

Larry W. Lee (State Bar No. 228175)
DIVERSITY LAW GROUP, P.C.
515 S. Figueroa Street, Suite 1250
Los Angeles, CA 90071
(213) 488-6555
(213) 488-6554 facsimile

Dennis S. Hyun (State Bar No. 224240)
HYUN LEGAL, APC
515 S. Figueroa Street, Suite 1250
Los Angeles, California 90071
(213) 488-6555
(213) 488-6554 facsimile

Attorneys for Plaintiff Jose Hernandez and the Putative Class
(Additional counsel on next page)

**SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES – SPRING STREET COURTHOUSE**

JOSE HERNANDEZ, FRANCISCO
CASTANEDA ALVAREZ, JOSE
PADILLA, and JAMES SAELEE as
individuals and on behalf of all others
similarly situated,

Plaintiffs,

vs.

ANHEUSER-BUSCH, LLC, a Missouri
limited liability company; ANHEUSER
BUSCH WHOLESALER DEVELOPMENT
CORP. dba ANHEUSER-BUSCH SALES,
POMONA, a Delaware corporation; and
DOES 1 through 50, inclusive,

Defendants.

CASE NO. BC646330

Judge: Daniel Buckley
Dept: 1

**NOTICE OF ENTRY OF JUDGMENT AND
ORDER GRANTING FINAL APPROVAL OF
CLASS ACTION SETTLEMENT**

Joseph Lavi, Esq. (SBN 209776)
Vincent C. Granberry, Esq. (SBN 276483)
LAVI & EBRAHIMIAN, LLP
8889 W. Olympic Blvd., Suite 200
Beverly Hills, California 90211
Telephone: (310) 432-0000
Facsimile: (310) 432-0001
Email: vgranberry@lelawfirm.com

Sahag Majarian II, Esq. (SBN 146621)
Law Offices of Sahag Majarian II
18250 Ventura Boulevard
Tarzana, California 91356
Telephone: (818) 609-0807
Facsimile: (818) 609-0892

Attorneys for Plaintiff Francisco Alvarez and the Putative Class

Brian D. Chase, Esq. (SBN 164109)
bchase@bisnarchase.com
Jerusalem F. Beligan, Esq. (SBN 211258)
jbeligan@bisnarchase.com
Ian Silvers, Esq. (SBN 247416)
isilvers@bisnarchase.com
BISNAR|CHASE LLP
1301 Dove Street, Suite 120
Newport Beach, California 92660
Tel: (949) 752-2999
Fax: (949) 752-2777

Attorneys for Plaintiffs Jose Padilla and James Saelee and the Putative Class

1 TO ALL PARTIES AND THEIR COUNSEL OF RECORD:

2 PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that on January 18, 2021, the Court granted Plaintiffs Jose
3 Hernandez (“Hernandez”), Francisco Castaneda Alvarez (“Alvarez”), Jose Padilla (“Padilla”)
4 and James Saelee’s (“Saelee”) (collectively, “Plaintiffs”) Motion for Final Approval of Class
5 Action Settlement and entered judgment thereon on January 18, 2021. True and correct copies
6 of the Court’s Minute Order Granting Plaintiff’s Motion for Final Approval and Judgment are
7 attached hereto as Exhibits “A” and “B,” respectively.

8
9 DATED: February 24, 2021

DIVERSITY LAW GROUP, P.C.

10
11 By: 
12 Larry W. Lee
13 Attorneys for Plaintiff Hernandez and the Class
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

EXHIBIT A

SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

Civil Division

Central District, Spring Street Courthouse, Department 1

BC646330

JOSE HERNANDEZ VS ANHEUSER BUSCH LLC

February 18, 2021

10:30 AM

Judge: Honorable Daniel J. Buckley

Judicial Assistant: S. Chung

Courtroom Assistant: E. Munoz

CSR: None

ERM: None

Deputy Sheriff: None

APPEARANCES:

For Plaintiff(s): No Appearances

For Defendant(s): No Appearances

NATURE OF PROCEEDINGS: Hearing on Motion for Final Approval of Settlement

The tentative ruling is posted on the e-service provider, Case Anywhere, for parties to read and review.

Both sides submit to the tentative.

There are no objectors present.

The Court adopts the tentative ruling incorporated herein as follows.

Grant Final Approval. The Court Grants: (1) \$2,916,666.67 in fees to class counsel; (2) \$77,269.37 in costs to class counsel; (3) \$40,000 for service awards (\$10,000 x4); (4) \$21,000 for claims administration costs; and (5) \$150,000 (75% of \$200,000 PAGA penalty) to the LWDA. Plaintiffs' counsel shall file a proposed Order and Judgement, consistent with this ruling containing all requisite terms, including the class definition, release language, and the name of the class member who opted out. The Court orders class counsel to file a final report summarizing all distributions made pursuant to the approved settlement, supported by declaration.

