		\smile	
1	Edwin Aiwazian (SBN 232943)		
1	Arby Aiwazian (SBN 269827) Joanna Ghosh (SBN 272479)		
2	LAWYERS for JUSTICE, PC 410 West Arden Avenue, Suite 203	SUPERIO COUNTY	FILED COURT OF CALIFORNIA
3	Glendale, California 91203 Tel: (818) 265-1020 / Fax: (818) 265-1021		
5	Attorneys for Plaintiffs Charles Scott, Liliana Mu		B - 5 2021
6	Carol Alvarez, and Martha Mariscal, and the Class		
7	[Additional Attorneys List on Next Page]		DERUTY
8	SUPERIOR COURT OF THI	E STATE OF CALI	FORNIA
9	FOR THE COUNTY OF SAN BERNARDINO		
10	CHARLES SCOTT, ROBERT VASQUEZ,	Case No.: CIVDS1	
11	JORGE VASQUEZ, individually, and on behalf of other members of the general public similarly situated; LORENA MARTINEZ,	Consolidated Case No.: CIVDS1817959 Honorable Bryan F. Foster	
12	LILIANA MUNIZ, CAROL ALVAREZ, MARTHA MARISCAL, individually, and on	Department S22	
13	behalf of all other members of the general public similarly situated, and on behalf of other	CLASS ACTION	
14	aggrieved employees pursuant to the California Private Attorneys General Act;	[PROPOSED] FINAL APPROVAL ORDER AND JUDGMENT	
15	Plaintiffs,	Date:	February 5, 2021
16	vs.	Time: Department:	9:00 a.m. S22
17 18	KUEHNE + NAGEL, INC., an unknown business entity; and DOES 1 through 100, inclusive,		
19	Defendants.		
20	Derendunts.		
21	LILIANA MUNIZ, individually, and on behalf		
22	of other aggrieved employees pursuant to the California Private Attorneys General Act;		
23	Plaintiffs,		n - 1 - 2.2 ₽~~
24	VS.		e r
25	KUEHNE + NAGEL, INC., an unknown		A CHARLES
26	business entity; and DOES 1 through 100, inclusive,		
27	Defendants.		
28			
	[PROPOSED] FINAL APPROV	AL ORDER AND JUD	GMENT

- ----

1	1		
1	Amir Nayebdadash (SBN 232204)		
2	Heather Davis (SBN 239372) PROTECTION LAW GROUP LLP		
3	136 Main Street, Suite A El Segundo, California 90245		
4	Tel: (424) 290-3095 / Fax: (866) 264-7880 Attorneys for Plaintiffs Robert Vasquez and Jorge Vasquez and the Class		
5			
6	Scott Ernest Wheeler (SBN 187998) LAW OFFICES OF SCOTT E. WHEELER		
7	250 West First Street, Suite 216 Claremont, California 91711		
8	Tel: (909) 621-4988 / Fax: (909) 621-4622		
9	Aubry Wand (SBN 281207)		
10	THE WAND LAW FIRM, P.C. 400 Corporate Pointe, Suite 300		
10	Culver Čity, California 90230 Tel: (310) 590-4503 / Fax: (310) 590-4596		
	Attorneys for Plaintiff Lorena Martinez and the Class		
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			
	[PROPOSED] FINAL APPROVAL ORDER AND JUDGMENT		

This matter has come before the Honorable Bryan F. Foster in Department S22 of the 1 above-entitled Court, located at 247 West Third Street, San Bernardino, California 92415, on 2 Plaintiffs Charles Scott, Liliana Muniz, Lorena Martinez, Carol Alvarez, Martha Mariscal, Robert 3 4 Vasquez, and Jorge Vasquez's (together, "Plaintiffs") Motion for Final Approval of Class Action 5 Settlement, Attorneys' Fees, Costs, and Enhancement Awards ("Motion for Final Approval"). 6 Lawyers for Justice, PC, Protection Law Group LLP, Law Offices of Scott E. Wheeler, and The 7 Wand Law Firm, P.C. appeared on behalf of Plaintiffs, and Varner & Brandt LLP appeared on 8 behalf of Defendant Kuehne + Nagel, Inc. ("Defendant").

