Edwin Aiwazian (SBN 232943) Arby Aiwazian (SBN 269827) Joanna Ghosh (SBN 272479) LAWYERS for JUSTICE, PC 410 West Arden Avenue, Suite 203 Glendale, California 91203 Tel: (818) 265-1020 / Fax: (818) 265-1021

Attorneys for Plaintiffs and the Class

Superior Court of California County of Los Angeles

MAR O 2021

Sherri R. Carter, Executive Officer/Clerk of Court

By Deputy

## SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

## FOR THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES—SPRING STREET COURTHOUSE

CINDY ARAICA, individually, and on behalf of other members of the general public similarly situated; KEVIN ESTRADA, individually, and on behalf of other members of the general public similarly situated and on behalf of other aggrieved employees pursuant to the California Private Attorneys General Act;

Plaintiffs,

VS.

DIGITAL ROOM INC., a California corporation; DIGITAL ROOM LLC, a California limited liability company; AMC ACQUISITION LLC, an unknown business entity; and DOES 2 through 100, inclusive,

Defendants.

Case No.: BC680445

Honorable William Highberger Department SSC10

**CLASS ACTION** 

## PROPOSED FINAL APPROVAL ORDER AND JUDGMENT

Date:

March 9, 2021 11:00 a.m.

Department:

SSC10

Complaint Filed:

October 19, 2017

FAC Filed:

January 10, 2020

SAC Filed:

November 3, 2020

Trial Date:

[PROPOSED] FINAL APPROVAL ORDER AND JUDGMENT

None Set

LOS ANGELES SUPERIOR COURT
FEB 11 2021
S. DREIM

This matter has come before the Honorable William Highberger in Department SSC10 of the above-entitled Court, located at 312 North Spring Street, Los Angeles, California 90012, on Plaintiffs Cindy Araica and Kevin Estrada's (together, "Plaintiffs") Motion for Final Approval of Class Action Settlement, Attorneys' Fees, Costs, and Service Awards ("Motion for Final Approval"). Lawyers *for* Justice, PC appeared on behalf of Plaintiffs, and Torabian Law, P.C. and Akerman LLP appeared on behalf of Defendants Digital Room, LLC and AMC Acquisition LLC ("Defendants").

On November 3, 2020, the Court entered the Order Granting Preliminary Approval of Class Action Settlement ("Preliminary Approval Order"), thereby preliminarily approving the settlement of the above-entitled action ("Action") in accordance with the Joint Stipulation of Class Action and PAGA Settlement ("Settlement," "Agreement," or "Settlement Agreement"), which, together with the exhibits annexed thereto, set forth the terms and conditions for settlement of the Action.

Having reviewed the Settlement Agreement and duly considered the parties' papers and oral argument, and good cause appearing,

## THE COURT HEREBY ORDERS, ADJUDGES, AND DECREES AS FOLLOWS:

- 1. All terms used herein shall have the same meaning as defined in the Settlement Agreement and the Preliminary Approval Order.
- 2. This Court has jurisdiction over the claims of the Class Members asserted in this proceeding and over all parties to the Action.
- 3. The Court finds that the applicable requirements of California Code of Civil Procedure section 382 and California Rule of Court 3.769, *et seq.* have been satisfied with respect to the Class and the Settlement. The Court hereby makes final its earlier provisional certification of the Class for settlement purposes, as set forth in the Preliminary Approval Order. The Class is hereby defined to include:

Any and all current and former non-exempt employees who worked for any of Defendants, including Digital Room Inc., in the State of California at any time during the time period from October 19, 2013 to November 3, 2020 ("Class" or "Class Members").

///

- 4. The Notice of Class Action Settlement ("Class Notice") that was provided to the Class Members, fully and accurately informed the Class Members of all material elements of the Settlement and of their opportunity to participate in, object to or comment thereon, or to seek exclusion from, the Settlement; was the best notice practicable under the circumstances; was valid, due, and sufficient notice to all Class Members; and complied fully with the laws of the State of California, the United States Constitution, due process and other applicable law. The Class Notice fairly and adequately described the Settlement and provided the Class Members with adequate instructions and a variety of means to obtain additional information.
- 5. Pursuant to California law, the Court hereby grants final approval of the Settlement and finds that it is reasonable and adequate, and in the best interests of the Class as a whole. More specifically, the Court finds that the Settlement was reached following meaningful discovery and investigation conducted by Lawyers *for* Justice, PC ("Class Counsel"); that the Settlement is the result of serious, informed, adversarial, and arms-length negotiations between the parties; and that the terms of the Settlement are in all respects fair, adequate, and reasonable. In so finding, the Court has considered all of the evidence presented, including evidence regarding the strength of Plaintiffs' claims; the risk, expense, and complexity of the claims presented; the likely duration of further litigation; the amount offered in the Settlement; the extent of investigation and discovery completed; and the experience and views of Class Counsel. The Court has further considered the absence of objections to and requests for exclusion from the Settlement submitted by Class Members. Accordingly, the Court hereby directs that the Settlement be affected in accordance with the Settlement Agreement and the following terms and conditions.
- 6. A full opportunity has been afforded to the Class Members to participate in the Final Approval Hearing, and all Class Members and other persons wishing to be heard have been heard. The Class Members also have had a full and fair opportunity to exclude themselves from the Settlement. Accordingly, the Court determines that all Class Members who did not opt out of the Settlement by submitting a timely and valid request to be excluded from the Settlement ("Participating Class Member") are bound by this Final Approval Order and Judgment.

