4	On March 22, 2021, I served the foregoing document(s) described as [PROPOSED] REVISED SECOND AMENDED PRELIMINARY APPROVAL ORDER on the interested parties to this			
5	laction who are listed on the attached Service List by electronically serving those persons at the electronic			
6	STATE: I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct.			
8	FEDERAL: I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States of America that the foregoing is true and correct of my own personal knowledge, and that I am employed in the office of a member of the Bar of this Court at whose discretion this service was made.			
9				
10	Executed on March 22, 2021, at Burbank, California.			
11	/s/ Abigail Vargas	—		
	Abigail Vargas			
12				
13				
14				
15				
16				
17				
18				
19				
20				
21				
22				
23				
24				
25				
26				
27				
28				
28				
	- 7 -			
BLEAU FOX	[PROPOSED] REVISED SECOND AMENDED PRELIMINARY APPROVAL ORDER	₹		

SERVICE LIST

Raymond A. Cardozo, Esq.

Reed Smith, LLP

355 South Grand Avenue

Suite 2900

Los Angeles, CA 90071-3048

RCardozo@reedsmith.com

Kerri N. Polizzi

Attorney at Law
Kring & Chung, LLP
38 Corporate Park
Irvine, CA 92606
kpolizzi@kringandchung.com

BLEAU FOX