

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

CONFORMED COPY ORIGINAL FILED Superior Court of California County of Los Angeles

JUN 262019

Sherri R. Carter, Executive Officer/Clerk of Court Dejane Wortham

15260 Ventura Blvd., Suite 1920 Sherman Oaks, CA 91403 Telephone: (213) 542-2100 Facsimile: (213) 542-2101

AARON C. GUNDZIK (State Bar No. 132137) REBECCA G. GUNDZIK (State Bar No. 138446)

GARTENBERG GELFAND HAYTON LLP

MARSHALL A. CASKEY (State Bar No. 65 RECEIVED DANIEL M. HOLZMAN (State Bar NoLOS ANGELES SUPERIOR COURT CASKEY & HOLZMAN

24025 Park Sorrento, Ste. 400

Calabasas, CA 91302 Telephone: (818) 657-1070

Facsimile: (818) 297-1775

MAY 31 2019

S. DREW

Attorneys for Plaintiffs David Kuenstle and Lukas Austin-Page, individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated

SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA FOR THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

DAVID KUENSTLE and LUKAS AUSTIN-PAGE, individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated,

Plaintiff,

VS.

CHILDREN'S HOSPITAL LOS ANGELES MEDICAL GROUP, INC., a California corporation; and DOES 1 through 25,

Defendants.

Case No.: BC653858

Assigned to Hon, Ann I. Jones Department SSC11

PROPOSEDI-ORDER OF FINAL APPROVAL OF CLASS ACTION SETTLEMENT

Date: June 26, 2019

Time: 10:00 a.m.

Dept.: 11 (Spring Street Courthouse)

Complaint Filed: March 13, 2017

The Court, having considered whether to order final approval of the settlement of this matter pursuant to the First Amended Stipulation of Class Action Settlement (the "Settlement Agreement" or "Stipulation"), having granted preliminary approval on March 20, 2019, having directed that notice be given to all Class Members of preliminary approval of the Settlement Agreement and the final approval hearing and the right to be excluded from or object to the settlement, having read and considered all of the papers of the parties

and their counsel, and having received no objections to the settlement, and good cause appearing therefor,

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED THAT:

- 1. The Motion for Final Approval of the settlement is granted;
- 2. The parties to this action are Plaintiffs David Kuenstle and Lukas Austin-Page ("Plaintiffs") and Defendant Children's Hospital Los Angeles Medical Group, Inc. ("CHLAMG" or "Defendant").
 - 3. After participating in an arms' length mediation, Plaintiffs and Defendant have agreed to a proposed settlement of this action on behalf of the Class Plaintiffs seek to represent. The terms of the proposed settlement are fully set forth in the First Amended Stipulation of Class Action Settlement (the "Settlement Agreement" or "Stipulation") attached as Exhibit A to the Declaration of Aaron Gundzik in Support of Motion for Final Approval of Class Action Settlement.
 - 4. This Court has jurisdiction over the subject matter of this action (the "Action") and over all parties to the Action, including the Representative Plaintiffs and the Class Members.
 - 5. The terms used in this Order have the meaning assigned to them in the parties' Settlement Agreement.
 - 6. The Court finds that the Settlement Class consists of: all persons during the Class Period (which is March 13, 2013 through November 5, 2018) employed by Defendant, either directly or through a professional corporation, who are or were licensed physicians or surgeons and who are or were primarily engaged in duties that require licensure pursuant to Chapter 5 (commencing with Section 2000) of Division 2 of the Business and Professions Code, who are not members of the University of Southern California Faculty, and who, because of how they were compensated, were classified as exempt from applicable State and Federal wage-hour laws.

- 7. The Settlement Class Period is March 13, 2013 through November 5, 2018.
- 8. In settlement, Defendant will pay the gross amount of \$1,300,000, plus the employers' share of all required payroll tax deductions. From this gross amount, the parties propose to deduct \$11,500 in fees to be paid to the Settlement Administrator, Service and Release Payments to the Representative Plaintiffs in the amount of \$10,000 each, a payment to the California Labor Workforce and Development Agency in the amount of \$18,750, Class Counsel's costs of \$18,528.20, and Class Counsel's attorneys' fees of \$433,333, which is justified in light of the benefit to the Class.
- 9. The amount remaining, after deductions approved by the Court, will be distributed to Settlement Class Members based on (1) the number of Transport and Anesthesiology Sedation shifts worked by the Class Members during the Class Period, (2) the number of pay periods worked by the Class Members during the wage statement period (March 13, 2016 and November 5, 2018), and (3) the total compensation the Class Members earned for shifts worked in other than Transport and/or Anesthesia.
- 10. Three Class Members, David Darcy, James Kim and Nicole Flores-Fenlon, filed requests to be excluded from the settlement. With the exception of these Class Members, all other members of the Settlement Class will receive a settlement payment and be bound by the releases and Judgment.
- Administrators, of the gross settlement amount of \$1,300,000, plus the employer's share of withholding tax, from Defendant, each and every Released Claim of each Settlement Class Member, with the exception of David Darcy, James Kim and Nicole Flores-Fenlon, is and shall be deemed to be released as against the Released Parties. Upon the receipt by the Settlement Administer, Phoenix Settlement Administrators, of the gross settlement amount of \$1,300,000 from Defendant, all Settlement Class Members except David Darcy, James Kim and Nicole Flores-Fenlon will be precluded in the future from making any claim based on, arising from, or relating to the allegations made in the Third Amended Complaint.

