RECEIVED

8/19/2020

CLERK OF THE SUPERIOR COURT
SAN MATEO COUNTY

ENDORSED FILED SAN MATEO COUNTY

AUG 2 1 2020

Clerk of the Superior Court
By TERRI MARAGOULAS
DEPUTY CLERK

Attorneys for Plaintiff JUAN LARA, as an individual and on behalf of all others similarly situated.

SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA COUNTY OF SAN MATEO

JUAN LARA, as an individual and on behalf of all others similarly situated,

Kevin Mahoney, Esq. (SBN: 235367)

MAHONEY LAW GROUP, APC

249 E. Ocean Boulevard, Suite 814

Berkeh Alemzadeh, Esq. (SBN: 324834)

kmahoney@mahoney-law.net

balem@mahoney-law.net

Long Beach, CA 90802

Fax No.: (562) 590-8400

Phone No.: (562) 590-5550

Plaintiff,

V.

PREMIERE RELOCATIONS
SERVICES INC. dba CUMMINGS
MOVING CO., a California corporation;
and DOES 1 through 50, inclusive,

Defendant.

Case No.: 19CIV02396

CLASS ACTION

AMENDED [PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING PRELIMINARY APPROVAL OF CLASS ACTION SETTLEMENT

Assigned for all purposes to: Hon. Marie S. Weiner, Dept.: 2

Date:

August 20, 2020

Time:

3:30 p.m.

Dept:

2

Complaint Filed: April 30, 2019

Trial Date: None Set

23

1

2

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

24

25

26

27

l

On August 20, 2020, Plaintiff Juan Lara (the "Class Representative") Motion for Preliminary Approval of Class Action Settlement (the "Motion") came on for hearing. The Court has reviewed the Motion and the Joint Stipulation of Class Action Settlement and General Release ("Settlement Agreement"), along with the proposed Notice of Class Action Settlement ("Notice") attached hereto the Declaration of Berkeh Alemzadeh, pursuant to which the Class Representative, individually and on behalf of the Settlement Class for which he seeks to be the representative, has agreed to settle his claims against Defendant PREMIERE RELOCATIONS SERVICES INC. dba CUMMINGS MOVING CO. (the "Defendant"). The Court having also considered the Memorandum of Points and Authorities in support of the Motion and the declaration of counsel submitted in support thereof, and for good cause appearing therein, the Court now FINDS and ORDERS as follows:

- 1. The proposed Settlement Class satisfies the requirement of a class because the members are readily ascertainable and a well-defined community of interest exists in the questions of law and fact affecting the Parties.
- 2. Pursuant to the Settlement, the certified class is defined as: "All present and former non-exempt employees who worked for Defendant in the State of California from April 30, 2015 through the preliminary approval date." The Court hereby approves the class definition.
- 3. The Settlement (including the proposed award of attorneys' fees, LWDA payment, litigation costs, and Enhancement Payment to the Class Representatives) falls within the "range of reasonableness" and therefore the Court grants preliminary approval of the Settlement. Based on a review of the papers submitted by the Parties, the Court finds that the Settlement is the result of arm's length negotiations conducted after Class Counsel had thoroughly and adequately investigated the claims and became familiar with the strengths and weaknesses of those claims.
- 4. The Court finds and concludes that the proposed Notice, and the procedures set forth in the Settlement for providing notice to the Class will provide the best notice

////

practicable, satisfy all notice requirements, adequately advise Class Members of their rights under this Settlement, and therefore meet the requirements of due process. The Notice of Settlement ("Notice") fairly, plainly, accurately, and reasonably informs Class Members of: (1) appropriate information about the nature of this Action, the definition of the Class, the identity of Class Counsel, and the essential terms of the Settlement; (2) appropriate information about Plaintiffs and Class Counsel's forthcoming applications for the enhancement payment to the Class Representatives and the Class Counsel attorneys' fees and litigation costs award; (3) appropriate information about how the proceeds of the Settlement will be distributed, and about Class Members' rights to appear through counsel if they desire; (4) appropriate information about how to object to the Settlement, if a Class Member wishes to do so; and (5) appropriate instructions as to how to obtain additional information regarding this Action and the Settlement. The proposed plan for mailing the Notice, Claim Form, by first class mail to the most current mailing address information from a National Change of Address Search (NCOA) based on the most current information provided from Defendant's records, is an appropriate method, reasonably designed to reach all individuals who would be bound by the Settlement. If Notice forms are returned because of incorrect addresses, the Claim Administrator shall use reasonable practices to obtain more current address information for Class Members (such as utilizing Class Members' Social Security numbers to search electronic address databases) and re-mail the Notice forms to any new address thus obtained. If new address information is obtained by return mail, the Claim Administrator shall promptly forward the Notice to the addressee via first class regular U.S. Mail. On all such re-mails, the Claims Administrator shall indicate on the Notice the date it was re-mailed, and notify counsel for Defendant and Plaintiffs of the date of each re-mailing.

