Superior Court of California County of Los Angeles

OCT 21 2020

Sherri R. Carter, Executive Officer/Clork of Court

By Deputy Akliwin Lim

LOS ANGELES SUPERIOR COURT

S. DREW

SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

FOR THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

SEP 2 5 2020

7

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

JESSY CORREA, BRITTANY

similarly situated,

inclusive.

RODERICK, ERIC ANDRADE, and

Plaintiff,

HENRY LINARES RODRIGUEZ, each as individuals and on behalf of all others

HARBOR DISTRIBUTING, LLC, a limited

liability company, and DOES 1 through 50,

Defendants.

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21 22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Case No.: 19STCV37441 (and related Case No. 20STCV05329)

[Assigned for all purposes to the Hon. William F. Highberger, Dept. 10]

[PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING PRELIMINARY APPROVAL OF CLASS ACTION SETTLEMENT

ORDER Brittany

WHEREAS, Plaintiffs Jessy Correa, Brittany Roderick, Eric Andrade, and Henry Linares Rodriguez ("Plaintiffs" or "Class Representatives"), individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated, have filed an unopposed Motion for Preliminary Approval of Class Action Settlement ("Unopposed Motion for Preliminary Approval") requesting an order preliminarily approving the settlement of the above-entitled action ("Action") in accordance with the Joint Stipulation of Settlement and Release of Claims (the "Settlement"), entered into by Plaintiffs and Defendant Harbor Distributing, LLC ("Defendant" or "Harbor"). (Plaintiffs and Defendant shall be collectively referred to herein as the "Parties"). All defined terms contained herein shall have the same meanings as those set forth in the Settlement.

The Court having considered all papers filed and proceedings herein and otherwise being fully informed of the premises and good cause appearing therefore,

NOW, THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED ADJUDGED, AND DECREED THAT:

- 1. This Order Preliminarily Approving Settlement incorporates by reference the definitions in the Settlement and all terms defined therein shall have the same meaning in this Order.
- 2. The Settlement is hereby PRELIMINARILY APPROVED as it appears to the Court on a preliminary basis that (a) the Settlement is fair, adequate and reasonable; (b) the Gross Fund Value and Net Fund Value are within the range of approval as fair, adequate, and reasonable when balanced against the probable outcome of further litigation relating to liability and damages issues; (c) investigation and research have been conducted such that the Parties' respective counsel at this time are able to reasonably evaluate their respective positions; (d) settlement at this time will avoid substantial additional costs by all Parties, as well as avoid the delay and risks that would be presented by the further prosecution of the Action; and (e) the Settlement has been reached as the result of intensive, serious and non-collusive, arms-length negotiations.

- 3. The Court finds preliminarily, and for purposes of proceeding pursuant to California Code of Civil Procedure § 382 for approval of the Settlement only, that Class Members are ascertainable and sufficiently numerous that joinder of all Class Members is impracticable, there are questions of law and fact common to the Class that predominate over any questions affecting only individual Class Members, the Plaintiffs' claims are typical of those in the Class, class certification is a superior method for implementing the Settlement and adjudicating this Action in a fair and efficient manner, the Class Representatives can fairly and adequately protect the Class's interests, and Class Counsel are qualified to serve as counsel for the Plaintiffs in their individual and representative capacity and for the Class.
- 4. Accordingly, solely for purposes of effectuating this Settlement, this Court hereby conditionally certifies the "Class" and preliminarily approves the definition of the "Settlement Class Period," "PAGA Claim Period" and "FLSA Claim Period".
- 5. The Court hereby preliminarily appoints as Class Counsel for settlement purposes only Kenneth H. Yoon, Stephanie E. Yasuda and Brian G. Lee of Yoon Law, APC, Kevin Mahoney and Atoy H. Wilson of Mahoney Law Group, APC, Christopher J. Hamner of Hamner Law Offices, APLC and Jose Renato Garay of Jose Garay APLC as Class Counsel. Class Counsel is authorized to act on behalf of the Class Members with respect to all acts or consents required by, or which may be given pursuant to, the Settlement, and such other acts reasonably necessary to consummate the Settlement. Any Class Member may enter an appearance through counsel of such individual's own choosing and at such individual's own expense. Any Class Member who does not enter an appearance or appear on his or her own will be represented by Class Counsel.
- 6. The Court hereby preliminarily appoints and designates Plaintiffs, for all purposes, as the Class Representatives of the Class.
- 7. Should, for whatever reason, the Settlement not become final, the fact that the Parties were willing to stipulate to certification of the Class as part of the Settlement shall have no bearing on, nor be admissible in connection with, the issue of whether a class should be certified in a non-settlement context.

