Edwin Aiwazian (SBN 232943) Arby Aiwazian (SBN 269827) Joanna Ghosh (SBN 272479) SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA COUNTY OF ORANGE CENTRAL JUSTICE CENTER 2 LAWYERS for JUSTICE, PC 410 West Arden Avenue, Suite 203 Glendale, California 91203 MAR 26 2021 Tel: (818) 265-1020 / Fax: (818) 265-1021 4 DAVID H. YAMASAKI, Clerk of the Court Attorneys for Plaintiffs and the Class 5 6 7 8 SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA 9 FOR THE COUNTY OF ORANGE 10 RICARDO COLLADO, individually, and on Case No.: 30-2018-00998096-CU-OE-CXC behalf of other members of the general public 11 similarly situated; JOEL ALEGRIA, Honorable James Di Cesare individually, and on behalf of other members Department C16 12 of the general public similarly situated and on behalf of other aggrieved employees pursuant **CLASS ACTION** 13 to the California Private Attorneys General Act; [REVISED PROPOSED] FINAL 14 APPROVAL ORDER AND JUDGMENT Plaintiffs, 15 Date: April 9, 2021 VS. Time: 9:30 a.m. 16 Department: C16 TAYLOR-DUNN MANUFACTURING 17 COMPANY, a California corporation; and Complaint Filed: June 8, 2018 DOES 1 through 100, inclusive, FAC Filed: July 23, 2019 18 Trial Date: None Set Defendants. 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28

[REVISED PROPOSED] FINAL APPROVAL ORDER AND JUDGMENT

This matter has come before the Honorable James Di Cesare in Department C-16 of the above-entitled Court, located at Central Justice Center, 700 Civic Center Drive West, Santa Ana, California 92701, on Plaintiffs Ricardo Collado and Joel Alegria's (together, "Plaintiffs") Motion for Final Approval of Class Action Settlement, Attorneys' Fees and Costs, and Enhancement Payments ("Motion for Final Approval"). Lawyers *for* Justice, PC appeared on behalf of Plaintiffs, and Baker & Hostetler, LLP appeared on behalf of Defendant Taylor-Dunn Manufacturing Company ("Defendant").

On August 12, 2020, the Court entered the Order Granting Preliminary Approval of Class Action Settlement ("Preliminary Approval Order"), thereby preliminarily approving the settlement of the above-entitled action ("Action") in accordance with the Joint Stipulation of Class Action and PAGA Settlement and Release ("Settlement," "Agreement," or "Settlement Agreement"), attached as "Exhibit 1" to the Declaration of Edwin Aiwazian in Support of Plaintiffs' Motion for Preliminary Approval of Class Action Settlement (ROA No. 76), which, together with the exhibits annexed thereto, set forth the terms and conditions for settlement of the Action.

Having reviewed the Settlement Agreement and duly considered the parties' papers and oral argument, and good cause appearing,

## THE COURT HEREBY ORDERS, ADJUDGES, AND DECREES AS FOLLOWS:

- 1. All terms used herein shall have the same meaning as defined in the Settlement Agreement and the Preliminary Approval Order.
- 2. This Court has jurisdiction over the claims of the Class Members asserted in this proceeding and over all parties to the Action.
- 3. The Court finds that the applicable requirements of California Code of Civil Procedure section 382 and California Rule of Court 3.769, *et seq.* have been satisfied with respect to the Class and the Settlement. The Court hereby makes final its earlier provisional certification of the Class for settlement purposes, as set forth in the Preliminary Approval Order. The Class is hereby defined to include:

All current and former non-exempt production manufacturing employees employed by Defendant in California from June 8, 2014 through August 12, 2020 ("Class" or "Class Members").

///

- 4. The Notice of Class Action Settlement ("Class Notice") that was provided to the Class Members, attached hereto as "EXHIBIT A," fully and accurately informed the Class Members of all material elements of the Settlement and of their opportunity to participate in, object to or comment thereon, or to seek exclusion from, the Settlement; was the best notice practicable under the circumstances; was valid, due, and sufficient notice to all Class Members; and complied fully with the laws of the State of California, the United States Constitution, due process and other applicable law. The Class Notice fairly and adequately described the Settlement and provided the Class Members with adequate instructions and a variety of means to obtain additional information.
- 5. Pursuant to California law, the Court hereby grants final approval of the Settlement and finds that it is reasonable and adequate, and in the best interests of the Class as a whole. More specifically, the Court finds that the Settlement was reached following meaningful discovery and investigation conducted by Lawyers *for* Justice, PC ("Class Counsel"); that the Settlement is the result of serious, informed, adversarial, and arms-length negotiations between the parties; and that the terms of the Settlement are in all respects fair, adequate, and reasonable. In so finding, the Court has considered all of the evidence presented, including evidence regarding the strength of Plaintiffs' claims; the risk, expense, and complexity of the claims presented; the likely duration of further litigation; the amount offered in the Settlement; the extent of investigation and discovery completed; and the experience and views of Class Counsel. The Court has further considered the absence of objections to the Settlement submitted by Class Members. Accordingly, the Court hereby directs that the Settlement be affected in accordance with the Settlement Agreement and the following terms and conditions.
- 6. A full opportunity has been afforded to the Class Members to participate in the Final Approval Hearing, and all Class Members and other persons wishing to be heard have been heard. The Class Members also have had a full and fair opportunity to exclude themselves from the Settlement. Accordingly, the Court determines that all Class Members who did not submit a timely and valid Request for Exclusion ("Settlement Class Members") are bound by this Final Approval Order and Judgment.

