1	SAMUEL T. REES (State Bar No. 58099) THOMAS P. BLEAU (State Bar No. 152945)		
2	MARTIN R. FOX (State Bar No. 155783) BLEAU FOX		
3	A Professional Law Corporation		
4	2801 West Empire Avenue Burbank, CA 91504		
5	Telephone: (818) 748-3434 Facsimile: (818) 748-3436		
6	Attorneys for Plaintiff		
7	and the Plaintiff Class		
8	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA		
9	FOR THE COUNTY OF ORANGE		
10			
11	RAYMOND STODDARD and SANTIAGO	Case No. 30-2010-00395208-CU-OE-CXC	
12	MEDINA, etc., Plaintiffs,	) ) Hon. William Claster ) Department CX 102	
13	VS.	) CLASS ACTION	
14			
15	EQUILON ENTERPRISES, LLC, et al.,  R&Ms.	) SECOND REVISED [PROPOSED] ) PRELIMINARY APPROVAL ORDER	
16	R&IVIS.	Date: July 31, 2020	
17		) Time: 9:00 a.m. ) Dept: CX 104	
18		Complaint Filed: August 2, 2010 Trial Date: None Set	
19		Reservation No. 73219881	
20		1	
21			
22	WHEREAS, this action is pending before this Court as a Class Action; and		
23	WHEREAS, Plaintiff Santiago Medina ("Medina") has filed an unopposed		
24	motion with this Court for an Order preliminarily approving the settlement of		
25	the Class Action entered into by and between R & M Pacific Rim, Inc., a		
26	California corporation, ("R&M") and Medina, individually and on behalf of		
27	Settlement Class Members as defined therein, in accordance with their		
28	Amended and Restated Settlement Agreement, which, together with the		
BLEAU FOX		- 1 - PRELIMINARY APPROVAL ORDER	

6

10

11

12 13

14

15

16 17

18 19

20

22

21

23

24 25

26

27

Exhibits attached to the Amended and Restated Settlement Agreement, sets forth the terms and conditions for a proposed partial settlement of the Class Action; and the Court having read and considered the Amended and Restated Settlement Agreement and the Exhibits attached thereto;

## NOW, THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED:

- 1. This Preliminary Order incorporates by reference the definitions in the Settlement Agreement, as filed with the Court with Medina's motion, and all terms defined therein shall have the same meaning as set forth in the Amended and Restated Settlement Agreement.
- 2. The Court hereby grants Medina's Motion for Preliminary Approval of Class Action Settlement and finds the terms of the Amended and Restated Settlement Agreement to be within the range of reasonableness of a settlement that ultimately could be granted approval by the Court at a Final Approval Hearing.
- 3. The Court preliminarily approves the terms of the Amended and Restated Settlement Agreement and finds that they fall within the range of approval as fair, adequate, and reasonable. The Court hereby preliminarily finds that the Settlement Agreement is the product of informal, non-collusive negotiations conducted at arms' length by the parties. The Court has considered the estimate of the Class Members' total recovery, R&M's potential liability, the allocation of settlement proceeds among Class Members, including the two subclasses, and the fact that a settlement represents a compromise of the parties' respective positions rather than the result of a finding of liability at trial. The assistance of an experienced mediator in the settlement process supports the Court's conclusion that the Settlement is non-collusive and reasonable. The Settlement is presumptively valid.
- 4. For purposes of the Settlement only, the Court finds that the proposed Settlement Class is ascertainable and that there is a sufficiently well-

- 5. For purposes of the Settlement only, Medina is approved as the Class Representative.
- 6. For purposes of the Settlement only, Bleau Fox, a Professional Law Corporation, is appointed and approved as Class Counsel.
- 7. The Court hereby appoints and approves Phoenix Settlement Administrators as the Settlement Administrator.
- 8. After balancing the privacy interests of the Settlement Class as asserted by R&M, the Court finds that in order for the Class Notice to be mailed to the Settlement Class at their last known address based upon R&M's employment records, that the Settlement Administrator and Class Counsel have sufficient information to locate Settlement Class members and that the Settlement Administrator and Class Counsel have sufficient information to prorate Individual Settlement payments for each subclass, it is necessary and appropriate, without prior notice to the Settlement Class, that R&M be authorized and directed to provide to the Settlement Administrator and Class Counsel the Class Information to be used solely for the purposes of settlement of this Class Action. Having so determined, the Court hereby orders R&M to so

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

and due process, and is the best notice practicable under the circumstances, and

shall constitute valid, due and sufficient notice to all Settlement Class Members.

27

periodic reports to Class Counsel and Defense Counsel.

- 14. Prior to forty-six days following the entry of this Preliminary
  Approval Order, Class Counsel shall serve and file its application for a Class
  Counsel Award and litigation costs and expenses as well as any application for a
  Service Award.
- 15. Five days prior to the Final Approval Hearing, Class Counsel shall serve and file the declaration of the Settlement Administrator containing the information required by the Amended and Restated Settlement Agreement.
- 16. The Court reserves the right to adjourn or continue the date of the Final Approval Hearing without further notice to Class Members, and retains jurisdiction to consider all further applications or motions arising out of or connected with the proposed settlement.

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

1	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
2	Dated:	
3		William D. Claster Judge of the Superior Court
4	APPROVED AS TO FORM AND CONTENT.	
5	Dated: August 25, 2020 BLEAU FOX	
6	Dated: August 23, 2020	A Professional Law Corporation
7		By: /s/ Samuel T. Rees
8		SAMUEL T. REES
9		Attorneys for Plaintiff and the Plaintiff Class
	Dated: August 25, 2020	KRING & CHUNG LLP
11		By: /s/ Allyson K. Thompson
12		ALLYSON K. THOMPSON
13		Attorneys for R&M PACIFIC RIM, INC.
14		
15 16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
		- 6 -
BLEAU FOX	CECOVID DEVI	CED (DDODOCED) DDELIMINADIV ADDOCKAL ODDED

SECOND REVISED [PROPOSED] PRELIMINARY APPROVAL ORDER

1	PROOF OF SERVICE			
2	I am employed in the County of Los Angeles, State of California. I am over the age of 18 and not a party to the within action; my business address is 580 West Empire Avenue, Burbank, California 91504.			
3	On August 26, 2020, I served the foregoing document(s) described as <b>SECOND REVISED</b>			
5	[PROPOSED] PRELIMINARY APPROVAL ORDER on the interested parties to this action who a listed on the attached Service List by electronically serving those persons at the electronic addresses noted therein.			
6	STATE: I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct.			
7 8	FEDERAL: I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States of America that the foregoing is true and correct of my own personal knowledge, and that I am employed in the office of a member of the Bar of this Court at whose discretion this service was made.			
9				
10	/s/ Nathan Childress			
11	Nathan Childress			
12				
13				
14				
15				
16				
17				
18 19				
20				
21				
22				
23				
24				
25				
26				
27				
28				
	$^{\prime}I$			

## **SERVICE LIST**

Raymond A. Cardozo, Esq.

Reed Smith, LLP

355 South Grand Avenue

Suite 2900

Los Angeles, CA 90071-3048

RCardozo@reedsmith.com

Allyson K. Thompson
Attorney at Law
Kring & Chung, LLP
38 Corporate Park
Irvine, CA 92606
athompson@kringandchung.com

**BLEAU FOX**