- 7. The Court finds that payment of Settlement Administration Costs in the amount of \$10,000.00 is appropriate for the services performed and costs incurred and to be incurred for the notice and settlement administration process. It is hereby ordered that the Settlement Administrator, Phoenix Class Action Administrators, shall issue payment to itself in the amount of \$10,000.00, in accordance with the terms and methodology set forth in Settlement Agreement.
- 8. The Court finds that the Service Awards sought are fair and reasonable for the work performed by Plaintiffs on behalf of the Class. It is hereby ordered that the Settlement Administrator issue payment in the amount of \$7,500.00 each to Plaintiffs Cindy Araica and Kevin Estrada for their Service Awards, according to the terms and methodology set forth in the Settlement Agreement.
- 9. The Court finds that the allocation of \$200,000.00 toward penalties under the California Private Attorneys General Act of 2004 ("PAGA Payment"), is fair, reasonable, and appropriate, and hereby approved. The Settlement Administrator shall distribute the PAGA Payment as follows: the amount of \$150,000.00 to the California Labor and Workforce Development Agency, and the amount of \$50,000.00 to be included in the Net Settlement Amount for distribution to Participating Class Members, according to the terms and methodology set forth in the Settlement Agreement.
- 10. The Court finds that the request for the Attorneys' Fees Award in the amount of \$595,000.00 to Class Counsel falls within the range of reasonableness, and the results achieved justify the award sought. The requested attorneys' fees to Class Counsel are fair, reasonable, and appropriate, and are hereby approved. It is hereby ordered that the Settlement Administrator issue payment in the amount of \$595,000.00 to Class Counsel for the Attorneys' Fees Award, in accordance with the terms and methodology set forth in the Settlement Agreement.
- 11. The Court finds that litigation costs and expenses in the amount of \$25,000.00 to Class Counsel is reasonable, and hereby approved. It is hereby ordered that the Settlement Administrator issue payment in the amount of \$25,000.00 to Class Counsel for reimbursement of litigation costs and expenses, in accordance with the terms and methodology set forth in the Settlement Agreement.

1	12. The Court hereby enters Judgment by which Participating Class Member shall be
2	conclusively determined to have given a release of any and all Released Claims against the
3	Released Parties, as set forth in the Settlement Agreement and Class Notice.
4	13. It is hereby ordered that Defendants shall provide to the Settlement Administrator
5	the full amount of the Gross Settlement Amount and the employer's share of taxes to fully fund
6	the Settlement, within fifteen (15) business days after the Effective Date, in accordance with the
7	terms and methodology set forth in the Settlement Agreement.
8	14. It is hereby ordered that the Settlement Administrator shall distribute Individual
9	Settlement Payments to the Participating Class Members within fourteen (14) calendar days after
10	Defendants fund the Gross Settlement Amount, according to the methodology and terms set forth
11	in the Settlement Agreement.
12	15. After entry of this Final Approval Order and Judgment, pursuant to California Rules
13	of Court, Rule 3.769(h), the Court shall retain jurisdiction to construe, interpret, implement, and
14	enforce the Settlement Agreement and this Final Approval Order and Judgment, to hear and
15	resolve any contested challenge to a claim for settlement benefits, and to supervise and adjudicate
16	any dispute arising from or in connection with the distribution of settlement benefits.
17	16. Notice of entry of this Final Approval Order and Judgment shall be given to the
18	Class Members by posting a copy of the Final Approval Order and Judgment on Phoenix Class
19	Action Administrators' website for a period of at least sixty (60) calendar days after the date of
20	entry of this Final Approval Order and Judgment/ Individualized notice is not required.
21	Dated: 3/9/2021 Ut. Hanney
22	HONORABLE WILLIAM HIGHBERGER JUDGE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT
23	
24	