1 2 3 4	THE WAND LAW FIRM, P.C. Aubry Wand (SBN 281207) 400 Corporate Pointe, Suite 300 Culver City, CA 90230 Telephone: (310) 590-4503 Facsimile: (310) 590-4596 E-mail: awand@wandlawfirm.com	SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA COUNTY OF ORANGE CENTRAL JUSTICE CENTER MAR 0 9 2021 DAVID H. YAMASAKI, Clerk of the Court		ANGE CENTER 1021
5	LAW OFFICE OF SCOTT E. WHEELER			
6	Scott E. Wheeler (SBN 187998) 250 West First Street, Suite 216 Claremont, CA 91711	E	3 V _{- M} ysperitustus minimus mainte einkystenin minimus meterinisterini	,DEPUTY
7	Telephone: (909) 621-4988			
8	Facsimile: (909) 621-4622 E-mail: sew@scottwheelerlawoffice.com			
9	Attorneys for Plaintiff and the Putative Class			
10	•			
11				
12	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA FOR THE COUNTY OF ORANGE			
13				
14	ALEJANDRO ZAMARRIPA, individually, and	Case No.: 30-2019-01060339		
15	on behalf of all others similarly situated,	[Hon. Kirk H. Nakamura]		
16	Plaintiff,		PROPOSED† PRI	ELIMINARY
17	v.	APPROVAL	ORDER	
18	SUPERIOR TALENT RESOURCES, INC., a	Date: Time:	February 25, 202 2:00 p.m.	1
19	corporation; and DOES 1 through 50, inclusive,	Dept.:	CX101	
20	Defendants.	Action Filed:	March 29, 2019	
21		Trial Date:	None Set	
22				
23				
24				
25				
26				
27				
28				

[REVISED-PROPOSED] PRELIMINARY APPROVAL ORDER

The Court has before it Plaintiff's unopposed Motion for Preliminary Approval of Class Action Settlement ("Motion").

On August 3, 2020, Plaintiff filed a brief in support of his Motion, along with the Class Action Settlement Agreement and Release and a declaration of counsel in support.

On October 1, 2020, the Court issued a Tentative Order informing the Parties of several concerns it had with the Settlement and requesting additional information regarding the Settlement. On November 10, 2020, Plaintiff filed a supplemental brief in support of the Motion, along with a supplemental declaration of counsel, a declaration from the Settlement Administrator, and an Amended Class Action Settlement Agreement and Release executed on November 9, 2020 ("Amended Settlement Agreement").

The Amended Settlement Agreement (ROA 101) is the operative settlement agreement.

On November 20, 2020, the Court issued another Tentative Order requesting additional information and evidence regarding the Motion. On November 23, 2020, Plaintiff filed a second supplemental declaration of counsel, responding to the information requested by the Court.

On January 28, 2021, the Court issued another Tentative Order requesting additional information and evidence regarding the Motion. On February 8, 2021, Plaintiff filed a third supplemental declaration of counsel, responding to the information requested by the Court.

Having considered these documents, and good cause appearing therefore, the Court hereby finds and orders as follows:

- 1. The Court finds on a preliminary basis that the settlement memorialized in the Amended Settlement Agreement appears to be fair and adequate, and falls within the range of reasonableness, and therefore meets the requirement for preliminary approval.
 - 2. The Court conditionally certifies for settlement purposes the following Class:

All non-exempt employees of Defendant who worked for Defendant in California during the time period of March 29, 2015 through July 29, 2020.

All capitalized terms have the same meaning a defined in the Amended Settlement Agreement unless otherwise indicated.

