	Case 5:17-cv-03300-BLF Document 8	7 Filed 04/02/20 Page 1 of 38
1 2 3 4 5 6	Shaun Setareh (SBN 204514) shaun@setarehlaw.com William M. Pao (SBN 219846) william@setarehlaw.com SETAREH LAW GROUP 315 South Beverly Drive, Suite 315 Beverly Hills, California 90212 Telephone (310) 888-7771 Facsimile (310) 888-0109 Attorneys for Plaintiff ANDREW QUIRUZ	
	Auomeys for Flamun ANDRE w QUIKUZ	
7	UNITED STATE	S DISTRICT COURT
8	NORTHERN DIST	RICT OF CALIFORNIA
9	ANDREW QUIRUZ, on behalf of himself, all	Case No.: 5:17-cv-03300-BLF
10	others similarly situated, and as a representative of other aggrieved employees,	SECOND AMENDED COMPLAINT FOR:
11	Plaintiff,	1. Violation of 15 U.S.C. §§ 1681b(b)(2)(A)
12	VS.	 (Fair Credit Reporting Act); Violation of 15 U.S.C. §§ 1681d(a)(1) and
13 14	SPECIALTY COMMODITIES, INC, a North	 Violation of 15 C.S.C. §§ Tostu(a)(1) and 1681g(c) (Fair Credit Reporting Act); Violation of California Civil Code § 1786 <i>et</i>
15	Dakota corporation; ARCHER-DANIELS- MIDLAND COMPANY, a business entity form unknown; and DOES 1-100, inclusive,	<i>seq.</i> (Investigative Consumer Reporting Agencies Act)
16	Defendants.	 4. Violation of California Civil Code § 1785 <i>et seq.</i> (Consumer Credit Reporting Agencies
17		Act)
18		5. Failure to Provide Meal Periods (Lab. Code §§ 204, 223, 226.7, 512, and 1198);
19		6. Failure to Provide Rest Periods (Lab. Code §§ 204, 223, 226.7, and 1198);
20		7. Failure to Pay Hourly Wages (Lab. Code §§ 223, 510, 1194, 1194.2, 1197, 1997.1, and
21		1198); 8 Egilure to Dev Employees for All Hours
22		 Failure to Pay Employees for All Hours Worked (29 U.S.C. § 201, <i>et seq.</i>) Failure to Previde A counter Written Wasse
23		9. Failure to Provide Accurate Written Wage Statements (Lab. Code § 226(a));
24		10. Failure to Timely Pay All Final Wages (Lab. Code §§ 201, 202 and 203);
25		11. Unfair Competition (Bus. & Prof. Code §§ 17200, <i>et seq.</i>);
26		12. Civil Penalties (Lab. Code §§ 2698, et seq.)
27		JURY TRIAL DEMANDED
28		1
	Case No.: 5:17-cv-03300-BLF F	Page 1 Quiruz v.Specialty Commodities, Inc., et al. SECOND AMENDED COMPLAINT

		Case 5:17-cv-03300-BLF	Document 87	Filed 04/02/20	Page 2 of 38
1					
2					
3					
4					
5					
6					
7					
8					
9					
10					
11					
12					
13					
14					
15					
16					
17					
18					
19					
20					
21					
22					
23					
24					
25					
26					
27					
28					
	Cas	se No.: 5:17-cv-03300-BLF	Pag	e i Quiru SECOND	z v.Specialty Commodities, Inc., et al. AMENDED COMPLAINT

Plaintiff, Andrew Quiruz (hereafter "Plaintiff"), on behalf of himself, all others similarly situated, and the general public, complains and alleges as follows:

I. <u>INTRODUCTION</u>

Plaintiff brings this class and representative action against defendant SPECIALTY
 COMMODITIES, INC., a North Dakota corporation ("Specialty Commodities"), ARCHER DANIEL
 MIDLAND COMPANY., a business entity form unknown ("ADM") and DOES 1-100, inclusively
 (collectively, "Defendants") for alleged violations of the Fair Credit Reporting Act ("FCRA") and similar
 California laws.

2. Plaintiff alleges that Defendants routinely acquire consumer, investigative consumer and/or consumer credit reports (referred to collectively as "credit and background reports") to conduct background checks on Plaintiff and other prospective, current and former employees and use information from credit and background reports in connection with their hiring process without complying with the law. Plaintiff, individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated current, former, and prospective employees, seeks compensatory and punitive damages due to Defendants' systematic and willful violations of the FCRA, 15 U.S.C. §§ 1681 *et seq.*, the California Investigative Consumer Reporting Agencies Act ("ICRAA") (Cal. Civ. Code § 1786, *et seq.*), and the California Consumer Credit Reporting Agencies Act ("CCRAA") (Cal. Civ. Code § 1785, *et seq.*).

3. Plaintiff brings this class action against defendant Specialty Commodities, Inc., a North Dakota corporation ("Specialty Commodities"); Archer Daniels Midland Company, a business entity form unknown ("ADM"); and DOES 1 through 50 inclusive (hereafter "Defendants") for alleged violations of the Labor and Business and Professions Codes. As set forth below, Plaintiff alleges that Defendants failed to provide him and all other similarly situated individuals with meal periods, failed to provide them with rest periods, failed to pay premium wages for unprovided meal and/or rest periods, failed to pay them overtime and double time wages for all overtime and double time hours worked, and failed to provide them with accurate written wage statements. Based on these alleged Labor Code violations, Plaintiff now brings this class action to recover unpaid wages, restitution, and related relief on behalf of himself, and all others similarly situated, and the general public.

A.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

4. This Court has subject matter jurisdiction to hear this case. Federal questions are raised herein, including allegations of violations of the Fair Credit Reporting Act and the Fair Labor Standards Act.

5. At the time of filing, Plaintiff was informed and believed that the individual claims of the below-defined classes are under the \$75,000.00 threshold for Federal diversity jurisdiction and the aggregate claim is under the \$5,000,000.00 threshold for Federal jurisdiction, under the Class Action Fairness Act of 2005.

6. Venue was proper in Santa Clara County pursuant to California Code of Civil Procedure §§ 395(a) and 395.5 in that liability arose in San Joaquin County because at least some of the transactions that are the subject matter of the original Complaint occurred therein and/or each defendant is found, maintains offices, transacts business, and/or has an agent therein.

II. **PARTIES**

A. <u>Plaintiff</u>

7. Plaintiff worked for Defendants in an hourly position as a non-exempt employee from approximately November 2013 to present.

B. <u>Defendants</u>

8. Defendant Specialty Commodities, Inc. is a North Dakota corporation authorized to do business in California.

9. Defendant Archer Daniels Midland Company, is a business entity form unknown, authorized to do business in California.

10. Plaintiff is ignorant of the true names, capacities, relationships, and extent of participation in the conduct alleged herein, of the Defendants sued as Does 1-50, inclusive, but is informed and believes that said Defendants are legally responsible for the conduct alleged herein and therefore sues these Defendants by such fictitious names. Plaintiff will amend this complaint to allege both the true names and capacities of the Doe Defendants when ascertained.

11.Plaintiff is informed and believes that each Defendant acted in all respects pertinent to thisaction as the agent of the other Defendants, carried out a joint scheme, business plan or policy in all

1	respects pertinent hereto, and that the acts of each Defendant are legally attributable to each of the other
2	Defendants.
3	III. <u>CLASS ALLEGATIONS</u>
4	12. This action has been brought and may be maintained as a class action pursuant to Fed. R.
5	Civ. P. 23 because there is a well-defined community of interest among the persons who comprise the
6	readily ascertainable classes defined below and because Plaintiff is unaware of any difficulties likely to be
7	encountered in managing this case as a class action.
8	13. <u>Relevant Time Period</u> : The relevant time period is defined as the time period beginning
9	four years prior to the filing of this action until judgment is entered.
10	14. The class and subclass members are defined as follows:
11	FCRA Class: All of Defendants' current, former and prospective applicants for employment in the United States who applied for a job with Defendants at any time
12	during the period beginning five years prior to the filing of this action and ending on the date that final judgment is entered in this action.
13	ICRAA Class: All of Defendant's current, former, and prospective applicants for
14	employment in California, at any time during the period beginning five years prior to the filing of this action and ending on the date that final judgment is entered into this
15	action.
16	<u>CCRAA Class</u> : All of Defendant's current, former, and prospective applicants for employment in California, at any time during the period beginning seven years prior to
17	the filing of this action and ending on the date that final judgment is entered in this action.
18	Specialty Commodities/ADM Class: All persons employed by Specialty
19	Commodities, Inc. and/or Archer Daniels Midland Company in hourly or non-exempt positions in California during the Relevant Time Period .
20	Meal Break Sub-Class: All Specialty Commodities/ADM Class members who
21	worked a shift in excess of five hours during the Relevant Time Period .
22	<u>Rest Break Sub-Class</u> : All Specialty Commodities/ADM Class members who worked a shift of at least three and one-half (3.5) hours during the Relevant
23	Time Period.
24	<u>Waiting Time Penalties Sub-Class</u> : All Specialty Commodities/ADM Class members who separated from their employment with Defendants during the
25	period beginning three years before the filing of this action and ending when final judgment is entered.
26	
27	<u>Wage Statement Penalties Class</u> : All persons employed by Defendants in California during the period beginning one year before the filing of this action and ending when final judgment is entered.
28	intai juuginent is entereu.