On August 14, 2020, the Court entered the Order Granting Preliminary Approval of Class
Action Settlement ("Preliminary Approval Order"), thereby preliminarily approving the settlement
of the above-entitled actions ("Actions") in accordance with the Joint Stipulation of Class Action
and PAGA Settlement ("Settlement," "Agreement," or "Settlement Agreement"), which, together
with the exhibits annexed thereto, set forth the terms and conditions for settlement of the Actions.
Having reviewed the Settlement Agreement and duly considered the parties' papers and
oral argument, and good cause appearing,

16

26

27

28

THE COURT HEREBY ORDERS, ADJUDGES, AND DECREES AS FOLLOWS:

17 1. All terms used herein shall have the same meaning as defined in the Settlement
18 Agreement and the Preliminary Approval Order.

This Court has jurisdiction over the claims of the Class Members asserted in this
 proceeding and over all parties to the Actions.

3. The Court finds that the applicable requirements of California Code of Civil
 Procedure section 382 and California Rule of Court 3.769, *et seq.* have been satisfied with respect
 to the Class and the Settlement. The Court hereby makes final its earlier provisional certification
 of the Class for settlement purposes, as set forth in the Preliminary Approval Order. The Class is
 hereby defined to include:

All current and former hourly-paid and/or non-exempt employees who worked for Defendant Kuehne + Nagel, Inc. within the State of California at any time during the time period from and including April 21, 2013 through August 14, 2020 ("Class" or "Class Members").

1 4. The Notice of Class Action Settlement ("Class Notice") that was provided to the 2 Class Members, fully and accurately informed the Class Members of all material elements of the Settlement and of their opportunity to participate in, object to or comment thereon, or to seek 3 4 exclusion from, the Settlement; was the best notice practicable under the circumstances; was valid, 5 due, and sufficient notice to all Class Members; and complied fully with the laws of the State of California, the United States Constitution, due process and other applicable law. The Class Notice 6 7 fairly and adequately described the Settlement and provided the Class Members with adequate 8 instructions and a variety of means to obtain additional information.

5. 9 Pursuant to California law, the Court hereby grants final approval of the Settlement 10 and finds that it is reasonable and adequate, and in the best interests of the Class as a whole. More specifically, the Court finds that the Settlement was reached following meaningful discovery and 11 12 investigation conducted by Lawyers for Justice, PC, Protection Law Group LLP, Law Offices of Scott E. Wheeler, and The Wand Law Firm, P.C. (together, "Class Counsel"); that the Settlement 13 14 is the result of serious, informed, adversarial, and arms-length negotiations between the parties; 15 and that the terms of the Settlement are in all respects fair, adequate, and reasonable. In so finding, 16 the Court has considered all of the evidence presented, including evidence regarding the strength 17 of Plaintiffs' claims; the risk, expense, and complexity of the claims presented; the likely duration of further litigation; the amount offered in the Settlement; the extent of investigation and discovery 18 19 completed; and the experience and views of Class Counsel. The Court has further considered the absence of objections to and requests for exclusion from the Settlement submitted by Class 20 21 Members. Accordingly, the Court hereby directs that the Settlement be affected in accordance 22 with the Settlement Agreement and the following terms and conditions.

23

6. A full opportunity has been afforded to the Class Members to participate in the 24 Final Approval Hearing, and all Class Members and other persons wishing to be heard have been 25 heard. The Class Members also have had a full and fair opportunity to exclude themselves from the Settlement. Accordingly, the Court determines that all Class Members who did not timely and 26 validly opt out of the Settlement ("Settlement Class Member") are bound by this Final Approval 27 28 Order and Judgment.

The Court finds that payment of Settlement Administration Fees in the amount of
 \$13,000 is appropriate for the services performed and costs incurred and to be incurred for the
 notice and settlement administration process. It is hereby ordered that the Settlement
 Administrator, Phoenix Settlement Administrators, shall issue payment to itself in the amount of
 \$13,000, in accordance with the terms and methodology set forth in Settlement Agreement.