10.

- 5. All Settlement Class Members, including both current and former employees of Defendant, are not required to take any action to receive Individual Settlement Awards based on the qualifying hours calculation.
- 6. The Notice (collectively, the "Notice Packet"), and the manner of distributing the Notice Packet, are approved.
- 7. The Parties are ordered to carry out the Settlement according to its terms.
- 8. Plaintiff Juan Lara is appointed the Class Representative for the Class. Kevin Mahoney, Anna Salusky Mahoney, and Berkeh Alemzadeh of Mahoney Law Group, APC are appointed Class Counsel.
- 9. The Court appoints Phoenix Class Action Administration Solutions as the Claims Administrator. Promptly following the entry of this Order, the Claims Administrator will prepare final versions of the Notice Packets, incorporating into them the relevant dates and deadlines set forth in this order.
 - Within ten (10) days of preliminary approval, Defendant shall provide the Claims Administrator with the following information that is within Defendant's possession for each Class Member: (1) the Class Member's name, (2) last known address, (3) social security number, (4) the Class Member's employee identification number, and (4) each Class Member's total number of work weeks. This information shall be based on Defendant's payroll and other business records and in a format readily accessible to Defendant. The data contained in the Database shall remain confidential and shall not be disclosed to anyone, except to applicable taxing authorities and as needed by the Claims Administrator to carry out the reasonable efforts required by this Agreement, or pursuant to express written authorization by Defendant or by order of the Court. The Claims Administrator shall be authorized to use any reasonable practices to locate Class Members in order to provide them with Notice Packets and/or Settlement Payments. Neither Class Counsel nor the Claims Administrator may use the Database for any purpose other than to

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

H

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

- 11. Within seven (7) days after receipt of the database containing Defendant's records pursuant to the Settlement, herein, the Claims Administrator shall mail a copy of the Notice to all Class Members by first class regular U.S. mail, using the most current mailing address information possessed by Defendant. The Claims Administrator will engage in address searches consistent with their normal practices in settlements of wage claims, including skip tracing. Any returned envelopes from this mailing with forwarding address will be utilized by the Claims Administrator to forward the Notice to the Class Members.
- 12. Class Members will receive an Individual Payment, unless they submit a timely Request to be excluded from the Settlement.
- Each Notice of Settlement will include the total Individual Weeks Worked by the 13. Class Members during the Relevant Time Period. To the extent a Class Member disputes the information listed on his or her Notice of Settlement, the Class Member may produce evidence to the Claims Administrator showing the number of hours the Class Member contends to have worked during the Class Period. Defendant's records will be presumed determinative, but the Parties will meet and confer to evaluate the evidence submitted by the Class Member. If the Parties cannot agree, the dispute will be submitted to the Claims Administrator whose decision as to the proper number of Individual Work Weeks will be final and binding. In the event Notices are re-mailed to certain Class Members by the Claims Administrator, those Class Members will have sixty (60) days from the second mailing to exclude themselves from the Settlement or to object to the Settlement. All objections to the Settlement must be in writing and be sent to the Claims Administrator within sixty (60) days after the initial mailing of the Notice Packets by the Claims Administrator in accordance with the specific instruction set forth in the "Notice of Settlement of Class Action", unless the Class Member

20

21

22

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

28

26

received another Notice in a second mailing. In the event a Class Member receives a second mailing of the Notice, the Class Member will have forty-five (45) days from the second mailing to object to the Settlement. Pursuant thereto, copies of all objections will be sent to Class Counsel and Defendant's Counsel, by the Claims Administrator. The objection must be in writing and contain his or her intent to object. A Class Member who fails to file and serve a timely written statement of objection in the manner described above will be deemed to have waived any objections and will be foreclosed from making any objection (whether by appeal or otherwise) to the Settlement. Only Class Members who have not excluded themselves from the Settlement (i.e. "opted-out") may file objections. In the event more than Five (5%) of the Settlement Class opt out of the Settlement, Defendant maintains the right to withdraw from the Settlement. The date of filing with the Claims Administrator shall be the exclusive means for determining

whether an objection has been timely filed.