- 12. Neither the settlement, nor any of the terms set forth in the Settlement Agreement, constitute any admission by Defendant, or any of the other Released Parties, of liability to the Representative Plaintiffs or any Class Member, nor does this Final Approval Order constitute a finding by the Court of the validity of any of the claims alleged in the Action, or of any liability of Defendant or any of the other Released Parties.
- 13. The Court finds that the Notice of Proposed Class Action Settlement ("Notice of Settlement") has been mailed to all Class Members as previously ordered by the Court, and that such Notice of Settlement fairly and adequately described the terms of the proposed Settlement Agreement, the manner in which the Class Members could object to or participate in the settlement, and the manner in which Class Members could opt out of the Class, was the best notice practicable under the circumstances, was valid, due and sufficient notice to all Class Members, and complied fully with Civil Code §1781(e), Rule of Court 3.769, due process and all other applicable laws. The Court further finds that a full and fair opportunity has been afforded to Class Members to participate in the proceedings convened to determine whether the proposed Settlement Agreement should be given final approval.
- 14. The Court finally approves of the distribution of the Net Settlement Amount to the Settlement Class Members. Settlement Class Members are not required to submit a claim form in order to receive payment. Rather, the gross amount paid to each Settlement Class Member will be based on (1) the number of Transport and Anesthesiology Sedation shifts worked by the Class Member during the Class Period, (2) the number of pay periods worked by the Class Member during the wage statement period (March 13, 2016 and November 5, 2018), and (3) the total compensation the Class Member earned for shifts worked in other than Transport and/or Anesthesia, as fully explained in the Settlement Agreement.
- 15. The Court finds that the Settlement Agreement is fair, reasonable and adequate as to the Settlement Class, the named Plaintiffs and Defendant, and is the product of good faith, arms' length negotiations between the parties, and further, that the Settlement Agreement is consistent with public policy, and fully complies with all applicable provisions

of law. Accordingly, the Court hereby finally and unconditionally approves the Settlement Agreement and specifically approves of the allocation of the Gross Settlement Amount of \$1,300,000.00 ("Gross Settlement Amount"), plus the payment of the employer's share of all applicable payroll taxes and fees, as follows:

- a. The Court approves of the payment of Settlement Administration Costs of \$11,500 to Phoenix Settlement Administrators;
- b. The Court approves of Service and Release Payments in the amount of \$10,000 to Representative Plaintiff David Kuenstle and \$10,000 to Representative Plaintiff Lukas Austin-Page, as payment for their time and efforts in pursuing this Action and as additional compensation for the expanded releases they are providing;
- c. The Court approves of Class Counsel's attorneys' fees request of \$433,333, which is one-third of the Gross Settlement Amount, finding that it is reasonable in light of the benefit provided to the Class, to be distributed pursuant to the provisions of paragraph 16 of this Order;
- d. The Court approves of Class Counsel's request for reimbursement of litigation costs and expenses in the amount of \$18,528.20;
- e. The Court approves of a payment to the California Labor Workforce and Development Agency in the amount of \$18,750;
- f. The Court approves of payment of the remainder of the Gross Settlement Amount (the "Net Settlement Amount"), approximately \$797,889, to the Settlement Class Members who have not opted out of the settlement, pursuant to the terms of the Settlement Agreement, Paragraph IV(J), and that all settlement payments shall be deemed one-third wages, one-third penalties, and one-third interest, the latter two categories to be reported via an IRS Form 1099.
- g. If a Settlement Class Member's settlement check(s) is not cashed within 180 days of issuance, it shall be voided and the funds from all such uncashed checks shall be sent to the California State Controller as unclaimed property.

- 16. The Court approves of the following implementation schedule for further proceedings:
 - Settlement Effective Date: Since there has been no objection to the settlement, pursuant to Section II(M) of the Settlement Agreement, the Effective Date is the date of the Court's entry of Order of Final Approval of Class Action Settlement and Judgment, provided Plaintiffs and Class Counsel waive their right to appeal the final judgment.
 - Deadline for Defendant to deliver the Gross Settlement Amount of
 \$1,300,000 to the Settlement Administrator: Within ten (10) calendar days
 after the Effective Date.
 - Mailing of Payments to Class Members: Within ten (10) days of Defendant's deposit of the Gross Settlement Amount with the Settlement Administrator.
 - Payment to Class Representatives: Within ten (10) days of Defendant's deposit of the Gross Settlement Amount with the Settlement Administrator.
 - Payment to Class Counsel of Class Counsel's attorneys' fees and Class
 Counsel's costs: Within ten (10) days of Defendant's deposit of the Gross
 Settlement Amount with the Settlement Administrator.
 - Payment to the California Labor Workforce and Development Agency:
 Within ten (10) days of Defendant's deposit of the Gross Settlement Amount with the Settlement Administrator.
 - Payment to the Settlement Administrator: Within ten (10) days of
 Defendant's deposit of the Gross Settlement Amount with the Settlement
 Administrator.
 - Final Report from Settlement Administrator: After final distribution of Net Settlement Fund.