Court orders judgment entered for Plaintiff Jose Hernandez, as individuals and on behalf of all others similarly situated, Plaintiff Francisco Castaneda Alvarez, as individuals and on behalf of all others similarly situated, Plaintiff Jose Padilla, as individuals and on behalf of all others similarly situated and Plaintiff James Saelee, as individuals and on behalf of all others similarly situated against Defendant Anheuser-Busch, LLC, a Missouri limited liability company and Defendant Anheuser Busch Wholesaler Development Corp. DBA Anheuser-Busch Sales, Pomona, a Delaware corporation on the Amended Complaint (2nd) filed by Jose Hernandez, et al. on 12/20/2019 for the principal amount of \$40,000.00, attorney fees of \$2,916,666.67, and costs of \$77,269.37 for a total of \$3,033,936.04.

SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

Civil Division

Central District, Spring Street Courthouse, Department 1

BC646330

JOSE HERNANDEZ VS ANHEUSER BUSCH LLC

February 18, 2021

10:30 AM

Judge: Honorable Daniel J. Buckley

Judicial Assistant: S. Chung

Courtroom Assistant: E. Munoz

CSR: None

ERM: None

Deputy Sheriff: None

Other: \$150,000 to the LWDA; and \$21,000 for claims administration costs.

The Judgment of Final Approval of Class Action Settlement is signed and filed this date.

Non-Appearence Case Review re Final Accounting Report is scheduled for 02/23/2022 at 04:00 PM in Department 1 at Spring Street Courthouse.

The Judicial Assistant hereby gives notice.

Clerk's Certificate of Service By Electronic Service is attached.

EXHIBIT B

ORIGINAL

FILED

Superior Court of California
County of Los Angeles

FEB 18 2021

Sherri R. Carter, Executive Officer/Clerk

By Stephanie Chung Deputy

SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

FOR THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

SPRING STREET COURTHOUSE

JOSE HERNANDEZ, FRANCISCO
CASTANEDA ALVAREZ, JOSE PADILLA,
and JAMES SAELEE as individuals and on
behalf of all others similarly situated,

Plaintiffs,

vs.

ANHEUSER-BUSCH, LLC, a Missouri
limited liability company; ANHEUSER-
BUSCH WHOLESALER DEVELOPMENT
CORP. DBA ANHEUSER-BUSCH SALES,
POMONA, a Delaware corporation; and
DOES 1 through 50, inclusive,

Defendants.

CASE NO. BC646330

Judge: Hon. Daniel J. Buckley
Dept: 1 - SSC

~~PROPOSED~~ JUDGMENT OF FINAL
APPROVAL OF CLASS ACTION
SETTLEMENT

Date: February 18, 2021
Time: 10:30 a.m.
Dept.: SS-1

Filed: January 9, 2017
FAC: July 25, 2018
SAC: December 20, 2019
Trial: None Set

RECEIVED

JAN 25 2021
FILING WINDOW

~~PROPOSED~~ JUDGMENT OF FINAL APPROVAL OF CLASS ACTION SETTLEMENT

1 On February 18, 2021, the Court heard the Parties' application for final approval of the
2 Settlement set forth in the "Joint Stipulation of Class Action Settlement and Release" (the "Joint
3 Stipulation of Settlement"). Capitalized terms used in this Judgment are as defined in the Joint
4 Stipulation of Settlement. The Court has considered all papers filed, and the other information
5 presented, and based on those papers and information presented,

6 IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED THAT:

7 1. This Court has jurisdiction over the subject matter of the Action and over all parties
8 to the Action, including all members of the Settlement Class.

9 2. The Settlement Class is defined as the following: All persons employed, during
10 any portion of the time period from and including January 9, 2013 through and including July 27,
11 2020 (the "Class Period"), in any California-based non-exempt job position (whether union or
12 non-union), by Anheuser-Busch, LLC or Anheuser-Busch Wholesaler Development Corp. dba
13 Anheuser-Busch Sales, Pomona, excluding only job positions that both: (i) were at the Van Nuys
14 or Fairfield brewery locations; and (ii) were also covered by any Collective Bargaining
15 Agreements. Non-exempt, non-union positions at the Van Nuys or Fairfield brewery locations *are*
16 included in the Settlement Class. The Settlement Class shall include any heirs, successors, or
17 assigns or purported assigns of the above-described persons.