8. The Court hereby preliminarily approves the definition and disposition of the Gross Fund Value and Net Fund Value and related matters provided for in the Settlement.

9. The Court finds on a preliminary basis that the Settlement appears to be within the range of reasonableness of a settlement that could ultimately be given final approval by this Court. The Court has reviewed the monetary recovery that is being granted as part of the Settlement and recognizes its significant value to the Class.

10. The Court hereby preliminarily approves Class Counsel attorneys' fees in the amount of \$833,333.33, actual litigation expenses, Service Payment Awards to Plaintiffs Jessy Correa, Brittany Roderick, Eric Andrade, and Henry Linares Rodriguez in the amount of \$60,000, or \$15,000 each, and the PAGA Payment of \$250,000 (\$187,500.00 of which will be awarded to the State of California and \$62,5000.00 will be awarded to the PAGA Aggrieved Employee Group).

11. The Court hereby approves, as to form and content, the Notice of Settlement to be distributed to Class Members attached as Exhibit A to the Settlement. The Court finds that distribution of the Notice of Settlement, substantially in the manner and form set forth in the Settlement and this Order, meets the requirements of due process, is the best notice practicable under the circumstances, and shall constitute due and sufficient notice to all persons entitled thereto.

12. The Court hereby appoints Phoenix Settlement Administrators as Settlement Administrator and hereby directs (a) Defendant to provide to the Settlement Administrator within fifteen (15) calendar days of this Order the information required by Section VII.B of the Settlement and (b) the Settlement Administrator to mail or cause to be mailed to Class Members the Notice of Settlement form, by first class mail within forty (40) calendar days of this Order using the procedures set forth in the Settlement.

13. All costs of mailing of the Notice of Settlement, whether foreseen or not, shall be paid from the Gross Fund Value, including the cost of searching for Class Members' addresses as provided in the Settlement.

14. A "Final Approval and Fairness Hearing" shall be held before this Court on Fab $\frac{14}{2}$, 2021, at $\frac{1}{2}$ and $\frac{1}{2}$ pm in Department 10 of the Superior Court, County of

Los Angeles, located at 312 N. Spring Street, Los Angeles, CA 90012 to determine all necessary matters concerning the terms of the Settlement.

- 15. Pending the Final Approval and Fairness Hearing, all proceedings in this Action, other than proceedings necessary to carry out or enforce the terms of the Settlement and this Order, are hereby stayed.
- 16. If any Class Member decides to participate in the Settlement and become a Participating Settlement Class Member but disagrees with Defendant's records as to their Work Days the Participating Settlement Class Member shall have an opportunity to challenge the information by following the instructions that are set forth in the Notice of Settlement before the date of the Final Approval and Fairness Hearing. In the event of a challenge, it shall be resolved pursuant to the procedures set out in the Settlement and Notice.
- 17. Any Class Member may choose to opt-out of and be excluded from the Class by following the instructions for submitting a request for exclusion from the Class that are set forth in the Settlement and Notice of Settlement and within the required time period described therein. Any such person who chooses to opt-out of and be excluded from the Class will not be entitled to any recovery under the Settlement (with the exception of their equitable share of the PAGA Payment) and will not have any right to object, appeal or comment thereon. Each Class Member who has not requested exclusion/opted-out shall be a Participating Settlement Class Member and shall be bound by all determinations of the Court, the Settlement and the Final Judgment.
- 18. Any Participating Settlement Class Member may object to or submit comments by following the instructions for filing and serving objections or comments that are set forth in the Settlement and Notice of Settlement and within the required time period described therein. The Court shall retain final authority with respect to the consideration and admissibility of any objections. Any Qualified Claimant who objects to the Settlement shall be bound by the order of the Court.
- 19. The Settlement is not a concession or admission, and shall not be used against the Released Parties as an admission or indication with respect to any claim of any fault or omission by the Released Parties. Whether or not the Settlement is finally approved, neither the

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Settlement, nor any document, statement, proceeding or conduct related to the Settlement, nor any reports or accounts thereof, shall in any event be:

