SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

Civil Division

Central District, Spring Street Courthouse, Department 7

BC603620 ELNORA T TAGALOGON VS THE CHEESECAKE FACTORY RESTAURANTS INC

June 26, 2020 10:00 AM

Judge: Honorable Amy D. Hogue Judicial Assistant: A. Morales Courtroom Assistant: T. Bivins

CSR: None ERM: None Deputy Sheriff: None

APPEARANCES:

For Plaintiff(s): No Appearances

For Defendant(s): No Appearances

NATURE OF PROCEEDINGS: Hearing on Motion for Preliminary Approval of Settlement

The matter is called for hearing.

The parties have been previously provided with the Court's tentative ruling and have submitted on the matter.

The Motion for Preliminary Approval of Settlement filed by Elnora T. Tagalogon on 09/16/2019 is Granted.

The Amended Order Granting Preliminary Approval of Class Action Settlement is signed and filed this date.

Fairness Hearing (Final) is scheduled for 11/02/2020 at 11:00 AM in Department 7 at Spring Street Courthouse.

The Clerk is to give notice. Clerk's Certificate of Service By Electronic Service is attached.

SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES	Reserved for Clerk's File Stamp
COURTHOUSE ADDRESS:	FILED
Spring Street Courthouse	Superior Court of California
312 North Spring Street, Los Angeles, CA 90012	County of Los Angeles
PLAINTIFF	06/26/2020
Elnora T. Tagalogon	Shern R. Carler, Electative Officer / Gerk of Cour
DEFENDANT	By. A Morales Deputy
Cheesecake Factory Restaurant, Inc., The	
CERTIFICATE OF ELECTRONIC SERVICE	CASE NUMBER
CODE OF CIVIL PROCEDURE 1010.6	BC603620

entered herein, on <u>06/26/2020</u> , upor	n each party or counsel of record in the at	ove entitled action, by
electronically serving the document(s) on _	Case Anywhere	at
secure.caseanywhere.com	on06/26/2020	from my place of

business, Spring Street Courthouse 312 North Spring Street, Los Angeles, CA 90012

in accordance with standard court practices.

Sherri R. Carter, Executive Officer / Clerk of Court

Dated: 06/26/2020

By: A. Morales

Deputy Clerk

1	Edward W. Choi, Esq. SBN 211334 Paul M. Yi, Esq. SBN 207867	Superior Court of California County of Los Angeles	
2	LAW OFFICES OF CHOI & ASSOCIATES 515 S. Figueroa St., Suite 1250	JUN 26 2020	
3 4	Los Angeles, CA 90071 Telephone: (213) 381-1515 Facsimile: (213) 465-4885	Sherri R. Caru, Monales deputy Ry <u>Refusio Monales</u> deputy (ALFREDO MORALES	
5	Attorneys for Plaintiff and the Class		
6	Attorneys for Plaintiff and the Class [Additional Plaintiff's Counse on Nex Page Arthur Chinski (SBN: 48945)	CEIVED	
7	Michael J. Cereseto (SBN: 56711)	SUPERIOR COURT	
8	1 1000 Willshille Dive., Suite 1500	09 2020	
9	Los Angeles, CA 90017 S. [Telephone: (213) 891-0700 Fax: (213) 896-0400	DREW	
10	mcereseto@buchalter.com		
11	Attorneys for Defendant THE CHEESECAKE FACTORY RESTAURA	NTS INC	
12		N15, IIIC.	
13	CUREDIOD COURT OF TH		
14	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA		
15	FOR THE COUNTY	OF LOS ANGELES	
16 17	ELNORA T. TAGALOGON, as an individual and on behalf of all others similarly situated,	CASE NO. BC603620	
18	Plaintiff,	Assigned for All Purposes to Honorable Amy D. Hogue in Department 7	
19	vs.	PROFESSION AMENDED ORDER	
20	THE CHEESECAKE FACTORY RESTAURANTS, INC.,	GRANTING PRELIMINARY APPROVAL OF CLASS ACTION SETTLEMENT	
21	a California Corporation, and DOES 1 through 100, inclusive,	DATE: March 25, 2020	
22	Defendants.	TIME: 10:00 a.m. DEPT.: 7	
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			

(PROPOSED) AMENDED ORDER GRANTING PRELIMINARY APPROVAL OF CLASS ACTION SETTLEMENT

(ð - 51	
1 2 3 4 5	Larry W. Lee (State Bar No. 228175) DIVERSITY LAW GROUP, P.C. A Professional Corporation 515 S. Figueroa St., Suite 1250 Los Angeles, CA 90071 (213) 488-6555 (213) 488-6554 facsimile <u>Iwlee@diversitylaw.com</u>
6 7 8 9	Thomas M. Lee, State Bar No. 210599 LEE LAW OFFICES, APLC 3435 Wilshire Blvd Suite 2400 Los Angeles, California 90010 Telephone: (213) 251-5533 Facsimile: (213) 251-5534 Email: leethomas.esq@gmail.com
10 11	Attorneys for Plaintiff and the Class
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	2
	IPROPOSEDI AMENDED ORDER CRANTING