On December 9, 2020 at 10:00 a.m. in Dept. 2,

The Court will conduct a Final Approval Hearing to determine: (1) whether the proposed Settlement is fair, reasonable, and adequate and should be finally approved by the Court; (2) the amount of attorneys' fees and costs to award to Class Counsel; and (3) the amount of Enhancement Payment to the Class Representatives. If the settlement is finally approved by the Court, this matter will be dismissed, with prejudice, and Defendant will receive a release of claims as set forth in the Settlement Agreement. The hearing will be remote only

Briefs in Support of Final Approval of the Settlement shall be filed on or before "a

- 15. date to be determined," no later than Sixteen (16) court days before the Final Approval Hearing., and proof of Service on LWDA.
- The Court reserves the right to continue the date of the Final Approval Hearing 16. without further notice to Class Members. The Court retains jurisdiction to consider all further applications arising out of or in connection with the

Settlement.

17. In the event the Settlement is not finally approved, or otherwise does not become effective in accordance within the terms of the Settlement, this Order shall be rendered null and void and shall be vacated, and the Parties shall revert to their respective positions as of before entering into the Settlement.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED: 8/20/20

THE HONORABLE MARIE S. WEINER JUDGE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT

-7-

PROOF OF SERVICE

Code of Civ. Proc. § 1013a, subd. (3)

STATE OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF SAN MATEO

I am employed in the County of Los Angeles, State of California. I am over the age of 18 and not a party to the within action. My business address is 249 East Ocean Boulevard, Suite 814, Long Beach, California, 90802.

On August 19, 2020, I served true copies of the following document(s): AMENDED [PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING PRELIMINARY APPROVAL OF CLASS ACTION SETTLEMENT. I served the document(s) on the person(s) below as follows:

Denis S. Kenny, Esq.
John B. Lough, Esq.
Ryan W. Stahl, Esq.
Scherer Smith & Kenny LLP
140 Geary Street, Seventh Floor
San Francisco, CA 94108

Via Email

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Attorneys for Defendants PREMIERE RELOCATIONS SERVICES, INC. dba CUMMINGS MOVING COMPANY

Telephone:

(415) 433-1099 (415) 433-9434

Facsimile: Email:

dsk@sfcounsel.com

jbl@sfcounsel.com rws@sfcounsel.com

Honorable Marie S. Weiner
Department 2
Hall of Justice and Records
400 County Center, 2nd Floor
Redwood City, California 94063

Via FedEx

The document(s) were served by the following means:

By overnight delivery: I enclosed the document(s) in an envelope or package provided by Federal Express and addressed to the person(s) at the addresses above. I then placed the envelope or package for collection and overnight delivery at an office or a regularly utilized drop box of the overnight delivery carrier.

By e-mail: Based upon court order or an agreement of the parties to accept service by e-mail, I caused the document(s) to be sent to the persons at the electronic service addresses listed above from the email address npierson@mahoney-law.net. Within a reasonable time after the transmission, no error, electronic message or any other indication that the transmission was unsuccessful was received.

[State]: I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct.

Executed on August 19, 2020, at Long Beach, California.

Nicole Pierson

SERVICE LIST Lara v. Premiere Relocations, Class/PAGA Action 19CIV02396 as of October 2019

Attorneys for Plaintiff and the putative class:

KEVIN MAHONEY BERKEH ALEMZARDEH MAHONEY LAW GROUP 249 East Ócean Boulevard, Suite 814 Long Beach, CA 90802 (562) 590-5550

Attorneys for Defendant:

DENIS KENNY RYAN STAHL JOHN LOUGH JR. SCHERER SMITH & KENNY LLP 140 Geary Street, 7th Floor San Francisco, CA 94108 (415) 433-1099

ENDORGED FILED SAN MATEO COUNTY

AFFIDAVIT OF MAILING

AUG 2 1 2020

CASE NUMBER: 19CIV2396

Clerk of the Superior Court
By TERRI MARAGOULAS
DEPUTY CLERK

JUAN LARA vs. PREMIER RELOCATIONS SERVICES INC.

DOCUMENT: ORDER GRANTING PRELIMINARY APPROVAL OF CLASS ACTION SETTLEMENT

I declare, under penalty of perjury, that on the following date I deposited in the United State Post Office Mail Box at Redwood City, California a true copy of the foregoing document, enclosed in an envelope, with the proper and necessary postage prepaid thereon, and addressed to the following:

KEVIN MAHONEY BERKEH ALEMZARDEH MAHONEY LAW GROUP 249 East Ocean Blvd., Suite 814 Long Beach, CA 90802

DENIS KENNY RYAN STAHL JOHN LOUGH JR. SCHERER SMITH & KENNY LLP 140 Geary Street, 7th Floor San Francisco, CA 94108

Executed on: August 21, 2020 at Redwood City, California

NEIL TANIGUCHI CLERK OF THE SUPERIOR COURT

By: ______ TEFFN MAPAGOULAS
Terri Maragoulas
Deputy Clerk