- (a) construed as, offered or admitted in evidence as, received as or deemed to be evidence for any purpose adverse to the Releasees, including, but not limited to, evidence of a presumption, concession, indication or admission by the Releasees of any liability, fault, wrongdoing, omission, concession or damage; or
- (b) disclosed, referred to, offered or received in evidence against any of the Releasees in any further proceeding in the Action, or in any other action or proceeding, except for purposes of enforcing the Settlement once it receives final approval.
- Pending final determination of whether the Settlement should be approved, the 20. Class Representatives may not commence or prosecute any action or proceeding against Defendants or any of the Released Parties, in any court or tribunal, asserting any of the Released California Claims.
- 21. Jurisdiction is hereby retained over this Action, the Parties to the Action, and each of the Class Members for all matters relating to this Action, and this Settlement, including (without limitation) all matters relating to the administration, interpretation, effectuation, and/or enforcement of this Settlement and this Order.
- 22. In the event the Settlement does not become effective in accordance with its terms, or the Settlement is not finally approved, or is terminated for any reason, this Order shall be rendered null and void and shall be vacated, and the Parties shall revert to their respective positions as of before entering into the Stipulation.
- 23. The Court reserves the right to adjourn or continue the date of the Final Approval and Fairness Hearing and all dates provided for in the Settlement without further notice to Class Members (or alternatively, with notice on the website to be maintained by the Settlement Administrator), and retains jurisdiction to consider all further applications arising out of or connected with the proposed Settlement.

1959CV 374461

1		PROOF OF SERVICE
1	STAT	TE OF CALIFORNIA)
2	COU	NTY OF LOS ANGELES) ss.
3		I am employed in the County of Los Angeles, State of California. I am over the age of 18 years of a party to the within action; my business address is One Wilshire Boulevard, Suite 2200, Los es, California 90017.
5		On September 25, 2020, I served the following documents described as:
6 7	<u>[P</u>	PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING PRELIMINARY APPROVAL OF CLASS ACTION SETTLEMENT
8	on all	interested parties in this action by placing true copies thereof enclosed in sealed envelopes ssed as shown on the attached mailing list.
9 10 11 12	[]	(BY FACSIMILE) I am readily familiar with the business practices of this office. The telephone number of the facsimile machine I used was (213) 489-9961. This facsimile machine complies with Rules 2003(3) of the California Rules of Court. Upon transmission, no error was reported by the facsimile machine and a printed copy of the machine's transmission record indicating that the transmission was successfully completed is attached to this declaration.
13 14	[X]	(BY E-MAIL) The documents were sent as an attachment to an email, with two types of delivery confirmation: Delivery Receipt and Read Receipt. Upon sending, no error was reported and I received a Delivery Receipt, confirming that the message and documents reached the above email address
15 16 17	[]	(BY CASE ANYWHERE) Based on a court order to accept service by electronic means, I caused a true and correct copy of the document(s) to be served electronically on counsel of record by transmission to Case Anywhere.
18 19	[]	(BY MAIL) I am familiar with my employer's mail collection and processing practices; know that mail is collected and deposited with the United States Postal Services on the same day it is deposited in the interoffice mail; and know that postage thereon is fully prepaid.
20212223	[]	(BY FEDERAL EXPRESS COURIER AND COURTESY EMAIL) I am "readily familiar" with the firm's practice of collection and processing correspondence for Federal Express delivery. Under that practice it would be deposited with the Federal Express Courier on that same day at Los Angeles, California in the ordinary course of business.
24	[X]	(State) I declare under penalty of perjury that the above is true and correct.
25	[]	(Federal) I declare that I am employed in the office of a member the Bar of this Court at whose direction the service was made.
26		Executed on September 25, 2020, at Los Angeles, California.
27		
28		DIANA JIMENEZ

Jessy Correa v. Harbor Distributing, LLC Superior Court of California, County of Los Angeles, Case No.: 19STCV37441

SERVICE LIST

Attorneys for Defendan	t
Harbor Distributing	

David D. Jacobson djacobson@seyfarth.com Reiko Furuta rfuruta@seyfarth.com 2029 Century Park East, Suite 3500 Los Angeles, CA 90067 Telephone: (310) 227-7200

Facsimilie: (310) 201-5219

Attorneys for Plaintiffs

Kevin Mahoney kmahoney@mahoeny-law.net Atoy H. Wilson awilson@mahoney-law.net MAHONEY LAW GROUP, APC 249 E. Ocean Blvd., Ste. 814 Long Beach, CA 90802 Telephone: (562) 590-5550 Facsimile: (562) 590-8400

Attorneys for Plaintiffs

Christopher J. Hamner, Esq chamner@hamnerlaw.com. **HAMNER LAW OFFICES, APLC** 26565 West Agoura Road, Suite 200-197 Calabasas, California 91302 Telephone: (888) 416-6654

Attorney for Plaintiffs

Josey Renato Garay

JOSE GARAY APLC jose@garaylaw.com 249 E Ocean Blvd., Ste. 814 Long Beach, CA 90802-4899 Telephone: (949) 208-3400

1

2

3