Edwin Aiwazian (SBN 232943) Arby Aiwazian (SBN 269827) Joanna Ghosh (SBN 272479) LAWYERS for JUSTICE, PC 410 West Arden Avenue, Suite 203 FILED 3 Superior Court of California County of the Couletes Glendale, California 91203 Tel: (818) 265-1020 / Fax: (818) 265-1021 4 APR 1 9 2019 V. Andre Sherman (SBN 198684) 5 GIRARDI & KEESE Sherri R. College Systems City or Clerk By Man to Chya See to Copins 1126 Wilshire Boulevard Los Angeles, California 90017 Tel: (213) 977-0211 / Fax: (213) 481-1554 Attorneys for Plaintiffs and the Class SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA FOR THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES - SPRING STREET COURTHOUSE 11 DFT, INC. WAGE AND HOUR CASES JUDICIAL COUNCIL COORDINATION PROCEEDING NO.: JCCP4882 12 Coordinated Actions: 13 Honorable Yvette M. Palazuelos Department SSC9 14 ERIC BETANCES vs. DFT, INC. D/B/A CANNON MANAGEMENT Superior Court of California, County of Los 15 Angeles: BC559751 16 Complaint Filed: October 6, 2014 ROXANA VALDEZ vs. DFT, INC. D/B/A Superior Court of California, County of San 17 CANNON MANAGEMENT Bernardino: CIVDS1605358 18 Complaint Filed: April 12, 2016 19 FURTHER REVISED PROPOSED 20 FINAL APPROVAL ORDER AND **JUDGMENT** 21 22 23 LOS ANGELES SUPERIOR COURT 24 MAR 2 9 2019 25 26 - " 1 h 27

[FURTHER REVISED PROPOSED] FINAL APPROVAL ORDER AND JUDGMENT

28

This matter has come before the Honorable Yvette M. Palazuelos in Department SSC9 of the above-entitled Court, located at the Spring Street Courthouse, 312 North Spring Street, Los Angeles, California 90012, on Plaintiffs Eric Betances and Roxana Valdez's ("Plaintiffs") Motion for Fina Approval of Class Action Settlement, Attorneys' Fees, Costs, and Enhancement Payments ("Motion for Final Approval"). Lawyers for Justice, PC appeared on behalf of Plaintiffs and the Class, and Jackson Lewis P.C. appeared on behalf of Defendant DFT, Inc. d/b/a Cannon Management ("Defendant").

On July 6, 2018, the Court entered an Order Granting Preliminary Approval of Class Action Settlement ("Preliminary Approval Order"), thereby preliminarily approving the Stipulation of Class Action and PAGA Settlement and Release ("Original Agreement") and Amendment No. 1 to Stipulation of Class Action and PAGA Settlement and Release, which, together with Amendment No. 2 to Stipulation of Class Action and PAGA Settlement and Release (collectively, "Settlement," "Agreement," or "Settlement Agreement"), set forth the terms and conditions for settlement of the above-entitled coordinated action ("Action"). The Action consists of the matters entitled *Eric Betances v. DFT, Inc. d/b/a Cannon Management*, Los Angeles County Superior Court Case No. BC559751, and *Roxana Valdez v. DFT, Inc. d/b/a Cannon Management*, San Bernardino County Superior Court Case No. CIVDS1605358 (collectively, the "Lawsuits").

Having reviewed the Settlement Agreement and duly considered the parties' papers and oral argument, and good cause appearing,

# THE COURT HEREBY ORDERS, ADJUDGES, AND DECREES AS FOLLOWS:

- 1. All terms used herein shall have the same meaning as defined in the Settlement Agreement and the Preliminary Approval Order.
- 2. This Court has jurisdiction over the claims of the Class Members asserted in this proceeding and over all parties to the Action.
- 3. The Court finds that the applicable requirements of California Code of Civil Procedure section 382 and California Rule of Court 3.769, et seq. have been satisfied with respect to the Class and the Settlement. The Court hereby makes final its earlier provisional

8

10 11

12 13

15

14

16 17

18

19

2021

22

2324

25

26

27

28

certification of the Class for settlement purposes, as set forth in the Preliminary Approval Order.

The Class is hereby defined to include:

All hourly-paid or non-exempt individuals employed by Defendant within the State of California between October 6, 2010 and July 6, 2018 ("Class" or "Class Members").