	Case 5:17-cv-03300-BLF Document 87 Filed 04/02/20 Page 6 of 38
1	UCL Class: All Specialty Commodities/ADM Class members employed by
2	Defendants in California during the Relevant Time Period .
3	<u>FSLA Class</u> : All members of the Specialty Commodities/ADM Class members employed by Defendants in California during the period beginning three years before
4	the filing of this action and ending when final judgment is entered.
5	15. <u>Reservation of Rights:</u> Plaintiff reserves the right to amend or modify the class definitions
6	with greater specificity, by further division into subclasses, and/or by limitation to particular issues.
7	16. <u>Numerosity:</u> The class members are so numerous that the individual joinder of each
8	individual class member is impractical. While Plaintiff does not currently know the exact number of class
9	members, Plaintiff is informed and believes that the actual number exceeds the minimum required for
10	numerosity under California law.
11	17. <u>Commonality and Predominance:</u> Common questions of law and fact exist as to all class
12	members and predominate over any questions which affect only individual class members. These
13	questions include, but are not limited to:
14	(a) Have Defendants maintained a policy or practice of failing to provide employees
15	with their meal breaks?
16	(b) Have Defendants maintained a policy or practice of failing to provide employees
17	with their rest breaks?
18	(c) Have Defendants failed to pay additional wages to class members when they have
19	not been provided with required meal and/or rest periods?
20	(d) Have Defendants failed pay class members overtime and double time wages for all
21	overtime and double time hours worked?
22	(e) Have Defendant maintained a policy or practice of not paying overtime and double
23	time wages for all overtime and double time hours worked?
24	(f) Have Defendants failed to provide class members with accurate written wage
25	statements as a result of providing them with written wage statements with
26	inaccurate entries for, among other things, amounts of gross and net wages, and
27	time worked?
28	(g) Are Defendants liable to class members for waiting time penalties under Labor

	Case 5:17-cv-03300-BLF Document 87 Filed 04/02/20 Page 7 of 38
1	Code § 203?
2	(h) Are class members entitled to restitution of money or property that Defendants
3	may have acquired from them through unfair competition?
4	(i) Wherein Defendants willfully failed to provide the class with stand-alone written
5	disclosures before obtaining a credit or background report in compliance with the
6	statutory mandates?
7	(j) Whether Defendants willfully failed to identify the name, address, telephone
8	number, and/or website of the investigative consumer reporting agency conducting
9	the investigation?
10	(k) Whether Defendants willfully failed to identify the source of the credit report to be
11	performed?
12	(1) Wherein Defendants willfully failed to comply with the FCRA, ICRAA and/or the
13	CRAA?
14	18. <u>Typicality:</u> Plaintiff's claims are typical of the other class members' claims. Plaintiff is
15	informed and believes and thereon alleges that Defendants have a policy or practice of failing to comply
16	with the Labor Code and the Business and Professions Code as alleged herein.
17	19. <u>Adequacy of Class Representative:</u> Plaintiff is an adequate class representative in that he
18	has no interests that are adverse to, or otherwise conflict with, the interests of absent class members and is
19	dedicated to vigorously prosecuting this action on their behalf. Plaintiff will fairly and adequately
20	represent and protect the interests of the other class members.
21	20. <u>Adequacy of Class Counsel:</u> Plaintiff's counsel are adequate class counsel in that they
22	have no known conflicts of interest with Plaintiff or absent class members, are experienced in wage and
23	hour class action litigation, and are dedicated to vigorously prosecuting this action on behalf of Plaintiff
24	and absent class members.
25	21. Superiority: A class action is vastly superior to other available means for fair and efficient
26	adjudication of the class members' claims and would be beneficial to the parties and the Court. Class
27	action treatment will allow a number of similarly situated persons to simultaneously and efficiently
28	prosecute their common claims in a single forum without the unnecessary duplication of effort and

expense that numerous individual actions would entail. In addition, the monetary amounts due to many individual class members are likely to be relatively small and would thus make it difficult, if not impossible, for individual class members to both seek and obtain relief. Moreover, a class action will serve an important public interest by permitting class members to effectively pursue the recovery of moneys owed to them. Further, a class action will prevent the potential for inconsistent or contradictory judgments inherent in individual litigation.

IV.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

25

GENERAL ALLEGATIONS

22. Plaintiff was hired and is employed by Defendants in an hourly non-exempt position as a warehouse associate. As a warehouse associate, Plaintiff's duties included but were not limited to pulling orders, unloading and loading products, and dealing with vendors.

23. Plaintiff was, within the Relevant Time Period, customarily scheduled to work from 5:00 am to 2:00 or 3:00 pm, five days a week. Plaintiff did not ever work a shift that was less than six continuous hours.

24. Moreover, Plaintiff was, within the Relevant Time Period, required to work past his scheduled end time by one or two hours, approximately two or three times per week.

25. Thus, Plaintiff typically worked in excess of forty hours per week.

FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION

FAILURE TO MAKE PROPER DISCLOSURE IN VIOLATION OF THE FCRA

(15 U.S.C. §§ 1681b(b)(2)(A))

(By Plaintiff and the FCRA Class against all Defendants)

26. Plaintiff incorporates all paragraphs of this Complaint as if fully alleged herein.

- 27. Defendants are "persons" as defined by Section 1681a(b) of the FCRA.
- 28. Plaintiff and class members are "consumers" within the meaning Section 1681a(c) of the
- 24 FCRA, because they are "individuals."
 - 29. Section 1681a(d)(1) of the FCRA defines "consumer report" as

any oral, or other communication of any information by a consumer reporting agency bearing on a consumer's credit worthiness, credit standing, credit capacity, character, general reputation, personal characteristics, or mode of living which is used or expected to be used or collected in whole or in part for the purpose of serving as a factor in establishing the consumer's eligibility" for employment purposes.

	Case 5:17-cv-03300-BLF Document 87 Filed 04/02/20 Page 9 of 38
1	
1	Thus a credit and background report qualifies as a consumer report.
2	Section 1681a(e) of the FCRA defines "investigative consumer report" as:
3	a consumer report or portion thereof in which information on a consumer's character, general reputation, personal characteristics, or mode of living is obtained through
4 5	personal interviews with neighbors, friends, or associates of the consumer reported on or with whom he is acquainted or who may have knowledge concerning any such items of information.
6	Thus a credit and background report qualifies as an investigative consumer report.
7	30. Section 1681b(b) of the FCRA provides, in relevant part:
8	Conditions for furnishing and using consumer reports for employment purposes
9	(2) Disclosure to consumer
10	(A) In general
11	Except as provided in subparagraph (B), a person may not procure a consumer report, or cause a consumer report to be procured, for employment purposes
12	with respect to any consumer, unless—
13	i. a <i>clear and conspicuous</i> disclosure has been made in writing to the consumer at any time before the report is procured or caused to be
14 15	procured, in a document that <i>consists solely of the disclosure</i> , that a consumer report may be obtained for employment purposes; and
16 17	ii. the consumer has authorized in writing (which authorization may be made on the document referred to in clause (i)) the procurement of the report by that person. (Emphasis Added).
18	31. As described above, Plaintiff alleges, on information and belief, that in evaluating her and
19	other class members for employment, Defendants procured or caused to be prepared credit and
20	background reports (i.e., a consumer report and/or investigative consumer report, as defined by 15 U.S.C.
21	§ 1681a(d)(1)(B) and 15 U.S.C. § 1681a(e)).
22	32. When Plaintiff applied for employment with Defendants, Defendants required her to fill
23	out and sign a two page Document entitled "Employment Application"
24	33. In pertinent part the Employment Application states:
25	Applicant in All States Other Than California, Massachusetts and the City of Philadelphia. Have you been convicted of a felony offense within the last seven years
26 27	that hasn't been sealed or explunged? If Yes, state the nature of the offense and the date the event took place. (Answernig yes will not necessarily be a bar to employment and will be considered in relationship to the position for which you are applying.)
28	<i>California Applicants Only.</i> In the last seven years have youever been convicted of a

Case 5:17-cv-03300-BLF Document 87 Filed 04/02/20 Page 10 of 38

felony that hasn't been sealed or expunged OTHER THAN (1) a marijuana-related conviction that occurred more than two years ago; and (2) an offense for which you were refereed to, and participated in, any pretrial or post trial diversion program? If Yes, state the nature of the offense and the date the event took place. (Answernig yes will not necessarily be a bar to employment and will be considered in relationship to the position for which you are applying.)

34. The purported disclosures are embedded with extraneous information including but not limited to information pertaining to Pennsylvania applicants and Massachusetts applicants.

35. Because the purported disclosures are embedded with extraneous information, and are not clear and unambiguous disclosures in stand-alone documents, they do not meet the requirements under the law.

36. Under the FCRA, it is unlawful to procure or caused to be procured, a consumer report or investigative consumer report for employment purposes unless the disclosure is made in a document that consists solely of the disclosure and the consumer has authorized, in writing, the procurement of the report.
15 U.S.C. § 1681b(b)(2)(A)(i)-(ii). The inclusion of a release as well as other extraneous information, therefore, violates § 1681b(b)(2)(A) of the FCRA.

