El 30-2019-0	lectronically Received by Superior Court of Califo 1045061-CU-OE-CXC - ROA # 187 - DAVID H. Y.	rnia, County of Orange, 02/08/2021 11:08:12 PM. AMASAKI, Clerk of the Court By ocuser ocuser, Deputy Clerk
41157122		, source of the court of the co
1	Jennifer Kramer (SBN 203385) Barbara DuVan-Clarke (SBN 259268)	SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA COUNTY OF ORANGE
2	JENNIFER KRAMER LEGAL, APC 5015 Eagle Rock Blvd., Suite 202	CENTRAL JUSTICE CENTER
3	Los Angeles, CA 90041 Telephone: (213) 955-0200	FEB 1 9 2021
4	Facsimile: (213) 226-4358	DAVID H YAMASAKI, Clerk of the Court
5	Email: jennifer@laborlex.com China R. Rosas (SBN 262171)	BY:,DEPUTY
6	LAW OFFICE OF CHINA R. ROSAS	
7	333 City Blvd W, Ste 1700 Orange, CA 92868	
8	Telephone: (714) 352-4814 Email: china@crosaslaw.com	
9	Attorneys for Plaintiff Fernando Soriano,	
10	on behalf of himself and all others similarly	situated
11	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA	
12	FOR THE COUNTY OF ORANGE	
13	EEDNANDO CODIANO	Case No. 30-2019-01045061-CU-OE-CXC
14	FERNANDO SORIANO, an individual, on behalf of himself and all others	Assigned For All Purposes to Hon. James Di
15	similarly situated, Plaintiff,	Cesare, Department C16 (reassigned from the Hon. William Claster effective 01/04/2021).
16		[AMENDED PROPOSED] ORDER
17	V.	GRANTING FINAL APPROVAL OF CLASS ACTION SETTLEMENT AND
18	ROBERTSON'S READY MIX, LTD., a California Limited Partnership; and DOES 1-50 inclusive,	JUDGMENT
19	Defendants.	[Related to ROA # 166 & 186]
20	Detendants.	Date: February 19, 2021
21		Time: 9:30 AM Dept.: C16
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
	[AMENDED PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING FINAL APPROVAL AND JUDGMENT	

FINAL JUDGMENT

This Court, having granted Plaintiff's Motion for Final Approval of Class Action

Settlement and PAGA Settlement and having granted final approval of the Stipulation of

Settlement and Release to settle class action ("Settlement") between Plaintiff and Defendant in
the above-entitled case, and due and adequate notice having been given to the Class Members as
required by the Court's orders,

HEREBY ENTERS JUDGMENT AS FOLLOWS:

- 1. Pursuant to Rule 3.769(h) of the California Rules of Court, the Court hereby enters judgment consistent with and as expressly set forth in the terms of the Settlement, in the above-entitled case for Plaintiff Fernando Soriano, and the Class Members who did not exclude themselves from the Settlement and who are identified in the class lists that Defendants provided to the Settlement Administrator. A copy reciting all of the terms of the Settlement can be found by accessing this case through the Superior Court of California, County of Orange website (www.occourts.org), attached Document No. 103 (Declaration of Jennifer Kramer in Support of Motion for Order Preliminarily Approving Class Settlement, Exhibit A (Stipulation of Settlement and Release) and Document No. 146 (Supplemental Declaration of China R. Rosas in Support of Motion for Order Preliminarily Approving Class Settlement, Exhibit A (Amendment to Stipulation of Settlement and Release).
- 2. Except as noted below, the Class Members bound by this Judgment include any and means all persons who are employed or who have been employed by Defendant Robertson's Ready Mix, Ltd. ("Defendant") in the position of non-exempt mechanic at Defendant's "Redlands" repair shop located at 27401 E. 3rd Street, Highland, California 92346 between January 18, 2015 to September 1, 2020 (the date of preliminary approval of the Settlement).
- 3. The Class Members do not include the person who timely and properly filed a request exclusion from the Settlement. The name of the individuals who opted out of the settlement are zero (0).
- 4. The Court further adjudges and decrees that the Class Members (other than those listed in Paragraph 3, above, who timely requested to be excluded from the Settlement) and each

of them, fully and finally release and forever discharge the Settlement Class Members' Released Parties (as that term is defined in the Settlement), from any and all claims, rights, demands, liabilities of every nature and description within the scope of or arising from the allegations of the first through fifth, ninth, twelfth and thirteenth causes of action in the Lawsuit (and all amendments thereto), and specifically, all claims for: (a) failure to pay wages, including unpaid minimum wages and overtime premium pay; (b) failure to provide meal and/or rest periods in accordance with applicable law, including payments for non-compliant meal and/or rest periods and alleged non-payment of wages for meal periods worked and not taken; (c) failure to timely pay wages, both during employment and upon termination and/or resignation of employment; (d) failure to provide accurate itemized wage statements; and (e) civil and statutory penalties as it relates to the allegations of the first through fifth, ninth, and twelfth (but only to the extent the twelfth cause of action relates to class claims) and thirteenth causes of action in the Lawsuit (and all amendments thereto), including those recoverable under the Private Attorneys General Act, California Labor Code sections 2698, et seq. ("PAGA") arising during the period from January 18, 2015 to the date of this Order. The Settlement Class Members' Released Claims include without limitation claims meeting the above definition(s) under any and all applicable statutes, including without limitation California Labor Code §§ 96 through 98.2, et seq.; the California Payment of Wages Law, California Labor Code §§ 200, et seq., and §§ 201-204, 208, 218, 218.5, 221, 224, 226(a), and 226.7 in particular; California Labor Code §§ 300, et seq.; California Labor Code §§ 400, et seq.; California Working Hours Law, California Labor Code §§ 500, et seq., and §§ 510 and 512 in particular; California Labor Code §§ 1194, 1194.2 and 1197; the California Unfair Competition Act, and in particular, California Bus. & Prof. Code §§ 17200, et seq.; PAGA; California Civil Procedure Code § 1021.5; and any other provision of the California Labor Code or any applicable California Industrial Welfare Commission Wage Orders, in all of their iterations, except for certain individual claims of Plaintiff which were not released as part of the Settlement. All Settlement Class Members (other than those listed in Paragraph 3, above, who timely requested to be excluded) are hereby forever barred and enjoined