18 3. Pursuant to Code of Civil Procedure section 382 and Rule 3.769 of the California
19 Rules of Court, the Court grants final approval of the Settlement as set forth in the Joint
20 Stipulation of Settlement. For settlement purposes only, the Court finds that Plaintiffs Jose
21 Hernandez, Francisco Castaneda Alvarez, Jose Padilla, and James Saelee are adequate
22 representatives of the Settlement Class and appoints them as such. The Court further finds that
23 Larry W. Lee of Diversity Law Group, P.C.; Dennis S. Hyun of Hyun Legal APC; Joseph Lavi
24 and Vincent C. Granberry of Lavi & Ebrahimian, LLP; Sahag Majarian II of Law Offices of Sahag
25 Majarian II; and Brian D. Chase, Jerusalem F. Beligan, and Ian M. Silvers of Bisnar | Chase LLP
26 have adequately represented the Settlement Class and are appointed as Class Counsel.

27 4. The Court finds that said Settlement is, in all respects, fair, reasonable, and
28 adequate to the Settlement Class when balanced against the probable outcome of extensive and

1 costly litigation. Substantial investigation and research have been conducted such that counsel for
2 the Parties are reasonably able to evaluate their respective positions. It appears to the Court that
3 Settlement will avoid substantial additional costs by all Parties, as well as the delay and risk that
4 would be presented by further prosecution of the Action. The Court finds the Settlement that has
5 been reached is the result of intensive, non-collusive, arm's-length negotiations, including two
6 mediations with an experienced, third-party neutral.

7 5. The Court determines that the Parties complied with the distribution of the Class
8 Notice to the Settlement Class in the manner and form set forth in the Preliminary Approval
9 Order, and that the Class Notice provided to the Settlement Class was the best notice practicable
10 under the circumstances and constituted due and sufficient notice to all persons entitled to such
11 notice.

12 6. The procedures required by the Preliminary Approval Order have been carried out
13 and satisfy due process requirements such that all absent Settlement Class Members have been
14 given the opportunity to participate fully in the exclusion and the approval process.

15 7. There was one (1) valid Request for Exclusion to the Settlement in response to the
16 Class Notice, Florencia Glesseman. This individual shall not receive her Class Member
17 Allocation Amount and shall not be subject to this judgment.

18 8. The Settlement Class, including Plaintiffs and all the Settlement Class Members
19 who have not submitted a valid and timely Request for Exclusion, shall be deemed conclusively to
20 have made the following releases as set forth in the Joint Stipulation of Settlement, which shall
21 have the force and effect of res judicata as to each of them:

22 The "Released Parties" means: (a) Defendants and each and all past
23 or present partners, parents, subsidiaries, or affiliates (regardless
24 whether such partners, parents, subsidiaries, or affiliates are
25 individuals, corporations, partnerships, limited partnerships, limited
26 liability companies, or other forms of entity) of Defendants; (b) each
27 and all of the predecessor or successor entities of any of those
28 entities identified in subparagraph (a); (c) any other individuals or
entities of any kind, including but not limited to any payroll
companies, which have been or could be alleged to be in any manner
responsible (whether on an alter ego, joint employer, integrated
enterprise, or any other theory) for any violations described in the
release language below and occurring as a result of employment in a
job position described in paragraph 2 above; and (d) all past and

1 present directors, officers, representatives, insurers, agents,
2 shareholders, partners, members, lawyers, and employees of any of
the individuals or entities identified in subparagraphs (a), (b), or (c).

3 Each Settlement Class Member who did not properly opt out (an
4 "Authorized Claimant") shall be hereby deemed fully, finally, and
forever to release and discharge each and every one of the Released
5 Parties from all claims, demands, rights, liabilities, and causes of
action arising in whole or in part during the Class Period, for any
6 alleged or actual failure to provide proper, accurate, timely,
adequately descriptive, or complete wage statements or pay stubs;
7 any alleged or actual failure to timely, fully, properly, or completely
pay, or any alleged or actual failure to properly calculate, any
8 minimum wages, bonuses, commissions, regular wages, overtime
premium wages, meal or rest period premium wages, or other wages
9 owed to Authorized Claimants; any alleged or actual failure to
comply with meal or rest period requirements; any alleged or actual
10 failure to properly calculate or to timely, fully, properly, or
completely pay vested personal time off or vacation time or pay; any
11 alleged or actual failure to fully or properly reimburse or indemnify
for (or for improperly deducting from wages) any employment-
12 related expenses; any actual or alleged failure to pay all wages, or
compensation owed to a fired, quitting, or otherwise departing
13 employee; any alleged or actual unfair business practices or any
alleged or actual violations of the Private Attorneys General Act,
14 Labor Code section 2699 *et seq.* ("PAGA") which derive from the
foregoing types of released matters; or any alleged or actual failure
15 to pay any interest or penalties owed as a result of any of the
foregoing; or (b) in any manner arising out of any of the other facts
or legal theories alleged or asserted in the Action or the lawsuits
16 consolidated into the Action whether formally raised in a complaint
or otherwise (collectively, the "Released Claims").