37. Although the disclosure and the authorization may be combined in a single document, the Federal Trade Commission ("FTC") has warned that the form should not include any extraneous information or be part of another document. For example, in response to an inquiry as to whether the disclosure may be set forth within an application for employment or whether it must be included in a separate document, the FTC stated:

The disclosure may not be part of an employment application because the language [of 15 U.S.C. § 1681b(b)(2)(A) is] intended to ensure that it appears conspicuously in a document not encumbered by any other information. The reason for requiring that the disclosure be in a stand-alone document is to prevent consumers from being distracted by other information side-by-side within the disclosure.

38. The plain language of the statute also clearly indicates that the inclusion of a liability release in a disclosure form violates the disclosure and authorization requirements of the FCRA, because such a form would not consist "solely" of the disclosure. In fact, the FTC expressly has warned that the FCRA notice may not include extraneous information such as a release. In a 1998 opinion letter, the FTC stated:

[W]e note that your draft disclosure includes a waiver by the consumer of his or her

rights under the FCRA. The inclusion of such a waiver in a disclosure form will violate Section 604(b)(2)(A) of the FCRA, which requires that a disclosure consist 'solely' of the disclosure that a consumer report may be obtained for employment purposes.

39. In a report dated July 2011, the FTC reiterated that: "the notice [under 15 U.S.C § 1681b(b)(2)(A)] may not include extraneous or contradictory information, such as a request for a consumer's waiver of his or her rights under the FCRA."

40. By including extraneous information, Defendants willfully disregarded the FTC's regulatory guidance and violated Section 1681b(b)(2)(A) of the FCRA. Additionally, the inclusion of the extraneous provisions causes the disclosure to fail to be "clear and conspicuous" and "clear[] and accurate[]," and thus violates §§ 1681b(b)(2)(A) and 1681d(a).

41. Defendants' conduct in violation of Section 1681b(b)(2)(A) of the FCRA was and is willful. Defendants acted in deliberate or reckless disregard of their obligations and the rights of applicants and employees, including Plaintiff and class members. Defendants' willful conduct is reflected by, among other things, the following facts:

(a) Defendants are a large corporation with access to legal advice;

- (b) Defendants required a purported authorization to perform credit and background checks in the process of employing the class members which, although defective, evidences Defendants' awareness of and willful failure to follow the governing laws concerning such authorizations;
- (c) The plain language of the statute unambiguously indicates that inclusion of a liability release and other extraneous information in a disclosure form violates the disclosure and authorization requirements; and

(d) The FTC's express statements, pre-dating Defendants' conduct, which state that it is a violation of Section 1681b(b)(2)(A) of the FCRA to include a liability waiver in the FCRA disclosure form.

42. Based upon the facts likely to have evidentiary support after a reasonable opportunity for further investigation and discovery, Plaintiff alleges that Defendants have a policy and practice of procuring investigative consumer reports or causing investigative consumer reports to be procured for

Case 5:17-cv-03300-BLF Document 87 Filed 04/02/20 Page 12 of 38

applicants and employees without informing such applicants of their right to request a summary of their rights under the FCRA at the same time as the disclosure explaining that an investigative consumer report may be made. Pursuant to that policy and practice, Defendants procured investigative consumer reports or caused investigative consumer reports to be procured for Plaintiff and class members, as described above, without informing class members of their rights to request a written summary of their rights under the FCRA.

43. Accordingly, Defendants willfully violated and continue to violate the FCRA including, but not limited to, §§ 1681b(b)(2)(A) and 1681d(a). Defendants' willful conduct is reflected by, among other things, the facts set forth above.

44. As a result of Defendants' illegal procurement of credit and background reports by way of their inadequate disclosures, as set forth above, Plaintiff and class members have been injured including, but not limited to, having their privacy and statutory rights invaded in violation of the FCRA.

45. Plaintiff, on behalf of herself and all class members, seeks all available remedies pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1681n, including statutory damages and/or actual damages, punitive damages, injunctive and equitable relief and attorneys' fees and costs.

46. In the alternative to Plaintiff's allegation that these violations were willful, Plaintiff alleges that the violations were negligent and seeks the appropriate remedy, if any, under 15 U.S.C. § 16810, including actual damages and attorneys' fees and costs.

SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION

FAILURE TO GIVE PROPER SUMMARY OF RIGHTS IN VIOLATION OF FCRA

(15 U.S.C. § 1681d(a)(1) and 1681g(c))

(By Plaintiff and the FCRA Class against all Defendants)

47. Plaintiff incorporates all paragraphs of this Complaint as if fully alleged herein.

48. Section 1681d(a)(1) provides:

Disclosure of fact of preparation

A person may not procure or cause to be prepared an investigative consumer report on any consumer unless—

(1) it is *clearly and accurately disclosed* to the consumer that an

	Case 5:17-cv-03300-BLF Document 87 Filed 04/02/20 Page 13 of 38
1 2	investigative consumer report including information as to his character, general reputation, personal characteristics, and mode of living, whichever are applicable, may be made, and such disclosure;
3	(2) is <i>made in a writing mailed, or otherwise delivered</i> , to the
4	consumer, not later than three days after the date on which the report was first requested, and
5	(3) includes a statement informing the consumer of his right to request the
6	additional disclosures provided for under subsection (b) of this
7	section and the written summary of the rights of the consumer prepared pursuant to section 1681g(c) of this title; (Emphasis Added.)
8	(4) Subsection (b) of Section 1681d(a)(1) provides:
9	Any person who procures or causes to be prepared an investigative consumer
10 11	report on any consumer shall, upon written request made by the consumer within a reasonable period of time after the receipt by him of the disclosure required by subsection (a)(1) of this section (a)(1) of this section, make a
12	<i>complete and accurate disclosure of the nature and scope of the investigation requested</i> ; (Emphasis Added). This disclosure shall be made in a writing
13	mailed, or otherwise delivered, to the consumer not later than five days after the date on which the request for such disclosure was received from the consumer
14	or such report was first requested, whichever is the later.
15	49. Defendant did not comply with Section 1681d(a)(1).
16	50. Section 1681g(c) further provides summary of rights to obtain and dispute information in
17	consumer reports and to obtain credit scores as:
18	(A) Commission summary of rights required
19	The Commission shall prepare a <i>model summary of the rights</i> of consumers under this subchapter.
20 21	(B) Content of summary
22	The summary of rights prepared under subparagraph (A) shall include a description of—
23	1. the <i>right of a consumer to obtain a copy of a consumer report</i> under subsection (a) of this section from each consumer reporting agency;
24	 the <i>frequency and circumstances under which a consumer is entitled</i>
25	<i>to receive a consumer report without charge</i> under section 1681j of this title;
26	3. the right of a consumer to <i>dispute information</i> in the file of the
27	consumer under section 1681i of this title;
28	4. <i>the right of a consumer to obtain a credit score</i> from a consumer

	Case 5:17-cv-03300-BLF Document 87 Filed 04/02/20 Page 14 of 38
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 reporting agency, and a description of how to obtain a credit score; 5. the <i>method by which a consumer can contact, and obtain a consumer report from, a consumer reporting agency without charge</i>, as provided in the regulations of the Bureau prescribed under section 211(c) of the Fair and Accurate Credit Transactions Act of 2003; and 6. the method by which a consumer can contact, and obtain a consumer report from, a consumer reporting agency described in section 1681a(w) of this title, as provided in the regulations of the Bureau prescribed under section 1681j(a)(1)(C) of this title; (Emphasis Added). 51. Defendant did not comply with 1681g(c)(1)(B)(ii) because the summary of rights did not include the right of a consumer to obtain a copy of the consumer report under section 1681j of this title.
9	52. Defendant did not comply with $1681g(c)(1)(B)(ii)$ because the summary of rights did not
10	include the frequency and circumstances under which a consumer is entitled to receive a consumer report
11	without charge under section 1681j of this title.
12	53. Defendant did not comply with $1681g(c)(1)(B)(iii)$ because the summary of rights did not
13	include the right of the consumer to dispute information in the file of the consumer under section 1681i of
14	this title.
15	54. Defendant did not comply with $1681g(c)(1)(B)(iv)$ because the summary of rights did not
16	include the right of the consumer to obtain a credit score from a consumer reporting agency, and a
17	description of how to obtain a credit score.
18	55. Defendant did not comply with $1681g(c)(1)(B)(v)$ because the summary of rights did not
19	include the method by which a consumer can contact, and obtain a consumer report from, a consumer
20	reporting agency without charge, as provided in the regulations of the Bureau prescribed under section
21	211(c) of the Fair and Accurate Credit Transactions Act of 2003
22	56. Defendant did not comply with $1681g(c)(1)(B)(vi)$ because the summary of rights did not
23	include the method by which a consumer can contact, and obtain a consumer report from, a consumer
24	reporting agency described in section 1681a(w) of this title, as provided in the regulations of the Bureau
25	prescribed under section 1681j(a)(1)(C) of this title.
26	///
27	///
28	///

	Case 5	5:17-cv-03300-BLF Document 87 Filed 04/02/20 Page 15 of 38
1		THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION
2	F	AILURE TO MAKE PROPER DISCLOSURE IN VIOLATION OF ICRAA
3		(Cal. Civ. Code § 1786 et seq.)
4		(By Plaintiff and the ICRAA Class against all Defendants)
5	57.	Plaintiff incorporates all paragraphs of this Complaint as if fully alleged herein.
6	58.	Defendants are "persons" as defined by Section 1786.2(a) of the Investigative Consumer
7	Reporting Ag	gencies Act ("ICRAA").
8	59.	Plaintiff and ICRAA Class members are "consumers" within the meaning Section
9	1786.2(b) of 1	the ICRAA, because they are "individuals."
10	60.	Section 1786.2(c) of the ICRAA defines "investigative consumer report" as:
11		sumer report in which information on a consumer's character, general reputation, nal characteristics, or mode of living is obtained through any means.
12	perso.	har characteristics, or mode or nying is obtained through any means.
13	61.	Thus a background checks qualifies as an investigative consumer report under the ICRAA
14	62.	Section 1786.16(a)(2) of the ICRAA provides, in relevant part:
15 16		If, at any time, an investigative consumer report is sought for employment purposesthe person seeking the investigative consumer report may procure the report, or cause the report to be made, only if all of the following apply:
17 18		(B) The person procuring or causing the report to be made provides a <i>clear and conspicuous</i> disclosure in writing to the consumer at any time before the report is procured or caused to be made <i>in a document that consists solely of the</i>
19		disclosure, that:
20		(i) An investigative consumer report may be obtained.
21		(ii) The permissible purpose of the report is identified.
22		(iii) The disclosure may include information on the consumer's character, general reputation, personal characteristics, and mode of living.
23		(iv) Identifies the name, address, and telephone number of the investigative
24		consumer reporting agency conducting the investigation.
25		(v) Notifies the consumer in writing of the nature and scope of the investigation requested, including the provisions of Section 1786.22.
26		(vi) Notifies the consumer of the Internet Web site address of the
27		<i>investigative consumer reporting agency</i> identified in clause (iv), or, <i>if the agency has no Internet Web site address, the telephone number of the agency,</i> where the consumer may find information about the investigative reporting
28		where the consumer may find information about the investigative reporting agency's privacy practices, including whether the consumer's personal

	Case 5:17-cv-03300-BLF Document 87 Filed 04/02/20 Page 16 of 38
1 2	information will be sent outside the United States or its territories and information that complies with subdivision (d) of Section 1786.20. This clause shall become operative on January 1, 2012.
3	(C) The consumer has authorized in writing the procurement of the report.
4	(Emphasis added.)
5	63. As described above, Plaintiff alleges that in evaluating her and other class members for
б	employment, Defendants procured or caused to be prepared investigative consumer report (e.g.
7	background checks), as defined by Cal. Civ. Code § 1786.2(c).
8	64. When Plaintiff applied for employment with Defendants, Defendants required her to fill
9	out and sign a Document entitled "Employment Application" ("Application").
10	65. In pertinent part the two page Application states:
11	Applicant in All States Other Than California, Massachusetts and the City of Philadelphia. Have you been convicted of a felony offense within the last seven years
12	that hasn't been sealed or explunged? If Yes, state the nature of the offense and the date the event took place. (Answernig yes will not necessarily be a bar to employment and
13	will be considered in relationship to the position for which you are applying.)
14	<i>California Applicants Only.</i> In the last seven years have youever been convicted of a felony that hasn't been sealed or expunged OTHER THAN (1) a marijuana-related
15	conviction that occurred more than two years ago; and (2) an offense for which you were refereed to, and participated in, any pretrial or post trial diversion program? If
16 17	Yes, state the nature of the offense and the date the event took place. (Answernig yes will not necessarily be a bar to employment and will be considered in relationship to the position for which you are applying.)
18	66. The purported disclosures are embedded with extraneous information including but not
19	limited to information pertaining to Pennsylvania applicants and Massachusetts applicants.
20	67. Because the purported disclosures are embedded with extraneous information, and are not
21	clear and unambiguous disclosures in stand-alone documents, they do not meet the requirements under the
22	law.
23	68. Under the ICRAA, it is unlawful to procure or caused to be procured, a consumer report or
24	investigative consumer report for employment purposes unless the disclosure is made in a document that
25	consists solely of the disclosure and the consumer has authorized, in writing, the procurement of the report.
26	Cal. Civ. Code § 1786.16(a)(2)(B)-(C). The inclusion of a release as well as extraneous information,
27	therefore, violates § 1786.16(a)(2)(B) of the ICRAA.
28	69. The plain language of the statute clearly indicates that the inclusion of extraneous

information in a disclosure form violates the disclosure and authorization requirements of the ICRAA, because such a form would not consist "solely" of the disclosure.

70. By including extraneous information, Defendants willfully violated § 1786.16(a)(2)(B) of the ICRAA. Additionally, the inclusion of the extraneous provisions causes the disclosure to fail to be "clear and conspicuous" and thus violates § 1786.16(a)(2)(B).

71. Based upon facts that are likely to have evidentiary support after a reasonable opportunity for investigation and discovery, Plaintiff alleges that Defendants have a policy and practice of failing to provide adequate written disclosures to applicants and employees, before procuring background checks or causing background checks to be procured, as described above. Pursuant to that policy and practice, Defendants procured background checks or caused background checks to be procured for Plaintiff and class members without first providing a written disclosure in compliance with § 1786.16(a)(2)(B) of the ICRAA, as described above.

72. Defendants' conduct in violation of § 1786.16(a)(2)(B) of the ICRAA was and is willful and/or grossly negligent. Defendants acted in deliberate or reckless disregard of their obligations and the rights of applicants and employees, including Plaintiff and class members. Defendants' willful conduct is reflected by, among other things, the following facts:

(a) Defendants are large corporations with access to legal advice;

(b) Defendants required a purported authorization to perform credit and background checks in the process of employing the class members which, although defective, evidences Defendants' awareness of and willful failure to follow the governing laws concerning such authorizations; and

(c) The plain language of the statute unambiguously indicates that inclusion of a liability release and other extraneous information in a disclosure form violates the disclosure and authorization requirements, and that the disclosure form must contain the name, address, phone number, and/or website address of the investigative consumer reporting agency conducting the investigation.

73. As a result of Defendants' illegal procurement of background reports by way of their inadequate disclosures, as set forth above, Plaintiff and class members have been injured including, but not

	Case 5:17-cv-03300-BLF Document 87 Filed 04/02/20 Page 18 of 38
1	limited to, having their privacy and statutory rights invaded in violation of the ICRAA.
2	74. Plaintiff, on behalf of herself and all class members, seeks all available remedies pursuant
3	to Cal. Civ. Code § 1786.50, including statutory damages and/or actual damages, punitive damages, and
4	attorneys' fees and costs.
5	75. In the alternative to Plaintiff's allegation that these violations were willful or grossly
6	negligent, Plaintiff alleges that the violations were negligent and seeks the appropriate remedy, if any,
7	under Cal. Civ. Code § 1786.50(a), including actual damages and attorneys' fees and costs.
8	FOURTH CAUSE OF ACTION
9	FAILURE TO MAKE PROPER DISCLOSURE IN VIOLATION OF CCRAA
10	(Cal. Civ. Code § 1785 et seq.)
11	(By Plaintiff and the CCRAA Class against all Defendants)
12	76. Plaintiff incorporates all paragraphs of this Complaint as if fully alleged herein.
13	77. Defendants are "persons" as defined by Section 1785.3(j) of the Consumer Credit
14	Reporting Agencies Act ("CCRAA").
15	78. Plaintiff and CCRAA Class members are "consumers" within the meaning Section
16	1785.3(b) of the CCRAA, because they are "natural individuals."
17	79. Section 1785.3(c) of the ICRAA defines "consumer credit report" as:
18	any written, oral, or other communication of any information by a consumer credit
19	reporting agency bearing on a consumer's credit worthiness, credit standing, or credit capacity, which is used or is expected to be used, or collected in whole or in part, for the
20	purpose of serving as a factor in establishing the consumer's eligibility for:(2) employment purposes
21	Thus, a credit report qualifies as a consumer credit report under the CCRAA.
22	80. Section 1785.20.5(a) of the CCRAA provides, in relevant part:
23	Prior to requesting a consumer credit report for employment purposes, the user of the
24	report shall provide written notice to the person involved. The notice shall inform the person that a report will be used, and <i>shall identify the specific basis under subdivision</i>
25	(a) of Section 1024.5 of the Labor Code for use of the report. The notice shall also inform the person of the source of the report
26	(Emphasis added.)
27	81. As described above, Plaintiff alleges that in evaluating her and other class members for
28	employment, Defendants procured or caused to be prepared consumer credit reports (e.g. credit reports), as

	Case 5:17-cv-03300-BLF Document 87 Filed 04/02/20 Page 19 of 38
1	defined by Cal. Civ. Code § 1785.3(c).
2	82. When Plaintiff applied for employment with Defendants, Defendants required her to fill
3	out and sign a Document entitled "Employment Application" ("Application").
4	83. In pertinent part the two page Application states:
5	Applicant in All States Other Than California, Massachusetts and the City of
6	Philadelphia. Have you been convicted of a felony offense within the last seven years that hasn't been sealed or explunged? If Yes, state the nature of the offense and the date
7	the event took place. (Answernig yes will not necessarily be a bar to employment and will be considered in relationship to the position for which you are applying.)
8	<i>California Applicants Only.</i> In the last seven years have youever been convicted of a felony that hasn't been sealed or expunged OTHER THAN (1) a marijuana-related
9	conviction that occurred more than two years ago; and (2) an offense for which you were refereed to, and participated in, any pretrial or post trial diversion program? If
10	Yes, state the nature of the offense and the date the event took place. (Answernig yes will not necessarily be a bar to employment and will be considered in relationship to the
11	position for which you are applying.)
12	84. The Authorization does not inform that person that a report will be used, does not identify
13	the specific basis under subdivision (a) of Section 1024.5 of the Labor Code for use of the credit report.
14	Nor does the Authorization identify the source of any credit report. Both of these omissions Authorization
15	clearly violate § 1785.20.5(a) of the CCRAA, as delineated above.
16	85. Based upon facts that are likely to have evidentiary support after a reasonable opportunity
17	for investigation and discovery, Plaintiff alleges that Defendants have a policy and practice of failing to
18	provide adequate written disclosures to applicants and employees, before procuring credit reports or
19	causing credit reports to be procured, as described above. Pursuant to that policy and practice, Defendants
20	procured credit reports or caused credit reports to be procured for Plaintiff and class members without first
21	providing a written notice in compliance with § 1785.20.5(a) of the CCRAA, as described above.
22	86. Defendants' conduct in violation of § 1785.20.5(a) of the CCRAA was and is willful
23	and/or grossly negligent. Defendants acted in deliberate or reckless disregard of their obligations and the
24	rights of applicants and employees, including Plaintiff and class members. Defendants' willful conduct is
25	reflected by, among other things, the following facts:
26	(a) Defendants are large corporations with access to legal advice;
27	(b) Defendants required a purported authorization to perform credit checks in the
28	process of employing the class members which, although defective, evidences

	Case 5:17-cv-03300-BLF Document 87 Filed 04/02/20 Page 20 of 38				
1	Defendants' awareness of and willful failure to follow the governing laws				
2	concerning such authorizations; and				
3	(c) The plain language of the statute unambiguously indicates that failure to include				
4	the provisions identified above violates the CCRAA's notice requirements, and that				
5	the notice must identify the specific basis under subdivision (a) of Section 1024.5				
6	of the Labor Code for use of the credit report and must identify the source of any				
7	credit report.				
8	87. As a result of Defendants' illegal procurement of credit reports by way of their inadequate				
9	notice, as set forth above, Plaintiff and class members have been injured including, but not limited to,				
10	having their privacy and statutory rights invaded in violation of the CCRAA.				
11	88. Plaintiff, on behalf of herself and all class members, seeks all available remedies pursuant				
12	to Cal. Civ. Code § 1785.31, including statutory damages and/or actual damages, punitive damages,				
13	injunctive relief, and attorneys' fees and costs.				
14	89. In the alternative to Plaintiff's allegation that these violations were willful, Plaintiff alleges				
15	that the violations were negligent and seeks the appropriate remedy, if any, under Cal. Civ. Code §				
16	1785.31(a)(1), including but not limited to actual damages and attorneys' fees and costs.				
17	FIFTH CAUSE OF ACTION				
18	FAILURE TO PROVIDE MEAL PERIODS				
19	(Lab. Code §§ 204, 223, 226.7, 512, and 1198)				
20	(Plaintiff and Meal Break Sub-Class)				
21	90. Plaintiff incorporates the preceding paragraphs of the Complaint as if fully alleged herein.				
22	91. At all relevant times, Plaintiff and the Meal Break Sub-Class members have been non-				
23	exempt employees of Defendants entitled to the full meal period protections of both the Labor Code and				
24	the Wage Order.				
25	92. Labor Code § 512 and Section 11 of the applicable Wage Order impose an affirmative				
26	obligation on employers to provide non-exempt employees with uninterrupted, duty-free, meal periods of				
27	at least thirty minutes for each work period of five hours, and to provide them with two uninterrupted,				
28	duty-free, meal periods of at least thirty minutes for each work period of ten hours.				

93. Labor Code § 226.7 and Section 11 the Wage Order both prohibit employers from requiring employees to work during required meal periods and require employers to pay non-exempt employees an hour of premium wages on each workday that the employee is not provided with the required meal period.

94. Compensation for missed meal periods constitutes wages within the meaning of the California Labor Code § 200.

95. Labor Code § 1198 makes it unlawful to employ a person under conditions that violate theWage Order.

96. Section 11 of the Wage Order states: "Unless the employee is relieved of all duty during a 30 minute meal period, the meal period shall be considered an 'on duty' meal period and counted as time worked. An 'on duty' meal period shall be permitted only when the nature of the work prevents an employee from being relieved of all duty and when by written agreement between the parties an on-the-job paid meal period is agreed to. The written agreement shall state that the employee may, in writing, revoke the agreement at any time." 8 Cal. Code Regs. § 11040(11).

97. At all relevant times, Plaintiff was not subject to a valid on-duty meal period agreement.
Plaintiff is informed and believes that, at all relevant times, Meal Break Sub-Class members were not subject to valid on-duty meal period agreements with Defendants.

Unprovided Meal Periods

98. Plaintiff alleges that, at relevant times during the applicable limitations period, Defendants maintained a policy or practice of requiring Plaintiff and members of the **Meal Break Sub-Class** to clock out for their meal period but continue working.

99. Plaintiff alleges that, at relevant times during the applicable limitations period, due to Defendants above mentioned policy or practice, Plaintiff and members of the **Meal Break Sub-Class** were not provided with uninterrupted meal periods of at least thirty (30) minutes for each five (5) hour work period, as required by Labor Code § 512 and the Wage Order.

Late Meal Periods

100. Plaintiff alleges that, at relevant times during the applicable limitations period,Defendants maintained a policy or practice of failing to provide Plaintiff and members of the Meal Break

Sub-Class a meal period before the end of the fifth hour.

101. Plaintiff alleges that, at relevant times during the applicable limitations period,
Defendants maintained a policy or practice of failing to requiring Plaintiff and members of the Meal
Break Sub-Class to be released for a meal period by a supervisor and/or manager. Due to the amount of work and pressure to get the job done, Plaintiff and Meal Break Sub-Class members were routinely
denied a meal period before the end of the fifth hour.

102. Plaintiff alleges that at all relevant times during the applicable limitations period and as matters of policy and practice, Defendants have failed to pay premium wages to **Meal Break Sub-Class** members when they worked five (5) hours without clocking out for any meal period.

Unprovided Second Meal Periods

103. Plaintiff alleges that at all relevant times during the applicable limitations period and as matters of policy and practice, Plaintiff and **Meal Break Sub-Class** members worked shifts in excess of ten (10) hours approximately two or three times per week.

104. Plaintiff alleges that at all relevant times during the applicable limitations period and as matters of policy and practice, Defendants employed **Meal Break Sub-Class** members for shifts of ten (10) or more hours without providing them with second meal periods and without paying them premium wages, as required by Labor Code § 512 and the Wage Order.

105. At all relevant times, Defendants failed to pay Plaintiff and **Meal Break Sub-Class** members additional premium wages, and/or were not paid premium wages at the employees' regular rates of pay when required meal periods were not provided.

106. Pursuant to Labor Code §§ 204, 218.6, and 226.7, Plaintiff, on behalf of himself and the **Meal Break Sub-Class** members, seeks to recover unpaid premium wages, interest thereon, and costs of suit.

107. Pursuant to Labor Code § 1194, Code of Civil Procedure § 1021.5, the substantial benefit doctrine, and/or the common fund doctrine, Plaintiff, on behalf of himself and the **Meal Break Sub-Class** members, seeks to recover reasonable attorneys' fees.

SIXTH CAUSE OF ACTION

FAILURE TO PROVIDE REST PERIODS

(Lab. Code §§ 204, 223, 226.7, and 1198)

(Plaintiff and the Rest Break Sub-Class)

108. Plaintiff incorporates the preceding paragraphs of the Complaint as if fully alleged herein.
109. At all relevant times, Plaintiff and **Rest Break Sub-Class** members have been non-exempt
employees of Defendants entitled to the full rest period protections of both the Labor Code and the Wage
Order.

110. Section 12 of the Wage Order imposes an affirmative obligation on employers to permit and authorize employees to take required rest periods at a rate of no less than ten minutes of net rest time for each four hour work period, or major portion thereof, that must be in the middle of each work period insofar as is practicable.

111. Labor Code § 226.7 and Section 12 the Wage Order both prohibit employers from requiring employees to work during required rest periods and require employers to pay non-exempt employees an hour of premium wages at the employees regular rate of pay, on each workday that the employee is not provided with the required rest period(s).

112. Compensation for missed rest periods constitutes wages within the meaning of the California Labor Code § 200.

113. Labor Code § 1198 makes it unlawful to employ a person under conditions that violate the Wage Order.

114. Plaintiff alleges that at relevant times during the applicable limitations period, Defendants maintained a policy or practice of not providing members of the **Rest Break Sub-Class** members with net rest periods of a least ten (10) minutes for each four (4) hour work period, or major portion thereof, as required by the Wage Order.

115. At all relevant times, Defendants failed to pay Plaintiff and other **Rest Break Sub-Class** members additional premium wages when required rest periods were not provided.

Pursuant to Labor Code §§ 204, 218.6, and 226.7, Plaintiff, on behalf of himself, Rest
 Break Sub-Class members, seeks to recover unpaid premium wages, interest thereon, and costs of suit.

117. Pursuant to Labor Code § 1194, Code of Civil Procedure § 1021.5, the substantial benefit doctrine, and/or the common fund doctrine, Plaintiff, on behalf of himself and **Rest Break Sub-Class** members, seeks to recover reasonable attorneys' fees.

SEVENTH CAUSE OF ACTION FAILURE TO PAY HOURLY AND OVERTIME WAGES (Lab. Code §§ 223, 510, 1194, 1197, and 1198)

(By Plaintiff, Specialty Commodities/ADM Class)

118. Plaintiff incorporates the preceding paragraphs of the Complaint as if fully alleged herein.
119. At all relevant times, Plaintiff and Specialty Commodities/ADM Class members are or
have been non-exempt employees of Defendants entitled to the full protections of the Labor Code and the
Wage Orders.

120. Section 2 of the Wage Order defines "hours worked" as "the time during which an employee is subject to the control of an employer, and includes all the time the employee is suffered or permitted to work, whether or not required to do so."

121. Section 4 of the Wage Order requires an employer to pay non-exempt employees at least the minimum wage set forth therein for all hours worked, which consist of all hours that an employer has actual or constructive knowledge that employees are working.

122. Labor Code § 1194 invalidates any agreement between an employer and an employee to work for less than the minimum or overtime wage required under the applicable Wage Orders.

123. Labor Code § 1194.2 entitles non-exempt employees to recover liquidated damages in amounts equal to the amounts of unpaid minimum wages and interest thereon in addition to the underlying unpaid minimum wages and interest thereon.

124. Labor Code § 1197 makes it unlawful for an employer to pay an employee less than the minimum wage required under the applicable Wage Orders for all hours worked during a payroll period.

125. Labor Code § 1197.1 provides that it is unlawful for any employer or any other person acting either individually or as an officer, agent, or employee of another person, to pay an employee, or cause an employee to be paid, less than the applicable minimum wage.

126. Labor Code § 1198 makes it unlawful for employers to employ employees under

conditions that violate the Wage Order.

127. Labor Code § 204 requires employers to pay non-exempt employees their earned wages for the normal work period at least twice during each calendar month on days the employer designates in advance and to pay non-exempt employees their earned wages for labor performed in excess of the normal work period by no later than the next regular payday.

128. Labor Code § 223 makes it unlawful for employers to pay their employees lower wages than required by contract or statute while purporting to pay them legal wages.

129. Labor Code § 510 and Section 3 of the Wage Order require employers to pay non-exempt employees overtime wages of no less than one and one-half times their respective regular rates of pay for all hours worked in excess of eight hours in one workday, all hours worked in excess of forty hours in one workday, all hours worked in excess of forty hours in one workweek, and/or for the first eight hours worked on the seventh consecutive day of one workweek.

130. Labor Code § 510 and Section 3 of the Wage Order also require employers to pay nonexempt employees overtime wages of no less than two times their respective regular rates of pay for all hours worked in excess of twelve hours in one workday and for all hours worked in excess of eight hours on a seventh consecutive workday during a workweek.

131. Plaintiff is informed and believes that, at all relevant times, Defendants have applied centrally devised policies and practices to him and **Specialty Commodities/ADM Class** members with respect to working conditions and compensation arrangements.

Overtime and Double Time

132. At relevant times during the applicable limitations period, Defendants maintained a policy or practice of requiring Plaintiff and members of the **Specialty Commodities/ADM Class** to work shifts in excess of ten (10) hours without paying them overtime and double time wages for all overtime and double time hours worked.

133. As a result of Defendants' unlawful conduct, Plaintiff and the other class members have suffered damages in an amount, subject to proof, to the extent they were not paid the full amount of wages earned during each pay period during the applicable limitations period, including overtime wages.

134.Pursuant to Labor Code §§ 204, 218.6, 223, 510, 1194, and 1194.2 Plaintiff, on behalf ofhimself and Specialty Commodities/ADM Class members, seeks to recover unpaid straight time and

	Case 5:17-cv-03300-BLF Document 87 Filed 04/02/20 Page 26 of 38			
1	overtime wages, interest thereon, and costs of suit.			
2	135. Pursuant to Labor Code § 1194, Code of Civil Procedure § 1021.5, the			
3	136. substantial benefit doctrine, and/or the common fund doctrine, Plaintiff, on behalf of			
4	himself and Specialty Commodities/ADM Class members, seeks to recover reasonable attorneys' fees.			
5	EIGHTH CAUSE OF ACTION			
6	FAILURE TO PAY EMPLOYEES FOR ALL HOURS WORKED			
7	IN VIOLATION OF THE FEDERAL FAIR LABOR STANDARDS ACT			
8	(29 U.S.C. § 201, et seq.)			
9	(Plaintiff and FLSA Class)			
10	137. Plaintiff incorporates the preceding paragraphs of the Second Amended Complaint as if			
11	fully alleged herein.			
12	138. At all material times herein, Plaintiff and all similarly situated FLSA Class members who			
13	submit Consents to become parties are or were employed by and engaged in providing services necessary			
14	to day to day operations of California locations of Defendant, and have been entitled to the rights,			
15	protections, and benefits provided under the FLSA, 29 U.S.C. §§ 201 et seq.			
16	139. The FLSA requires, among other things, that employers pay employees the minimum			
17	wage for all time worked plus overtime. 29 U.S.C. §§ 206, 207, 215.			
18	140. At all material times, the all hours worked, all overtime hours worked, and all double time			
19	hours worked are necessarily and directly related to the principal activities of the employees' duties, and			
20	thus constitutes compensable time under the FLSA and is subject to the FLSA's overtime requirements. 29			
21	C.F.R. § 785.38.			
22	141. At all material times herein, Defendants have violated the FLSA by failing to pay Plaintiff			
23	and the FLSA Class for all hours worked, failing to pay them for all overtime hours worked, and failing to			
24	pay them for all double time hours worked.			
25	142. At all material times herein, Defendants have violated the FLSA by failing to pay the			

FLSA Class at one-and-one-half (1.5) times the regular rate of pay employees' total hours worked exceeded forty (40) hours in a week.

143. Defendants have also violated the FLSA by failing to keep required, accurate records of all

hours worked by their FLSA Class. 29 U.S.C. § 2ll(c).

144. Plaintiffs and all similarly situated employees are victims of a uniform and entity-wide compensation policy. This uniform policy, in violation of the FLSA, has been applied to all employees employed by Defendants.

145. Plaintiff and all similarly situated individuals are entitled to damages equal to the mandated pay and overtime premium pay within the three (3) years preceding the filing of this Complaint, plus periods of equitable tolling, because Defendants acted willfully and knew or showed reckless disregard of whether their conduct was prohibited by the FLSA.

146. Defendants have acted neither in good faith nor with reasonable grounds to believe that their actions and omissions were not a violation of the FLSA. Plaintiff and other similarly situated individuals are entitled to recover an award of liquidated damages in an amount equal to the amount of unpaid compensation, including overtime pay, and/or prejudgment interest at the applicable rate. 29 U.S.C. § 216(b).

147. As a result of violations of the FLSA's minimum wage and overtime pay provisions,
Defendants have unlawfully withheld compensation from Plaintiff and all similarly situated individuals.
Defendants are liable for unpaid compensation, together with an amount equal as liquidated damages,
attorneys' fees and costs of this action. 29 U.S.C.§ 216(b).

NINTH CAUSE OF ACTION

FAILURE TO PROVIDE ACCURATE WRITTEN WAGE STATEMENTS

(Lab. Code § 226)

(By Plaintiff and Wage Statement Penalties Class)

148. Plaintiff incorporates the preceding paragraphs of the Complaint as if fully alleged herein. 149. Labor Code § 226(a) states in pertinent part the following: "(a) every employer shall, semimonthly or at the time of each payment of wages, furnish each of his or her employees, either as a detachable part of the check, draft, or voucher paying the employee's wages, or separately when wages are paid by personal check or cash, an accurate itemized statement in writing showing (1) gross wages earned, (2) total hours worked by the employer, except for an employee whose compensation is solely based on a salary and who is exempt from payment of overtime under subdivision (a) of section 515 or any applicable

Case 5:17-cv-03300-BLF Document 87 Filed 04/02/20 Page 28 of 38

order of the Industrial Welfare Commission, (3) the number of piece-rate units earned and any applicable piece rate if the employee is aid on a piece-rate basis, (4) all deductions, provided that all deductions made on written orders of the employee may be aggregated and shown as one time, (5) net wages earned, (6) the inclusive dates of the period for which the employee is paid, (7) the name of the employee and only the last four digits of his or her social security number or an employee identification number other than a social security number, (8) the name and address of the legal entity that is the employer and, if the employer".

150. Plaintiff is informed and believes that, at all relevant times, Defendants have failed to provide **Wage Statement Penalties Class** members with written wage statements as described *supra* in this complaint.

151. Plaintiff is informed and believes that, at all relevant times, Defendants have failed to provide **Wage Statement Penalties Class** members with written wage statements that that comply with the requirements of Labor Code § 226(a) because applicable meal and rest period premiums were not included and thus gross wages earned was not accurately reflected.

152. Plaintiff is informed and believes that, at all relevant times, Defendants have failed to provide **Wage Statement Penalties Class** members with written wage statements that that comply with the requirements of Labor Code § 226(a) because overtime and double time wages for all overtime and double time hours worked was not included and thus gross wages earned was not accurately reflected. Salaried exempt employees are excluded from this alleged violation of Labor Code § 226(a).

153. Plaintiff is informed and believes that, at all relevant times, Defendants have failed to provide **Wage Statement Penalties Class** members with written wage statements that that comply with the requirements of Labor Code § 226(a) because total hours worked are not reflected. Salaried exempt employees are excluded from this alleged violation of Labor Code § 226(a).

154. Plaintiff is informed and believes that, at all relevant times, Defendants have failed to provide **Wage Statement Penalties Class** members with written wage statements that that comply with the requirements of Labor Code § 226(a) because applicable meal and rest period premiums were not included and thus net wages earned was not accurately reflected.

155. Plaintiff is informed and believes that, at all relevant times, Defendants have failed to

provide **Wage Statement Penalties Class** members with written wage statements that that comply with the requirements of Labor Code § 226(a) because overtime and double time wages for all overtime and double time hours worked was not included and thus net wages earned was not accurately reflected. Salaried exempt employees are excluded from this alleged violation of Labor Code § 226(a).

156. Plaintiff is informed and believes that, at all relevant times, Defendants have failed to provide **Wage Statement Penalties Class** members with written wage statements that that comply with the requirements of Labor Code § 226(a) because the name and address of the legal entity that is the employer is not reflected.

157. Plaintiff is informed and believes that, at all relevant times, Defendants have failed to provide **Wage Statement Penalties Class** members with written wage statements that that comply with the requirements of Labor Code § 226(a) because the rate for premiums hours worked is not reflected. Salaried exempt employees are excluded from this alleged violation of Labor Code § 226(a).

158. Plaintiff is informed and believes that, at all relevant times, Defendants have failed to provide **Wage Statement Penalties Class** members with written wage statements that that comply with the requirements of Labor Code § 226(a) because gross wages earned was not included.

159. Plaintiff is informed and believes that, at all relevant times, Defendants have failed to provide **Wage Statement Penalties Class** members with written wage statements that that comply with the requirements of Labor Code § 226(a) because hourly and overtime wages for time spent working during unpaid meal periods was not included and thus gross wages earned was not accurately reflected. Salaried exempt employees are excluded from this alleged violation of Labor Code § 226(a).

160. Plaintiff is informed and believes that, at all relevant times, Defendants have failed to provide **Wage Statement Penalties Class** members with written wage statements that that comply with the requirements of Labor Code § 226(a) because applicable meal and rest period premiums were not included and thus gross wages earned was not accurately reflected.

161. Plaintiff is informed and believes that, at all relevant times, Defendants have failed to provide **Wage Statement Penalties Class** members with written wage statements that that comply with the requirements of Labor Code § 226(a) because overtime and double time wages for all overtime and double time hours worked was not included and thus gross wages earned was not accurately reflected.

Salaried exempt employees are excluded from this alleged violation of Labor Code § 226(a).

162. Plaintiff is informed and believes that, at all relevant times, Defendants have failed to provide Wage Statement Penalties Class members with written wage statements that that comply with the requirements of Labor Code § 226(a) because hourly and overtime hours for time spent working during unpaid meal periods was not included and thus total hours worked was not accurately reflected. Salaried exempt employees are excluded from this alleged violation of Labor Code § 226(a).

Plaintiff is informed and believes that, at all relevant times, Defendants have failed to 163. provide Wage Statement Penalties Class members with written wage statements that that comply with the requirements of Labor Code § 226(a) because hourly and overtime wages for time spent working during unpaid meal periods was not included and thus net wages earned was not accurately reflected.

164. Plaintiff is informed and believes that, at all relevant times, Defendants have failed to provide Wage Statement Penalties Class members with written wage statements that that comply with the requirements of Labor Code § 226(a) because applicable meal and rest period premiums were not included and thus net wages earned was not accurately reflected. Salaried exempt employees are excluded from this alleged violation of Labor Code § 226(a).

165. Plaintiff is informed and believes that, at all relevant times, Defendants have failed to provide Wage Statement Penalties Class members with written wage statements that that comply with the requirements of Labor Code § 226(a) because overtime and double time wages for all overtime and double time hours worked was not included and thus net wages earned was not accurately reflected. Salaried exempt employees are excluded from this alleged violation of Labor Code § 226(a).

166. Plaintiff is informed and believes that, at all relevant times, Defendants have failed to provide Wage Statement Penalties Class members with written wage statements that that comply with the requirements of Labor Code § 226(a) because hourly and overtime hours for time spent working during unpaid meal periods was not included and thus all applicable hourly rates in effect during the pay period and the corresponding number of hours worked at each hourly rate by the employee was not accurately reflected. Salaried exempt employees are excluded from this alleged violation of Labor Code § 226(a).

167. Plaintiff is informed and believes that, at all relevant times, Defendants have failed to

Case 5:17-cv-03300-BLF Document 87 Filed 04/02/20 Page 31 of 38

provide **Wage Statement Penalties Class** members with written wage statements that that comply with the requirements of Labor Code § 226(a) because overtime and double time hours for all overtime and double time hours worked was not included and thus all applicable hourly rates in effect during the pay period and the corresponding number of hours worked at each hourly rate by the employee was not accurately reflected. Salaried exempt employees are excluded from this alleged violation of Labor Code § 226(a).

168. Plaintiff is informed and believes that Defendants' failures to provide him and **Wage Statement Penalties Sub-Class** members with accurate written wage statements have been intentional in that Defendants have the ability to provide them with accurate wage statements but have intentionally provided them with written wage statements that Defendants have known to not comply with Labor Code 226(a).

169. Plaintiff and **Wage Statement Penalties Class** members have suffered injuries, in that Defendants have violated their legal rights to receive accurate wage statements and have misled them about their actual rates of pay and wages earned. In addition, inaccurate information on their wage statements has prevented immediate challenges to Defendants' unlawful pay practices, has required discovery and mathematical computations to determine the amount of wages owed, has caused difficulty and expense in attempting to reconstruct time and pay records, and/or has led to the submission of inaccurate information about wages and deductions to state and federal government agencies.

170. Pursuant to Labor Code § 226(e), Plaintiff, on behalf of himself and Wage Statement
Penalties Class members, seeks the greater of actual damages or \$50.00 for the initial pay period in which a violation of Labor Code § 226(a) occurred, and \$100.00 for each subsequent pay period in which a violation of Labor Code § 226(a) occurred, not to exceed an aggregate penalty of \$4000.00 per class member, as well as awards of reasonable costs and attorneys' fees.

TENTH CAUSE OF ACTION

FAILURE TO TIMELY PAY ALL FINAL WAGES

(Lab. Code §§ 201-203)

(Plaintiff and Waiting Time Penalties Sub-Class)

171. Plaintiff incorporates the preceding paragraphs of the Complaint as if fully alleged herein.

172. At all relevant times, Plaintiff and **Waiting Time Penalties Sub-Class** members have been entitled, upon the end of their employment with Defendants, to timely payment of all wages earned and unpaid before termination or resignation.

173. At all relevant times, pursuant to Labor Code section 201, employees who have been discharged have been entitled to payment of all final wages immediately upon termination.

174. At all relevant times, pursuant to Labor Code section 202, employees who have resigned after giving at least seventy-two (72) hours notice of resignation have been entitled to payment of all final wages at the time of resignation.

175. At all relevant times, pursuant to Labor Code section 202, employees who have resigned after giving less than seventy-two (72) hours notice of resignation have been entitled to payment of all final wages within seventy-two (72) hours of giving notice of resignation.

176. During the applicable limitations period, Defendants failed to pay Plaintiff all of her final wages in accordance with the Labor Code by failing to timely pay her all of her final wages.

177. Plaintiff is informed and believes that, at all relevant time during the applicable limitations period, Defendants have failed to timely pay **Waiting Time Penalties Sub-Class** members all of their final wages in accordance with the Labor Code.

178. Plaintiff is informed and believes that, at all relevant times during the applicable limitations period, Defendants have maintained a policy or practice of paying **Waiting Time Penalties Sub-Class** members their final wages without regard to the requirements of Labor Code sections 201 or 202 by failing to timely pay them all final wages.

179. Plaintiff is informed and believes and thereupon alleges that Defendants' failure to timely pay all final wages to her and **Waiting Time Penalties Sub-Class** members have been willful in that Defendants have the ability to pay final wages in accordance with Labor Code sections 201 and/or 202 but have intentionally adopted policies or practices that are incompatible with those requirements.

180. Pursuant to Labor Code sections 203 and 218.6, Plaintiff, on behalf of herself and WaitingTime Penalties Sub-Class members, seek waiting time penalties from the dates that their final wageshave first become due until paid, up to a maximum of thirty days, and interest thereon.

181. Pursuant to Code of Civil Procedure section 1021.5, the substantial benefit doctrine and/or

1 the common fund doctrine, Plaintiff, on behalf of herself and Waiting Time Penalties Sub-Class 2 members, seek awards of reasonable attorneys' fees and costs. 3 **ELEVENTH CAUSE OF ACTION** 4 **UNFAIR COMPETITION** 5 (Bus. & Prof. Code §§ 17200, et seq.) 6 (By Plaintiff and UCL Class) 7 182. Plaintiff incorporates the preceding paragraphs of the Complaint as if fully alleged herein. 8 183. Business and Professions Code § 17200 defines "unfair competition" to include any 9 unlawful business practice. 184. Business and Professions Code §§ 17203–17204 allow a person who has lost money or property as a result of unfair competition to bring a class action in accordance with Code of Civil Procedure § 382 to recover money or property that may have been acquired from similarly situated persons by means of unfair competition. 185. California law requires employers to pay hourly, non-exempt, employees for all hours they are permitted or suffered to work, including hours that the employer knows or reasonably should know that employees have worked. Plaintiff and the UCL Class realleges and incorporates by reference the FIFTH, SIXTH, 186. SEVENTH and EIGHTH causes of action herein. 187. Plaintiff lost money or property as a result of the aforementioned unfair competition. Defendants have, or may have, acquired money by means of unfair competition. 188. 189. Plaintiff is informed and believes and theron alleges that, by committing the Labor Code violations described in this complaint, Defendants violated Labor Code §§ 215, 216, 225, 226.6, 354, 408, 553, 558, 1175, and/or 1199, which make it a misdemeanor to commit the Labor Code violations mentioned herein. Plaintiff also alleges unlawful conduct as a result of violations of 29 U.S.C. § 201, et seq. 190. Defendants have committed criminal conduct through their policies and practices of, *inter* alia, failing to comport with their affirmative obligation on employers to provide non-exempt employees with uninterrupted, duty-free, meal periods of at least thirty minutes for each work period of five or more

hours and by failing to pay non-exempt employee for all hours worked.

Wages and Premium Wages

191. At all relevant times, Plaintiff and **UCL Class** members have been non-exempt employees of Defendants and entitled to the full protections of both the Labor Code and the Wage Order.

192. As stated above, Defendants have violated the Labor Code in multiple respects with regard to Plaintiff and **UCL Class** members, including but not limited to failing to pay them wages, failing to pay them premium wages, and failing to provide them with accurate wage statements, and failing to pay them all wages due upon separation of employment.

193. Defendants have, or may have, acquired money or property from UCL Class members by means of unfair competition in that Plaintiff is informed and believes and thereon alleges that Defendants have failed to pay Plaintiff and UCL Class members wages and premium wages in for missed meal and/or rest periods.

Relief Sought

194. The unlawful conduct of Defendants alleged herein amounts to and constitutes unfair competition within the meaning of Business & Professions Code §§ 17200, *et seq*. Business & Professions Code §§ 17200, *et seq*., protects against unfair competition and allows a person who has suffered an injury-in-fact and has lost money or property as a result of an unfair, unlawful, or fraudulent business practice to seek restitution on his own behalf and on behalf of other similarly situated persons in a class action proceeding.

195. As a result of Defendants' violations of the Labor Code during the applicable limitations period as alleged herein, Plaintiff has suffered an injury-in-fact and has lost money or property in the form of earned wages. Specifically, Plaintiff has lost money or property as a result of the aforementioned conduct.

196. Plaintiff is informed and believes that other similarly situated persons have been subject to the same unlawful policies or practices of Defendants.

197. Due to its unfair and unlawful business practices in violation of the Labor Code and the FLSA as alleged herein, Defendants have gained a competitive advantage over other comparable companies doing business in the State of California that comply with their legal obligations under the Labor Code and the FLSA.

Pursuant to Business & Professions Code § 17203, Plaintiff, on behalf of himself and the 198. other members of the UCL Class, seeks declaratory relief and restitution of all monies rightfully belonging to them that Defendants did not pay them or otherwise retained by means of its unlawful and unfair business practices.

199. Pursuant to Code of Civil Procedure § 1021.5, the substantial benefit doctrine and/or the common fund doctrine, Plaintiff and the other members of the UCL Class are entitled to recover reasonable attorneys' fees in connection with their unfair competition claims.

200. Plaintiff incorporates the preceding paragraphs of the Complaint as if fully alleged herein. 201. During the applicable limitations period, Defendants have violated Labor Code §§ 201, 202, 203, 204, 223, 226(a), 226.7, 510, 512, 1194, 1197 and 1198.

TWELFTH CAUSE OF ACTION

CIVIL PENALTIES

(Lab. Code §§ 2698, et seq.)

202. Labor Code §§ 2699(a) and (g) authorize an aggrieved employee, of behalf of himself and other current and former employees, to bring a representative civil action to recover civil penalties pursuant to the procedures specified in Labor Code § 2699.3 that may, but need not, be brought or maintained as a class action pursuant to Code of Civil Procedure § 382.

203. Plaintiff, as a former employee against whom Defendants committed one or more of the alleged Labor Code violations during the applicable limitations period, is an aggrieved employee within the meaning of Labor Code § 2699(c).

204. Plaintiff has complied with the procedures for bringing suit specified in Labor Code § 2699.3.

205. Pursuant to Labor Code §§ 2699(a) and (f), Plaintiff seeks the following civil penalties for Defendants' violations of Labor Code §§ 201, 202, 203, 204, 223, 226(a), 226.7, 510, 512, 1194, 1197 and 1198:

> (a) For violations of Labor Code §§ 201, 202, 203, 212, 226.7, 1194, 1198, \$100 for each employee per pay period for each initial violation and \$200 for each employee

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

per pay period for each subsequent violation (penalties set by Labor Code § 2699(f)(2));

- (b) For violations of Labor Code § 203, a penalty in an amount not exceeding 30 days pay as waiting time (penalties set by Labor Code § 256).
- (c) For violations of Labor Code § 204, \$100 for each employee for each initial violation that was neither willful nor intentional, \$200 for each employee, plus 25% of the amount unlawfully withheld from each employee, for each initial violation that was either willful or intentional, and \$200 for each employee, plus 25% of the amount unlawfully withheld from each employee, for each subsequent violation, regardless of whether the subsequent violation was either willful or intentional (penalties set by Labor Code § 210);
- (d) For violations of Labor Code § 223, \$100 for each employee for each initial violation that was neither willful nor intentional, \$200 for each employee, plus 25% of the amount unlawfully withheld from each employee, for each initial violation that was either willful or intentional, and \$200 for each employee, plus 25% of the amount unlawfully withheld from each employee, for each subsequent violation, regardless of whether the subsequent violation was either willful or intentional (penalties set by Labor Code § 225.5);
 - (e) For violations of Labor Code § 226(a), if this action is deemed to be an initial citation, \$250 for each employee for each violation. Alternatively, if an initial citation or its equivalent occurred before the filing of this action, \$1,000 for each employee for each violation (penalties set by Labor Code § 226.3);
 - (f) For violations of Labor Code §§ 510 and 512, \$50 for each employee for each initial pay period for which the employee was underpaid, and \$100 for each employee for each subsequent pay period for which the employee was underpaid, in addition to all underpaid wages (penalties set by Labor Code § 558);
 - (g) For any violation of a section of Chapter 1 of Part 2 of Division 2 of the LaborCode or any provision regulating hours and days of work in any order of the

Case 5:17-cv	-03300-BLF Document 87 Filed 04/02/20 Page 37 of 38			
	Industrial Welfare Commission, \$50 for each employee for each initial violation,			
and \$100 for each employee for each subsequent violation; and,				
(h) For violations of Labor Code § 1197, \$100 for each aggrieved employee for each				
initial violation of Labor Code § 1197 that was intentional, and \$250 for each				
aggrieved employee per pay period for each subsequent violation of § 1197,				
regardless of whether the initial violation was intentional (penalties set by Labor				
	Code § 1197.1).			
206. Pursu	ant to Labor Code § 2699(g), Plaintiff seeks awards of reasonable costs and			
attorneys' fees in cor	nnection with his claims for civil penalties.			
PRAYER FOR RELIEF				
WHEREFORE, Plaintiff, on behalf of himself, all others similarly situated, and the general public,				
prays for relief and judgment against Defendants as follows:				
(a)	An order that the action be certified as a class action;			
(b)	An order that Plaintiff be appointed class representative;			
(c)	An order that counsel for Plaintiff be appointed class counsel;			
(d)	Unpaid Wages;			
(e)	Actual Damages;			
(f)	Restitution;			
(g)	Declaratory relief;			
(h)	Pre-judgment interest;			
(i)	Statutory penalties;			
(j)	Civil penalties;			
(k)	Costs of suit;			
(1)	Reasonable attorneys' fees; and			
(m)	Such other relief as the Court deems just and proper.			
///				
///				
///				
	(h) (h) (h) (h) (h) (h) (h) (h) (h) (c) (h) (c) (d) (c) (c) (d) (c) (c) (d) (c) (c) (c) (c) (c) (c) (c) (c) (c) (c			

	Case 5:17-cv-03300-BLF Document 87 Filed 04/02/20	Page 38 of 38			
1	DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL				
2	2 Plaintiff, on behalf of himself, all others similarly situated, and	Plaintiff, on behalf of himself, all others similarly situated, and the general public, hereby demands			
3	3 a jury trial on all issues so triable.				
4	4				
5	5 DATED: October 31, 2019 SETAREH LAW GROU	JP			
6	6 /s/ Shaun Setareh				
7	7 SHAUN SETAREH				
8	8 Attorneys for Plaintiff ANDREW QUIRUZ				
9	9				
10	10				
11	11				
12	12				
13	13				
14					
15					
16					
17					
18 19					
20					
20					
22					
23					
24					
25	25				
26	26				
27	27				
28	28				
I	11				