8. The Court finds that the Enhancement Awards sought are fair and reasonable for
the work performed by Plaintiffs on behalf of the Class. It is hereby ordered that the Settlement
Administrator issue payment in the amount of \$9,500.00 to Plaintiff Charles Scott and \$7,500.00
each to Plaintiffs Liliana Muniz, Lorena Martinez, Carol Alvarez, Martha Mariscal, Robert
Vasquez, and Jorge Vasquez for their Enhancement Awards, according to the terms and
methodology set forth in the Settlement Agreement.

9. The Court finds that the allocation of \$550,000.00 toward penalties under the California Private Attorneys General Act of 2004 ("PAGA Payment"), is fair, reasonable, and appropriate, and hereby approved. The Settlement Administrator shall distribute the PAGA Payment as follows: the amount of \$412,500.00 to the California Labor and Workforce Development Agency, and the amount of \$137,500.00 to be included in the Net Settlement Amount for distribution to Settlement Class Members, according to the terms and methodology set forth in the Settlement Agreement.

19 10. The Court finds that the request for attorneys' fees in the amount of \$2,450,000.00 20 to Class Counsel falls within the range of reasonableness, and the results achieved justify the award 21 sought. The requested attorneys' fees to Class Counsel are fair, reasonable, and appropriate, and 22 are hereby approved. It is hereby ordered that the Settlement Administrator issue payment in the 23 amount of \$2,450,000.00 to Class Counsel for attorneys' fees, in accordance with the terms and 24 methodology set forth in the Settlement Agreement, as follows: \$1,837,500.00 to Lawyers for 25 Justice, PC, \$122,500.00 to Protection Law Group LLP, and \$490,000.00 to the Law Office of 26 Scott E. Wheeler and The Wand Law Firm, P.C.

- 27 || ///
- 28 ||///

3

1 11. The Court finds that reimbursement of litigation costs and expenses in the amount
 of \$41,234.02 to Class Counsel is reasonable, and hereby approved. It is hereby ordered that the
 Settlement Administrator issue payment in the amount of \$50,000.00 to Class Counsel for
 reimbursement of litigation costs and expenses, in accordance with the terms and methodology set
 forth in the Settlement Agreement, as follows: \$27,537.02 to Lawyers *for* Justice, PC, \$712.97 to
 Protection Law Group LLP, \$7,645.25 to the Law Office of Scott E. Wheeler, and \$5,337.88 to
 The Wand Law Firm, P.C.

8 12. The Court hereby enters Judgment by which Settlement Class Member shall be
9 conclusively determined to have given a release of any and all Released Claims against the
10 Released Parties, as set forth in the Settlement Agreement and Class Notice.

11 13. It is hereby ordered that Defendant shall fund the Gross Settlement Amount into an
account established by the Settlement Administrator within thirty (30) calendar days of the
Effective Date, in accordance with the terms and methodology set forth in the Settlement
Agreement.

15 14. It is hereby ordered that the Settlement Administrator shall distribute Individual
16 Settlement Payments to the Settlement Class Members within ten (10) calendar days after
17 Defendant funds the Gross Settlement Amount, according to the methodology and terms set forth
18 in the Settlement Agreement.

Individual Settlement Payment checks shall remain valid and negotiable for one
 hundred eighty (180) calendar days from the date of issuance, and if not cashed, deposited, or
 otherwise negotiated, shall be cancelled. The funds associated with cancelled Individual
 Settlement Payment checks shall be distributed in accordance with California Code of Civil
 Procedure section 384 to Legal Aid at Work

After entry of this Final Approval Order and Judgment, pursuant to California Rules
of Court, Rule 3.769(h), the Court shall retain jurisdiction to construe, interpret, implement, and
enforce the Settlement Agreement and this Final Approval Order and Judgment, to hear and
resolve any contested challenge to a claim for settlement benefits, and to supervise and adjudicate
any dispute arising from or in connection with the distribution of settlement benefits.

17. Notice of entry of this Final Approval Order and Judgment shall be given to the Class Members by posting a copy of the Final Approval Order and Judgment on Phoenix Settlement Administrators' website for a period of at least sixty (60) calendar days after the date of entry of this Final Approval Order and Judgment. Individualized notice is not required. Dated: 2-5:202/ TER JUDGE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT PROPOSED | FINAL APPROVAL ORDER AND JUDGMENT