- 7. The Court finds that Class Member, Charles Van Nguyen, has timely and validly opted out of the Settlement and will not be bound by this Final Approval Order and Judgment.
- 8. The Court finds that payment of Settlement Administration Costs in the amount of \$8,000.00 is appropriate for the services performed and costs incurred and to be incurred for the notice and settlement administration process. It is hereby ordered that the Settlement Administrator, Phoenix Settlement Administrators, shall issue payment to itself in the amount of \$8,000.00, in accordance with the terms and methodology set forth in Settlement Agreement.
- 9. The Court finds that the Enhancement Payments sought are fair and reasonable for the work performed by Plaintiffs on behalf of the Class. It is hereby ordered that the Settlement Administrator issue payments in the amount of \$4,000 each to Plaintiffs Ricardo Collado and Joel Alegria for their Enhancement Payments, according to the terms and methodology set forth in the Settlement Agreement.
- 10. The Court finds that the allocation of \$65,000.00 toward penalties under the California Private Attorneys General Act of 2004 ("PAGA Penalties") is fair, reasonable, and appropriate, and hereby approved. The Settlement Administrator shall distribute the PAGA Penalties as follows: the amount of \$48,750.00 to the California Labor and Workforce Development Agency, and the amount of \$16,250.00 to be included in the Net Settlement Amount for distribution to Settlement Class Members, according to the terms and methodology set forth in the Settlement Agreement.
- 11. The Court finds that the request for attorneys' fees in the amount of \$325,000.00 to Class Counsel falls within the range of reasonableness, and the results achieved justify the award sought. The requested attorneys' fees to Class Counsel are fair, reasonable, and appropriate, and are hereby approved. It is hereby ordered that the Settlement Administrator issue payment in the amount of \$325,000.00 to Class Counsel for attorneys' fees, in accordance with the terms and methodology set forth in the Settlement Agreement.
- 12. The Court finds that reimbursement of litigation costs and expenses in the amount of \$16,154.39 to Class Counsel is reasonable, and hereby approved. It is hereby ordered that the Settlement Administrator issue payment in the amount of \$16,154.39 to Class Counsel for

reimbursement of litigation costs and expenses, in accordance with the terms and methodology set forth in the Settlement Agreement.

- 13. The Court hereby enters Judgment by which Settlement Class Members shall be conclusively determined to have given a release of any and all Released Claims against the Released Parties, as set forth in the Settlement Agreement and/or Class Notice. For purposes of the FLSA claim only, only those Settlement Class Members who sign, endorse, deposit, cash, and/or otherwise negotiate their Individual Settlement Payment check will be deemed to "opt in" to the settlement and release of all Released Claims arising under the Fair Labor Standards Act, 29 U.S.C. § 216(b) et seq.
- 14. It is hereby ordered that Defendant shall deposit the Maximum Settlement Amount and an amount sufficient to pay the Employer Taxes resulting from the Settlement into an account established by the Settlement Administrator within twenty-one (21) calendar days after the Effective Date, in accordance with the terms and methodology set forth in the Settlement Agreement.
- 15. It is hereby ordered that the Settlement Administrator shall distribute Individual Settlement Payments to the Settlement Class Members within fourteen (14) calendar days of Defendant funding the Maximum Settlement Amount, according to the methodology and terms set forth in the Settlement Agreement.
- 16. Individual Settlement Payment checks shall be valid and negotiable for one hundred and eighty (180) calendar days from the date the checks are issued, and thereafter, shall be cancelled. All funds associated with such cancelled checks shall be transmitted to the Unclaimed Property Division of the State of California (State Controller's Office) in such Settlement Class Member's name.
- 17. After entry of this Final Approval Order and Judgment, pursuant to California Rules of Court, Rule 3.769(h), the Court shall retain jurisdiction to construe, interpret, implement, and enforce the Settlement Agreement and this Final Approval Order and Judgment, to hear and resolve any contested challenge to a claim for settlement benefits, and to supervise and adjudicate any dispute arising from or in connection with the distribution of settlement benefits.

1	18. Notice of entry of this Final Approval Order and Judgment shall be given to the
2	Class Members by posting a copy of the Final Approval Order and Judgment on Phoenix
3	Settlement Administrators' website for a period of at least sixty (60) calendar days after the date
4	of entry of this Final Approval Order and Judgment. Individualized notice is not required.
5	19. A Final Accounting Hearing is set for December 3, 2021 at 9:30 a.m. in Department
6	C16.
7	Dated: MAR 2 6 2021
8	HONORABLE JAMES DI CESARE JUDGE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21 22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	