12

11

14

15

13

16

17

18 19

20

21 22

2324

25

27

26

28

from prosecuting any of the claims set forth above and consistent with the Settlement against any of the Settlement Class Members' Released Parties (as that term is defined in the Settlement).

- 5. The Settlement is not an admission by Defendant or any of the other Settlement Class Members' Released Parties, nor is this Judgment a finding, of the validity of any claims in the Action or of any wrongdoing by Defendant or any of the other Settlement Class Members' Released Parties. Neither this Judgment, the Settlement, any document referred to in the Settlement, nor any action taken to carry out the Settlement is, may be construed as, or may be used as an admission by or against Defendant or any of the other Settlement Class Members' Released Parties of any fault, wrongdoing, or liability whatsoever. The entering into or carrying out of the Settlement, and any related negotiations or proceedings, shall not in any event be construed as, or deemed to be evidence of, an admission or concession with regard to the denials or defenses by Defendant or any of the other Settlement Class Members' Released Parties and shall not be offered in evidence in any action or proceeding against Defendant or any of the other Settlement Class Members' Released Parties in any court, administrative agency, or other tribunal for any purpose whatsoever other than to enforce the provisions of this Judgment, the Settlement, or any related agreement or release. Notwithstanding these restrictions, any of the Settlement Class Members' Released Parties may file in the Action or in any other proceeding the Judgment, Settlement, or any other papers and records on file in the Action as evidence of the Settlement to support a defense of res judicata, collateral estoppel, release, or other theory of claim or issue preclusion or similar defense as to any of the claims set forth in Paragraph 4, above.
- 6. The Court grants final approval of \$61,285.09 from the Maximum Settlement Amount to be distributed to the Settlement Class Members pursuant to the terms of the Settlement (which includes the unused \$2,910.50 in potential case-related costs allocated but not awarded to Class Counsel and the 25% California Private Attorneys General Act, California Labor Code section 2699, et seq., ("PAGA") allocation of \$561.25) per the terms of the proposed settlement agreement.

- 7. The Court grants final approval of the allocation of \$2,245 from the Maximum Settlement Amount for the release of claims under the PAGA, which will be distributed as follows: 75% of the apportioned PAGA settlement (\$1,683.75) will be paid to the California Labor Workforce Development Agency and the remaining 25% (\$561.25) will be divided equally among the Class Members and included in the Individual Settlement Payments.
- \$36,666.66 (with the total attorney's fees awarded split in a manner proportionate to actual work performed which is 30% payable to Jennifer Kramer Legal, APC and 70% payable to Law Office of China R. Rosas) and litigation costs in the amount of \$2,089.50. The Court finds the attorneys' fees reasonable under the circumstances of this case in light of the procedural history, the work performed, the result obtained for the class, the complexity of the issues and risks involved, and the billing records and other evidence submitted in support of the motion reflecting the amount of work performed by counsel on the case.
 - 9. The Court awards Plaintiff Fernando Soriano an enhancement of \$1,500.
 - 10. The Court will approve costs for administration in the amount of \$6,775.
- 11. Plaintiff's counsel is to submit a copy of the Final Order and Judgment to the LWDA within 10 days after entered by this Court. (Lab. Code § 2699(l)(3).)
- Pursuant to CCP § 384(b), Plaintiff shall submit to the Court a final report on or before 10/10, 2021 as to the actual amounts paid to class members and other amounts distributed pursuant to the settlement. Upon receiving the report, the Court will determine whether further reports and/or a hearing will be necessary. Past APP NOVAL ALLOUND.

13. Pursuant to California Code of Civil Procedure section 664.6 and Rule 3.769(h) of the California Rules of Court, this Court reserves exclusive and continuing jurisdiction over this action, the Class Representatives, the Class Member, and Defendant for the purposes of the enforcement of the terms of this Judgment, the interpretation, implementation and enforcement of the Settlement, and the enforcement of all orders entered in connection with the Settlement.

14. The Settlement Administrator shall post a copy of this signed judgment for thirty

(30) calendar days on its website in compliance with Rule 3.771(b) of the California Rules of Court in order to provide notice to the Class Members of this Judgment.

- 15. This Judgment is issued in accordance with the terms of the Settlement and neither abridges nor expands the parties' rights under the Settlement.
- 16. This Judgment is intended to be a final disposition of the above-entitled action in its entirety, and it is intended to be immediately appealable.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: $\frac{2/19/2021}{2}$

JUDGE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT JUDGE JAMES J. DI CESARE