17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

THE NOURMAND LAW FIRM, APC 8822 West Olympic Boulevard Beverly Hills, California 90211 Tel: (310) 553-3600; Fax: (310) 553-3603 3 BIBIYAN LAW GROUP, P.C. David D. Bibiyan, Esq. (CA Bar No. 287811) 1801 Century Park East, Suite 2600 Los Angeles, California 90067 Tel: (310) 438-5555; Fax: (310) 300-1705 Attorneys for Plaintiff, JUNIOR AMILCAR GONZALEZ LAGUNA, on behalf of himself and all others similarly situated SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA 8 9 FOR THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES – SPRING STREET COURTHOUSE 10 JUNIOR AMILCAR GONZALEZ LAGUNA, on behalf of himself and all others similarly 12 situated. 13 Plaintiffs. 14 v. 15 TAKAAKI KOYAMA, an individual:

Michael Nourmand, Esq. (CA Bar No. 198439)

CONFORMED COPY ORIGINAL FILED Superior Court of California County of Los Angeles

JUL 3.7 2019

Sherri R. Carter, Executive Officer/Clerk By: Pedro Martinez, Deputy

[Assigned for all purposes to the Hon. Elihu M. Berle in Dept. SS-6]

[PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING FINAL APPROVAL OF CLASS ACTION SETTLEMENT, APPLICATION FOR ATTORNEYS' FEES AND COSTS, AND ENHANCEMENT AWARD

CASE NO.: BC641998

Defendants.

100, inclusive,

JAPANESE FOOD, INC., a California corporation; DAIKOKUYA, INC., a

California corporation; and DOES 1 through

This matter having come before the Court on June 6, 2019 and July 31, 2019 for final fairness hearing pursuant to the Order of this Court dated February 6, 2019 granting preliminary approval ("Preliminary Approval Order") of the class settlement upon the terms set forth in the Joint Stipulation Re: Class Action and Representative Action Settlement ("Settlement Agreement") submitted in support of Motion for Preliminary Approval of Class Settlement and preliminarily approved; and due and adequate notice having been given to the Class Members as required in the Preliminary Approval Order; and the Court having considered all papers filed and proceedings had herein and otherwise being fully informed and good cause appearing therefor, it is hereby ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED THAT:

ORDER FOR FINAL APPROVAL OF CLASS ACTION SETTLEMENT AND JUDGMENT

6

18

15

16

19 20

21

22

23

24 25

26

27

28

- 1. The Motion for Final Approval of Class Action Settlement; Enhancement Award; and Reasonable Attorneys' Fees and Costs is hereby granted in its entirety.
- 2. All terms used herein shall have the same meaning as defined in the Settlement Agreement.
- 3. This Court has jurisdiction over the subject matter of this litigation and over all Parties to this litigation, including all Class Members.
- Distribution of the Notice of Settlement of Class Action Lawsuit ("Class Notice") directed to the Class Members as set forth in the Settlement Agreement and the other matters set forth herein have been completed in conformity with the Preliminary Approval Order, including individual notice to all Class Members who could be identified through reasonable effort, and was the best notice practicable under the circumstances. This Class Notice provided due and adequate notice of the proceedings and of the matters set forth therein, including the proposed class settlement set forth in the Settlement Agreement, to all persons entitled to such Class Notice, and the Class Notice fully satisfied the requirement of due process.
- Of three hundred and twelve Class Members, five Class Members have opted out 5. of the settlement. No Class Member objected to the settlement.
- The Court further finds that the settlement is fair, reasonable and adequate and that 6. plaintiff has satisfied the standards and applicable requirements for final approval of class action settlement under California law, including the provisions of Code of Civil Procedure section 382 and Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, rule 23, approved for use by the California state courts in Vasquez v. Superior Court (1971) 4 Cal.3d 800, 821.
- This Court hereby approves the class settlement set forth in the Settlement 7. Agreement and finds that the settlement is, in all respects, fair, adequate and reasonable and directs the parties to effectuate the settlement according to its terms. The Court finds that the settlement has been reached as a result of intensive, serious and non-collusive arm's length The Court further finds that the parties have conducted extensive and costly negotiations. investigation and research and counsel for the parties are able to reasonably evaluate their respective positions. The Court also finds that settlement at this time will avoid additional

9

8

11 12

13

14

15

16

17 18

19

20 21

22

23

24 25

26

27

28

substantial costs, as well as avoid the delay and risks that would be presented by the further prosecution of this action. The Court has noted the significant benefits to the Class Members under the settlement. The Court also finds that the class is properly certified as a class for settlement purposes only.