- 1						
1	17. A compliance hearing is set for JAN 3 1 2020 at 8:30 m. If	а				
2	satisfactory compliance status report is filed at least 5 court days before the compliance					
3	hearing, no appearances will be required.					
4	Dated: JUN 2 6 2018 RAFAEL A. ONGKEKO, JUDGE					
5	Dated: JUN 2 6 2019 RAFAEL A. ONGKEKO, JUDGE Hon. Ann I. Jones					
6						
7						
8						
9						
10						
11						
12						
13						
14						
15						
16						
17						
8						
19	*					
20						
21						
22						
23						
24						
25						
26						
27						
8						

PROOF OF SERVICE

STATE OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

I am employed in the County of Los Angeles, State of California. I am over the age of eighteen (18) and not a party to the within action. My business address is 15260 Ventura Blvd., Suite 1920, Sherman Oaks, California 91403.

On May 31, 2019, I served the following document described as

[PROPOSED] ORDER OF FINAL APPROVAL OF CLASS ACTION **SETTLEMENT**

on the interested parties in this action:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

28

by serving () the original (X) true copies thereof as follows: **(X)**

DI EASE SEE ATTACHED SEDVICE LIST

10	PLEASE SEE ATTACHED SERVICE LIST			
11	() BY MAIL		() BY FACSIMILE TRANSMISSION	
12	Los Angeles, California.	to be deposited in the mail at The envelope was mailed with paid. I am "readily familiar" with	I caused said document(s) to be transmitted by facsimile transmission to the name(s) and facsimile telephone number(s) of the person(s) named on the attached service	
13	the firm's practice		list. The facsimile machine telephone number of the sending facsimile machine was (213) 542-2101. A transmission	
14		in the ordinary course of business.	report was issued by the sending facsimile machine	
15	presumed invalid if postal	otion of party served, service is cancellation date or postage meter	confirming that the transmission was completed without error. A true and correct copy of said transmission report is	
16	date is more than one (1) day after date of deposit for attached hereto. mailing in affidavit.			
	190	CHT DELIVERY	(XX) BY ELECTRONIC TRANSMISSION	
17	Said document was place	ed in an envelope designated by	I caused the above-described document to be electronically	
18	box regularly maintained	er and placed for collection in a d by said carrier with whom we	served through Case Anywhere pursuant to the Court's Order Authorizing Electronic Service dated May 18, 2017,	
19		ount, to be delivered to the office ove on the next business day.	to the names and email addresses listed on the Service List attached hereto.	
20	(X) STATE	I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the above is true and correct.		
21				
22	() FEDERAL I declare that I am employ court at whose direction the		yed in the office of a member of the bar of this e service was made.	
23	(X) EXECUTED	on May 31, 2019, at Sherm	an Oaks, California,	
24				
25	Nie6le Sellazar			
26				
27				

Electronic Service List

Case:

Kuenstle v. Children's Hospital Los Angeles Medical Group, Inc.

Case Info:

BC653858, Los Angeles Superior Court

Caskey & Holzman

Marshall Caskey, Esq. (mcaskey@caskeyholzman.com) Daniel Holzman, Esq. (dholzman@caskeyholzman.com)

24025 Park Sorrento, Sulte 400 Calabasas, CA 91302

Phone: (818) 657-1070 Fax: (818) 297-1775

Gartenberg Gelfand Hayton LLP

Aaron Gundzik, Esq. (<u>agundzik@gghslaw.com</u>) Rebecca Gundzik, Esq. (rgundzik@aghslaw.com) 15260 Ventura Boulevard, Suite 1920

Sherman Oaks, CA 91403 Phone: (213) 542-2100 Fax: (213) 542-2101

Littler Mendelson, P.C.

J. Kevin Lilly, Esq. (klilly@littler.com) 2049 Century Park East, Fifth Floor Los Angeles, CA 90067

Phone: (310) 553-0308 Fax: (310) 553-5583

Littler Mendelson, P.C.

Penny Chen, Esq. (pchen@littler.com) Netta Rotstein, Esq. (nrotstein@littler.com)

Elizabeth Staggs Wilson, Esq. (estaggs-wilson@littler.com) 633 West Fifth Street, 63rd Floor

Los Angeles, CA 90071 Phone: (213) 443-4300

Fax: (213) 443-4299

Seyfarth Shaw LLP

Jeff Berman, Esq. (jberman@seyfarth.com) Daniel Whang, Esq. (dwhang@seylarth.com) One Century Plaza 2029 Century Park East, Suite 3500

Los Angeles, CA 90067 Phone: (310) 277-7200 Fax: (310) 201-5219

Representing: David Kuenstle

Representing: David Kuenstle

Representing: Children's Hopsital Los Angeles Medical Group,

Inc.

Representing: Children's Hopsital Los Angeles Medical Group,

Inc.

Representing: Children's Hospital Los Angeles Medical Group