- 4. The Notice of Class Action and PAGA Settlement ("Class Notice") that was provided to the Class Members, fully and accurately informed the Class Members of all material elements of the Settlement and of their opportunity to participate in, object to or comment thereon, or to seek exclusion from, the Settlement; was the best notice practicable under the circumstances; was valid, due, and sufficient notice to all Class Members; and complied fully with the laws of the State of California, the United States Constitution, due process and other applicable law. The Class Notice fairly and adequately described the Settlement and provided the Class Members with adequate instructions and a variety of means to obtain additional information.
- 5. Pursuant to California law, the Court hereby grants final approval of the Settlement and finds that it is reasonable and adequate, and in the best interests of the Class as a whole. More specifically, the Court finds that the Settlement was reached following meaningful discovery and investigation conducted by Lawyers for Justice, PC and Girardi & Keese (together, "Class Counsel"); that the Settlement is the result of serious, informed, adversarial, and arms-length negotiations between the parties; and that the terms of the Settlement are in all respects fair, adequate, and reasonable. In so finding, the Court has considered all of the evidence presented, including evidence regarding the strength of Plaintiffs' cases; the risk, expense, and complexity of the claims presented; the likely duration of further litigation; the amount offered in the Settlement; the extent of investigation and discovery completed; and the experience and views of Class Counsel. The Court has further considered the absence of any objections to the Settlement and that there were only four (4) valid and timely Requests for Exclusion, representing less than 0.5% of the Class Members. Accordingly, the Court hereby directs that the Settlement be affected in accordance with the Settlement Agreement and the following terms and conditions.

- 6. A full opportunity has been afforded to the Class Members to participate in the Final Approval Hearing, and all Class Members and other persons wishing to be heard have been heard. The Class Members also have had a full and fair opportunity to exclude themselves from the Settlement. Accordingly, the Court determines that all Class Members who did not submit a timely and valid Request for Exclusion to the Settlement Administrator are bound by this Final Approval Order and Judgment.
- 7. The Court finds that Class Members Hector Aquino, John Michael Gibson, Andrew Olague, and Shantel Del Rosario, have timely and validly opted out of the Settlement and will not be bound by this Final Approval Order and Judgment.
- 8. The Court finds that payment of Settlement Administration Costs in the amount of \$9,500 is appropriate for the services performed and costs incurred for the notice and settlement administration process. It is hereby ordered that the Settlement Administrator, Phoenix Settlement Administrators, shall issue payment to itself in the amount of \$9,500, in accordance with the Settlement Agreement.
- 9. The Court finds that the Class Representative Enhancement Payments sought are fair and reasonable for the work performed by Plaintiffs on behalf of the Class. It is hereby ordered that the Settlement Administrator issue payment to Plaintiff Eric Betances in the amount of \$7,500 and Plaintiff Roxana Valdez in the amount of \$5,000 as Class Representative Enhancement Payments, according to the terms set forth in the Settlement Agreement.
- 10. The Court finds that the allocation of \$30,000 toward penalties under the California Private Attorneys General Act of 2004 ("PAGA Settlement Amount"), is fair, reasonable, and appropriate, and hereby approved. The Settlement Administrator shall distribute the PAGA Settlement Amount as follows: the amount of \$22,500 to the California Labor and Workforce Development Agency, and the amount of \$7,500 to be distributed to Settlement Class Members who were employed by Defendant during the time period from October 6, 2013 to July 6, 2018 ("PAGA Class Members"), according to the methodology and terms set forth in the Settlement Agreement.

- 11. The Court finds that the request for an award of attorneys' fees in the amount of \$192,500 to Class Counsel falls within the range of reasonableness, and the results achieved justify the award sought. The requested attorneys' fees to Class Counsel are fair, reasonable, and appropriate, and are hereby approved. It is hereby ordered that the Settlement Administrator issue payment in the amount of \$192,500 for attorneys' fees, in accordance with the Settlement Agreement and the following terms and conditions, as follows: \$154,000 to Lawyers for Justice, PC and \$38,500 to Girardi & Keese.
- 12. The Court finds that reimbursement of litigation costs and expenses in the amount of \$24,570.99 to Class Counsel is reasonable, and hereby approved. It is hereby ordered that the Settlement Administrator issue payment in the amount of \$24,570.99 to Class Counsel for reimbursement of litigation costs and expenses, in accordance with the Settlement Agreement, as follows: \$11,93.73 to Lawyers *for* Justice, PC and \$12,639.26 to Girardi & Keese.
- 13. The Court hereby enters Judgment by which Settlement Class Members shall be conclusively determined to have given a release of any and all Released Claims against the Released Parties, as set forth in the Settlement Agreement and the Class Notice. Only those Settlement Class Members who cash, deposit, or otherwise negotiate their Individual Settlement Payment checks shall be deemed to have opted in for purposes of the Fair Labor Standards Act ("FLSA") and to have, thereby, released the Released Parties of the Released Claims which arise under the FLSA.
  - 14. As used in paragraph 13, the quoted terms have the meanings set forth below:
    - (a) "Settlement Class Member(s)" or "Settlement Class" means all hourly-paid or non-exempt individuals employed by Defendant within the State of California between October 6, 2010 and July 6, 2018, who did not submit a timely and valid Request for Exclusion to the Settlement Administrator.
    - (b) "Released Claims" means all of the claims described in section 33 of the Original Agreement, as follows:

All allegations, claims, rights, demands, liabilities and causes of action of any nature and description, against Defendant and Released Parties under state, federal, or local law, whether statutory, constitutional, contractual, common law, and administrative law claims, made or which could have been made in the Action, based on the allegations in the Lawsuits and amendments thereto, from October 6, 2010 through July 6, 2018, including the following claims and any corresponding or related claims under the Fair Labor Standards Act: failure to pay for all hours worked, including but not limited to minimum wage and overtime hours; failure to provide meal periods and failure to pay associated premium payments; failure to provide rest breaks and failure to pay associated premium payments; failure to timely pay wages during employment; failure to reimburse business expenses; failure to keep accurate payroll records; failure to provide compliant wage statements; failure to timely pay all wages due at time of separation and associated waiting time penalties; failure to comply with the California Labor Code and associated PAGA civil penalties; and alleged violation of the California Business and Professions Code section 17200, et seq., including, but not limited to, injunctive relief, punitive damages, liquidated damages, penalties of any nature, interest, fees, and costs.