17 The Released Claims include without limitation, but in each case
18 only to the extent described in the preceding paragraphs, claims
arising under or out of: (a) any federal or California wage, wage
19 statement, meal or rest period, payment, reimbursement, overtime,
or related laws (including, but not limited to, the Fair Labor
20 Standards Act and California Labor Code sections 201-204, 218,
218.6, 226, 226.3, 226.7, 227.3, 510, 512, 558, 1194, 1194.2, 1197,
21 1197.1, 1198, 2698 *et seq.*, 2802, or the California Wage Orders);
(b) any unfair competition laws as defined in California Business
22 and Professions Code Section 17200 *et seq.*; and (c) any claims for
declaratory or injunctive relief or for monetary compensation,
23 whether in the form of wages, damages, penalties, restitution, costs,
attorneys' fees, interest, or otherwise.

24 The Released Claims include all above-described claims whether
25 known or unknown, suspected or unsuspected without regard to the
subsequent discovery or existence of previously unknown or
26 additional facts.

27 9. The Released Claims include any claim of the kind described in Paragraph 8 above
28 whether or not any Settlement Class Member has directly or indirectly assigned, transferred,

1 encumbered, or purported to assign, transfer, or encumber, to any person or entity, whether by
2 operation of law or otherwise, any portion of any Released Claims.

3 10. All Authorized Claimants shall be bound by all of the releases and other terms of
4 the Joint Stipulation of Settlement and this Judgment, whether or not they actually receive or cash
5 their checks for their Individual Settlement Payments, and shall not be permitted to seek any
6 further payment or any personal relief of any kind, including any payment for damages, wages,
7 compensation, fees, costs, penalties, or interest, other than their respective Individual Settlement
8 Payments, on account of the Released Claims.

9 11. Each Authorized Claimant shall not hereafter, individually or as a representative
10 member of a class, file any civil action, lawsuit, grievance, demand for arbitration, charge, claim,
11 and/or administrative complaint against Defendants or any of the Released Parties, based upon any
12 Released Claims.

13 12. The Settlement is not an admission by Defendants or any Released Party, nor is this
14 Judgment a finding of the validity of any wrongdoing by Defendants or any Released Party.
15 Neither the Joint Stipulation of Settlement, nor any document referenced therein, nor any action
16 taken to carry out the Joint Stipulation of Settlement, will be (a) construed as or used as an
17 admission of liability or an admission that any of Defendants' defenses in the Action are without
18 merit, or (b) disclosed, referred to, or offered in evidence against Defendants or any Released
19 Party in any proceeding, except for purposes of effectuating the Settlement or complying with
20 paragraph 16 of section XIX of the Joint Stipulation of Settlement. However, the Settlement may
21 be admitted in evidence and otherwise used in any proceeding to enforce its terms, or in defense of
22 any claims released or barred by the Settlement or this Judgment.

23 13. The Court approves a class representative enhancement award in the amount of
24 \$10,000 to each of Plaintiffs Jose Hernandez, Francisco Castaneda Alvarez, Jose Padilla, and
25 James Saelee, which the Court determines to be fair and reasonable.

26 14. The Court awards \$2,916,666.67 in attorneys' fees to Class Counsel, which the
27 Court determines to be fair and reasonable.

28 15. The Court awards ^{\$77,269.57} ~~\$76,640.37~~ in costs to Class Counsel, which the Court

1 determines to be fair and reasonable.

2 16. The Court approves a payment of \$150,000 to California's Labor and Workforce
3 Development Agency.

4 17. The Court approves a payment of \$21,000 to Phoenix Settlement Administrators
5 for services as claims administrator.

6 18. The Court directs the Parties to effectuate the Settlement according to the terms of
7 the Joint Stipulation of Settlement, including payment to Authorized Claimants in accordance with
8 the terms of the Joint Stipulation of Settlement.

9 19. The Parties shall bear all their own costs and attorneys' fees, except as otherwise
10 set forth in the Joint Stipulation of Settlement or this Judgment.

11 20. Pursuant to California Rule of Court, Rule 3.769(h), and without affecting the
12 finality of this Judgment, the Court shall retain jurisdiction over the parties to enforce the terms of
13 the Judgment.

14 21. Notice of Entry of this Judgment may be served on the Settlement Class through
15 service upon Class Counsel and posting on the Claims Administrator's website.

16 22. The Parties are directed to provide a report to the Court on or before 2/16/2022
17 on the status of the distribution of the class settlement proceeds.

18

19

20

Dated: 2/18/2021

21

By:


The Honorable Daniel J. Buckley
Los Angeles Superior Court Judge

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

(Code of Civil Procedure Sections 1013a, 2015.5)