- For settlement purposes only, the Court certifies the following class: all current and former non-exempt employees of defendants Takaaki Koyama, Japanese Food, Inc., and Daikokuya, Inc. (collectively, "Defendants") in any of Defendants' locations in the State of California at any time during the period from November 28, 2012 through November 20, 2018.
- 9. Plaintiff Junior Amilcar Laguna Gonzalez ("Plaintiff") and Class Members, except those that have submitted a valid and timely request to be excluded from the Settlement Agreement, waive, release, discharge, and promise never to assert in any forum against Defendants, its subsidiaries, affiliates and each of their past or present officers, directors, shareholders, employees, agents, principals, heirs, successors, representatives, accountants, auditors, consultants, insurers and reinsurers, attorneys, and each of their company-sponsored employee benefit plans and all of their respective officers, directors, employees, administrators, fiduciaries, trustees and agents, of any of them, all claims, demands, rights, liabilities, and causes of action asserted that could have been asserted against Defendants based on the factual allegations in the First Amended Complaint, including, without limitation: (a) all claims for failure to pay overtime wages; (b) all claims for failure to provide compliant meal and rest periods and associated premium pay; (c) all claims for the failure to timely pay wages upon separation; (d) all claims for failure to timely pay wages during employment; (e) all claims for non-compliant wage statements; (f) all claims for failure to reimburse for business expenses for uniforms; (g) all claims for unreturned uniform deposits and unpaid interest in connection with uniform deposits; (h) all claims asserted through California Business & Professions Code § 17200 et seq. arising out of the alleged Labor Code violations; and (i) for individuals employed from April 11, 2015 through November 20, 2018 (the "PAGA Period"), all asserted PAGA claims (or those that could have been asserted based on the factual allegations in the operative pleading) arising out of the alleged Labor Code violations).

EMB₂₅

- 10. Nothing contained in this Settlement Agreement shall be construed or deemed in admission of liability, culpability, negligence, or wrongdoing on the part of Defendant. Each of the parties has entered into this Settlement Agreement with the intention to avoid further disputes and litigation, and the attendant inconvenience and expense. This Settlement Agreement shall be inadmissible in evidence in any action or proceeding, except an action or proceeding to approve, interpret, or enforce its terms.
- 11. The Settlement Agreement provides for a "Gross Settlement Amount" of \$300,000.00. From the Gross Settlement Amount individual settlement payments to Class Members, Court approved attorneys' fees and costs, the claims administrative costs, the class representative's enhancement fee, and payment to the LWDA for PAGA penalties in the amount of \$3,750.00 shall be deducted. The employer's share of taxes imposed on the wage portion of the individual settlement payments shall be provided by Defendant separate and apart from the Gross Settlement Amount. The payment of the settlement funds and the employer's share of taxes on wages by Defendant, as well as the payment of individual settlement checks to Class Members, will be made as set forth in the Settlement Agreement. Defendants shall make 24 equal monthly payments commencing November 1, 2018. The final monthly payment shall be made on or before October 1, 2020, and shall include any Employer Taxes necessary to effectuate the settlement.
- 12. Defendant Takaaki Koyama has irrevocably, absolutely, and unconditionally guaranteed the prompt and complete payment of the Gross Settlement Amount on the payment schedule set out in the Settlement Agreement, including any taxes required to effectuate the settlement and any employer's share of taxes due to any government agency.
- 13. The Court hereby awards Class Counsel attorneys' fees in the total amount of \$100,000.00 which is approximately one-third of the Gross Settlement Amount and to be deducted therefrom. In addition, the Court awards Class Counsel reimbursement of their costs of \$15,434.77 to be deducted from the Maximum Settlement Amount. Attorneys' fees and costs will be paid by the Claims Administrator from the Gross Settlement Amount as set forth in the Settlement Agreement.

to be made only after payments to class

14. The Court hereby approves an enhancement fee to Plaintiff in the amount of \$7,500.00. Payment for the enhancement fee will be paid by the Claims Administrator from the Gross Settlement Sum as set forth in the Settlement Agreement.