#### (c) "Released Parties" means:

Defendant and any of its current and former parents, subsidiaries, affiliates, divisions, successors, assigns, and officers, directors, employees, and shareholders thereof, if any.

- 15. It is hereby ordered that Defendant shall fund the Maximum Settlement Amount within seven (7) calendar days after the Effective Date, in accordance with the Settlement Agreement.
- 16. It is hereby ordered that the Settlement Administrator shall distribute checks for payment of Individual Settlement Payments to Settlement Class Members and Individual PAGA Payments to PAGA Class Members, according to the terms set forth in the Settlement Agreement.
- 17. It is hereby ordered that all Individual Settlement Payment checks issued to Settlement Class Members and Individual PAGA Payment checks issued to PAGA Class Members will be valid and negotiable for at least one hundred eighty (180) calendar days after they are issued. The parties shall file a final report and/or declaration from the Settlement Administrator after the expiration of the 180-day period and no later than December 11, 2019, reporting the total amount that was actually paid to the Settlement Class Members and PAGA

Class Members and the total amount that was not cashed or deposited ("Final Report"). On or before January 13, 2020, Class Counsel shall file and serve a stipulation, proposed order, and Proposed Amended Judgment that includes, *inter alia*, the amount of the distribution of unpaid cash residue and unclaimed or abandoned funds to the non-party recipient LA Family Housing, the accrued interest on that sum, and any other information required to be set forth pursuant to Government Code section 68520, as incorporated into California Code of Civil Procedure section 384.5. The stipulation shall be signed by Class Counsel, Defendant's Counsel and counsel for (or an authorized representative of) LA Family Housing. The stipulation shall include a statement to the effect that all interested persons are in accord with the Proposed Amended Judgment and have no objection to the entry of an amended Judgment. If there are objections by any party or non-party, Class Counsel shall immediately notify the Court and the matter will be set for further hearing. Pursuant to California Code of Civil Procedure section 384.5, a conformed copy of the stipulation, order, and Amended Judgment (once signed by the Court) shall be forwarded by Class Counsel to the Judicial Council.

- 18. A non-appearance case management review re: Final Report and Stipulation and Amended Judgment is set for February 13, 2020 at 8:30 a.m. in Department SSC9.
- 19. After entry of this Final Approval Order and Judgment, pursuant to California Rules of Court, Rule 3.769(h), the Court shall retain jurisdiction to construe, interpret, implement, and enforce the Settlement Agreement, to hear and resolve any contested challenge to a claim for settlement benefits, and to supervise and adjudicate any dispute arising from or in connection with the distribution of settlement benefits.
- 20. Notice of entry of this Final Approval Order and Judgment shall be given to the Class Members by posting a copy of the Final Approval Order and Judgment on Phoenix Settlement Administrators' website for a period of at least sixty (60) calendar days after the date of entry of this Final Approval Order and Judgment. No individualized notice shall be required.

27 Dated: Up 2. 19, 2019

JUDGE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT

# PROOF OF SERVICE

STATE OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

I am employed in the County of Los Angeles, State of California. I am over the age of 18 and not a party to the within action. My business address is 410 West Arden Avenue, Suite 203, Glendale, California 91203.

On March 28, 2019, I served the foregoing document(s) described as: [FURTHER REVISED PROPOSED] FINAL APPROVAL ORDER AND JUDGMENT on interested parties in this action by electronic service as follows:

Frank M. Liberatore

LiberatF@jacksonlewis.com

Eric J. Gitig

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

eric.gitig@jacksonlewis.com

JACKSON LEWIS P.C.

725 South Figueroa Street, Suite 2500

Los Angeles, CA 90017-5408

Attorneys for Defendant DFT, Inc. dba Cannon Management

## [X] BY ELECTRONIC SERVICE

Pursuant to the Court's Order regarding Electronic Service, I caused the documents described above to be E-Served through File & ServeXpress by electronically mailing a true and correct copy through File & ServeXpress to the individual(s) listed above.

State of California, Labor & Workforce Development Agency Web URL:

http://www.dir.ca.gov/Private-Attorneys-General-Act/Private-Attorneys-General-Act.html

## [X] BY ONLINE SUBMISSION

The foregoing documents were transmitted to the California Labor and Workforce Development Agency through the online system established for the submission of notices and documents, in conformity with California Labor Code section 2699(l). I did not receive, within a reasonable time after the transmission, any electronic message or other indication that the transmission was unsuccessful.

#### [X] STATE

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the above is true and correct.

Executed on March 28, 2019 at Glendale, California.

Sarah Poswal