## VIA FAX

2

3 4

5 6

7 8

9 10

11 12

13 14

15 16

17

18

19

20

21 22

23

24 25

27

26

28 SPIVAK LAW

Employee Rights Attorneys 16530 Ventura Bivd., Suite 203 Encino, CA 91436 (818) 582-3086 Tel (818) 582-2561 Fax SpivakLaw.com

FILED Superior Court of California County of Los Angeles

NOV 05 2019

Sherri R. Carter, Executive Officer/Clerk aug Deputy Neli M. Raya

RECEIVED NOV 01 2019 NOV STREET SPRING STREET SPRING STREET SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

> FOR THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES - CENTRAL DISTRICT (UNLIMITED JURISDICTION)

ERIC SCHAFLER, on behalf of himself, and all others similarly situated,

Plaintiff(s),

VS.

LA REINA, INC., a California corporation; OLD PUEBLO RANCH INC., a California corporation; and DOES 1 through 50, inclusive,

Defendant(s).

Case No.: BC667533

[PROPOSED] FINAL ORDER AND JUDGMENT APPROVING CLASS **SETTLEMENT** 

Action filed:

07/03/2017

Hearing Date: Hearing Time:

10/21/2019 9:00 a.m.

Hearing Dept:

SSC-9, Hon. Yvette M.

**Palazuelos** 

RECEIVED LOS ANGELES SUPERIOR COURT

OCT 31 2019

S. DREW

SPIVAK LAW
Employee Rights Attorneys
6530 Ventura Blvd., Suite 203

Encino, CA 91436 (818) 582-3086 Tel

(818) 582-2561 Fax

This matter came on for hearing on October 21, 2019 at 9:00 a.m. in Department 9 of the above-captioned court on Plaintiff's Motion for Final Approval of a Class Action Settlement pursuant to California Rules of Court, Rule 3.769, as set forth in the First Amended Joint Stipulation of Class Action Settlement and Release of Claims (the "Settlement Agreement" or "Agreement") filed herewith which provides for a Gross Settlement Amount ("GSA") of \$750,000 in compromise of all disputed claims on behalf of all of Defendant La Reina, Inc.'s and Defendant Old Pueblo Ranch Inc.'s (collectively "Defendants") current and former nonexempt hourly employees (collectively "Hourly Employees") who worked anytime during the time period beginning July 3, 2013 and ending December 5, 2018 ("Class Period"). All capitalized terms used herein shall have the same meaning as defined in the Settlement.

In accordance with the Court's prior Order Granting Preliminary Approval of Class Action Settlement, Class Members have been given notice of the terms of the Settlement and the opportunity to submit a claim, request exclusion, comment upon or object to it or to any of its terms. Having received and considered the Settlement, the supporting papers filed by the Parties, and the evidence and argument received by the Court in conjunction with the motions for preliminary and final approval of the Settlement, the Court grants final approval of the Settlement and HEREBY ORDERS, ADJUDGES, DECREES AND MAKES THE FOLLOWING DETERMINATIONS:

- 1. The Court has jurisdiction over the subject matter of the Action and over all Parties to the Action, including all Class Members who did not Request for Exclusion from the Settlement. Pursuant to this Court's Order Granting Preliminary Approval of Class Action Settlement of June 18, 2019, the Class Notice was sent to each Class Member by First Class U.S. mail. The Class Notice informed Class Members of the terms of the Settlement, their right to receive their proportional share of the Settlement, their right to request exclusion, their right to comment upon or object to the Settlement, and their right to appear in person or by counsel at the final approval hearing and be heard regarding final approval of the Settlement. Adequate periods of time were provided by each of these procedures.
- 2. Solely for purposes of the settlement, a Settlement Class is hereby properly certified as a class for settlement purposes pursuant to California Code of Civil Procedure §382.
- 3. For purposes of this Final Order and Judgment, "Class," "Class Members," or "Settlement Class" shall mean all of Defendants' current and former nonexempt hourly

Employee Rights Attorneys 6530 Ventura Blvd., Suite 203 Encino, CA 91436 (818) 582-3086 Tel (818) 582-2561 Fax SpivakLaw.com employees (collectively "Hourly Employees"), who worked anytime during the time period beginning July 3, 2013 and December 5, 2018 ("Class Period").

- 4. The Court finds and determines that the notice procedure afforded adequate protections to Class Members and provides the basis for the Court to make an informed decision regarding final approval of the Settlement based on the responses of Class Members. The Court finds and determines that the Class Notice provided in this case was the best notice practicable, which satisfied the requirements of law and due process as to all persons entitled to such notice.
- 5. The Court further finds and determines that the terms of the Settlement are fair, reasonable and adequate, that the Settlement is ordered finally approved, and that all terms and provisions of the Settlement, including the release of claims contained therein, should be and hereby are ordered to be consummated, and directs the Parties to effectuate the Settlement according to its terms. As of the Effective Date, and for the duration of the Class Period, all Participating Class Members are hereby deemed to have waived and released all Released Claims and are forever barred and enjoined from prosecuting the Released Claims against the Releasees as fully set forth in the Settlement.
- 6. No Settlement Class Members have presented written objections to the proposed Settlement, stated an intention to appear, or actually appeared at the final approval hearing.
- 7. No Settlement Class Members have requested to be excluded from the Settlement as determined by the Settlement Administrator.
- 8. The Court finds and determines that (a) the Settlement Shares to be paid to Participating Class Members and (b) the PAGA payment as civil penalties under the California Labor Code Private Attorneys General Act of 2004, as amended, California Labor Code sections 2699 et seq., as provided for by the Settlement are fair and reasonable. The Court hereby grants final approval to, and orders the payment of, those amounts be made to the Participating Class Members and \$7,500.00 to the California Labor & Workforce Development Agency, in accordance with the terms of the Settlement.
- The Court hereby confirms David Spivak of The Spivak Law Firm as Class Counsel.
- 10. The Court further grants final approval to and orders that the following payments be made in accordance with the terms of the Settlement:

13

20

18

27

28

Employee Rights Attorneys 530 Ventura Blvd., Suite 203 Encino, CA 91436 (818) 582-3086 Tel (818) 582-3086 Tel

- a. A Class Counsel Fees Payment in the amount of \$250,000 in attorneys' fees to Class Counsel;
- b. A Class Counsel Litigation Expenses Payment in the amount of \$13,445.80 to Class Counsel;
- c. A Class Representative Payment \$5,000.00 to Plaintiff Eric Schafler for his service as the Class Representative; and
- d. Costs to the Settlement Administrator in the amount of \$9,000.00 to Phoenix Settlement Administrators for performance of its services as the Settlement Administrator.
- 11. The settlement shall proceed as directed in the Settlement Agreement, and no payments pursuant to the Settlement shall be distributed until after the Effective Date of the Settlement. Without affecting the finality of this Final Order and Judgment in any way, the Court retains jurisdiction of all matters relating to the interpretation, administration, implementation, effectuation and enforcement of this Final Order and Judgment and the Settlement pursuant to California Rule of Court 3.769(h).
- 12. The Settlement Administrator shall establish a Settlement Account for distributing Settlement Shares and Payments identified in the Settlement. Within ten (10) business days after the Effective Date of the Settlement, Defendants shall pay the Gross Settlement Amount into the Settlement Account.
- 13. Defendants' payment of such sums shall be the sole financial obligation of Defendants under the Settlement, and shall be in full satisfaction of all claims released herein, including, without limitation, all claims for wages, penalties, interest, attorneys' fees, costs and expenses.
- 14. Nothing in this Final Order and Judgment shall preclude any action to enforce the Parties' obligations under the Settlement or hereunder, including the requirement that Defendants deposit funds for distribution by the Settlement Administrator to Participating Class Members in accordance with the Settlement.
- 15. The Court hereby enters final judgment in this case in accordance with the terms of the Settlement, the Order Granting Preliminary Approval of Class Action Settlement, and this Final Order and Judgment.
  - 16. The Parties are hereby ordered to comply with the terms of the Settlement.

8

11 12

13 14

15 16

17

18 19

20

21

22 23

24 25

26 27

28

SPIVAK LAW

Employee Rights Attorneys 16530 Ventura Blvd., Suite 203 Encino, CA 91436 (818) 582-3086 Tel (818) 582-2561 Fax

17. The Parties shall bear their own costs and attorneys' fees except as otherwise provided by the Settlement and this Final Order and Judgment.

18. The Settlement is not an admission by Defendants nor is this Final Order and Judgment a finding of the validity of any claims in the Action or of any wrongdoing by Defendants. Furthermore, the Settlement is not a concession by Defendants and shall not be used as an admission of any fault, omission, or wrongdoing by Defendants. Neither this Final Order and Judgment, the Settlement, any document referred to herein, any exhibit to any document referred to herein, any action taken to carry out the Settlement, nor any negotiations or proceedings related to the Settlement are to be construed as, or deemed to be evidence of, or an admission or concession with regard to, the denials or defenses of Defendants, and shall not be offered in evidence in any proceeding against the Parties hereto in any Court, administrative agency, or other tribunal for any purpose whatsoever other than to enforce the provisions of this Final Order and Judgment. This Final Order and Judgment, the Settlement and exhibits thereto, and any other papers and records on file in the Action may be filed in this Court or in any other litigation as evidence of the settlement by Defendants to support a defense of res judicata, collateral estoppel, release, or other theory of claim or issue preclusion or similar defense as to the Released Claims.

19. This document shall constitute a judgment for purposes of California Rule of Court 3.769(h).

IT IS SO ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED

NOV 0 5 2019

DATED: 2019

Hon. DAVIS CULVIN Judge of the Superior Court

## PROOF OF SERVICE

State of California, County of Los Angeles

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

- I am a citizen of the United States and am employed in the County of Los Angeles, State of California. I am over the age of 18 years, and not a party to the within action. My business address is 16530 Ventura Blvd., Suite 203, Encino, CA 91436.
- I am familiar with the practice of The Spivak Law Firm, for collection and processing of correspondence for mailing with the United States Postal Service. It is the practice that correspondence is deposited with the United States Postal Service the same day it is submitted for mailing.

On Wednesday, October 30, 2019, I caused to have electronically served the following document described as [PROPOSED] FINAL ORDER AND JUDGMENT APPROVING CLASS SETTLEMENT with the third-party cloud service listed below. In doing so, notifications of such documents were electronically mailed to the interested parties which appear on the third-party cloud service's service list in this action as follows:

Marie D. DiSante, Esq Daphne P. Bishop, Esq. CAROTHERS DISANTE & FREUDENBERGER LLP 707 Wilshire Boulevard, Suite 5150 Los Angeles, California 90017 mdisante@cdflaborlaw.com dbishop@cdflaborlaw.com

XXXX (BY ELECTRONIC SERVICE) Based on a court order or an agreement of the parties to accept electronic service, I caused the documents to be sent to the persons at the electronic service addresses listed above via third-party cloud service CASE ANYWHERE.

EXECUTED on Wednesday, October 30, 2019, at Encino, California.

XXXX (State) I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the above is true and correct.

(Federal) I declare that I am employed in the office of a member of the bar of this court at whose direction the service was made.