15. The Court hereby approves the claims administrator's fees and cost in the amount of \$11,500.00. The Claims Administrator, Phoenix Settlement Administrators ("Phoenix"), shall be paid the cost of administration of the settlement from the Gross Settlement Sum.

- 16. Except as expressly provided herein, the parties each shall bear all of their own fees and costs in connection with this matter.
- 17. The Court approves the named plaintiff Junior Amilcar Laguna Gonzalez as class representative.
- 18. The Court approves Michael Nourmand, Esq. of The Nourmand Law Firm, APC and David Bibiyan, Esq. of Bibiyan Law Group, P.C. as class counsel and their even split (*i.e.*, 50% each) of attorneys' fees awarded.
 - 19. The Court approves Phoenix as the claims administrator.
- 20. Class Members will have one hundred eighty (180) days from the date of issuance of the check to cash their check. For any check not cashed after 180 calendar days, the Settlement Administrator will pay over the amount represented by the check to the State Controller, with the identity of the Participating Class Member to whom the funds belong, to be held for the Participating Class Member per California Unclaimed Property Law, in the interest of justice. The money paid to the California Department of Industrial Relations will remain the Participating Class Member's property. This will allow Participating Class Members who did not cash their checks to collect their Individual Settlement Amounts at any time in the future. Therefore, there will be no unpaid residue or unclaimed or abandoned class member funds and the California Code of Civil Procedure section 384 shall not apply.
- 21. The Court finds that class settlement on the terms set forth in the Settlement Agreement was made in good faith, and constitutes a fair, reasonable and adequate compromise of the released claims against Defendant.
 - 22. Without affecting the finality of the Judgment in any way, this Court hereby

retains continuing jurisdiction over the interpretation, implementation and enforcement of the 23. OSC Re Comptionse with town of settlement 11/16/20 dt 8:30 am. Report due 11/9/20. settlement and all orders and judgments entered in connection therewith. ELIHU M. BERLE Judge of the Superior Court

| | : |
|----|--|
| 1 | retains continuing jurisdiction over the interpretation, implementation and enforcement of the |
| 2 | settlement and all orders and judgments entered in connection therewith. |
| 3 | |
| 4 | IT IS SO ORDERED. |
| 5 | |
| 6 | Dated:, 2019 |
| 7 | Judge of the Superior Court |
| 8 | |
| 9 | |
| 10 | |
| 11 | |
| 12 | |
| 13 | |
| 14 | |
| 15 | |
| 16 | |
| 17 | |
| 18 | |
| 19 | |
| 20 | |
| 21 | |
| 22 | |
| 23 | |
| 24 | |
| 25 | |
| 26 | |
| 27 | |
| 28 | |
| | |
| | ORDER FOR FINAL APPROVAL OF CLASS ACTION SETTLEMENT AND JUDGMENT |

1 PROOF OF SERVICE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES 2 3 I am employed in the County of Los Angeles, State of California. I am over the age of eighteen years and not a party to the within action; my business address is 1801 Century Park East, Suite 2600, Los Angeles, California, 90067. On July 22, 2019, I served the following document(s) described as SUPPLEMENTAL DECLARATION OF DAVID D. BIBIYAN IN SUPPORT OF MOTION FOR FINAL APPROVAL OF CLASS AND REPRESENTATIVE ACTION [PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING APPROVAL OF CLASS ACTION SETTLEMENT, APPLICATION FOR ATTORNEYS' FEES AND COSTS, AND ENHANCEMENT AWARD on the interested parties in this action by placing true copies thereof enclosed in sealed envelopes addressed as follows: 9 Michael Nourmand James DeSario 10 The Nourmand Law Firm, APC 8822 West Olympic Boulevard 11 Beverly Hills, CA 90211 12 Co-Counsel for Plaintiff Junior Amilcar Gonzalez-Laguna 13 SOLOMON SALTSMAN & JAMIESON 14 Stephen Allen Jamieson, Esq. Saranya Kalai 15 426 Culver Boulevard Playa del Rey, CA 90293 16 Counsel for Defendant Takaaki Koyama, Japanese Food, Inc., and Daikokuya, Inc. 17 I caused a true and correct copy of the above-listed document(s) to be serve by electronic 18 transmission to the parties and/or counsel who are registered above and set forth in said service list. 19 20 I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct. 21 Executed on July 22, 2019, at Los Angeles, California. 22 23 24 Adriana Zavalza 25 26 27

28