The Motion for Preliminary Approval of the Parties' Amended Joint Stipulation of Class 1 Action Settlement and Release came before this Court, on March 25, 2020. The Court, having 2 considered the Motion, and all supporting legal authorities and documents, and good cause 3 4 appearing, HEREBY ORDERS THE FOLLOWING:

5

7

Preliminary Approval. The Court grants preliminary approval of the Settlement 1. and finds the terms to be within the range of reasonableness of a settlement that ultimately could 6 be granted approval by the Court at the final Fairness Hearing.

Certification. For purposes of the settlement, the Court finds that the proposed 8 2. settlement class is ascertainable and that there is a sufficiently well-defined community of interest 9 among the Class in questions of law and fact. Therefore, for settlement purposes only, the Court 10 grants conditional certification of the following Settlement Class defined as follows: 11

The approximately 235 employees of Defendant employed in the job positions entitled "kitchen manager" or "Manager" who worked for Defendant in California during period December 10, 2011 through (date of preliminary approval order) and who because of their dates of employment and/or position have been identified by Defendant as Kitchen Managers or Managers who did not sign arbitration agreement containing a class waiver position.

16

17

12

13

14

15

- 3. Class Representatives. For settlement purposes only, the Court designates named Plaintiff Elnora T. Tagalogon as Class Representative.
- 18 19

20

21

Class Counsel. For settlement purposes only, the Court designates the law firm of 4. Diversity Law Group, P.C., Law Offices of Choi & Associates and the Lee Offices, APC as Class Counsel.

Administrator. The Court confirms Phoenix Class Action Administration 5. 22 Solutions as the Administrator.

23 24

25

26

27

28

Notice Packets. The Court approves, as to form and content, the proposed Notice 6. Packets and directs their mailing in accordance with the terms of the Settlement. The Court finds that the forms of notice to the Settlement Class regarding the pendency of the action and of this Settlement, and the methods of giving notice to members of the Settlement Class, are adequate. The form and methods constitute the best notice practicable under the circumstances and constitute

valid, due, and sufficient notice to all members of the Settlement Class. They comply fully with the
 requirements of California Code of Civil Procedure section 382, California Civil Code section
 1781, California Rules of Court 3.766 and 3.769, the California and United States Constitutions,
 and other applicable law.

5 7. Final Fairness Hearing. A final fairness hearing on the question of whether the 6 proposed Settlement should be finally approved as fair, reasonable, and adequate as to the members 7 of the Settlement Class is scheduled in Department 7 in the above referenced Court on 8 Nov. 2 [date], at []:ou a. m. [time]. The Fairness Hearing and related prior deadlines 9 set forth above may, from time to time and without further notice to the Settlement Class (except 10 those who have filed timely and valid objections), be continued or adjourned by Order of the Court.

8. Deadlines. The Court approves the deadlines set forth in the Settlement and orders
the Parties to effectuate the terms of the Settlement within those deadlines.

9. Participation, Requests for Exclusions, and Objections. The Court further
approves the procedures set forth in the Settlement for Class Members to participate in, opt out of,
or object to the Settlement.

16 10. Stay of Proceedings. Pending the Fairness Hearing, all proceedings in this action,
 17 other than proceedings necessary to carry out or enforce the terms and conditions of the Settlement
 18 and this Order, are stayed.

19 11. Effectuate Settlement. Counsel for the parties are hereby authorized to utilize all
 20 reasonable procedures in connection with the administration of the settlement which are not
 21 materially inconsistent with either this Order or the terms of the Settlement Agreement.

Additional Claims. To facilitate administration of the Settlement pending final
 approval, effective upon mailing of the Notice Packets, the Court hereby enjoins all Class Members
 from filing or prosecuting any claims, suits or administrative proceedings regarding claims released
 by the Settlement unless and until such Class Members have submitted valid requests for exclusion
 with the Administrator.

- 27
- 28

Unclaimed Funds. Pursuant to amended California Code of Civil Procedure 13. Section 384, the Court determines that it is in the best interest of class members who do not receive their pro rata share of the Settlement to have their share of the settlement escheat to the unpaid property fund administrated by the California State Controller's Office, or if the class member no longer resides in California in accordance with the escheat laws of the state involved. IT IS SO ORDERED. 26/2020 Dated: Honorable Amy Hogue Los Angeles Superior Court Judge