- 3. The Court finds, for settlement purposes, that the Class meets the requirements for certification under California Code of Civil Procedure § 382 in that: (a) the Class is so numerous that joinder is impractical; (b) there are questions of law and fact that are common, or of general interest, to the Class, which predominate over any individual issues; (c) Plaintiff's claims are typical of the claims of the Class; (d) Plaintiff and Plaintiff's counsel will fairly and adequately protect the interests of the Class; and (e) a class action is superior to other available methods for the fair and efficient adjudication of the controversy.
- 4. The Court appoints, for settlement purposes, Plaintiff Alejandro Zamarripa as representative for the Class.
- 5. The Court appoints, for settlement purposes, the Wand Law Firm, P.C. and the Law Office of Scott E. Wheeler, as Counsel for the Class.
 - 6. The Court appoints Phoenix Settlement Administrators as the Settlement Administrator.
- 7. The Parties are ordered to carry out the Settlement according to the following implementation schedule:

Event	Date	
Last day for Defendant to provide the Settlement Administrator with the Class Information	March 17, 2021	
Last day for Settlement Administrator to mail Notice Packet	March 31, 2021	
Last day for Class Members to submit a dispute, Request for Exclusion, or Objection	June 1, 2021	
Last Day to file Motion for Final Approval and Motion for Attorneys' Fees and Costs and Class Representative Service Award	June 17, 2021	
Final Approval Hearing	July 1, 2021 at 2:00 p.m.	

8. The Court approves as to form and content the Notice of Class Settlement, the Objection Form, and the Exclusion Form, which are collectively referred to as the Notice Packet. See Amended Settlement Agreement ¶ 20. The Notice Packet is attached hereto as Exhibit A.

- 9. The Amended Settlement Agreement is not a concession or admission and shall not be used against Defendant as an admission or indication with respect to any claim of any fault or omission by Defendant. Whether or not the Amended Settlement Agreement is finally approved, neither the Amended Settlement Agreement, nor any document, statement, proceeding or conduct related to the Amended Settlement Agreement, nor any reports or accounts thereof, shall in any event be construed as, offered or admitted into evidence as, received as or deemed to be in evidence for any purpose adverse to the Defendant, including, but not limited to, evidence of a presumption, concession, indication or admission by Defendant of any liability, fault, wrongdoing, omission, concession or damage.
- 10. Pursuant to the terms of the Amended Settlement Agreement, Defendant shall not be liable for more than the agreed upon Gross Settlement Amount.
- 11. The Court finds that the method of giving notice to the Class constitutes the best means practicable of providing notice under the circumstances. The Court further finds that the Notice of Class Settlement and the method of giving notice to the Class meet the requirements of California Code of Civil Procedure § 382, California Rules of Court, Rules 3.766 and 3.769, and due process under the California and United States Constitutions, and other applicable law.
- 12. At the Final Approval Hearing, which will take place on July 1, 2021 at 2:00 p.m., the Court will consider, *inter alia*, whether the Settlement should be finally approved as fair, reasonable and adequate, whether a final judgment should be entered, and whether the payments provided for under the Settlement, including attorneys' fees and costs and class representative service award, should be finally approved and granted.
- 13. For final approval as to any proposed enhancement, counsel should state the amounts the representative is expected to recover as a class member, and any other factors pertinent to the enhancement request. The high, low, and average recoveries for the class members as a whole should also be stated.
- 14. For final approval as to any request for fees and costs, evidence should be presented as to the services provided by counsel, including time records, to allow the court to evaluate the lodestar, and as to the basis for the costs claimed, including supporting documentation for all claimed costs. The Court

reserves any decision on fees, costs, administrator costs, and enhancements until the final fairness hearing: preliminary approval should not be construed as approval of those sums.

- 15. For final approval, any proposed order should be submitted in two formats. One copy should be filed in Word version, and another copy in .pdf with attachments, including the class notice and related forms. The class must be given notice of the time and date of the final approval hearing as part of the notice.
- 16. Plaintiff shall give notice to Defendant by serving Defendant with a copy of this order. Plaintiff shall also give notice to the LWDA by submitting a copy of this order to the LWDA through its online website, and file proof of service when this has occurred.
- 17. Pending the Final Approval Hearing, all proceedings in this Action, other than proceedings necessary to implement the Settlement and this Order, are stayed.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED: 3/9/21

HONORABLE KIRK H. NAKAMURA JUDGE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT