Plaintiffs Daniel Ramos-Rios and Siu Gordon Lau's ("Plaintiffs") Motion for Final Approval of Class Action and PAGA Settlement was filed on December 30, 2019. Due and adequate notice having been given to the Class, and the Court having considered the Settlement, all papers filed and proceedings held herein and all oral and written comments received regarding the proposed settlement, and having reviewed the record in the Actions, and good cause appearing,

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDICATED, AND DECREED AS FOLLOWS:

- 1. All defined terms contained herein shall have the same meanings as set forth in the Amended Joint Stipulation of Class Action and PAGA Settlement ("Settlement").
- 2. The Court has jurisdiction over the subject matter of the Actions, the Class Representatives, the Settlement Class Members, and Defendant.
- 3. The Court finds that the dissemination of the Class Notice as provided for in the Court's Order Granting Plaintiffs' Motion for Preliminary Approval of Class Action and PAGA Settlement, constituted the best notice practicable under the circumstances to all persons within the definition of the Class, and fully met the requirements of California law and due process under the United States Constitution. Based on evidence and other material submitted in conjunction with the Final Approval Hearing, the actual notice to the class was adequate and conformed with the requirements of California Code of Civil Procedure section 382, California Civil Code section 1781, California Rules of Court 3.766 and 3.769, the California and United States Constitutions, and any other applicable law.
 - 4. The Court finds in favor of settlement approval.
- 5. The Court approves the settlement of the above-captioned actions, as set forth in the Settlement, and each of the releases and other terms, as fair, just, reasonable, and adequate as to the Released Parties.

"Released Parties" means Defendant Encore Hospitality Services, LLC and any of its past, present and/or future, subsidiaries, parents, divisions, joint venturers, predecessors, successors, insurers, assigns, consultants, subcontractors, its employee benefit plans and the trustees, fiduciaries, and administrators of those plans, and any of their current or former

28

employees, officers, directors, servants, agents, investors, representatives, attorneys, executors, administrators, and assigns, and all persons acting under, by, through, or in concert with any of them, and each of them.

"Released Claims" means, but is not limited to, all claims, demands, rights, liabilities and causes of action that were or could have been asserted based on the facts and legal theories contained in the Actions for violation of the California Labor Code, the California Business and Professions Code, the PAGA, the applicable Industrial Welfare Commission Orders, or any similar law, whether for liquidated damages, restitution, penalties, other monies, or other relief based on any facts, transactions, events, policies, occurrences, acts, disclosures, statements, omissions or failures to act pled in the Actions, which are or could be the basis of claims that Defendant: (a) failed to pay and/or properly calculate all wages due, including the regular rate of pay, straight time, overtime, double-time, premium pay, and all other forms of wages; (b) failed to provide compliant meal periods and/or proper premium payments in lieu thereof; (c) failed to provide compliant rest breaks and/or proper premium payments in lieu thereof; (d) improperly reduced of and/or failed to provide or pay out all accrued vacation; (e) failed to provide all accurate, complete, and properly formatted wage statements; (f) failed to timely pay wages due during employment or at termination of employment; (g) failed to reimburse for all business expenses; (h) failed to maintain required records; (i) violated Labor Code Sections 201-204, 226, 226.3, 226.7, 227.3, 510, 512, 558, 1174, 1194, 1197, 1198, 2802; (j) violated IWC Wage Order No. 9-2001, Sections 3, 4, 7, 11, 12, (k) engaged in unfair business practices; (l) owes civil penalties pursuant to an enforcement action by the LWDA or pursuant to Cal. Labor Code Sections 2698-2699.5 (PAGA); (m) owes other monies or penalties under the wage and hour laws pleaded in the Actions; and (n) is responsible for the payment damages, penalties, interest and other amounts recoverable under said causes of action alleged in the Actions. (Collectively, all of the foregoing shall be referred to as the "Released Claims.") The period of the Release shall extend to the limits of the Class Period. The res judicata effect of the Judgment will be the same as that of the Release. The definition of Released Claims shall not be limited in any way by the possibility that Plaintiff or Settlement Class Members may discover new facts, legal theories, or

legal arguments not alleged in the operative complaint but which might serve as an alternative basis for pursuing the same claims, causes of action, or legal theories of relief falling within the definition of Released Claims.

"Class Period" means the time period from December 5, 2013 to July 9, 2019.

6. As of the Effective Date, in exchange for the consideration set forth in the Settlement, attached as **Exhibit A** to the Declaration of Matthew J. Matern in Support of Plaintiffs' Motion for Final Approval of Class Action and PAGA Settlement, Settlement Class Members will be deemed to have, and by operation of the Final Approval Order and Judgment, will have, expressly waived and released the Released Parties of the Released Claims (as defined above) to the fullest extent permitted by the law. All Settlement Class Members who do not opt out of the Settlement will be bound by a release of all claims and causes of action falling within the definition of "Released Claims," whether known or unknown, and irrespective of the factual or legal basis for such claims. The scope of the release is limited to the Released Claims.

"Effective Date" means the later of the following: (i) If no objections to the settlement have been filed, or the timely objections have been filed and then withdrawn, then the date the Court enters judgment granting Final Approval; (ii) If an objection to the settlement has been filed, then the date on which time expires to file an appeal of the Court's grant of Final Approval of settlement; or if an objection was filed and a Notice of Appeal of the Court's grant of Final Approval of settlement was timely filed, then the date the appeal is finally resolved, with the final approval unaffected.

7. Solely for purposes of effectuating this settlement, this Court has certified a Class defined as follows:

All current and former employees of Defendant in California who worked for Defendant at any time from December 5, 2013 to July 9, 2019.

The Court deems this definition sufficient for purposes of California Rules of Court 3.765(a) and 3.771.

8. With respect to the Class and for purposes of approving the settlement only and for no other purpose, this Court finds and concludes that: (a) the Settlement Class Members are

ascertainable and so numerous that joinder of all members is impracticable; (b) there are questions of law or fact common to the Settlement Class Members, and there is a well-defined community of interest among the Settlement Class Members with respect to the subject matter of the non-exempt claims in the Actions; (c) the claims of Class Representatives are typical of the claims of the Settlement Class Members; (d) the Class Representatives have fairly and adequately protected the interests of the Settlement Class Members; (e) a class action is superior to other available methods for an efficient adjudication of the Actions; and (f) Class Counsel are qualified to serve as counsel for the Plaintiffs in their individual and representative capacity and for the Settlement Class Members.

- 9. No later than ten (10) days after the Effective Date, Defendant shall provide the Maximum Settlement Amount, in the amount of \$645,000.00, to the Settlement Administrator.
- 10. The Court approves the Individual Settlement Payments, which shall be distributed to Settlement Class Members no later than twenty-five (25) calendar days after the Effective Date, in accordance with the formula set forth in the Settlement. The Court authorizes the Settlement Administrator to distribute the Individual Settlement Payments to Settlement Class Members in accordance with the terms of the Settlement.
- 211. The Maximum Settlement Amount shall cover all anticipated and unanticipated expenses associated with the settlement including the following items: (1) the Individual Settlement Payments to Settlement Class Members; (2) the Class Counsel Award, including an award of reasonable costs associated with Class Counsel's prosecution of the Actions; (3) the Settlement Adminisration Costs; (4) the Class Representative Service Payment Awards; and (5) the PAGA payment. Defendant shall separately pay the employer-side tax contributions attributable to the wage component of the Maximum Settlement Amount. The Court finds that these amounts are fair and reasonable. Defendant is directed to make such payments in accordance with the terms of the Settlement.
- 12. The Court hereby approves the payment of a Class Representative Service Award to Siu Gordon Lau of ten thousand dollars (\$10,000) and the payment of a Class Representative Service Award to Plaintiff Daniel Ramos-Rios of seven thousand five hundred dollars (\$7,500),

28 || ///

///

///

for a total of \$17,500.00.

- 13. The Court hereby awards Class Counsel \$215,000.00 for reasonable attorneys' fees. The Settlement limits Class Counsel's reasonable litigation costs to \$45,000. The Court finds that Class Counsel has incurred \$24,604.13 in reasonable costs and expenses in prosecuting the Actions. The Court awards Class Counsel \$24,604.13 for costs and expenses, to be paid from the Maximum Settlement Amount, with the remaining portion of the \$45,000 allocation to become part of the Net Settlement Amount.
- 14. The Settlement limits the Settlement Administration Costs to \$15,000.00. The Court hereby approves the Settlement Administration Costs to Phoenix Settlement Administrators in the amount of \$9,000.00 to be paid from the Maximum Settlement Amount, with the remaining portion of the \$15,000 allocation to become part of the Net Settlement Amount.
- 15. The Court hereby approves the PAGA Payment to be paid to the California Labor and Workforce Development Agency in the amount of \$7,500.00.
- 16. In the event that an Individual Settlement Payment check remains uncashed after one hundred eighty (180) calendar days from the date of issuance, the sum of the unpaid residue, plus any interest that has accrued thereon, shall be distributed by the Settlement Administrator to Safe Place for Youth, a nonprofit organization that provides services for homeless youth in Los Angeles.
- 17. The Settlement Administrator shall post notice of the Court's Final Order and Judgment on its website within seven (7) calendar days of the Court's entry of the Final Order and Judgment.
- 18. The Court reserves exclusive and continuing jurisdiction over the Actions, the Class Representatives, the Class, and Defendant for the purposes of supervising the implementation, enforcement, construction, administration and interpretation of the Settlement and this Order.

-5-

1	19. The Settlement Administrator shall post notice of this Judgment on its website
2	within seven (7) calendar days of the Court's entry of this Judgment.
3	IT IS SO ORDERED.
4	Λ Ω
5	DATED: Jan 27, 2020 Manuel Brukley
6	HONORABLE DANIEL BUCKLEW
7	Judge of the Superior Court
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	.5
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
2627	
28	

X

 \boxtimes

Ramos-Rios v. Encore Hospitality Services, LLC, LASC Case No. BC685624

Related Case: Lau v. Encore Hospitality Services, LLC, LASC Case No. BC705073

I am employed in the County of Los Angeles, State of California. I am over the age of 18 years, and not a party to this action. My business address is 1230 Rosecrans Avenue, Suite 200, Manhattan Beach, California 90266.

On December 30, 2019, I served the following document or documents:

[PROPOSED] ORDER AND JUDGMENT GRANTING PLAINTIFFS' MOTION FOR FINAL APPROVAL OF CLASS ACTION AND PAGA SETTLEMENT

By electronic service. Based upon a court order authorizing electronic service, I caused a true and correct copy of the document(s) to be electronically served on counsel of record listed below by transmission to Case Anywhere LLC.

Evan R. Moses, Esq. OGLETREE, DEAKINS, NASH, SMOAK & STEWART, P.C. 400 South Hope Street, Suite 1200 Los Angeles, California 90071 Telephone: (213) 239-9800 Facsimile: (213) 39-9045 Email: evan.moses@ogletree.com	Attorneys for Defendant ENCORE HOSPITALITY SERVICES, LLC
Hanna B. Raanan, Esq. Graham Hoerauf, Esq. OGLETREE, DEAKINS, NASH, SMOAK & STEWART, P.C. Park Tower, Suite 1500 695 Town Center Drive Costa Mesa, California 92626 Telephone: (714) 800-7900 Facsimile: (714) 754-1298 Email: hanna.raanan@ogletree.com graham.hoerauf@ogletreedeakins.com	Attorneys for Defendants ENCORE HOSPITALITY SERVICES, LLC

By overnight delivery. I enclosed the documents in an envelope or package provided by an overnight delivery carrier and addressed to the persons at the addresses listed below. I placed the envelope or package for collection and overnight delivery at an office or a regularly utilized drop box of the overnight delivery carrier.

	Dennis S. Hyun	Attorneys for Plaintiff
I	HYUN LEGAL, APC	SIU GÖRDON LAU
	515 S. Figueroa St., Suite 1250	
	Los Angeles, CA 90071	
	Telephone: (213) 488-6555	
Ш	Facsimile: (213) 488-6554	
	Email: dhyun@hyunlegal.com	
Щ	. 0 .	

-7-